# 1. Business Problem

# 1.1 Problem Description

Netflix is all about connecting people to the movies they love. To help customers find those movies, they developed world-class movie recommendation system: CinematchSM. Its job is to predict whether someone will enjoy a movie based on how much they liked or disliked other movies. Netflix use those predictions to make personal movie recommendations based on each customer's unique tastes. And while **Cinematch** is doing pretty well, it can always be made better.

Now there are a lot of interesting alternative approaches to how Cinematch works that netflix haven't tried. Some are described in the literature, some aren't. We're curious whether any of these can beat Cinematch by making better predictions. Because, frankly, if there is a much better approach it could make a big difference to our customers and our business.

Credits: https://www.netflixprize.com/rules.html

### 1.2 Problem Statement

Netflix provided a lot of anonymous rating data, and a prediction accuracy bar that is 10% better than what Cinematch can do on the same training data set. (Accuracy is a measurement of how closely predicted ratings of movies match subsequent actual ratings.)

### 1.3 Sources

- https://www.netflixprize.com/rules.html
- https://www.kaggle.com/netflix-inc/netflix-prize-data
- Netflix blog: https://medium.com/netflix-techblog/netflix-recommendations-beyond-the-5-stars-part-1-55838468f429 (very nice blog)
- surprise library: http://surpriselib.com/ (we use many models from this library)
- surprise library doc: http://surprise.readthedocs.io/en/stable/getting\_started.html (we use many models from this library)
- installing surprise: https://github.com/NicolasHug/Surprise#installation
- Research paper: http://courses.ischool.berkeley.edu/i290-dm/s11/SECURE/a1-koren.pdf (most of our work was inspired by this paper)
- SVD Decomposition : https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P5mlg91as1c

# 1.4 Real world/Business Objectives and constraints

### Objectives:

- 1. Predict the rating that a user would give to a movie that he ahs not yet rated.
- 2. Minimize the difference between predicted and actual rating (RMSE and MAPE)

### Constraints:

1. Some form of interpretability.

# 2. Machine Learning Problem

### 2.1 Data

### 2.1.1 Data Overview

Get the data from: https://www.kaggle.com/netflix-inc/netflix-prize-data/data

### Data files:

- combined\_data\_1.txt
- combined\_data\_2.txt
- combined\_data\_3.txt
- combined\_data\_4.txt
- movie\_titles.csv

The first line of each file [combined\_data\_1.txt, combined\_data\_2.txt, combined\_data\_3.txt, combined\_data\_4.txt] contains the movie id followed by a colon. Each subsequent line in the file corresponds to a rating from a customer and its date in the following format:

CustomerID, Rating, Date

MovieIDs range from 1 to 17770 sequentially. CustomerIDs range from 1 to 2649429, with gaps. There are 480189 users. Ratings are on a five star (integral) scale from 1 to 5. Dates have the format YYYY-MM-DD.

# 2.1.2 Example Data point

1: 1488844,3,2005-09-06 822109,5,2005-05-13 885013,4,2005-10-19 30878,4,2005-12-26 823519,3,2004-05-03 893988,3,2005-11-17 124105,4,2004-08-05 1248029,3,2004-04-22 1842128, 4, 2004-05-09 2238063,3,2005-05-11 1503895, 4, 2005-05-19 2207774,5,2005-06-06 2590061,3,2004-08-12 2442,3,2004-04-14 543865,4,2004-05-28 1209119,4,2004-03-23 804919,4,2004-06-10 1086807,3,2004-12-28 1711859, 4, 2005-05-08 372233,5,2005-11-23 1080361,3,2005-03-28 1245640,3,2005-12-19 558634,4,2004-12-14 2165002,4,2004-04-06 1181550,3,2004-02-01 1227322,4,2004-02-06 427928,4,2004-02-26 814701,5,2005-09-29 808731,4,2005-10-31 662870,5,2005-08-24 337541,5,2005-03-23 786312,3,2004-11-16 1133214,4,2004-03-07 1537427,4,2004-03-29

1209954,5,2005-05-09

```
2381599,3,2005-09-12
525356,2,2004-07-11
1910569, 4, 2004-04-12
2263586,4,2004-08-20
2421815, 2, 2004-02-26
1009622,1,2005-01-19
1481961,2,2005-05-24
401047,4,2005-06-03
2179073,3,2004-08-29
1434636,3,2004-05-01
93986,5,2005-10-06
1308744,5,2005-10-29
2647871,4,2005-12-30
1905581,5,2005-08-16
2508819,3,2004-05-18
1578279,1,2005-05-19
1159695, 4, 2005-02-15
2588432,3,2005-03-31
2423091,3,2005-09-12
470232,4,2004-04-08
2148699, 2, 2004-06-05
1342007,3,2004-07-16
466135,4,2004-07-13
2472440,3,2005-08-13
1283744,3,2004-04-17
1927580,4,2004-11-08
716874,5,2005-05-06
4326,4,2005-10-29
```

# 2.2 Mapping the real world problem to a Machine Learning Problem

### 2.2.1 Type of Machine Learning Problem

```
For a given movie and user we need to predict the rating would be given by him/her to the movie.
```

The given problem is a Recommendation problem It can also seen as a Regression problem

### 2.2.2 Performance metric

- Mean Absolute Percentage Error: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mean\_absolute\_percentage\_error
- Root Mean Square Error: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Root-mean-square\_deviation

### 2.2.3 Machine Learning Objective and Constraints

- 1. Minimize RMSE.
- 2. Try to provide some interpretability.

### In [1]:

```
# this is just to know how much time will it take to run this entire ipython notebook
from datetime import datetime
# globalstart = datetime.now()
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib
matplotlib.use('nbagg')

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
plt.rcParams.update({'figure.max_open_warning': 0})
```

```
import seaborn as sns
#sns.set_style('whitegrid')
import os
from scipy import sparse
from scipy.sparse import csr_matrix

from sklearn.decomposition import TruncatedSVD
from sklearn.metrics.pairwise import cosine_similarity
import random
```

# 3. Exploratory Data Analysis

# 3.1 Preprocessing

### 3.1.1 Converting / Merging whole data to required format: u\_i, m\_j, r\_ij

### In [2]:

```
start = datetime.now()
if not os.path.isfile('data.csv'):
    # Create a file 'data.csv' before reading it
    # Read all the files in netflix and store them in one big file('data.csv')
   \# We re reading from each of the four files and appendig each rating to a global file
'train.csv'
   data = open('data.csv', mode='w')
    row = list()
    files=['combined_data_1.txt','combined_data_2.txt',
           'combined_data_3.txt', 'combined_data_4.txt']
    for file in files:
       print("Reading ratings from {}...".format(file))
       with open (file) as f:
            for line in f:
                del row[:] # you don't have to do this.
                line = line.strip()
                if line.endswith(':'):
                    # All below are ratings for this movie, until another movie appears.
                   movie id = line.replace(':', '')
                else:
                   row = [x for x in line.split(',')]
                    row.insert(0, movie_id)
                   data.write(','.join(row))
                   data.write('\n')
       print("Done.\n")
    data.close()
print('Time taken :', datetime.now() - start)
```

Time taken : 0:00:00

### In [3]:

creating the dataframe from data.csv file.. Done.

```
Sorting the dataframe by date..

Done..

In [4]:

df.head()

Out[4]:

movie user rating date

56431994 10341 510180 4 1999-11-11

9056171 1798 510180 5 1999-11-11
```

## In [5]:

48101611

**58698779** 10774 510180

**81893208** 14660 510180

8651 510180

3 1999-11-11

2 1999-11-11

2 1999-11-11

```
df.describe()['rating']
Out[5]:
        1.004805e+08
count
        3.604290e+00
mean
        1.085219e+00
std
min
        1.000000e+00
         3.000000e+00
25%
50%
        4.000000e+00
75%
        4.000000e+00
        5.000000e+00
max
Name: rating, dtype: float64
```

### 3.1.2 Checking for NaN values

```
In [6]:
```

```
# just to make sure that all Nan containing rows are deleted..
print("No of Nan values in our dataframe : ", sum(df.isnull().any()))
```

No of Nan values in our dataframe : 0

### 3.1.3 Removing Duplicates

```
In [6]:
```

```
dup_bool = df.duplicated(['movie','user','rating'])
dups = sum(dup_bool) # by considering all columns..( including timestamp)
print("There are {} duplicate rating entries in the data..".format(dups))
```

There are 0 duplicate rating entries in the data..

### 3.1.4 Basic Statistics (#Ratings, #Users, and #Movies)

```
In [7]:
```

```
print("Total data ")
print("-"*50)
print("\nTotal no of ratings :", df.shape[0])
print("Total No of Users :", len(np.unique(df.user)))
```

# 3.2 Spliting data into Train and Test(80:20)

```
In [2]:
```

```
if not os.path.isfile('train.csv'):
    # create the dataframe and store it in the disk for offline purposes..
    df.iloc[:int(df.shape[0]*0.80)].to_csv("train.csv", index=False)

if not os.path.isfile('test.csv'):
    # create the dataframe and store it in the disk for offline purposes..
    df.iloc[int(df.shape[0]*0.80):].to_csv("test.csv", index=False)

train_df = pd.read_csv("train.csv", parse_dates=['date'])
test_df = pd.read_csv("test.csv")
```

### 3.2.1 Basic Statistics in Train data (#Ratings, #Users, and #Movies)

```
In [10]:
```

```
# movies = train_df.movie.value_counts()
# users = train_df.user.value_counts()
print("Training data ")
print("-"*50)
print("\nTotal no of ratings :",train_df.shape[0])
print("Total No of Users :", len(np.unique(train_df.user)))
print("Total No of movies :", len(np.unique(train_df.movie)))
```

Training data

\_\_\_\_\_

Total no of ratings : 67725000 Total No of Users : 356718 Total No of movies : 16902

### 3.2.2 Basic Statistics in Test data (#Ratings, #Users, and #Movies)

```
In [11]:
```

```
print("Test data ")
print("-"*50)
print("\nTotal no of ratings :",test_df.shape[0])
print("Total No of Users :", len(np.unique(test_df.user)))
print("Total No of movies :", len(np.unique(test_df.movie)))
```

Test data

\_\_\_\_\_

Total no of ratings : 20096102 Total No of Users : 349312 Total No of movies : 17757

# 

# 3.3 Exploratory Data Analysis on Train data

```
In [3]:
```


```
# method to make y-axis more readable
def human(num, units = 'M'):
    units = units.lower()
    num = float(num)
    if units == 'k':
        return str(num/10**3) + " K"
    elif units == 'm':
        return str(num/10**6) + " M"
    elif units == 'b':
        return str(num/10**9) + " B"
```

# 3.3.1 Distribution of ratings

```
In [4]:
```

```
fig, ax = plt.subplots()
plt.title('Distribution of ratings over Training dataset', fontsize=15)
sns.countplot(train_df.rating)
ax.set_yticklabels([human(item, 'M') for item in ax.get_yticks()])
ax.set_ylabel('No. of Ratings(Millions)')
plt.show()
```





```
0.0 M 1 2 3 4 5 rating
```

### In [ ]:

```
for item in ax.get_yticks():
    print(item)
```

Add new column (week day) to the data set for analysis.

