Nonlinear Dynamical Systems: Lecture 12

Madhu N. Belur & Harish K. Pillai Control & Computing group Department of Electrical Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

(IIT Bombay)

Outline

Scilab simulation of

- Lotka-Volterra predator prey model
- van der Pol oscillator

Predator \rightarrow Hunter Population dynamics of <u>two</u> species: prey and hunter. Equations of the model

Predator \rightarrow Hunter Population dynamics of <u>two</u> species: prey and hunter. Equations of the model

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overset{\bullet}{x}_h & = & -x_h + x_h x_p \\ \overset{\bullet}{x}_p & = & x_p - x_h x_p \end{array}$$

x_h is the amount of <u>hunter</u> specimen in the model

Predator \rightarrow Hunter Population dynamics of <u>two</u> species: prey and hunter. Equations of the model

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overset{\bullet}{x}_h & = & -x_h + x_h x_p \\ \overset{\bullet}{x}_p & = & x_p - x_h x_p \end{array}$$

 \mathbf{x}_h is the amount of <u>hunter</u> specimen in the model \mathbf{x}_p is the amount of prey specimen in the model

Predator —> Hunter Population dynamics of \underline{two} species: prey and hunter. Equations of the model

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overset{\bullet}{x}_h & = & -x_h + x_h x_p \\ \overset{\bullet}{x}_p & = & x_p - x_h x_p \end{array}$$

 x_h is the amount of <u>hunter</u> specimen in the model x_p is the amount of <u>prey</u> specimen in the model More generally,

$$\label{eq:xh} \begin{split} \overset{\bullet}{x}_h &= -ax_h + bx_hx_p \\ \overset{\bullet}{x}_p &= cx_p - dx_hx_p \end{split}$$

(parameters a, b, c and d are positive.)

Equilibrium points?

Equilibrium points ?
Nature of equilibrium points for the linearized system ?

Equilibrium points ? Nature of equilibrium points for the linearized system ? Periodic orbits? Equilibrium points ?
Nature of equilibrium points for the linearized system ?
Periodic orbits?
Bendixson criterion and Poincaré Bendixson criterion?

Consider the following:

$$\dot{x}_{1} = x_{2} + (x_{1}x_{2}^{2})
\dot{x}_{2} = -x_{1} + (x_{2}x_{1}^{2})
\nabla \cdot f(x) = \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} = (x_{2}^{2} + x_{1}^{2})$$

 $\nabla \cdot f(x)$ is always positive,

Consider the following:

$$\dot{x}_{1} = x_{2} + (x_{1}x_{2}^{2})
\dot{x}_{2} = -x_{1} + (x_{2}x_{1}^{2})
\nabla \cdot f(x) = \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} = (x_{2}^{2} + x_{1}^{2})$$

 $\nabla \cdot f(x)$ is always positive, except at equilibrium point. Hence, by Bendixson criteria, there are no periodic orbits.

Consider

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + x_1 x_2^2$$
 $\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 - x_2 x_1^2$

Consider

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + x_1 x_2^2
\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 - x_2 x_1^2$$

$$\nabla \cdot f(x) = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} = (x_2^2 - x_1^2)$$

 $\nabla \cdot f(x)$ is zero for $x_1 = x_2$, and changes sign.

Consider

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + x_1 x_2^2
\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 - x_2 x_1^2$$

$$\nabla \cdot f(x) = \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} = (x_2^2 - x_1^2)$$

 $\nabla \cdot f(x)$ is zero for $x_1 = x_2$, and changes sign. Bendixson criterion ?

Linear case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}_1} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathsf{a} \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{x}_1 \\ \mathsf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}$$

Linear case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}_1} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathsf{a} \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{x}_1 \\ \mathsf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}$$

$$a = 5$$
.

Linear case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}}_1 \\ \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathsf{a} \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{x}_1 \\ \mathsf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}$$

$$a = 5$$
, $a = -3$?

Linear case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}}_1 \\ \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathsf{a} \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{x}_1 \\ \mathsf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}$$

a = 5, a = -3?

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \epsilon & 2 \\ -2 & \epsilon \end{array} \right]$$

for $\epsilon > 0$?

Linear case:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}}_1 \\ \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathsf{a} \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{x}_1 \\ \mathsf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathsf{A}\mathsf{x}$$

a = 5, a = -3?

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & 2 \\ -2 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$? for $\epsilon < 0$

Consider

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \overset{\bullet}{x_1} \\ \overset{\bullet}{x_2} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) & 1 \\ -1 & (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right]$$

This can be written in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{x}_1 \\ \overset{\bullet}{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(r) & 1 \\ -1 & \epsilon(r) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the cases

Consider

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \overset{\bullet}{x_1} \\ \overset{\bullet}{x_2} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) & 1 \\ -1 & (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right]$$

This can be written in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{x}_1 \\ \overset{\bullet}{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(\textbf{r}) & 1 \\ -1 & \epsilon(\textbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \textbf{x}_1 \\ \textbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the cases

1. When r = 5, we have $\epsilon(r) = 0$.

Consider

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \overset{\bullet}{x_1} \\ \overset{\bullet}{x_2} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) & 1 \\ -1 & (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right]$$

This can be written in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{x}_1 \\ \overset{\bullet}{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(r) & 1 \\ -1 & \epsilon(r) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the cases

- 1. When r = 5, we have $\epsilon(r) = 0$.
- 2. When r < 5, we have $\epsilon(r) > 0$.

