

EB tresos® AutoCore OS Atomics documentation for TRICORE

product release 6.0





Elektrobit Automotive GmbH Am Wolfsmantel 46 91058 Erlangen, Germany Phone: +49 9131 7701 0

Fax: +49 9131 7701 6333

Email: info.automotive@elektrobit.com

Technical support

https://www.elektrobit.com/support

Legal disclaimer

Confidential and proprietary information

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this publication may be copied in any form, by photocopy, microfilm, retrieval system, or by any other means now known or hereafter invented without the prior written permission of Elektrobit Automotive GmbH.

All brand names, trademarks and registered trademarks are property of their rightful owners and are used only for description.

Copyright 2021, Elektrobit Automotive GmbH.



Table of Contents

1. About this documentation	4
1.1. Typography and style conventions	4
2. EB tresos product line support	6
3. Atomics user's guide	7
3.1. Operating principles	7
3.1.1. Motivation	7
3.1.2. Atomicity of memory accesses	7
3.1.3. Consistency of memory accesses	8
3.2. API reference	8
3.2.1. OS_AtomicThreadFence()	9
3.2.2. OS_ATOMIC_OBJECT_INITIALIZER()	9
3.2.3. OS_AtomicInit()	9
3.2.4. OS_AtomicStore()	10
3.2.5. OS_AtomicLoad()	10
3.2.6. OS_AtomicExchange()	10
3.2.7. OS_AtomicCompareExchange()	. 11
3.2.8. OS_AtomicFetchAdd()	11
3.2.9. OS_AtomicFetchSub()	11
3.2.10. OS_AtomicFetchOr()	12
3.2.11. OS_AtomicFetchAnd()	12
3.2.12. OS_AtomicFetchXor()	12
3.2.13. OS_AtomicTestAndSetFlag()	13
3.2.14. OS_AtomicClearFlag()	13
3.3. Constraints for exclusive areas using EB_FAST_LOCK	13
3.4. Usage restrictions	14
3.4.1. Atomic functions	14
3.4.2. Hardware specific constraints for EB_FAST_LOCK	. 14
Bibliography	16
ndey	17



1. About this documentation

1.1. Typography and style conventions

Throughout the documentation you see that words and phrases are displayed in bold or italic font, or in Monospace font. To find out what these conventions mean, consult the following table. All default text is written in Arial Regular font without any markup.

Convention	Item is used	Example
Arial italics	to define new terms	The basic building blocks of a configuration are module configurations.
Arial italics	to emphasize	If your project's release version is mixed, all content types are available. It is thus called mixed version.
Arial italics	to indicate that a term is explained in the glossary	exchanges <i>protocol data unit</i> s (<i>PDU</i> s) with its peer instance of other ECUs.
Arial boldface	for menus and submenus	Choose the Options menu.
Arial boldface	for buttons	Select OK .
Arial boldface	for keyboard keys	Press the Enter key
Arial boldface	for keyboard combination of keys	Press Ctrl+Alt+Delete
Arial boldface	for commands	Convert the XDM file to the newer version by using the legacy convert command.
Monospace font (Courier)	for file and folder names, also for chapter names	Put your script in the function_name/abc-folder
Monospace font (Courier)	for code	for (i=0; i<5; i++) { /* now use i */ }
Monospace font (Courier)	for function names, methods, or routines	The cos function finds the cosine of each array element. Syntax line example is MLGetVar ML_var_name
Monospace font (Courier)	for user input/indicates variable text	Enter a three-digit prefix in the menu line.
Square brackets	for optional parameters; for command syntax with optional parameters	insertBefore [<opt>]</opt>



Convention	Item is used	Example	
Curly brackets {}	for mandatory parameters; for com- mand syntax with mandatory parame- ters (in curly brackets)	insertBefore	{ <file>}</file>
Three dots	for further parameters	insertBefore	[<opt>]</opt>
A vertical bar	to separate parameters in a list from which one parameters must be cho- sen or used; for command syntax, in- dicates a choice of parameters	allowinvalid	markup {on off}
Warning	to show information vital for the success of your configuration	WARNING	This is a warning This is what a warning looks like.
Notice	to give additional important information on the subject	NOTE	This is a notice This is what a notice looks like.
Tip	to provide helpful hints and tips	TIP	This is a tip This is what a tip looks like.



2. EB tresos product line support

https://www.elektrobit.com/support



3. Atomics user's guide

This chapter deals with the atomic functions offered by EB tresos AutoCore OS. Its first section introduces the problem to be solved by them: concurrent memory accesses to shared memory locations by independent processor cores or other bus masters. With this background, the following two sections discuss the two important aspects of memory accesses in concurrent environments: *atomicity* and *consistency*. These constitute the vital basis for synchronization algorithms which are needed to coordinate the concurrent work.