### In [5]:

```
# It is used to skip the warning ''SettingWithCopyWarning''..
pd.options.mode.chained_assignment = None # default='warn'

train_df['day_of_week'] = train_df.date.dt.day_name

train_df.tail()
```

### Out[5]:


	movie	user	rating	date	day_of_week
67724995	12828	1168143	3	2005-05-23	<pre><bound method="" pandasdelegate_add_delegate_acc<="" pre=""></bound></pre>
67724996	13392	2259724	4	2005-05-23	<pre><bound method="" pandasdelegate_add_delegate_acc<="" pre=""></bound></pre>
67724997	1470	1233482	3	2005-05-23	<pre><bound method="" pandasdelegate_add_delegate_acc<="" pre=""></bound></pre>
67724998	152	2009801	3	2005-05-23	<pre><bound method="" pandasdelegate_add_delegate_acc<="" pre=""></bound></pre>
67724999	8990	556844	3	2005-05-23	<pre><bound method="" pandasdelegateadd_delegate_acc<="" pre=""></bound></pre>

# 3.3.2 Number of Ratings per a month

### In [6]:

```
ax = train_df.resample('m', on='date')['rating'].count().plot()
ax.set_title('No of ratings per month (Training data)')
plt.xlabel('Month')
plt.ylabel('No of ratings(per month)')
ax.set_yticklabels([human(item, 'M') for item in ax.get_yticks()])
plt.show()
```

### No of ratings per month (Training data)



```
2000
           2001
                       2002
                                   2003
                                               2004
                                                           2005
                              Month
```

### 3.3.3 Analysis on the Ratings given by user

```
In [7]:
no of rated movies per user = train df.groupby(by='user')['rating'].count().sort values(ascending=F
alse)
```

```
no of rated movies per user.head()
4
```

```
Out[7]:
```

```
user
           16509
305344
2439493
            15203
387418
           14512
1639792
             9767
             8832
1461435
```


Name: rating, dtype: int64

### In [8]:

```
fig = plt.figure(figsize=plt.figaspect(.5))
#import statsmodels.api as sm
ax1 = plt.subplot(121)
#dens = sm.nonparametric.KDEUnivariate(no of rated movies per user,shade=True, ax=ax1)
#dens.fit()
sns.kdeplot(no of rated movies per user, shade=True, ax=ax1)
#plt.plot(dens.pdf)
plt.xlabel('No of ratings by user')
plt.title("PDF")
ax2 = plt.subplot(122)
counts, bin_edges = np.histogram(no_of_rated_movies_per_user, bins=20, normed=True)
# Now find the cdf
cdf = np.cumsum(counts)
ax2.plot(bin_edges[1:],cdf)
#ax2.fill_between(bin_edges[:-1], cdf, 0,facecolor="lightblue",alpha=0.5)
plt.xlabel('No of ratings by user')
plt.legend('rating')
plt.title('CDF')
plt.show()
```

c:\users\admin\anaconda3\lib\site-packages\ipykernel launcher.py:13: VisibleDeprecationWarning: Pa ssing `normed=True` on non-uniform bins has always been broken, and computes neither the probability density function nor the probability mass function. The result is only correct if the bins are uniform, when density=True will produce the same result anyway. The argument will be remo ved in a future version of numpy. del sys.path[0]





### In [9]:

```
no of rated movies per user.describe()
Out[9]:
         356718.000000
count.
            189.855853
mean
std
            283.837049
min
              1.000000
25%
             27.000000
             84.000000
50%
75%
            236.000000
max
          16509.000000
Name: rating, dtype: float64
```

There, is something interesting going on with the quantiles..


### In [10]:

```
quantiles = no_of_rated_movies_per_user.quantile(np.arange(0,1.01,0.01), interpolation='higher')
```

### In [11]:

```
plt.title("Quantiles and their Values")
quantiles.plot()
# quantiles with 0.05 difference
plt.scatter(x=quantiles.index[::5], y=quantiles.values[::5], c='orange', label="quantiles with 0.05
intervals")
# quantiles with 0.25 difference
plt.scatter(x=quantiles.index[::25], y=quantiles.values[::25], c='m', label = "quantiles with 0.25
intervals")
plt.ylabel('No of ratings by user')
plt.xlabel('Value at the quantile')
plt.legend(loc='best')
# annotate the 25th, 50th, 75th and 100th percentile values....
for x,y in zip(quantiles.index[::25], quantiles[::25]):
   plt.annotate(s="({}), {})".format(x,y), xy=(x,y), xytext=(x-0.05, y+500)
                , fontweight='bold')
plt.show()
```





### In [12]:

```
quantiles[::5]
Out[12]:
0.00
            1
0.05
            4
0.10
           10
0.15
           16
0.20
           21
0.25
           27
0.30
           35
           44
0.35
           55
0.40
0.45
           69
0.50
           84
0.55
          104
0.60
          127
0.65
          155
0.70
          191
0.75
          236
          296
0.80
0.85
          378
0.90
           503
0.95
           728
1.00
        16509
Name: rating, dtype: int64
```

### how many ratings at the last 5% of all ratings??

```
In [13]:

print('\n No of ratings at last 5 percentile : {}\n'.format(sum(no_of_rated_movies_per_user>= 749)
) )
```

No of ratings at last 5 percentile : 16830


# 3.3.4 Analysis of ratings of a movie given by a user

```
In [14]:
```

```
no_of_ratings_per_movie = train_df.groupby(by='movie')
['rating'].count().sort_values(ascending=False)

fig = plt.figure(figsize=plt.figaspect(.5))
ax = plt.gca()
plt.plot(no_of_ratings_per_movie.values)
plt.title('# RATINGS per Movie')
plt.xlabel('Movie')
plt.ylabel('No of Users who rated a movie')
ax.set_xticklabels([])
```

plt.show()



- It is very skewed.. just like nunmber of ratings given per user.
  - There are some movies (which are very popular) which are rated by huge number of users.
  - But most of the movies(like 90%) got some hundereds of ratings.

# 3.3.5 Number of ratings on each day of the week

```
In [15]:
```

```
fig, ax = plt.subplots()
sns.countplot(x='day_of_week', data=train_df, ax=ax)
plt.title('No of ratings on each day...')
plt.ylabel('Total no of ratings')
plt.xlabel('')
ax.set_yticklabels([human(item, 'M') for item in ax.get_yticks()])
plt.show()
```

# 70.0 M 60.0 M 50.0 M 30.0 M 20.0 M 10.0 M -

No of ratings on each day...

0.0 M essors.<lacklesses.core.indexes.accessors.C

```
In [20]:
```

```
start = datetime.now()
fig = plt.figure(figsize=plt.figaspect(.45))
sns.boxplot(y='rating', x='day_of_week', data=train_df)
plt.show()
print(datetime.now() - start)
```

0:00:19.505394

### In [21]:

```
avg_week_df = train_df.groupby(by=['day_of_week'])['rating'].mean()
print(" AVerage ratings")
print("-"*30)
print(avg_week_df)
print("\n")
```

AVerage ratings

day of week

<bound method PandasDelegate\_add\_delegate\_accessors.<locals>.\_create\_delegator\_method.<locals>.f
of <pandas.core.indexes.accessors.DatetimeProperties object at 0x0000013F42C115C8>> 3.563122
Name: rating, dtype: float64

### 3.3.6 Creating sparse matrix from data frame

### 3.3.6.1 Creating sparse matrix from train data frame

### In [18]:

```
start = datetime.now()
if os.path.isfile('train_sparse_matrix.npz'):
    print("It is present in your pwd, getting it from disk....")
    # just get it from the disk instead of computing it
   train sparse matrix = sparse.load npz('train sparse matrix.npz')
   print("DONE..")
else:
   print("We are creating sparse matrix from the dataframe..")
    # create sparse matrix and store it for after usage.
    # csr_matrix(data_values, (row_index, col_index), shape_of_matrix)
    # It should be in such a way that, MATRIX[row, col] = data
    train sparse matrix = sparse.csr matrix((train df.rating.values, (train df.user.values,
                                               train df.movie.values)),)
    print('Done. It\'s shape is : (user, movie) : ',train sparse matrix.shape)
    print('Saving it into disk for furthur usage..')
    # save it into disk
    sparse.save npz("train sparse matrix.npz", train sparse matrix)
   print('Done..\n')
print(datetime.now() - start)
```

It is present in your pwd, getting it from disk.... DONE.. 0:00:04.052068

### The Sparsity of Train Sparse Matrix

```
In [19]:
```

```
us,mv = train_sparse_matrix.shape
elem = train_sparse_matrix.count_nonzero()
print("Sparsity Of Train matrix : {} % ".format( (1-(elem/(us*mv))) * 100) )
Sparsity Of Train matrix : 99.85615833640739 %
```

### 3.3.6.2 Creating sparse matrix from test data frame

### In [22]:

```
start = datetime.now()
if os.path.isfile('test_sparse_matrix.npz'):
   print("It is present in your pwd, getting it from disk....")
    # just get it from the disk instead of computing it
   test sparse matrix = sparse.load npz('test sparse matrix.npz')
   print("DONE..")
else:
   print("We are creating sparse matrix from the dataframe..")
   # create sparse matrix and store it for after usage.
   # csr matrix(data values, (row index, col index), shape of matrix)
    # It should be in such a way that, MATRIX[row, col] = data
   test sparse matrix = sparse.csr matrix((test df.rating.values, (test df.user.values,
                                               test df.movie.values)))
    print('Done. It\'s shape is : (user, movie) : ',test sparse matrix.shape)
    print('Saving it into disk for furthur usage..')
   # save it into disk
   sparse.save npz("test sparse matrix.npz", test sparse matrix)
   print('Done..\n')
print(datetime.now() - start)
```

It is present in your pwd, getting it from disk.... DONE.. 0:00:01.479840

### The Sparsity of Test data Matrix

```
In [23]:
```

```
us,mv = test_sparse_matrix.shape
elem = test_sparse_matrix.count_nonzero()
print("Sparsity Of Test matrix : {} % ".format( (1-(elem/(us*mv))) * 100) )
Sparsity Of Test matrix : 99.95731772988694 %
```

3.3.7 Finding Global average of all movie ratings, Average rating per user, and Average rating per movie

```
In [24]:
```

```
# get the user averages in dictionary (key: user_id/movie_id, value: avg rating)

def get_average_ratings(sparse_matrix, of_users):
    # average ratings of user/axes
    ax = 1 if of_users else 0 # 1 - User axes,0 - Movie axes

# ".A1" is for converting Column_Matrix to 1-D numpy array
sum of ratings = sparse matrix.sum(axis=ax).A1
```

### 3.3.7.1 finding global average of all movie ratings

### In [25]:

```
train_averages = dict()
# get the global average of ratings in our train set.
train_global_average = train_sparse_matrix.sum()/train_sparse_matrix.count_nonzero()
train_averages['global'] = train_global_average
train_averages
```

### Out[25]:

{'global': 3.5631217128091546}

### 3.3.7.2 finding average rating per user

### In [26]:

```
train_averages['user'] = get_average_ratings(train_sparse_matrix, of_users=True)
print('\nAverage rating of user 10 :',train_averages['user'][10])
```

Average rating of user 10 : 3.3684210526315788

# 3.3.7.3 finding average rating per movie

### In [27]:

```
train_averages['movie'] = get_average_ratings(train_sparse_matrix, of_users=False)
print('\n AVerage rating of movie 15 :',train_averages['movie'][15])
```

AVerage rating of movie 15 : 3.2911392405063293

### 3.3.7.4 PDF's & CDF's of Avg.Ratings of Users & Movies (In Train Data)

### In [28]:

```
start = datetime.now()
# draw pdfs for average rating per user and average
fig, (ax1, ax2) = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=2, figsize=plt.figaspect(.5))
fig.suptitle('Avg Ratings per User and per Movie', fontsize=15)

ax1.set_title('Users-Avg-Ratings')
# get the list of average user ratings from the averages dictionary..
user_averages = [rat for rat in train_averages['user'].values()]
counts, bin_edges = np.histogram(user_averages, bins=20,normed=True)

# Now find the cdf
cdf = np.cumsum(counts/sum(counts))
ax1.plot(bin_edges[1:],cdf,label="CDF")
```

```
sns.distplot(user averages, ax=ax1, hist=False, label="PDF")
ax2.set title('Movies-Avg-Rating')
# get the list of movie average ratings from the dictionary..
movie averages = [rat for rat in train averages['movie'].values()]
counts, bin edges = np.histogram (movie averages, bins=20, normed=True)
# Now find the cdf
cdf = np.cumsum(counts/sum(counts))
ax2.plot(bin edges[1:],cdf,label="CDF")
#ax2.fill_between(bin_edges[:-1], cdf, 0,facecolor="lightblue",alpha=0.5)
sns.distplot(movie averages, ax=ax2, hist=False, label='Pdf')
plt.show()
print(datetime.now() - start)
c:\users\admin\anaconda3\lib\site-packages\ipykernel launcher.py:9: VisibleDeprecationWarning: Pas
sing `normed=True` on non-uniform bins has always been broken, and computes neither the
probability density function nor the probability mass function. The result is only correct if the
bins are uniform, when density=True will produce the same result anyway. The argument will be remo
ved in a future version of numpy.
 if name == ' main
c:\users\admin\anaconda3\lib\site-packages\ipykernel launcher.py:22: VisibleDeprecationWarning: Pa
ssing `normed=True` on non-uniform bins has always been broken, and computes neither the
probability density function nor the probability mass function. The result is only correct if the
bins are uniform, when density=True will produce the same result anyway. The argument will be remo
ved in a future version of numpy.
```