Consider

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \overset{\bullet}{x_1} \\ \overset{\bullet}{x_2} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) & 1 \\ -1 & (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right]$$

This can be written in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{x}_1 \\ \overset{\bullet}{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(r) & 1 \\ -1 & \epsilon(r) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the cases

- 1. When r = 5, we have $\epsilon(r) = 0$.
- 2. When r < 5, we have $\epsilon(r) > 0$.
- 3. When r > 5, we have $\epsilon(r) < 0$.

Consider

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \overset{\bullet}{x_1} \\ \overset{\bullet}{x_2} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) & 1 \\ -1 & (25 - x_1^2 - x_2^2) \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right]$$

This can be written in matrix form as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}}_1 \\ \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(\mathsf{r}) & 1 \\ -1 & \epsilon(\mathsf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{x}_1 \\ \mathsf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider the cases

- 1. When r = 5, we have $\epsilon(r) = 0$.
- 2. When r < 5, we have $\epsilon(r) > 0$.
- 3. When r > 5, we have $\epsilon(r) < 0$.

Hence, the trajectories will approach the periodic orbit.

Consider the case $\overset{\bullet}{x} = Ax$ where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$ for the cases $\epsilon > 0$,

Consider the case x = Ax where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$ for the cases $\epsilon > 0$, $\epsilon = 0$,

Consider the case $\overset{\bullet}{x} = Ax$ where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$ for the cases $\epsilon > 0$, $\epsilon = 0$, $\epsilon < 0$,

Consider the case $\overset{\bullet}{x} = Ax$ where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$ for the cases $\epsilon > 0$, $\epsilon = 0$, $\epsilon < 0$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}_1} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & (25 - \mathsf{x}_1^2 - \mathsf{x}_2^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{x}_1 \\ \mathsf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Converting to polar coordinates, we get

Consider the case
$$\overset{\bullet}{x}=Ax$$
 where $A=\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -2 & \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$ for the cases $\epsilon>0$, $\epsilon=0$, $\epsilon<0$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}_1} \\ \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & (25 - \mathsf{x}_1^2 - \mathsf{x}_2^2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{x}_1 \\ \mathsf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Converting to polar coordinates, we get

$$x_1 = r \cos \theta$$

 $x_2 = r \sin \theta$

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = r^2$$

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = r^2$$

 $x_1 \overset{\bullet}{x}_1 + x_2 \overset{\bullet}{x}_2 = r \overset{\bullet}{r}$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2+x_2^2&=r^2\\ x_1x_1^2+x_2x_2^2&=rr\\ \text{Substituting }x_1,x_2,x_1^2,x_2^2\text{ using }r,\,r\text{ and }\theta,\text{ we get }rr=1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1^2+x_2^2=r^2\\ &x_1\overset{\bullet}{x}_1+x_2\overset{\bullet}{x}_2=r\overset{\bullet}{r}\\ &\text{Substituting }x_1,x_2,\overset{\bullet}{x}_1,\overset{\bullet}{x}_2\text{ using }r,\overset{\bullet}{r}\text{ and }\theta,\text{ we get }r\overset{\bullet}{r}=\end{aligned}$$

$$(r\cos\theta)(r\sin\theta) + (r\sin\theta)(-r\cos\theta + (25 - r^2)r\sin\theta)$$

This reduces to $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (25 - \mathbf{r}^2)\mathbf{r} \sin^2 \theta$

Therefore at r=5 we get $\overset{\bullet}{r}=0$ i.e circle with radius 5 is a periodic orbit.

Moreover, other trajectories 'converge' to this limit cycle:



$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2+x_2^2&=r^2\\ x_1x_1+x_2x_2&=r\mathring{r}\\ \text{Substituting } x_1,x_2,\mathring{x_1},\mathring{x_2} \text{ using r, \mathring{r} and } \theta\text{, we get } r\mathring{r}=\end{aligned}$$

$$(r\cos\theta)(r\sin\theta) + (r\sin\theta)(-r\cos\theta + (25 - r^2)r\sin\theta)$$

This reduces to $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (25 - \mathbf{r}^2)\mathbf{r} \sin^2 \theta$

Therefore at r=5 we get $\overset{\bullet}{r}=0$ i.e circle with radius 5 is a periodic orbit.

Moreover, other trajectories 'converge' to this limit cycle: 'isolated stable limit cycle'.

van der Pol oscillator

This oscillator has a 'stable limit cycle'. Differential equation

$$\overset{\bullet\bullet}{\mathsf{x}} - \epsilon (1 - \mathsf{x}^2) \overset{\bullet}{\mathsf{x}} + \mathsf{x} = 0$$

where
$$\epsilon > 0$$

Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{x} + \epsilon(1 - \mathbf{x}^2)\mathbf{y}$