3.1. Operating principles

3.1.1. Motivation

The need of *atomic functions* is generated by recent developments in microprocessor design. The trend to more concurrent architectures is evident. This means that multiple threads of execution may exists at any point in time.

Of course, these threads must be coordinated somehow to achieve proper synchronization with the serial parts of a program and that's one motivation for the atomic functions discussed in this document. Another motivation is to have the concurrent parts of a program working together without much friction. Other synchronization mechanisms offered by EB tresos AutoCore OS, for example, impose a high performance penalty, because context switches and dozens of instructions are executed when they are used. In some cases, this performance hit is not acceptable, and that's when specialized instructions offered by the hardware may come to the rescue. Although, these provide less complex synchronization mechanisms, if an algorithm makes efficient use of them, they can remedy the performance penalty mentioned above.

Key aspects in this realm are atomicity and consistency which are the subject of the next sections.

3.1.2. Atomicity of memory accesses

The term *atomicity* relates to a certain trait of memory accesses. Atomic accesses are never interrupted by other concurrent threads, even when they access the same memory location at the same time. They are executed either completely or not at all. The net result of an atomic access is as if there is no contention at all. This also extends to read-modify-write instructions which are naturally susceptible to interferences of other concurrent threads. This is because they first have to read from memory, process the data, and then write it back. This gives other threads the opportunity to intercept these activities so that the final value in memory is not as expected.

With guaranteed atomicity these issues can't occur and concurrent threads can work on shared data without the need of more expensive synchronization mechanisms provided by EB tresos AutoCore OS.



This statement would be true if there weren't further optimizations done in hardware and during compilation which may reorder memory accesses. This is the subject of the next section.

3.1.3. Consistency of memory accesses

The term *consistency* in this realm refers to the order in which concurrent threads perceive the write accesses of other threads to shared memory locations. This ordering may be affected by two different layers: hardware and compiler.

The microprocessor hardware may employ different kinds of buffering to avoid updating the system memory each time a write instruction is executed. Furthermore, read instructions may be executed speculatively ahead of time if this seems beneficial. The combined effects of these mechanisms must be taken into account if precise control of memory accesses is required, for example, when peripherals are operated or for synchronization algorithms.

Also, the compiler may shuffle read and write instructions for optimization purposes.

The atomic functions provided by EB tresos AutoCore OS enforce *sequential consistency*. This means that, firstly, hardware drains all of its buffers, so that the effects of past write instructions become visible to other threads. Furthermore, no later read instructions are executed speculatively. Additionally, no later write instructions are executed. All this gives an atomic function the properties of a *memory fence*. Such fences preclude reordering of memory accesses at the hardware level. Sequential consistent fences prevent read and write instructions from being moved either way across it.

Secondly, sequential consistency imposes a *total order* on all concurrent accesses to a shared memory location. The consequence is that all concurrent threads agree upon one and only one order in which they observe concurrent accesses to shared memory locations of all accessing threads. That is an important property of the atomic functions, because it is vital to implement synchronization algorithms.

3.2. API reference

This section lists the atomic functions and describes their behavior, inputs, and outputs.

All atomic functions operate on objects with platform-specific types. The type os_atomic_t is used for atomic objects which are accessed by multiple threads concurrently. It is *opaque* and thus you must access them only by the functions described in this section. Before you use an atomic object, you must initialize it. To do this, there is the function <code>OS_AtomicInit()</code> and the macro <code>OS_ATOMIC_OBJECT_INITIALIZER</code>. You can use the former at runtime and the latter at program load time. Atomic objects with static storage duration are automatically initialized at program load time with the initial value zero.

The value of an atomic object has the type os_atomic_value_t. This type is not opaque and hence you may use it in C language expressions as any other basic numerical type. It has no atomicity and memory



ordering guarantees associated with it and is meant to be accessed by only one thread at any point in time. The maximum value that you can store in an object of type os_atomic_value_t is given by the macro os_-ATOMICS VALUE MAX.

Furthermore, all atomic functions (except OS_AtomicInit()) exhibit sequential consistency and preclude certain compiler optimizations which strive for moving read and write operations across them. Hence, one can think of this as an implicit call of OS AtomicThreadFence() at the start and end of every atomic function.

3.2.1. OS_AtomicThreadFence()

NAME	OS_AtomicThreadFence
SYNOPSIS	A sequential-consistent memory fence.
SYNTAX	void OS_AtomicThreadFence(void)
DESCRIPTION	This function inserts a sequential-consistent memory fence into the program, where it is called. It prevents read and write instructions from being reordered across it either way. This restriction applies to both the hardware and compiler level. When it returns, all past memory accesses are finished with system-wide visibility.

