

Setup Today

1. Keep www.happygitwithr.com open
2. Go to www.github.com and make a free account
 - Pick a professional, short username; it's hard to change later. More tips at Chapter 4.1 of happygitwithr, <https://happygitwithr.com/github-acct.html#username-advice>
3. Make sure you have a recent version (v1.1 or later) of RStudio <https://www.rstudio.com/products/rstudio/download/#download>
4. Download these slides via:
<https://bit.ly/2XHXyl1>.


Happy Git and GitHub for the useR

Jenny Bryan, the STAT 545 TAs, Jim Hester

Let's Git started



2,412 contributions in the last year



Git for Students in the Social Sciences*: A Pitch

(* not software developers)

Shiro Kuriwaki

Presented March 5, 2019; Last updated March 8, 2019

Top Figure: From <https://github.com/kuriwaki>

About me

- ▶ G-4 in Government (American Politics, elections and representation)
- ▶ Before: Political data analytics (where I learned git from [Annie Wang](#))

About me

- ▶ G-4 in Government (American Politics, elections and representation)
- ▶ Before: Political data analytics (where I learned git from [Annie Wang](#))
- ▶ I do some software development,
- ▶ but most of my work is applied (“substantive”)

About me

- ▶ G-4 in Government (American Politics, elections and representation)
- ▶ Before: Political data analytics (where I learned git from [Annie Wang](#))
- ▶ I do some software development,
- ▶ but most of my work is applied (“substantive”)

An open question

- ▶ Version control is mandatory for programmers (and professional data scientists)

About me

- ▶ G-4 in Government (American Politics, elections and representation)
- ▶ Before: Political data analytics (where I learned git from [Annie Wang](#))
- ▶ I do some software development,
- ▶ but most of my work is applied (“substantive”)

An open question

- ▶ Version control is mandatory for programmers (and professional data scientists)
- ▶ but does it make sense for *applied* researchers who ...
- ▶ work with datasets that are

About me

- ▶ G-4 in Government (American Politics, elections and representation)
- ▶ Before: Political data analytics (where I learned git from [Annie Wang](#))
- ▶ I do some software development,
- ▶ but most of my work is applied (“substantive”)

An open question

- ▶ Version control is mandatory for programmers (and professional data scientists)
- ▶ but does it make sense for *applied* researchers who ...
- ▶ work with datasets that are **with collaborators, large,**

About me

- ▶ G-4 in Government (American Politics, elections and representation)
- ▶ Before: Political data analytics (where I learned git from [Annie Wang](#))
- ▶ I do some software development,
- ▶ but most of my work is applied (“substantive”)

An open question

- ▶ Version control is mandatory for programmers (and professional data scientists)
- ▶ but does it make sense for *applied* researchers who ...
- ▶ work with datasets that are **with collaborators, large, unstructured,**

About me

- ▶ G-4 in Government (American Politics, elections and representation)
- ▶ Before: Political data analytics (where I learned git from [Annie Wang](#))
- ▶ I do some software development,
- ▶ but most of my work is applied (“substantive”)

An open question

- ▶ Version control is mandatory for programmers (and professional data scientists)
- ▶ but does it make sense for *applied* researchers who ...
- ▶ work with datasets that are **with collaborators, large, unstructured, and prone to change?**

About me

- ▶ G-4 in Government (American Politics, elections and representation)
- ▶ Before: Political data analytics (where I learned git from [Annie Wang](#))
- ▶ I do some software development,
- ▶ but most of my work is applied ("substantive")

An open question

- ▶ Version control is mandatory for programmers (and professional data scientists)
- ▶ but does it make sense for *applied* researchers who ...
- ▶ work with datasets that are **with collaborators, large, unstructured, and prone to change?**

My perspective

Yes! But in moderation and in *lite*.
This deck is a pitch (while acknowledging Git's inconveniences) and introduction, rather than a full workshop or manual.

Setting expectations: Is it worth it?

What do Gentzkow and Shapiro say?

Definitely:

"It will probably take you a couple days to set up a repository and learn how you want to interact with [version control]. You will break even on that time investment within a month or two."[†]

[†] "Code and Data for Social Sciences: A Practitioners Guide." 2014. <https://perma.cc/5J9D-BTD6>. Although learning git in "a couple of days" sounds too optimistic (I certainly couldn't!), I can guarantee reading their guide in its entirety is a time investment you'll break even on immediately.

But takeup is still low,[‡]

and alternatives have attractive features too:

R Studio Community

Version control with Google Drive



Brett-Johnson

2018-01-08

I've experimented using Google Drive and GitHub with my team (a small ecological research team) for version control and collaboration. I've found that both have their uses and I'm keen to share how I've been doing it so that I can hear from others how they are doing things, and whether I'm on the right track.

I initially started off committing everything I worked on to GitHub in different sub folders in the same repo. All of my internal analyses that aren't meant for a public report or peer reviewed paper went into different folders in the same general 'internal' private repo. This worked all right when it was just me using the repo. But when I brought a co-worker into the mix, I  Quoted what a pain it actually is to try to collaborate on GitHub on a day to day basis. We were spending a load of time messing around with merge conflicts and all sorts of other un-intuitive issues. We felt GitHub was cumbersome for day to day analysis collaboration internally.