0:00:00.320214

### 3.3.8 Cold Start problem

### 3.3.8.1 Cold Start problem with Users

```
In [29]:

total_users = len(np.unique(df.user))
users_train = len(train_averages['user'])
new_users = total_users - users_train

print('\nTotal number of Users :', total_users)
print('\nNumber of Users in Train data :', users_train)
print("\nNo of Users that didn't appear in train data: {}({} %) \n ".format(new_users,
np.round((new_users/total_users)*100, 2)))
```

### 3.3.8.2 Cold Start problem with Movies

### In [ ]:

```
total_movies = len(np.unique(df.movie))
movies_train = len(train_averages['movie'])
new_movies = total_movies - movies_train

print('\nTotal number of Movies :', total_movies)
print('\nNumber of Users in Train data :', movies_train)
print("\nNo of Movies that didn't appear in train data: {}({} %) \n ".format(new_movies,
np.round((new_movies/total_movies)*100, 2)))
```

We might have to handle 868 movies (small comparatively) in test data

# 3.4 Computing Similarity matrices

### 3.4.1 Computing User-User Similarity matrix

- 1. Calculating User User Similarity\_Matrix is **not very easy**(*unless you have huge Computing Power and lots of time*) because of number of. usersbeing lare.
  - You can try if you want to. Your system could crash or the program stops with Memory Error

### 3.4.1.1 Trying with all dimensions (17k dimensions per user)

### In [ ]:

```
from sklearn.metrics.pairwise import cosine similarity
def compute user similarity(sparse matrix, compute for few=False, top = 100, verbose=False, verb fo
r n rows = 20,
                           draw time taken=True):
   no of users, = sparse matrix.shape
   # get the indices of non zero rows(users) from our sparse matrix
   row_ind, col_ind = sparse_matrix.nonzero()
   row_ind = sorted(set(row_ind)) # we don't have to
   time taken = list() # time taken for finding similar users for an user..
   # we create rows, cols, and data lists.., which can be used to create sparse matrices
   rows, cols, data = list(), list(), list()
   if verbose: print("Computing top",top,"similarities for each user..")
   start = datetime.now()
   temp = 0
   for row in row ind[:top] if compute for few else row ind:
       temp = temp+1
       prev = datetime.now()
       # get the similarity row for this user with all other users
       sim = cosine similarity(sparse matrix.getrow(row), sparse matrix).ravel()
       # We will get only the top ''top'' most similar users and ignore rest of them..
       top_sim_ind = sim.argsort()[-top:]
       top sim val = sim[top sim ind]
       # add them to our rows, cols and data
       rows.extend([row]*top)
       cols.extend(top_sim_ind)
       data.extend(top sim val)
       time taken.append(datetime.now().timestamp() - prev.timestamp())
       if verbose:
```

### In [ ]:

### 3.4.1.2 Trying with reduced dimensions (Using TruncatedSVD for dimensionality reduction of user vector)

- We have **405,041 users** in out training set and computing similarities between them..( **17K dimensional vector..**) is time consuming..
- From above plot, It took roughly 8.88 sec for computing similar users for one user
- We have 405,041 users with us in training set.
- $405041 \times 8.88 = 3596764.08 \text{sec} = 59946.068 \text{ min}$ 
  - Even if we run on 4 cores parallelly (a typical system now a days), It will still take almost 10 and 1/2 days.

IDEA: Instead, we will try to reduce the dimentsions using SVD, so that it might speed up the process...

### In [ ]:

```
from datetime import datetime
from sklearn.decomposition import TruncatedSVD

start = datetime.now()

# initilaize the algorithm with some parameters..

# All of them are default except n_components. n_itr is for Randomized SVD solver.
netflix_svd = TruncatedSVD(n_components=500, algorithm='randomized', random_state=4)
trunc_svd = netflix_svd.fit_transform(train_sparse_matrix)

print(datetime.now()-start)
```

### Here.

- \sum \longleftarrow (netflix\_svd.singular\_values\_)
- \bigvee^T \longleftarrow (netflix\_svd.components\_)
- \bigcup is not returned. instead **Projection\_of\_X** onto the new vectorspace is returned.
- It uses randomized svd internally, which returns All 3 of them saperately. Use that instead...

### In [ ]:

```
In [ ]:
```

```
fig, (ax1, ax2) = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=2, figsize=plt.figaspect(.5))
ax1.set ylabel("Variance Explained", fontsize=15)
ax1.set xlabel("# Latent Facors", fontsize=15)
ax1.plot(expl_var)
# annote some (latentfactors, expl var) to make it clear
ind = [1, 2, 4, 8, 20, 60, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500]
ax1.scatter(x = [i-1 for i in ind], y = expl var[[i-1 for i in ind]], c='#ff3300')
for i in ind:
   ax1.annotate(s = "({}, {})".format(i, np.round(expl_var[i-1], 2)), xy=(i-1, expl_var[i-1]),
               xytext = ( i+20, expl_var[i-1] - 0.01), fontweight='bold')
change_in_expl_var = [expl_var[i+1] - expl_var[i] for i in range(len(expl_var)-1)]
ax2.plot(change in expl var)
ax2.set ylabel ("Gain in Var Expl with One Additional LF", fontsize=10)
ax2.yaxis.set label position("right")
ax2.set xlabel("# Latent Facors", fontsize=20)
plt.show()
```

### In [ ]:

```
for i in ind:
    print("({}, {})".format(i, np.round(expl_var[i-1], 2)))
```

I think 500 dimensions is good enough

- By just taking (20 to 30) latent factors, explained variance that we could get is 20 %.
- To take it to 60%, we have to take almost 400 latent factors. It is not fare.
- It basically is the gain of variance explained, if we add one additional latent factor to it.
- By adding one by one latent factore too it, the **\_gain in expained variance** with that addition is decreasing. (Obviously, because they are sorted that way).
- LHS Graph:
  - x --- ( No of latent factos ),
  - y --- ( The variance explained by taking x latent factors)
- More decrease in the line (RHS graph) :
  - We are getting more expained variance than before.
- . Less decrease in that line (RHS graph) :
  - We are not getting benifitted from adding latent factor furthur. This is what is shown in the plots.
- RHS Graph:
  - x --- ( No of latent factors ),
  - y --- ( Gain n Expl\_Var by taking one additional latent factor)

### In [ ]:

```
# Let's project our Original U_M matrix into into 500 Dimensional space...
start = datetime.now()
trunc_matrix = train_sparse_matrix.dot(netflix_svd.components_.T)
print(datetime.now() - start)
```

```
In [ ]:
```

```
type(trunc_matrix), trunc_matrix.shape
```

• Let's convert this to actual sparse matrix and store it for future purposes

```
In [ ]:
if not os.path.isfile('trunc sparse matrix.npz'):
    # create that sparse sparse matrix
    trunc_sparse_matrix = sparse.csr_matrix(trunc_matrix)
    # Save this truncated sparse matrix for later usage..
    sparse.save_npz('trunc_sparse_matrix', trunc_sparse_matrix)
else:
    trunc sparse matrix = sparse.load npz('trunc sparse matrix.npz')
In [ ]:
trunc sparse matrix.shape
In [ ]:
start = datetime.now()
trunc_u_u_sim_matrix, _ = compute_user_similarity(trunc_sparse matrix, compute for few=True, top=50
, verbose=True,
                                                    verb for n rows=10)
print("-"*50)
print("time:",datetime.now()-start)
: This is taking more time for each user than Original one.
 • from above plot, It took almost 12.18 for computing similar users for one user
 • We have 405041 users with us in training set.
 • { 405041 \times 12.18 ==== 4933399.38 \sec } ==== 82223.323 \min ==== 1370.388716667 \text{ hours} ==== 57.099529861
   \text{ days}...
     • Even we run on 4 cores parallelly (a typical system now a days), It will still take almost (14 - 15) days.
 . Why did this happen...??
   - Just think about it. It's not that difficult.
             -----get it ?? )-----
Is there any other way to compute user user similarity..??
-An alternative is to compute similar users for a particular user, whenenver required (ie., Run time)
   - We maintain a binary Vector for users, which tells us whether we already computed or
   not..
        - Compute top (let's just say, 1000) most similar users for this given user, and add
   this to our datastructure, so that we can just access it(similar users) without recomputing
   it again.
   - ***If It is already Computed***:
        - Just get it directly from our datastructure, which has that information.
        - In production time, We might have to recompute similarities, if it is computed a long
   time ago. Because user preferences changes over time. If we could maintain some kind of
   Timer, which when expires, we have to update it ( recompute it ).
    - ***Which datastructure to use: ***
        - It is purely implementation dependant.
        - One simple method is to maintain a **Dictionary Of Dictionaries**.
            - **key
                      :** _userid_
```

### 3.4.2 Computing Movie-Movie Similarity matrix

```
In [30]:
```

```
start = datetime.now()
if not os.path.isfile('m m sim sparse.npz'):
    print("It seems you don't have that file. Computing movie movie similarity...")
   start = datetime.now()
   m_m_sim_sparse = cosine_similarity(X=train_sparse_matrix.T, dense output=False)
   print("Done..")
    # store this sparse matrix in disk before using it. For future purposes.
   print("Saving it to disk without the need of re-computing it again.. ")
   sparse.save_npz("m_m_sim_sparse.npz", m_m_sim_sparse)
   print("Done..")
else:
   print("It is there, We will get it.")
    m m sim sparse = sparse.load npz("m m sim sparse.npz")
   print("Done ...")
print("It's a ",m m sim sparse.shape," dimensional matrix")
print(datetime.now() - start)
It is there, We will get it.
Done ...
It's a (17771, 17771) dimensional matrix
0:00:33.989069
In [31]:
m m sim sparse.shape
Out[31]:
(17771, 17771)
```

- Even though we have similarity measure of each movie, with all other movies, We generally don't care much about least similar movies
- Most of the times, only top xxx similar items matters. It may be 10 or 100.
- We take only those top similar movie ratings and store them in a saperate dictionary.

```
In [32]:
```

```
movie_ids = np.unique(m_m_sim_sparse.nonzero()[1])
```

### In [33]:

```
start = datetime.now()
similar_movies = dict()
for movie in movie_ids:
    # get the top similar movies and store them in the dictionary
    sim_movies = m_m_sim_sparse[movie].toarray().ravel().argsort()[::-1][1:]
    similar_movies[movie] = sim_movies[:100]
print(datetime.now() - start)

# just testing similar movies for movie_15
similar_movies[15]
```

# 0:01:04.165999

```
Out[33]:
```

```
array([ 8013, 12049, 16528, 8279, 3755, 13105, 5927, 4424, 15144, 10193, 590, 15571, 9071, 15054, 3973, 9584, 4549, 6349, 6116 16402 14059 5370 16455 9376 4624 149 3022
```

```
0110, 10402, 14003, 0370, 10433, 9370, 4024, 149, 0022, 10597, 14920, 6292, 1596, 13980, 9566, 7428, 9460, 11653, 17139, 1253, 17183, 17610, 10011, 11730, 1720, 17590, 4706, 8003, 2187, 9802, 9166, 5865, 598, 5697, 10199, 1942, 15390, 9688, 4513, 11981, 17584, 376, 8988, 10788, 17115, 565, 1690, 13013, 9427, 2818, 16309, 16334, 1510, 4649, 3338, 13931, 7845, 8873, 12762, 17285, 15360, 3706, 8875, 6410, 9558, 7481, 11947, 12954, 9840, 2716, 11175, 7859, 9488, 9969, 11867, 4467, 10319, 5871, 847, 2879, 5921, 14696], dtype=int64)
```

### 3.4.3 Finding most similar movies using similarity matrix

### Does Similarity really works as the way we expected...?

Let's pick some random movie and check for its similar movies....