3.2.2. OS_ATOMIC_OBJECT_INITIALIZER()

NAME	OS_ATOMIC_OBJECT_INITIALIZER
SYNOPSIS	Initializes an atomic object.
SYNTAX	OS_ATOMIC_OBJECT_INITIALIZER(initialValue)
DESCRIPTION	The macro expands to an initializer for an atomic object of type os_atom-ic_t and initializes it with the given initial value.

3.2.3. OS_AtomicInit()

NAME	OS_AtomicInit
SYNOPSIS	Initializes an atomic object.
SYNTAX	<pre>void OS_AtomicInit(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os atomic_value_t initialValue)</pre>



NAME	OS_AtomicInit
DESCRIPTION	Initializes the atomic object with the given initial value.

3.2.4. OS_AtomicStore()

NAME	OS_AtomicStore
SYNOPSIS	Stores the given value atomically.
SYNTAX	<pre>void OS_AtomicStore(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os atomic_value_t newValue)</pre>
DESCRIPTION	Atomically stores the value newValue into the atomic object at object.

3.2.5. OS_AtomicLoad()

NAME	OS_AtomicLoad
SYNOPSIS	Loads from the given memory location atomically.
SYNTAX	os_atomic_value_t OS_AtomicLoad(os_atomic_t const volatile *object)
DESCRIPTION	Atomically loads the value of the atomic object at object.
RETURN	The value of the atomic object at object.

3.2.6. OS_AtomicExchange()

NAME	OS_AtomicExchange
SYNOPSIS	Atomically exchanges values.
SYNTAX	os_atomic_value_t OS_AtomicExchange(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os_atomic_value_t newValue)
DESCRIPTION	Atomically exchanges the value of the atomic object at object with the value newValue.
RETURN	The value of the atomic object at object before the exchange.



NAME	OS_AtomicExchange
------	-------------------

3.2.7. OS_AtomicCompareExchange()

NAME	OS_AtomicCompareExchange
SYNOPSIS	Atomically compares and exchanges values.
SYNTAX	<pre>os_boolean_t OS_AtomicCompareExchange(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os_atomic_value_t *expected, os_atom- ic_value_t newValue)</pre>
DESCRIPTION	Atomically exchanges the value of the atomic object at object with the value newValue, if and only if, its value is equal to the value at expected.
RETURN	The value OS_TRUE, if the atomic object at object was changed and OSFALSE otherwise. In the latter case, the memory pointed to by expected is updated to contain the value of the atomic object at object, that it had at the point in time, when this function was called.

3.2.8. OS_AtomicFetchAdd()

NAME	OS_AtomicFetchAdd
SYNOPSIS	Atomically adds the given value to the atomic object.
SYNTAX	<pre>os_atomic_value_t OS_AtomicFetchAdd(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os_atomic_value_t operand)</pre>
DESCRIPTION	Atomically adds the value operand to the atomic object at object and updates it with the result.
RETURN	The value of the atomic object at object before the operation.

3.2.9. OS_AtomicFetchSub()

NAME	OS_AtomicFetchSub
SYNOPSIS	Atomically subtracts the given value from the atomic object.



NAME	OS_AtomicFetchSub
SYNTAX	os_atomic_value_t OS_AtomicFetchSub(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os_atomic_value_t operand)
DESCRIPTION	Atomically subtracts the value operand from the atomic object at object and updates it with the result.
RETURN	The value of the atomic object at object before the operation.

3.2.10. OS_AtomicFetchOr()

NAME	OS_AtomicFetchOr
SYNOPSIS	Atomically ORs the given value with the atomic object.
SYNTAX	os_atomic_value_t OS_AtomicFetchOr(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os_atomic_value_t operand)
DESCRIPTION	Atomically performs the boolean OR operation with the value operand and the value of the atomic object at object and updates it with the result.
RETURN	The value of the atomic object at object before the operation.

3.2.11. OS_AtomicFetchAnd()

NAME	OS_AtomicFetchAnd
SYNOPSIS	Atomically ANDs the given value with the atomic object.
SYNTAX	os_atomic_value_t OS_AtomicFetchAnd(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os_atomic_value_t operand)
DESCRIPTION	Atomically performs the boolean AND operation with the value operand and the value of the atomic object at object and updates it with the result.
RETURN	The value of the atomic object at object before the operation.

3.2.12. OS_AtomicFetchXor()

NAME	OS_AtomicFetchXor
SYNOPSIS	Atomically XORs the given value with the atomic object.



NAME	OS_AtomicFetchXor
SYNTAX	os_atomic_value_t OS_AtomicFetchXor(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os_atomic_value_t operand)
DESCRIPTION	Atomically performs the boolean XOR operation with the value operand and the value of the atomic object at object and updates it with the result.
RETURN	The value of the atomic object at object before the operation.

