Introduction to Large Language Model

Lecture 2: Preliminary - Gradient Descent

Kun Yuan

Peking University

Main contents in this lecture

- Concex sets, functions, and problems
- Strong convexity and smoothness
- Gradient descent
- Convergence analysis

Convex sets

Definition 1 (Convex set)

A set $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called convex, if for $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, it holds that

$$\theta x + (1-\theta)y \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \forall \theta \in [0,1].$$

Examples:

- Hyperplane $\{x | a^T x = b\}$ and hyperspace $\{x | a^T x \leq b\}$
- Euclidian ball $\{x | \|x - x_c\| \leq r\}$
- Polyhedron $\{x | a_j^T x \leq b_j, j = 1, \cdots, m, c_j^T x = d_j, j = 1, \cdots, p\}$

Convex sets: illustration



Convex set



Non-convex set

Convex function

Definition 2 (Convex function)

Function $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex if $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a convex set and $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, it holds that

$$f(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) \leq \theta f(x) + (1-\theta)f(y), \quad \forall \theta \in [0,1].$$

Examples:

- Exponential e^{ax} is convex on $\mathbb{R}$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$
- Norms $\|x\|_1$ and $\|x\|_2$ are convex on $\mathbb{R}^d$
- Linear regressioin loss function $\|Ax - b\|^2$ is convex on $\mathbb{R}^d$
- Logistic regression $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(1 + \exp(-b_i a_i^T x))$ is convex on $\mathbb{R}^d$

Convex function: illustration



Figure: An illustration of a convex function [1]

[1] This figure is from (Boyd and Vandenberghe, 2004)

Convex function: property

Lemma 1 (Convex property)

Suppose $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, then f is convex if and only if

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle, \quad \forall\, x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$



Strongly-convex function

Definition 3 (μ-strongly convex function)

Function $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is μ-strongly convex if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - x\|_2^2, \quad \forall\, x, y \in \mathcal{X}.$$



L-smoothness

Definition 4 (L-smoothness)

A differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be L-smooth if $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2 \leq L\|x - y\|_2,$$

where $L > 0$ is the Lipschitz constant of ∇f.

In other words, the gradient cannnot vary too quckly

It is easy to show that the above inequality is equivalent to (see notes)

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2}\|y - x\|_2^2$$

which implie that $f(y)$ can be upper bounded by a quadratic function

L-smoothness: illustration



Gradient descent

- Consider the following **smooth** and **unconstrained** optimization

$$\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{minimize}} \quad f(x)$$

- **Gradient descent (GD)** is very effective to solve the above problem

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \gamma \nabla f(x_k), \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \cdots$$

  where γ is the learning rate (or step size), and x_0 initializes arbitrarily.

Gradient descent: illustration



Stationary solution

Given a differentible function $f(x)$, $x^\star$ is the **stationary solution** if and only if

$$\nabla f(x^\star) = 0$$

Illustration of the stationary solution[2]



For convex functioins, stationary solutions are global solutions.

[2] Figure is from Prof. Rong Ge's online post

Convergence analysis: non-convex scenario

With small γ, $\{f(x_k)\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence

Lemma 1 (Decay in function value)

Assume $f(x)$ to be L-smooth. If $\gamma \leq 1/L$, it holds that

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(x_k) - \frac{\gamma}{2}\|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2$$

From the above theorem, we conclude that

$$f(x_0) > f(x_1) > \cdots f(x_k) > f(x_{k+1}) > \cdots$$

Descent lemma illustration

Illustration:



Descent lemma illustration

Illustration:



Convergence analysis: non-convex scenario

Theorem 1

Assume $f(x)$ to be L-smooth. If $\gamma \leq 1/L$, gradient descent converges as

$$\frac{1}{K+1}\sum_{k=0}^{K}\|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \leq \frac{2\big(f(x_0)-f^\star\big)}{\gamma(K+1)}.$$

If we further set $\gamma = 1/L$, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{K+1}\sum_{k=0}^{K}\|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \leq \frac{2L\big(f(x_0)-f^\star\big)}{K+1}.$$

- If the ergotic average converges to 0, then it holds that $\|\nabla f(x_k)\| \to 0$
- Smaller γ leads to slower convergence
- Convergence rate is $O(L/K)$, which implies $O(L/\epsilon)$ iteartions to achieve an ϵ-accurate solution, i.e., the iteraton complexity is $O(L/\epsilon)$.

Experiments: non-convex scenario

We minimize $f(x) = \sin(\pi x) + 2x$





Convergence analysis: convex scenario

Theorem 2

Suppose $f(x)$ is convex and L-smooth, if $\gamma = 1/(2L)$, gradient descent converges as

$$f(x_K) - f^\star \leq \frac{2L\|x_0 - x^\star\|^2}{K+1}.$$

For convex functions, it holds that $f(x_k) \to f^\star$ at rate $O(L/K)$

The iteartion complexity $O(L/\epsilon)$

Experiments: convex scenario

We minimize

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2}x^2, & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ \frac{9}{2}x^2, & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

Gradient descent is sensitive to the choice of learning rate



$\gamma = \frac{1}{5L}$



$\gamma = \frac{1}{L}$



$\gamma = \frac{2}{L}$

Convergence analysis: strongly-convex scenario

Theorem 3

Assume $f(x)$ is L-smooth and μ-strongly convex, if $\gamma = 2/(L+\mu)$, gradient descent converges as

$$\|x_K - x^\star\| \leq \left(\frac{L-\mu}{L+\mu}\right)^{K+1} \|x_0 - x^\star\|$$

For strongly-convex functions, it holds that $x_k \to x^\star$ at rate $O\big((1-\frac{1}{\kappa})^k\big)$ where $\kappa = L/\mu$ is regarded as the condition number of the strongly-convex function

GD converges exponentially (or linearly) fast for strongly-convex problems

The iteartion complexity is $O(\kappa \log(1/\epsilon))$

Experiments: striongly-convex scenario

We minimize

$$f_1(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$$
$$f_2(x, y) = 10x^2 + y^2$$

The condition number $\kappa = L/\mu$ has a significant influence on convergence





Experiments: striongly-convex scenario

We minimize

$$f_1(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$$
$$f_2(x, y) = 10x^2 + y^2$$

The condition number $\kappa = L/\mu$ has a significant influence on convergence





Convergence rate summary

	Convergence rate	Iteration complexity
Non-convex	$O(L/K)$	$O(L/\epsilon)$
Generally-convex	$O(L/K)$	$O(L/\epsilon)$
Strongly-convex	$O((1-\frac{\mu}{L})^K)$	$O(\frac{L}{\mu}\log(1/\epsilon))$

Summary

- Gradient descent is very puplar for unconstrained and smooth optimization
- For non-convex problems, GD converges at rate $O(L/K)$
- For generally-convex problems, GD converges at rate $O(L/K)$
- For strongly-convex problems, GD converges at rate $O((\frac{L-\mu}{L+\mu})^K)$

References I

S. P. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, *Convex optimization*. Cambridge university press, 2004.