### In [34]:

```
Tokenization took: 10.99 ms
Type conversion took: 27.03 ms
Parser memory cleanup took: 0.00 ms
```

### Out[34]:

title	year_of_release	
		movie_id
Dinosaur Planet	2003.0	1
Isle of Man TT 2004 Review	2004.0	2
Character	1997.0	3
Paula Abdul's Get Up & Dance	1994.0	4
The Rise and Fall of ECW	2004.0	5

### Similar Movies for 'Vampire Journals'

```
In [35]:
```

```
mv_id = 67
print("\nMovie ---->", movie_titles.loc[mv_id].values[1])
print("\nIt has {} Ratings from users.".format(train_sparse_matrix[:, mv_id].getnnz()))
print("\nWe have {} movies which are similar to this and we will get only top most..".format(m_m_s im_sparse[:, mv_id].getnnz()))
```

```
Movie ----> Vampire Journals
```

It has 250 Ratings from users.

We have 16729 movies which are similar to this and we will get only top most..

### In [36]:

```
similarities = m_m_sim_sparse[mv_id].toarray().ravel()
```

```
similar_indices = similarities.argsort()[::-1][1:]
similarities[similar_indices]
sim_indices = similarities.argsort()[::-1][1:] # It will sort and reverse the array and ignore its
similarity (ie.,1)
# and return its indices(movie_ids)
```

### In [37]:

```
plt.plot(similarities[sim_indices], label='All the ratings')
plt.plot(similarities[sim_indices[:100]], label='top 100 similar movies')
plt.title("Similar Movies of {} (movie_id)".format(mv_id), fontsize=20)
plt.xlabel("Movies (Not Movie_Ids)", fontsize=15)
plt.ylabel("Cosine Similarity",fontsize=15)
plt.legend()
plt.show()
```

### Top 10 similar movies

### In [38]:

```
movie_titles.loc[sim_indices[:10]]
```

### Out[38]:

title	year_of_release	
		movie_id
Modern Vampires	1999.0	323
Subspecies 4: Bloodstorm	1998.0	4044
To Sleep With a Vampire	1993.0	1688
Dracula Rising	1993.0	12053
Club Vampire	1997.0	1900
Dracula: The Dark Prince	2001.0	13962
Vampirella	1996.0	4667
Vampires: Los Muertos	2002.0	16279
The Breed	2001.0	13873
Kindred: The Embraced: The Complete Vampire Co	2000.0	7573

Similarly, we can *find similar users* and compare how similar they are.

# 4. Machine Learning Models

### In [39]:

```
def get_sample_sparse_matrix(sparse_matrix, no_users, no_movies, path, verbose = True):
    It will get it from the ''path'' if it is present or It will create
    and store the sampled sparse matrix in the path specified.
    """
```

```
# get (row, col) and (rating) tuple from sparse matrix...
   row ind, col ind, ratings = sparse.find(sparse matrix)
   users = np.unique(row_ind)
   movies = np.unique(col ind)
   print("Original Matrix : (users, movies) -- ({} {})".format(len(users), len(movies)))
   print("Original Matrix : Ratings -- {}\n".format(len(ratings)))
    # It just to make sure to get same sample everytime we run this program..
    # and pick without replacement....
   np.random.seed(15)
   sample_users = np.random.choice(users, no_users, replace=False)
   sample movies = np.random.choice(movies, no movies, replace=False)
    # get the boolean mask or these sampled items in originl row/col inds..
   mask = np.logical and( np.isin(row ind, sample users),
                      np.isin(col ind, sample movies) )
   sample_sparse_matrix = sparse.csr_matrix((ratings[mask], (row_ind[mask], col_ind[mask])),
                                             shape=(max(sample users)+1, max(sample movies)+1))
   if verbose:
       print("Sampled Matrix : (users, movies) -- ({} {})".format(len(sample users), len(sample mc
vies)))
       print("Sampled Matrix : Ratings --", format(ratings[mask].shape[0]))
   print('Saving it into disk for furthur usage..')
   # save it into disk
   sparse.save_npz(path, sample_sparse_matrix)
   if verbose:
           print('Done..\n')
   return sample sparse matrix
```

# 4.1 Sampling Data

### 4.1.1 Build sample train data from the train data

```
In [40]:
start = datetime.now()
path = "sample train_sparse_matrix_new.npz"
if os.path.isfile(path):
    print("It is present in your pwd, getting it from disk....")
    # just get it from the disk instead of computing it
   sample train sparse matrix = sparse.load npz(path)
    print("DONE..")
    # get 10k users and 1k movies from available data
    sample train sparse matrix = get sample sparse matrix(train sparse matrix, no users=15000, no m
ovies=1200,
                                             path = path)
print(datetime.now() - start)
                                                                                                 | b
Original Matrix: (users, movies) -- (356718 16902)
Original Matrix: Ratings -- 67725000
Sampled Matrix : (users, movies) -- (15000 1200)
Sampled Matrix : Ratings -- 199566
Saving it into disk for furthur usage..
Done..
0:01:20.626301
```

### 4.1.2 Build sample test data from the test data

```
In [41]:
```

```
start = datetime.now()
```

```
path = "sample_test_sparse_matrix_new.npz"
if os.path.isfile(path):
    print("It is present in your pwd, getting it from disk....")
    # just get it from the disk instead of computing it
   sample test sparse matrix = sparse.load npz(path)
   print("DONE..")
else:
    # get 5k users and 500 movies from available data
    sample test sparse matrix = get sample sparse matrix(test sparse matrix, no users=5000, no movi
es=500,
                                                 path = "sample test sparse matrix new.npz")
print(datetime.now() - start)
Original Matrix: (users, movies) -- (349312 17757)
Original Matrix : Ratings -- 20096102
Sampled Matrix: (users, movies) -- (5000 500)
Sampled Matrix: Ratings -- 7333
Saving it into disk for furthur usage..
Done..
0:00:20.031775
```

# 4.2 Finding Global Average of all movie ratings, Average rating per User, and Average rating per Movie (from sampled train)

```
In [42]:
sample_train_averages = dict()
```

### 4.2.1 Finding Global Average of all movie ratings

```
In [43]:
```

```
# get the global average of ratings in our train set.
global_average = sample_train_sparse_matrix.sum()/sample_train_sparse_matrix.count_nonzero()
sample_train_averages['global'] = global_average
sample_train_averages
Out[43]:
{'global': 3.5144714029443893}
```

# 4.2.2 Finding Average rating per User

```
In [44]:
```

```
sample_train_averages['user'] = get_average_ratings(sample_train_sparse_matrix, of_users=True)
print('\nAverage rating of user 2616751 :',sample_train_averages['user'][2616751])
```

Average rating of user 2616751 : 3.777777777777777

AVerage rating of movie 46 : 3.72992700729927

### 4.2.3 Finding Average rating per Movie

```
In [45]:
```

```
sample_train_averages['movie'] = get_average_ratings(sample_train_sparse_matrix, of_users=False)
print('\n AVerage rating of movie 46 :', sample_train_averages['movie'][46])
```

# 4.3 Featurizing data

```
In [46]:
```

```
print('\n No of ratings in Our Sampled train matrix is : {}\n'.format(sample_train_sparse_matrix.c
ount_nonzero()))
print('\n No of ratings in Our Sampled test matrix is : {}\n'.format(sample_test_sparse_matrix.co
unt_nonzero()))

No of ratings in Our Sampled train matrix is : 199566
No of ratings in Our Sampled test matrix is : 7333
```

### 4.3.1 Featurizing data for regression problem

### 4.3.1.1 Featurizing train data

```
In [47]:
```

```
# get users, movies and ratings from our samples train sparse matrix
sample_train_users, sample_train_movies, sample_train_ratings =
sparse.find(sample_train_sparse_matrix)
```

In [48]:

```
# It took me almost 10 hours to prepare this train dataset.#
start = datetime.now()
if os.path.isfile('reg train1.csv'):
   print("File already exists you don't have to prepare again...")
else:
   print('preparing {} tuples for the dataset..\n'.format(len(sample train ratings)))
   with open('reg train1.csv', mode='w') as reg data file:
      count = 0
      for (user, movie, rating) in zip(sample train users, sample train movies,
sample train ratings):
          st = datetime.now()
           print(user, movie)
           #----- Ratings of "movie" by similar users of "user" ------
           # compute the similar Users of the "user"
           user sim = cosine similarity(sample train sparse matrix[user],
sample_train_sparse matrix).ravel()
           top sim users = user sim.argsort()[::-1][1:] # we are ignoring 'The User' from its simi
lar users.
           # get the ratings of most similar users for this movie
           top ratings = sample train sparse matrix[top sim users, movie].toarray().ravel()
           # we will make it's length "5" by adding movie averages to .
           top sim users ratings = list(top ratings[top ratings != 0][:5])
           top sim users ratings.extend([sample train averages['movie'][movie]]*(5 -
len(top_sim_users_ratings)))
           print(top sim users ratings, end=" ")
           #----- Ratings by "user" to similar movies of "movie" ------
           # compute the similar movies of the "movie"
           movie sim = cosine similarity(sample train sparse matrix[:,movie].T,
sample train sparse matrix.T).ravel()
          top_sim_movies = movie_sim.argsort()[::-1][1:] # we are ignoring 'The User' from its si
milar users.
           # get the ratings of most similar movie rated by this user..
           top_ratings = sample_train_sparse_matrix[user, top_sim_movies].toarray().ravel()
           # we will make it's length "5" by adding user averages to.
           top sim movies ratings = list(top ratings[top ratings != 0][:5])
           top sim movies ratings.extend([sample train averages['user']
```

```
[user]]*(5-len(top sim movies ratings)))
             print(top_sim_movies_ratings, end=" : -- ")
                 -----prepare the row to be stores in a file----
            row = list()
            row.append(user)
            row.append(movie)
            # Now add the other features to this data...
            row.append(sample train averages['global']) # first feature
            # next 5 features are similar users "movie" ratings
            row.extend(top sim users ratings)
            # next 5 features are "user" ratings for similar movies
            row.extend(top_sim_movies_ratings)
            # Avg user rating
            row.append(sample_train_averages['user'][user])
            # Avg movie rating
            row.append(sample_train_averages['movie'][movie])
            # finalley, The actual Rating of this user-movie pair...
            row.append(rating)
            count = count + 1
            # add rows to the file opened..
            reg_data_file.write(','.join(map(str, row)))
            reg data file.write('\n')
            if (count) %10000 == 0:
                # print(','.join(map(str, row)))
                print("Done for {} rows---- {}".format(count, datetime.now() - start))
print(datetime.now() - start)
preparing 199566 tuples for the dataset..
Done for 10000 rows---- 1:00:46.670212
Done for 20000 rows---- 1:58:49.779078
Done for 30000 rows---- 3:20:16.786290
Done for 40000 rows---- 4:31:07.128999
Done for 50000 rows---- 5:28:53.049387
Done for 60000 rows---- 6:28:06.063969
Done for 70000 rows---- 7:23:31.533590
Done for 80000 rows---- 8:18:50.768190
Done for 90000 rows---- 9:14:22.706638
Done for 100000 rows---- 10:14:51.522955
Done for 110000 rows---- 11:20:21.313238
Done for 120000 rows---- 12:28:51.408447
Done for 130000 rows---- 13:30:14.960645
Done for 140000 rows---- 14:26:27.009726
Done for 150000 rows---- 15:25:06.746113
Done for 160000 rows---- 16:23:38.578681
Done for 170000 rows---- 17:22:06.499123
Done for 180000 rows---- 18:25:17.616049
Done for 190000 rows---- 19:32:50.338636
20:39:58.488419
```

