Cold-Start Recommendations Across E-Commerce Platforms

Problem Statement

- **Goal:** Optimize personalized recommendations based on a user's limited shopping history across platforms.
- **Methods**: Content-based filtering, collaborative filtering, low-rank matrix completion, and a two-tower neural network model.
- **Cold-Start Issue:** Users switching to new platforms often receive poor recommendations, reducing engagement and conversions.

Success Metrics

- Recommendation Relevance: Precision@k, Recall@k, and NDCG.
- User Engagement: Click-through rate (CTR).
- Cold-Start Performance: Evaluated through hold-out validation sets.

Constraints

- Data Availability: Metadata inconsistencies across platforms.
- Real-Time Performance: Fast inference and low-latency retrieval.
- User Privacy: Compliance with GDPR and data protection laws.

Required Data

- User interactions: Order history, wish lists, browsing activity.
- **Product metadata:** Titles, descriptions, images, categories, prices, brands.
- User-generated content: Ratings, reviews, preferences.

Potential Pitfalls

- Sparse Shopping History: Limited user behavior data across platforms.
- Metadata Mismatch: Variability in product attributes.
- Scalability: Efficient retrieval for millions of items.
- **Privacy Concerns:** Cross-site tracking must adhere to regulations.

Technical Approach: Collaborative Filtering

- User-Based CF: Recommends items based on similar users' preferences.
- Item-Based CF: Suggests items similar to those a user has previously engaged with.
- Neural CF: Uses deep learning to capture complex user-item interactions.

Collaborative Filtering: Validation & Constraints

• Validation:

- Offline metrics: RMSE, MAE, Precision@K, Recall@K.
- Cross-validation, A/B testing, engagement tracking.

• Constraints:

- Cold-start issues, computational scalability.
- Storage and memory requirements.

Technical Approach: Content-Based Filtering

- Uses product features (text, images) to recommend similar items.
- Embeddings generated from CLIP (Contrastive Language-Image Pretraining).
- Cosine similarity measures item relevance.

Content-Based Filtering: Implementation

- 1. Embedding Extraction: Pre-trained CLIP model.
- 2. Feature Combination: Merge image & text embeddings.
- 3. Similarity Calculation: Compare item vectors.
- 4. Recommendation Retrieval: Rank items by similarity.

Technical Approach: Low-Rank Matrix Completion

- Factorizes the user-item interaction matrix into lower-dimensional components.
- Approximates missing interactions for better recommendations.
- Useful for large datasets with sparse interactions.

Technical Approach: Two-Tower Model

- Separates user and item processing into two neural networks.
- Learns embeddings independently for users and items.
- Computes similarity between user and item embeddings for ranking.

Initial Results: CLIP Image Clustering

- Dataset 1: 76 shopping images.
- Dataset 2: 1,000 images from Fashion-MNIST.
- HDBSCAN Results:
 - Custom dataset: 3 clusters.
 - Fashion-MNIST: 33 clusters.

Evaluation Metrics & Findings

- Collaborative Filtering: Precision@5: 0.72, Recall@5: 0.68.
- Content-Based Filtering: MAP: 0.81, NDCG@5: 0.79.
- Low-Rank Completion: RMSE: 5.82.
- Two-Tower Model: Loss converged to 0.021 after 5 epochs.

Next Steps & Future Work

- Enhance Image Preprocessing: Standardization, augmentation.
- Expand Dataset: Increase user-item interactions.
- Optimize Clustering: Improve feature-based segmentation.
- Select Best Algorithm: Focus on highest-performing methods.

Open Questions & Challenges

- Model Selection: Best pretrained embeddings for diverse styles?
- Privacy & Compliance: Cross-site data alignment with regulations?
- Scalability: Efficient retrieval for real-time recommendations?

Summary of Approaches

Method	Strengths	Challenges	Best Use Case
Collaborative Filtering	Captures user behavior	Cold-start issues	Users with history
Content-Based Filtering	Works without user data	Limited diversity	New user recommendations
Low-Rank Completion	Efficient for large datasets	Sparse matrix issues	Matrix factorization
Two-Tower Model	Precomputed embeddings	High computational cost	Large-scale retrieval