3.2.13. OS_AtomicTestAndSetFlag()

NAME	OS_AtomicTestAndSetFlag
SYNOPSIS	Atomically sets a flag in the atomic object.
SYNTAX	<pre>os_boolean_t OS_AtomicTestAndSetFlag(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os_atomic_value_t flagSelectionMask)</pre>
DESCRIPTION	Atomically sets the flag selected by flagSelectionMask in the atomic object at object. The selection mask may have only one bit set.
RETURN	The state of the selected flag before the operation.

3.2.14. OS_AtomicClearFlag()

NAME	OS_AtomicClearFlag
SYNOPSIS	Atomically clears a flag in the atomic object.
SYNTAX	<pre>void OS_AtomicClearFlag(os_atomic_t volatile *object, os_atomic_value_t flagSelectionMask)</pre>
DESCRIPTION	Atomically clears the flag selected by flagSelectionMask in the atomic object at object. The selection mask may have only one bit set.

3.3. Constraints for exclusive areas using EB_FAST_LOCK

The following constraints apply when you are inside an exclusive area with the type EB_FAST_LOCK.



- You must not call any OS APIs (except atomic functions).
- The execution time budget monitoring is ineffective. Thus, you're strongly advised to minimize the time spent inside an exclusive area.
- The time stamps returned by OS_GetTimeStamp() might become inaccurate. Thus, you're strongly advised to minimize the time span of being inside an exclusive area. Please note, you're not allowed to call OS_GetTimeStamp() from inside an exclusive area. Hence, this impact becomes evident only afterwards.

The following documents help you to evaluate the implications of using EB_FAST_LOCK for exclusive areas further when you face safety goals.

- ▶ EB tresos AutoCore OS safety application guide for ASIL-B applications
- EB tresos Safety OS user's guide for TRICORE family
- ▶ EB tresos Safety OS safety manual for TRICORE family

The <u>Section 3.4, "Usage restrictions"</u> examines the general constraints mentioned here specifically for TRI-CORE if there are any.

3.4. Usage restrictions

3.4.1. Atomic functions

The atomic functions on TRICORE do not take caches into account. Hence, it is necessary to put all atomic objects with type os_atomic_t into non-cacheable memory or to disable caches completely. This ensures that all threads of execution are able to observe each other's memory accesses.

Please note that the implementation uses specialized instructions (e.g., cmpswap.w or swapmsk.w) to work on atomic objects. These instructions require that objects of type os atomic t are naturally aligned.

3.4.2. Hardware specific constraints for EB_FAST_LOCK

For the TRICORE family, EB tresos AutoCore OS feature an optimized implementation to ease the overhead incurred by entering and leaving exclusive areas. This optimization is effective only for the processor modes USER1 and SUPERVISOR. Consequently, this optimization doesn't affect program execution in processor mode USER. For further information about processor modes, please have a look at the configuration parameters OsAppCpuMode and OsTrusted of OS applications.



As far as timing is concerned, an exclusive area impairs monitoring of execution time budgets when it's based on a System Timer (STM). Thus, you can no longer rely on this mechanism when your application is inside an exclusive area. It might even become unreliable outside exclusive areas when your application spends too much time inside them.

The good news is, though, that the time stamps returned by $OS_GetTimeStamp()$ aren't affected by exclusive areas at all.

Please also note that you must not call any OS API functions from inside exclusive areas.



Bibliography

Index

Α

atomicity, 7, 7

В

bibliography, 16

C

compiler optimizations, 8 consistency, 7, 8 sequential, 8

Ε

EB_FAST_LOCK, 13
Execution time budget monitoring, 14

M

memory fence, 8

0

P

Processor mode

OsAppCpuMode, 14 OsTrusted, 14 OS_AtomicClearFlag(), 13 OS_AtomicCompareExchange(), 11 OS_AtomicExchange(), 10 OS_AtomicFetchAdd(), 11 OS_AtomicFetchAnd(), 12 OS_AtomicFetchOr(), 12 OS_AtomicFetchSub(), 11 OS_AtomicFetchXor(), 12 OS_AtomicInit(), 9 OS_AtomicLoad(), 10 OS_AtomicStore(), 10 OS_AtomicTestAndSetFlag(), 13 OS AtomicThreadFence(), 9 OS_ATOMIC_OBJECT_INITIALIZER(), 9 SUPERVISOR, 14 USER, 14 USER1, 14

R

read-modify-write, 7 reordering, 8

S

speculative execution, 8

T

Time stamps
OS_GetTimeStamp(), 14
total order, 8

W

write buffering, 8