### Reading from the file to make a Train\_dataframe

```
In [49]:
```

```
reg_train = pd.read_csv('reg_train1.csv', names = ['user', 'movie', 'GAvg', 'sur1', 'sur2', 'sur3',
'sur4', 'sur5','smr1', 'smr2', 'smr3', 'smr4', 'smr5', 'UAvg', 'MAvg', 'rating'], header=None)
reg_train.head()
```

Out[49]:

	user	movie	GAvg	sur1	sur2	sur3	sur4	sur5	smr1	smr2	smr3	smr4	smr5	UAvg	MAvg	rating
0	286684	10	3.514471	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.830065	3.125	3
1	659540	10	3.514471	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	2.785714	3.125	3
2	1042361	10	3.514471	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.000000	3.125	5
3	1078512	10	3.514471	3.0	2.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.000000	3.125	2
4	1004517	10	2 51//71	2 N	5 A	2 N	2 0	2.0	4.0	2 0	5 A	4.0	4.0	2 605652	2 125	1

4 1094017 10 3.014471 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 2.0 4.0 3.0 5.0 4.0 4.0 3.050002 3.125 4 user movie GAvg sur1 sur2 sur3 sur4 sur5 smr1 smr2 smr3 smr4 smr5 UAvg MAvg rating

```
. GAvg: Average rating of all the ratings
```

- . Similar users rating of this movie:
  - sur1, sur2, sur3, sur4, sur5 (top 5 similar users who rated that movie..)
- . Similar movies rated by this user:
  - smr1, smr2, smr3, smr4, smr5 ( top 5 similar movies rated by this movie.. )
- . UAvg: User's Average rating
- . MAvg: Average rating of this movie
- rating : Rating of this movie by this user.

### 4.3.1.2 Featurizing test data

In [50]:

```
# get users, movies and ratings from the Sampled Test
sample test users, sample test movies, sample test ratings = sparse.find(sample test sparse matrix
In [51]:
sample_train averages['global']
Out[51]:
3.5144714029443893
In [53]:
start = datetime.now()
if os.path.isfile('reg test.csv'):
   print("It is already created...")
else:
    print('preparing {} tuples for the dataset..\n'.format(len(sample test ratings)))
    with open('reg test.csv', mode='w') as reg data file:
        count = 0
       for (user, movie, rating) in zip(sample_test_users, sample_test_movies,
sample_test_ratings):
            st = datetime.now()
        #----- Ratings of "movie" by similar users of "user" -----
            #print(user, movie)
                # compute the similar Users of the "user"
                user sim = cosine similarity(sample train sparse matrix[user],
sample_train_sparse_matrix).ravel()
                top sim users = user sim.argsort()[::-1][1:] # we are ignoring 'The User' from its
similar users.
                # get the ratings of most similar users for this movie
                top ratings = sample train sparse matrix[top sim users, movie].toarray().ravel()
                # we will make it's length "5" by adding movie averages to
                top sim users ratings = list(top ratings[top ratings != 0][:5])
                top_sim_users_ratings.extend([sample_train_averages['movie'][movie]]*(5 -
len(top_sim_users_ratings)))
               # print(top sim users ratings, end="--")
            except (IndexError, KeyError):
               # It is a new User or new Movie or there are no ratings for given user for top sim:
lar movies...
```

```
######### Cold STart Problem #########
               top sim users ratings.extend([sample train averages['global']] * (5 -
len(top sim users ratings)))
               #print(top_sim_users_ratings)
           except:
               print(user, movie)
               # we just want KeyErrors to be resolved. Not every Exception...
            #----- Ratings by "user" to similar movies of "movie" ------
           try:
                # compute the similar movies of the "movie"
               movie sim = cosine similarity(sample train sparse matrix[:,movie].T,
sample train sparse matrix.T).ravel()
               top sim movies = movie sim.argsort()[::-1][1:] # we are ignoring 'The User' from it
                # get the ratings of most similar movie rated by this user..
               top ratings = sample train sparse matrix[user, top sim movies].toarray().ravel()
                # we will make it's length "5" by adding user averages to.
               top sim movies ratings = list(top ratings[top ratings != 0][:5])
               top sim movies ratings.extend([sample train averages['user']
[user]]*(5-len(top sim movies ratings)))
               #print(top_sim_movies_ratings)
           except (IndexError, KeyError):
               #print(top_sim_movies_ratings, end=" : -- ")
top sim movies ratings.extend([sample train averages['global']]*(5-len(top sim movies ratings)))
               #print(top sim movies ratings)
           except :
               raise
                         ----prepare the row to be stores in a file-----
           row = list()
            # add usser and movie name first
           row.append(user)
           row.append(movie)
           row.append(sample train averages['global']) # first feature
            #print(row)
            # next 5 features are similar_users "movie" ratings
           row.extend(top_sim_users_ratings)
            # next 5 features are "user" ratings for similar_movies
           row.extend(top sim movies ratings)
            #print(row)
            # Avg_user rating
           try:
               row.append(sample train averages['user'][user])
           except KeyError:
               row.append(sample train averages['global'])
           except:
               raise
            #print(row)
            # Avg_movie rating
               row.append(sample train averages['movie'][movie])
           except KeyError:
               row.append(sample train averages['global'])
           except:
               raise
            #print(row)
            # finalley, The actual Rating of this user-movie pair...
           row.append(rating)
            #print(row)
           count = count + 1
            # add rows to the file opened ..
           reg data file.write(','.join(map(str, row)))
           #print(','.join(map(str, row)))
           reg_data_file.write('\n')
           if (count) % 1000 == 0:
                #print(','.join(map(str, row)))
               print("Done for {} rows---- {}".format(count, datetime.now() - start))
   print("", datetime.now() - start)
```

```
preparing 7333 tuples for the dataset..

Done for 1000 rows---- 0:06:57.485448
Done for 2000 rows---- 0:14:06.727570
Done for 3000 rows---- 0:20:41.514729
Done for 4000 rows---- 0:26:35.054444
Done for 5000 rows---- 0:33:02.327582
Done for 6000 rows---- 0:39:29.617071
Done for 7000 rows---- 0:45:55.948803
0:48:03.377861
```

### Reading from the file to make a test dataframe

### In [60]:

### Out[60]:

	user	movie	GAvg	sur1	sur2	sur3	sur4	sur5	smr1	smr2	smr3	smr4	smr5	1
0	808635	71	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.51
1	941866	71	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.51
2	1737912	71	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.51
3	1849204	71	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.51
4												1		Þ

- GAvg : Average rating of all the ratings
- Similar users rating of this movie:
  - sur1, sur2, sur3, sur4, sur5 ( top 5 simiular users who rated that movie.. )
- Similar movies rated by this user:
  - smr1, smr2, smr3, smr4, smr5 ( top 5 simiular movies rated by this movie.. )
- UAvg : User AVerage rating
- MAvg : Average rating of this movie
- rating : Rating of this movie by this user.

### 4.3.2 Transforming data for Surprise models

```
In [56]:
```

```
from surprise import Reader, Dataset
```

### 4.3.2.1 Transforming train data

- We can't give raw data (movie, user, rating) to train the model in Surprise library.
- They have a saperate format for TRAIN and TEST data, which will be useful for training the models like SVD, KNNBaseLineOnly....etc..,in Surprise.
- We can form the trainset from a file, or from a Pandas DataFrame.
   <a href="http://surprise.readthedocs.io/en/stable/getting\_started.html#load-dom-dataframe-py">http://surprise.readthedocs.io/en/stable/getting\_started.html#load-dom-dataframe-py</a>

```
In [57]:
```

```
# It is to specify how to read the dataframe.
# for our dataframe, we don't have to specify anything extra..
reader = Reader(rating_scale=(1,5))
# create the traindata from the dataframe...
train_data = Dataset.load_from_df(reg_train[['user', 'movie', 'rating']], reader)
# build the trainset from traindata.., It is of dataset format from surprise library..
trainset = train_data.build_full_trainset()
```

### 4.3.2.2 Transforming test data

• Testset is just a list of (user, movie, rating) tuples. (Order in the tuple is impotant)

```
In [61]:
```

```
testset = list(zip(reg_test_df.user.values, reg_test_df.movie.values, reg_test_df.rating.values))
testset[:3]
Out[61]:
[(808635, 71, 5), (941866, 71, 4), (1737912, 71, 3)]
```

# 4.4 Applying Machine Learning models

- Global dictionary that stores rmse and mape for all the models....
  - It stores the metrics in a dictionary of dictionaries

```
keys : model names(string)
value: dict(key : metric, value : value )
```

```
In [62]:
```

```
models_evaluation_train = dict()
models_evaluation_test = dict()
models_evaluation_train, models_evaluation_test
Out[62]:
```

({}, {})

Utility functions for running regression models

```
In [63]:
```

```
It will return train results and test results
# dictionaries for storing train and test results
train_results = dict()
test results = dict()
# fit the model
print('Training the model..')
start =datetime.now()
algo.fit(x_train, y_train, eval_metric = 'rmse')
print('Done. Time taken : {}\n'.format(datetime.now()-start))
print('Done \n')
# from the trained model, get the predictions....
print('Evaluating the model with TRAIN data...')
start =datetime.now()
y train pred = algo.predict(x train)
# get the rmse and mape of train data...
rmse train, mape train = get error metrics (y train.values, y train pred)
# store the results in train_results dictionary..
train_results = {'rmse': rmse_train,
               'mape' : mape train,
               'predictions' : y_train_pred}
# get the test data predictions and compute rmse and mape
print('Evaluating Test data')
y test pred = algo.predict(x test)
rmse_test, mape_test = get_error_metrics(y_true=y_test.values, y_pred=y_test_pred)
# store them in our test results dictionary.
test results = {'rmse': rmse test,
                'mape' : mape_test,
               'predictions':y_test_pred}
if verbose:
   print('\nTEST DATA')
   print('-'*30)
   print('RMSE : ', rmse_test)
   print('MAPE : ', mape_test)
# return these train and test results...
return train_results, test_results
```

### **Utility functions for Surprise modes**

### In [64]:

```
# it is just to makesure that all of our algorithms should produce same results
# everytime they run...
my seed = 15
random.seed(my seed)
np.random.seed(my_seed)
# get (actual_list , predicted_list) ratings given list
# of predictions (prediction is a class in Surprise).
def get ratings(predictions):
  actual = np.array([pred.r ui for pred in predictions])
  pred = np.array([pred.est for pred in predictions])
  return actual, pred
# get ''rmse'' and ''mape'' , given list of prediction objecs
def get_errors(predictions, print_them=False):
actual nred = get ratings(nredictions)
```

```
accuar, pred - yet ractings (predictions)
   rmse = np.sqrt(np.mean((pred - actual)**2))
   mape = np.mean(np.abs(pred - actual)/actual)
   return rmse, mape*100
# It will return predicted ratings, rmse and mape of both train and test data #
                 def run surprise(algo, trainset, testset, verbose=True):
      return train dict, test dict
       It returns two dictionaries, one for train and the other is for test
      Each of them have 3 key-value pairs, which specify ''rmse'', ''mape'', and ''predicted rat
ings''.
   start = datetime.now()
   # dictionaries that stores metrics for train and test..
   train = dict()
   test = dict()
   # train the algorithm with the trainset
   st = datetime.now()
   print('Training the model...')
   algo.fit(trainset)
   print('Done. time taken : {} \n'.format(datetime.now()-st))
   # ----- Evaluating train data----#
   st = datetime.now()
   print('Evaluating the model with train data..')
   # get the train predictions (list of prediction class inside Surprise)
   train_preds = algo.test(trainset.build_testset())
   # get predicted ratings from the train predictions..
   train_actual_ratings, train_pred_ratings = get_ratings(train_preds)
   # get ''rmse'' and ''mape'' from the train predictions.
   train rmse, train mape = get errors(train preds)
   print('time taken : {}'.format(datetime.now()-st))
   if verbose:
      print('-'*15)
      print('Train Data')
      print('-'*15)
      print("RMSE : {}\n\nMAPE : {}\n".format(train rmse, train mape))
   #store them in the train dictionary
   if verbose:
      print('adding train results in the dictionary..')
   train['rmse'] = train rmse
   train['mape'] = train mape
   train['predictions'] = train_pred_ratings
   #-----#
   st = datetime.now()
   print('\nEvaluating for test data...')
   # get the predictions( list of prediction classes) of test data
   test preds = algo.test(testset)
   # get the predicted ratings from the list of predictions
   test actual ratings, test pred ratings = get ratings(test preds)
   \# get error metrics from the predicted and actual ratings
   test rmse, test mape = get errors(test preds)
   print('time taken : {}'.format(datetime.now()-st))
   if verbose:
      print('-'*15)
       print('Test Data')
       print('-'*15)
       print("RMSE : {}\n\nMAPE : {}\n".format(test_rmse, test_mape))
   # store them in test dictionary
   if verbose:
      print('storing the test results in test dictionary...')
   test['rmse'] = test rmse
   test['mape'] = test mape
   test['predictions'] = test_pred_ratings
   print('\n'+'-'*45)
   print('Total time taken to run this algorithm :', datetime.now() - start)
```

```
# return two dictionaries train and test
return train, test
```

### 4.4.1 XGBoost with initial 13 features

```
In [68]:
```

```
import xgboost as xgb
from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV
```

In [71]:

```
# prepare Train data
x_train = reg_train.drop(['user','movie','rating'], axis=1)
y_train = reg_train['rating']
# Prepare Test data
x test = reg test df.drop(['user', 'movie', 'rating'], axis=1)
y test = reg test df['rating']
# initialize Our first XGBoost model...
param={
'min child weight': [5,10,15],
'max depth': [5,10,20,50,100],
'learning_rate':[0.001,0.05,0.5,1],
'subsample': [0.8, 0.5, 0.2],
'n estimators': [2,10,25,50,100]
first xgb = xgb.XGBRegressor()
clf = RandomizedSearchCV(first xgb,param, cv=None,n iter=10,verbose=2)
clf.fit(x train,y train)
print(clf.best params )
```


Fitting 5 folds for each of 10 candidates, totalling 50 fits [CV] subsample=0.8, n\_estimators=10, min\_child\_weight=5, max\_depth=20, learning\_rate=0.05

```
[Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Using backend SequentialBackend with 1 concurrent workers.
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.05, total=
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Done 1 out of 1 | elapsed: 4.4s remaining: 0.0s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05, total=
4.25
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05, total=
4.1s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05, total=
4.6s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.05, total=
5.2s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=50, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.05,
total= 17.3s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=50, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.05,
total= 15.0s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 15.1s
                              EA ' 1'11 ' 11 4E
                      . . . .
                                                           1 11 00 1
```

```
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 16.1s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=1, total=
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=1, total=
1.4min
[{\tt CV}] \ \ {\tt subsample=0.8, \ n\_estimators=100, \ min\_child\_weight=15, \ max\_depth=100, \ learning \ rate=1}
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=1, total=
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=100, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=100, learning_rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=1, total=
1.4min
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=1, total=
1.4min
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=50, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=50, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.05,
total= 28.1s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=50, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=50, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05,
total = 28.0s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=50, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=50, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05,
total = 27.8s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=50, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=50, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05,
total= 27.5s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=50, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=50, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05,
total= 28.4s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.05,
total= 3.6s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total=
        3.5s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 3.5s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05
     subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05, total=
[CV]
1.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.4s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=100, learning_rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=100, learning_rate=0.05, total=
1.0s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=100, learning_rate=0.05, total=
1.0s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.05, total=
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.0s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.05, total=
```

```
().9s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
4.0s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
3.8s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
3.9s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
3.7s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.5
     subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
[CV]
3.9s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=0.5,
total= 9.7s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=0.5,
total= 10.1s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=0.5,
total= 9.6s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=0.5,
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=100, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=100, learning rate=0.5,
total= 10.5s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=100, min_child_weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5, total=
47.8s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=100, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5, total=
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=100, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5, total=
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5, total=
48.1s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5, total=
47.6s
[Parallel(n_jobs=1)]: Done 50 out of 50 | elapsed: 17.1min finished
{'subsample': 0.2, 'n estimators': 50, 'min child weight': 15, 'max depth': 20, 'learning rate': 0
.05}
In [72]:
first xgb = xgb.XGBRegressor(n estimators=50,min child weight=15,max depth=20,learning rate=0.05)
train_results, test_results = run_xgboost(first_xgb, x_train, y_train, x_test, y_test)
\# store the results in models_evaluations dictionaries
models_evaluation_train['first_algo'] = train_results
models evaluation test['first algo'] = test results
xgb.plot importance(first xgb)
plt.show()
Training the model..
Done. Time taken : 0:00:27.298386
Done
Evaluating the model with TRAIN data...
Evaluating Test data
TEST DATA
```

RMSE : 1.1418789815838117 MAPE : 32.38839863777117



## 4.4.2 Suprise BaselineModel

In [73]:

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{from surprise import} & \texttt{BaselineOnly} \\ \end{tabular}$ 

### Predicted\_rating: (baseline prediction)

http://surprise.readthedocs.io/en/stable/basic\_algorithms.html#surprise.prediction\_algorithmseline only.BaselineOnly

```
\large {\hat{r}_{ui} = b_{ui} = \mu + b_u + b_i}
```

- \pmb \mu : Average of all trainings in training data.
- \pmb b\_u : User bias
- \pmb b\_i : Item bias (movie biases)

### **Optimization function (Least Squares Problem)**

### In [75]:

```
}
bsl algo = BaselineOnly(bsl options=bsl options)
# run this algorithm.., It will return the train and test results..
bsl_train_results, bsl_test_results = run_surprise(bsl_algo, trainset, testset, verbose=True)
# Just store these error metrics in our models evaluation datastructure
models evaluation train['bsl algo'] = bsl train results
models_evaluation_test['bsl_algo'] = bsl_test_results
Training the model...
Estimating biases using sgd...
Done. time taken : 0:00:01.672886
Evaluating the model with train data..
time taken : 0:00:02.238498
Train Data
RMSE: 0.9553157605240995
MAPE : 30.8066420556683
adding train results in the dictionary..
Evaluating for test data...
time taken: 0:00:00.089946
Test Data
RMSE: 1.0720761061391755
MAPE: 34.19154502168587
storing the test results in test dictionary...
Total time taken to run this algorithm: 0:00:04.005322
```

### 4.4.3 XGBoost with initial 13 features + Surprise Baseline predictor

### **Updating Train Data**

```
In [76]:
```

```
# add our baseline_predicted value as our feature..
reg_train['bslpr'] = models_evaluation_train['bsl_algo']['predictions']
reg_train.head(2)
```

Out[76]:

	user	movie	GAvg	sur1	sur2	sur3	sur4	sur5	smr1	smr2	smr3	smr4	smr5	UAvg	MAvg	rating	bslpr
0	286684	10	3.514471	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.830065	3.125	3	2.856026
1	659540	10	3.514471	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	2.785714	3.125	3	3.204659

### **Updating Test Data**

```
In [77]:
```

```
# add that baseline predicted ratings with Surprise to the test data as well
reg_test_df['bslpr'] = models_evaluation_test['bsl_algo']['predictions']
reg_test_df.head(2)
Out[77]:
```

```
user movie
user movie
    808635
                         3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471
                                                                                                                                              3.514471 3.514471
 1 941866
                     71 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3.514471 3
4
In [78]:
 # prepare train data
 x_train = reg_train.drop(['user', 'movie', 'rating'], axis=1)
 y_train = reg_train['rating']
 # Prepare Test data
 x test = reg test df.drop(['user', 'movie', 'rating'], axis=1)
 y test = reg test df['rating']
 # initialize Our first XGBoost model...
 param={
 'min child weight': [5,10,15],
 'max depth': [5,10,20,50,100],
 'learning rate':[0.001,0.05,0.5,1],
 'subsample': [0.8,0.5,0.2],
 'n estimators': [2,10,25,50,100]
 xgb bsl = xgb.XGBRegressor()
 clf = RandomizedSearchCV(xgb bsl,param, cv=None,n_iter=10,verbose=2)
 clf.fit(x train,y train)
 print(clf.best_params_)
Fitting 5 folds for each of 10 candidates, totalling 50 fits
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=1
[Parallel (n jobs=1)]: \ Using \ backend \ Sequential Backend \ with \ 1 \ concurrent \ workers.
 [CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=1, total=
7.8s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=10, learning_rate=1
 [Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Done 1 out of 1 | elapsed: 7.7s remaining:
                                                                                                                                    0.0s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=10, learning_rate=1, total=
7.5s
 [CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=1
 [CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=10, learning_rate=1, total=
7.8s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=1, total=
7.4s
 [CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=1, total= 1
3.1s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=10, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=10, learning_rate=0.001,
 total=
              2.6s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=10, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=10, learning rate=0.001,
total=
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=10, learning_rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=10, learning rate=0.001,
total= 2.5s
 [CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=10, learning rate=0.001
 [CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=10, learning rate=0.001,
```

[CV] subsample=0.2, n\_estimators=10, min\_child\_weight=15, max\_depth=10, learning\_rate=0.001 [CV] subsample=0.2, n\_estimators=10, min\_child\_weight=15, max\_depth=10, learning\_rate=0.001,

[CV] subsample=0.2, n\_estimators=10, min\_child\_weight=15, max\_depth=5, learning\_rate=1, total=

subsample=0.2, n\_estimators=10, min\_child\_weight=15, max\_depth=5, learning\_rate=1, total=

[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=1

[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=1

total=

total=

1.4s

[CV]

1.6s

2.25

2.1s


```
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=1, total=
3.0s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=1, total=
1.4s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=1, total=
1.3s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5, total=
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.5, total=
14.7s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.5, total=
14.8s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5, total=
14.6s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5, total=
15.1s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5, total=
15.2s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5, total=
14.7s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5, total=
15.1s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5, total=
15.0s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5, total=
15.0s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
                                                                                                1
.7s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=20, learning_rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=20, learning_rate=1, total=
                                                                                                 1
.6s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=20, learning_rate=1, total=
                                                                                                 1
.4s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=20, learning_rate=1, total=
.3s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
1.1s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.5
     subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
[CV]
1.1s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
1.1s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV]
     subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
1.1s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
1.1s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
1.0s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=50, learning_rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=50, learning_rate=1, total=
1.0s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=50, learning_rate=1
```

[CV] subsample=0.2. n estimators=2. min child weight=10. max depth=50. learning rate=1. total=

```
0.9s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
0.9s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
0.9s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001, total=
4.8s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001, total=
5.4s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=50, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=5, learning_rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001, total=
5.1s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=50, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=5, learning_rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001, total=
4.9s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=50, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=5, learning_rate=0.001
[CV]
     subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001, total=
5.2s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
5.7s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV]
     subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
5.5s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.5, total=
5.7s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
5.9s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=10, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.5, total=
5.8s
[Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Done 50 out of 50 | elapsed: 4.8min finished
{'subsample': 0.8, 'n estimators': 100, 'min child weight': 10, 'max depth': 5, 'learning rate': 0
In [80]:
xgb bsl = xgb.XGBRegressor(subsample= 0.8, n estimators= 100, min child weight= 10, max depth= 5, 1
earning rate= 0.5
train_results, test_results = run_xgboost(xgb_bsl, x_train, y_train, x_test, y_test)
# store the results in models evaluations dictionaries
models_evaluation_train['xgb_bsl'] = train_results
models_evaluation_test['xgb_bsl'] = test_results
xgb.plot importance(xgb bsl)
plt.show()
Training the model..
Done. Time taken : 0:00:21.857580
Evaluating the model with TRAIN data...
Evaluating Test data
TEST DATA
RMSE: 1.149638266353395
MAPE: 32.99813931007494
```

DUMDUMPTO 0.2, IN COCEMACOTO 2, MEN CHEEK WORSHO TO, MAN GOPON OU, TOURNING FACE I, COCKE

[ ~ v ]



### 4.4.4 Surprise KNNBaseline predictor

In [81]:

from surprise import KNNBaseline

- KNN BASELINE
  - http://surprise.readthedocs.io/en/stable/knn\_inspired.html#surprise.prediction\_algorithms.knns.KNNBaseline
- PEARSON BASELINE SIMILARITY
  - <a href="http://surprise.readthedocs.io/en/stable/similarities.html#surprise.similarities.pearson\_baseline">http://surprise.readthedocs.io/en/stable/similarities.html#surprise.similarities.pearson\_baseline</a>
- SHRINKAGE
  - 2.2 Neighborhood Models in http://courses.ischool.berkeley.edu/i290-dm/s11/SECURE/a1-koren.pdf
- predicted Rating : ( based on User-User similarity )

 $\label{limits_vin N^k_i(u)} $$ \left(u, v\right) \cdot \left(r_{vi} - b_{vi}\right) {\sum_{u \in V(u)} + \frac{v \in N^k_i(u)}{text{sim}(u, v) \cdot dot (r_{vi} - b_{vi})} {\sum_{u \in V(u)} + \frac{v \in N^k_i(u)}{text{sim}(u, v)} \cdot dot (r_{vi} - b_{vi})} $$$ 

- \pmb{b\_{ui}} Baseline prediction of (user,movie) rating
- sim (u, v) Similarity between users u and v
  - Generally, it will be cosine similarity or Pearson correlation coefficient.
  - But we use shrunk Pearson-baseline correlation coefficient, which is based on the pearsonBaseline similarity ( we take base line predictions instead of mean rating of user/item)
- Predicted rating ( based on Item Item similarity ): \begin{align} \hat{r}\_{ui} = b\_{ui} + \frac{ \sum\\limits\_{j \in N^k\_u(i)}\\text{sim}(i, j) \cdot (r\_{uj} b\_{uj})} {\sum\\limits\_{j \in N^k\_u(j)} \\text{sim}(i, j)} \end{align}
  - Notations follows same as above (user user based predicted rating)

### 4.4.4.1 Surprise KNNBaseline with user user similarities

```
In [82]:
```

```
# we specify , how to compute similarities and what to consider with sim options to our algorithm
sim_options = {'user_based' : True,
               'name': 'pearson baseline',
               'shrinkage': 100,
               'min support': 2
# we keep other parameters like regularization parameter and learning rate as default values.
bsl options = {'method': 'sgd'}
knn bsl u = KNNBaseline(k=40, sim options = sim options, bsl options = bsl options)
knn bsl u train results, knn bsl u test results = run surprise(knn bsl u, trainset, testset,
verbose=True)
# Just store these error metrics in our models evaluation datastructure
models evaluation train['knn bsl u'] = knn bsl u train results
models evaluation test['knn bsl u'] = knn bsl u test results
Training the model...
Estimating biases using sgd...
Computing the pearson_baseline similarity matrix...
Done computing similarity matrix.
Done. time taken : 0:02:28.024556
Evaluating the model with train data..
time taken : 0:05:47.669443
Train Data
RMSE: 0.3527396830995068
MAPE: 9.97148808270328
adding train results in the dictionary..
Evaluating for test data...
time taken : 0:00:00.151905
Test Data
RMSE : 1.0711072013527172
MAPE: 34.24892969621231
storing the test results in test dictionary...
Total time taken to run this algorithm: 0:08:15.847903
```

### 4.4.4.2 Surprise KNNBaseline with movie movie similarities

### In [83]:

```
moders_evaluation_train['knn_psi_m'] = knn_psi_m_train_results
models_evaluation_test['knn_bsl_m'] = knn_bsl_m_test_results
Training the model...
Estimating biases using sgd...
Computing the pearson baseline similarity matrix...
Done computing similarity matrix.
Done. time taken : 0:00:03.778465
Evaluating the model with train data..
time taken : 0:00:25.178241
Train Data
RMSE : 0.355275048093376
MAPE: 9.60667148221924
adding train results in the dictionary..
Evaluating for test data...
time taken : 0:00:00.103939
Test Data
RMSE : 1.0710588488031434
MAPE : 34.228374629059815
storing the test results in test dictionary...
_____
Total time taken to run this algorithm: 0:00:29.060645
```

### 4.4.5 XGBoost with initial 13 features + Surprise Baseline predictor + KNNBaseline predictor

- First we will run XGBoost with predictions from both KNN's (that uses User\_User and Item\_Item similarities along with our previous features.
- Then we will run XGBoost with just predictions form both knn models and preditions from our baseline model.

### **Preparing Train data**

```
In [84]:
```

```
# add the predicted values from both knns to this dataframe
reg_train['knn_bsl_u'] = models_evaluation_train['knn_bsl_u']['predictions']
reg_train['knn_bsl_m'] = models_evaluation_train['knn_bsl_m']['predictions']
reg_train.head(2)
```

Out[84]:

	user	movie	GAvg	sur1	sur2	sur3	sur4	sur5	smr1	smr2	smr3	smr4	smr5	UAvg	MAvg	rating	bslpr	knn_bs
	<b>0</b> 286684	10	3.514471	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.830065	3.125	3	2.856026	3.0000
	<b>1</b> 659540	10	3.514471	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	2.785714	3.125	3	3.204659	3.4062
4																		Þ

### **Preparing Test data**

```
In [85]:
```

```
reg_test_df['knn_bsl_u'] = models_evaluation_test['knn_bsl_u']['predictions']
reg_test_df['knn_bsl_m'] = models_evaluation_test['knn_bsl_m']['predictions']
reg_test_df.head(2)
```

```
user movie
                               GAvg
                                                sur1
                                                              sur2
                                                                            sur3
                                                                                          sur4
                                                                                                        sur5
                                                                                                                      smr1
                                                                                                                                   smr2
                                                                                                                                                  smr3
                                                                                                                                                                smr4
                                                                                                                                                                              smr5
                                                                                                                                                                                           U
 0 808635
                      71 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471 3 514471
                      71 \quad 3.514471 \quad 3.51
 1 941866
4
In [87]:
 # prepare the train data....
 x train = reg train.drop(['user', 'movie', 'rating'], axis=1)
 y train = reg train['rating']
 # prepare the train data....
 x test = reg test df.drop(['user', 'movie', 'rating'], axis=1)
 y test = reg test df['rating']
 # declare the model
 param={
 'min_child_weight':[5,10,15],
 'max depth': [5,10,20,50,100],
 'learning rate':[0.001,0.05,0.5,1],
 'subsample': [0.8,0.5,0.2],
 'n estimators': [2,10,25,50,100]
 xgb knn bsl = xgb.XGBRegressor()
 clf = RandomizedSearchCV(xgb_knn_bsl,param, cv=None,n_iter=10,verbose=2)
 clf.fit(x train,y train)
 print(clf.best params )
Fitting 5 folds for each of 10 candidates, totalling 50 fits
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
 [Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Using backend SequentialBackend with 1 concurrent workers.
 [CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5,
 total= 45.2s
 [CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
[Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Done 1 out of 1 | elapsed: 45.1s remaining:
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5,
total = 44.2s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
 [CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5,
total= 46.4s
 [CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
 [CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=100, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.5,
total= 45.5s
 [CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.5
 [CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=100, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.5,
total= 44.6s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=20, learning_rate=1, total=
16.9s
 [CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
16.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
17.1s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=20, learning_rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=10, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
17.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
```

[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,


```
total= 1.8s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=5, learning_rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,
total=
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,
total= 2.0s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,
total=
       1.9s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
7.0s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
6.5s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
     subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
[CV]
6.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
6.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
7.0s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
21.8s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
21.1s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
22.1s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=50, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=1, total=
23.1s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.9s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.5s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05, total=
1.3s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.001,
       7.1s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001,
total= 7.6s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001,
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001,
total= 7.3s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001,
total=
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
```

[CV] subsample=0.5. n estimators=10. min child weight=10. max depth=50. learning rate=1

م تعديد عديد کي در ديد د مواد عودي در مواد د مواد کي در دي در مواد د عدي د مواد د

```
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=10, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=50, learning_rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
11.6s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
12.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=10, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
11.2s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
29.1s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
29.8s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 43.4s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=100, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=20, learning_rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 44.5s
[{\tt CV}] \ \ {\tt subsample=0.2,\ n\_estimators=100,\ min\_child\_weight=15,\ max\_depth=20,\ learning\ rate=0.05}
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 44.4s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 42.6s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=15, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 44.0s
[Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Done 50 out of 50 | elapsed: 15.5min finished
{'subsample': 0.2, 'n estimators': 100, 'min child weight': 15, 'max depth': 20, 'learning rate':
0.05}
In [89]:
xgb knn bsl = xgb.XGBRegressor(subsample= 0.2, n estimators= 100, min child weight= 15, max depth=
20, learning rate= 0.05
train_results, test_results = run_xgboost(xgb_knn_bsl, x_train, y_train, x_test, y_test)
# store the results in models evaluations dictionaries
models evaluation train['xgb knn bsl'] = train results
models evaluation test['xgb knn bsl'] = test results
xgb.plot importance(xgb knn bsl)
plt.show()
Training the model..
Done. Time taken: 0:00:59.057824
Evaluating the model with TRAIN data...
Evaluating Test data
TEST DATA
RMSE : 1.081495350664273
```

MAPE : 33.771637642273575



### 4.4.6 Matrix Factorization Techniques

### 4.4.6.1 SVD Matrix Factorization User Movie intractions

```
In [90]:
```

```
from surprise import SVD
```

http://surprise.readthedocs.io/en/stable/matrix\_factorization.html#surprise.prediction\_algorithms.matrix\_factorization.SVD

# - Predicted Rating:

```
- $ \large \hat r_{ui} = \mu + b_u + b_i + q_i^Tp_u $
- $\pmb q i$ - Representation of item(movie) in latent factor space
```

- \$\pmb p\_u\$ Representation of user in new latent factor space
- A BASIC MATRIX FACTORIZATION MODEL in <a href="https://datajobs.com/data-science-repo/Recommender-Systems-[Netflix].pdf">https://datajobs.com/data-science-repo/Recommender-Systems-[Netflix].pdf</a>

# - Optimization problem with user item interactions and regularization (to avoid overfitting)

```
 - \ \sum_{r_{ui} \in R_{train}} \left( r_{ui} - \frac{r_{ui} \right)^2 + \frac{r_{ui}^2 + ||p_u||^2 \cdot ||p_u||
```

```
In [91]:
```

```
# initiallize the model
svd = SVD(n_factors=100, biased=True, random_state=15, verbose=True)
svd_train_results, svd_test_results = run_surprise(svd, trainset, testset, verbose=True)
# Just store these error metrics in our models evaluation datastructure
```

```
models_evaluation_train['svd'] = svd_train_results
models_evaluation_test['svd'] = svd_test_results
Training the model...
Processing epoch 0
Processing epoch 1
Processing epoch 2
Processing epoch 3
Processing epoch 4
Processing epoch 5
Processing epoch 6
Processing epoch 7
Processing epoch 8
Processing epoch 9
Processing epoch 10
Processing epoch 11
Processing epoch 12
Processing epoch 13
Processing epoch 14
Processing epoch 15
Processing epoch 16
Processing epoch 17
Processing epoch 18
Processing epoch 19
Done. time taken : 0:00:19.694456
Evaluating the model with train data..
time taken: 0:00:03.547662
Train Data
RMSE: 0.6635462679466172
MAPE : 20.59711721221903
adding train results in the dictionary..
Evaluating for test data...
time taken: 0:00:00.109936
Test Data
RMSE : 1.0716090944837267
MAPE : 34.167928194781176
storing the test results in test dictionary...
______
Total time taken to run this algorithm: 0:00:23.357050
```

### 4.4.6.2 SVD Matrix Factorization with implicit feedback from user ( user rated movies )

```
In [92]:
```

```
from surprise import SVDpp
```

----> 2.5 Implicit Feedback in <a href="http://courses.ischool.berkeley.edu/i290-dm/s11/SECURE/a1-koren.pdf">http://courses.ischool.berkeley.edu/i290-dm/s11/SECURE/a1-koren.pdf</a>

# - Predicted Rating :

- \pmb{l\_u} --- the set of all items rated by user u
- \pmb{y\_j} --- Our new set of item factors that capture implicit ratings.

# - Optimization problem with user item interactions and regularization (to avoid overfitting)

```
- $ \large \sum {r {ui} \in R {train}} \left(r {ui} - \hat{r} {ui} \right)^2 +
\label{left} $$ \additimed ft(b_i^2 + b_u^2 + ||q_i||^2 + ||p_u||^2 + ||y_j||^2 \leqslant (b_i^2 + b_u^2 + b
In [93]:
 # initiallize the model
svdpp = SVDpp(n factors=50, random state=15, verbose=True)
 svdpp train results, svdpp test results = run surprise(svdpp, trainset, testset, verbose=True)
 # Just store these error metrics in our models evaluation datastructure
models_evaluation_train['svdpp'] = svdpp_train_results
models_evaluation_test['svdpp'] = svdpp_test_results
Training the model...
 processing epoch 0
  processing epoch 1
  processing epoch
  processing epoch 3
  processing epoch 4
  processing epoch 5
  processing epoch 6
  processing epoch 7
  processing epoch 8
  processing epoch 9
  processing epoch 10
  processing epoch 11
  processing epoch 12
  processing epoch 13
  processing epoch 14
  processing epoch 15
  processing epoch 16
  processing epoch 17
  processing epoch 18
  processing epoch 19
Done. time taken : 0:06:02.764824
Evaluating the model with train data..
time taken : 0:00:17.308800
Train Data
RMSE: 0.6128470138807365
MAPE: 18.48653191442907
adding train results in the dictionary..
Evaluating for test data...
time taken : 0:00:00.106936
Test Data
RMSE : 1.0731604277442883
MAPE: 33.97520570315057
storing the test results in test dictionary...
Total time taken to run this algorithm: 0:06:20.182556
```

### **Preparing Train data**

```
In [94]:
```

```
# add the predicted values from both knns to this dataframe
reg train['svd'] = models evaluation train['svd']['predictions']
reg_train['svdpp'] = models_evaluation_train['svdpp']['predictions']
reg_train.head(2)
```

### Out[94]:

	user	movie	GAvg	sur1	sur2	sur3	sur4	sur5	smr1	smr2	 smr4	smr5	UAvg	MAvg	rating	bslpr	knn_bsl_u
0	286684	10	3.514471	3.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	 3.0	3.0	2.830065	3.125	3	2.856026	3.000000
1	659540	10	3.514471	4.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	 1.0	3.0	2.785714	3.125	3	3.204659	3.406205

### 2 rows × 21 columns

```
4
```

### **Preparing Test data**

```
In [95]:
```

```
reg test df['svd'] = models evaluation test['svd']['predictions']
reg test df['svdpp'] = models evaluation test['svdpp']['predictions']
reg_test_df.head(2)
```

### Out[95]:

	user	movie	GAvg	sur1	sur2	sur3	sur4	sur5	smr1	smr2	 smr4	smr5	UAvg	
0	808635	71	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	 3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3
1	941866	71	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	 3.514471	3.514471	3.514471	3

### 2 rows × 21 columns

## In [97]:

```
# prepare x_train and y_train
x_train = reg_train.drop(['user', 'movie', 'rating',], axis=1)
y_train = reg_train['rating']
# prepare test data
x_test = reg_test_df.drop(['user', 'movie', 'rating'], axis=1)
y_test = reg_test_df['rating']
param={
'min child weight': [5,10,15],
'max depth': [5,10,20,50,100],
'learning_rate':[0.001,0.05,0.5,1],
'subsample':[0.8,0.5,0.2],
'n_estimators':[2,10,25,50,100]
xgb final = xgb.XGBRegressor()
clf = RandomizedSearchCV(xgb_final,param, cv=None,n_iter=10)
clf.fit(x train,y train)
print(clf.best params )
```

{'subsample': 0.5, 'n\_estimators': 50, 'min\_child\_weight': 10, 'max\_depth': 10, 'learning\_rate': 0


T-- [00]


```
In [88]:
```

```
xgb_final = xgb.XGBRegressor(subsample= 0.5, n_estimators= 50, min_child_weight= 10, max_depth= 10,
learning_rate= 0.5
)
train_results, test_results = run_xgboost(xgb_final, x_train, y_train, x_test, y_test)

# store the results in models_evaluations dictionaries
models_evaluation_train['xgb_final'] = train_results
models_evaluation_test['xgb_final'] = test_results

xgb.plot_importance(xgb_final)
plt.show()
```





### 4.4.8 XgBoost with Surprise Baseline + Surprise KNNbaseline + MF Techniques

### In [99]:

```
'n estimators': [2,10,25,50,100]
}
xgb all models = xgb.XGBRegressor()
clf = RandomizedSearchCV(xgb all models,param, cv=None,n iter=10,verbose=2)
clf.fit(x train,y train)
print(clf.best params )
Fitting 5 folds for each of 10 candidates, totalling 50 fits
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Using backend SequentialBackend with 1 concurrent workers.
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 24.4s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Done 1 out of 1 | elapsed: 24.3s remaining:
                                                                           0.0s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 20.8s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 19.6s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total = 20.4s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=20, learning rate=0.05,
total= 19.4s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
8.8s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=50, learning_rate=1, total=
8.0s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
8.6s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
9.1s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
42.1s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
41.4s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
42.3s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
40.65
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=1, total=
41.7s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=0.05, total=
0.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=10, learning_rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=10, learning_rate=0.05, total=
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=0.05, total=
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=0.05, total=
0.4s
```

'subsample':[0.8,0.5,0.2],

```
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=10, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=10, learning_rate=0.05, total=
0.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=100, learning rate=0.001, tota
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.001, tota
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=100, learning_rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.001, tota
     2.6s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=100, learning_rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.001, tota
1=
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=100, learning_rate=0.001
     subsample=0.5, n estimators=25, min child weight=5, max depth=100, learning rate=0.001, tota
[CV]
1 =
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05,
total= 40.7s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
     subsample=0.2, n_estimators=100, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.05,
total= 43.5s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n_estimators=100, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.05,
total = 39.7s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05,
total= 38.7s
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05
[CV] subsample=0.2, n estimators=100, min child weight=10, max depth=50, learning rate=0.05,
total= 40.2s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=1, total=
                                                                                                 0
.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=5, learning_rate=1, total=
                                                                                                 0
.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=5, learning_rate=1, total=
                                                                                                 0
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=10, max_depth=5, learning_rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=1, total=
                                                                                                 0
.3s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=1
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=10, max depth=5, learning rate=1, total=
.3s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.001
     subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001, total=
[CV]
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.001, total=
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001
[CV]
     subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.001, total=
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=50, learning_rate=0.001, total=
0.5s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=50, learning rate=0.001, total=
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5, total=
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5, total=
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=5, learning rate=0.5, total=
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=5, learning_rate=0.5, total=
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.5, n_estimators=2, min_child_weight=5, max_depth=5, learning_rate=0.5
```

[CV] subsample=0.5, n estimators=2, min child weight=5, max depth=5, learning rate=0.5, total=

```
0.4s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,
total= 2.3s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,
total=
[CV] subsample=0.8, n_estimators=25, min_child_weight=15, max_depth=5, learning_rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,
total= 2.0s
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001
[CV] subsample=0.8, n estimators=25, min child weight=15, max depth=5, learning rate=0.001,
total= 2.1s
[Parallel(n jobs=1)]: Done 50 out of 50 | elapsed: 9.8min finished
{'subsample': 0.5, 'n estimators': 2, 'min child weight': 10, 'max depth': 5, 'learning rate': 1}
In [100]:
xqb all models = xqb.XGBReqressor(subsample= 0.5, n estimators= 2, min child weight= 10, max depth=
5, learning rate= 1
```

```
train results, test results = run xgboost(xgb all models, x train, y train, x test, y test)
# store the results in models evaluations dictionaries
models evaluation train['xgb all models'] = train results
models_evaluation_test['xgb_all_models'] = test_results
xgb.plot_importance(xgb_all_models)
plt.show()
```

Training the model..


Done. Time taken: 0:00:00.496692

Done

Evaluating the model with TRAIN data... Evaluating Test data

TEST DATA

RMSE : 1.0773084995761828 MAPE : 34.68929096917531



```
0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16
F score
```

## 4.5 Comparision between all models

```
In [101]:
```

```
# Saving our TEST RESULTS into a dataframe so that you don't have to run it again
pd.DataFrame (models evaluation test).to csv('small sample results.csv')
models = pd.read csv('small sample results.csv', index col=0)
models.loc['rmse'].sort values()
Out[101]:
              1.0710588488031434
1.0711072013527172
knn bsl m
knn bsl u
                 1.0716090944837267
svd
bsl algo
                  1.0720761061391755
svdpp
                  1.0731604277442883
xgb_all_models 1.0773084995761828
                  1.081495350664273
xgb knn bsl
first algo
                1.1418789815838117
xgb bsl
                  1.149638266353395
xgb final 1.2154494709131842
Name: rmse, dtype: object
```

# 5. Assignment

1.Instead of using 10K users and 1K movies to train the above models, use 25K users and 3K movies (or more) to train all of the above models. Report the RMSE and MAPE on the test data using larger amount of data and provide a comparison between various models as shown above.

NOTE: Please be patient as some of the code snippets make take many hours to compelte execution.

2. Tune hyperparamters of all the Xgboost models above to improve the RMSE.

```
In [ ]:
```

```
%%javascript
// Converts integer to roman numeral
// https://github.com/kmahelona/ipython_notebook_goodies
// https://kmahelona.github.io/ipython notebook goodies/ipython notebook toc.js
function romanize(num) {
   var lookup = {M:1000,CM:900,D:500,CD:400,C:100,XC:90,L:50,XL:40,X:10,IX:9,V:5,IV:4,I:1},
roman = '',
    i;
 for ( i in lookup ) {
    while ( num >= lookup[i] ) {
  roman += i;
 num -= lookup[i];
return roman;
// Builds a  Table of Contents from all <headers> in DOM
function createTOC(){
   var toc = "";
   var level = 0;
    var levels = {}
    $('#toc').html('');
    $(":header").each(function(i){
     if (this.id=='tocheading') {return;}
```

```
var titleText = this.innerHTML;
    var openLevel = this.tagName[1];
    if (levels[openLevel]) {
 levels[openLevel] += 1;
    } else{
 levels[openLevel] = 1;
   }
    if (openLevel > level) {
  toc += (new Array(openLevel - level + 1)).join('');
   } else if (openLevel < level) {
  toc += (new Array(level - openLevel + 1)).join("");
  for (i=level;i>openLevel;i--) {levels[i]=0;}
    level = parseInt(openLevel);
    if (this.id=='') {this.id = this.innerHTML.replace(/ /g,"-")}
    var anchor = this.id;
    toc += '<a style="text-decoration:none", href="#' + encodeURIComponent(anchor) + '">' + ti
tleText + '</a>';
});
   if (level) {
toc += (new Array(level + 1)).join("");
   $('#toc').append(toc);
};
// Executes the createToc function
setTimeout(function() {createTOC();},100);
// Rebuild to TOC every minute
setInterval(function() {createTOC();},60000);
```