So now I would like to move back to simply using Google Drive for internal analyses. Google drive is great for version controlling (especially now that you can 'name versions' in Google Drive similar to a GitHub commit). I sometimes rely on the revision history of Google Drive to actually roll back a script, because it's way more intuitive than doing that in Git not to mention that every time you save your script in, it gets an un-named version in Google Drive, so the chances of not losing your work is actually greater using Google Drive. Google Drive allows you share all the files you and data you need, and using the here() package we shouldn't have to worry about working directories.

[‡] Anecdotally, I can count full Git users in my department in one hand. Much more in a Psych/lab setting.

Common Misconceptions

1. “Github is a data science tool for sharing data”

~~~

# Common Misconceptions

1. “Github is a data science tool for sharing data”
  - ~~ It’s built more for version controlling plain-text **code** (that analyzes data) and **text** (that documents it).
2. “Git is only relevant for software developers”
  - ~~

# Common Misconceptions

1. “Github is a data science tool for sharing data”
  - ~~ It’s built more for version controlling plain-text **code** (that analyzes data) and **text** (that documents it).
2. “Git is only relevant for software developers”
  - ~~ It also has distinct benefits for the applied researchers’ workflow
3. “Version control is *only* useful for collaborative projects”
  - ~~

# Common Misconceptions

1. “Github is a data science tool for sharing data”
    - ~~ It’s built more for version controlling plain-text **code** (that analyzes data) and **text** (that documents it).
  2. “Git is only relevant for software developers”
    - ~~ It also has distinct benefits for the applied researchers’ workflow
  3. “Version control is *only* useful for collaborative projects”
    - ~~ No, in fact we (Bryan’s book) recommend putting your **solo work** under version control,
    - then move on to more complicated collaborations.
- (That’s how I’ll organize the rest of these slides)

## Version Control with Yourself (and Your Past Selves)

# Terminology I - and recommended setup

- A version control system **tracks** changes in file content

# Terminology I - and recommended setup

- ▶ A version control system **tracks** changes in file content
- ▶ **Git** is a particular type of software for version control  
(Subversion, or SVN, is an alternative)



# Terminology I - and recommended setup

- ▶ A version control system **tracks** changes in file content
- ▶ **Git** is a particular type of software for version control  
(Subversion, or SVN, is an alternative)
- ▶ **GitHub** is an app (acquired by Microsoft) to host git on the web (Bitbucket and GitLab are alternatives)



# Terminology I - and recommended setup

- ▶ A version control system **tracks** changes in file content
- ▶ **Git** is a particular type of software for version control (Subversion, or SVN, is an alternative)
- ▶ **GitHub** is an app (acquired by Microsoft) to host git on the web (Bitbucket and GitLab are alternatives)
- ▶ A **desktop client** is an app that connects a webhost like Github to your computer and facilitates tasks otherwise done by **command-line** (here I use **RStudio**; Github Desktop is an alternative)



# Terminology I - and recommended setup

- ▶ A version control system **tracks** changes in file content
- ▶ **Git** is a particular type of software for version control (Subversion, or SVN, is an alternative)
- ▶ **GitHub** is an app (acquired by Microsoft) to host git on the web (Bitbucket and GitLab are alternatives)
- ▶ A **desktop client** is an app that connects a webhost like Github to your computer and facilitates tasks otherwise done by **command-line** (here I use **RStudio**; Github Desktop is an alternative)
- ▶ A **repository** is the fundamental unit of a version control project. It's just a regular project folder with a (hidden) subfolder named `.git` added to it. ( That `.git` contains the entirety of the project's versions)




Don't make a repository within a repository!

# Benefit 1: Keep track of how your results changed

Problem: You tweak a regression specification and re-run your script, re-writing dozens of tables.

How much did your results change?




cluster by rep\_icpsr

kuriwaki committed 22 days ago

1 parent 54226fa commit 9d0027382c5838d17b5b263084e98a5f3c7d2e16

Showing 14 changed files with 2,732 additions and 646 deletions.



11 papers/05\_accountability/tables/iv\_key-coefs.tex

@@ -4,17 +4,16 @@

4 4

5 5

6 6

7 7

8 8

9 9

10 10

11 11

12 12

Perceived issue agreement & 0.18& 0.15& 0.15& 0.22& 0.21& 0.17\\

- & (0.004)& (0.005)& (0.005)& (0.008)& (0.009)& (0.009)\\

+ & (0.006)& (0.007)& (0.007)& (0.01)& (0.01)& (0.01)\\

\addlinespace

Perceived party agreement & 0.30& 0.30& 0.30& 0.33& 0.33& 0.55\\

- & (0.004)& (0.004)& (0.007)& (0.007)& (0.008)& (0.01)\\

+ & (0.006)& (0.006)& (0.008)& (0.01)& (0.01)& (0.02)\\

\midrule

Average of Outcome & 0.06& 0.06& 0.06& 0.22& 0.22& 0.22\\

# Benefit 1: Keep track of how your results changed

You collect more data and re-run the regressions.

Now how did the results change?

after adding 2009 module remaineder (about 3000)

View file

| 12 papers/05_accountability/tables/iv_key-coefs.tex |    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |       |         |                                                                           |         |         |         |          |  |  |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|--|--|
| @@                                                  | @@ | -3,18                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | +3,18 | @@      | \multicolumn{3}{c}{\Outcome: Approval} \multicolumn{3}{c}{\Outcome: Vote} |         |         |         |          |  |  |
| 3                                                   | 3  | \cmidrule(lr){2-4}\cmidrule(lr){5-7}                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |       |         |                                                                           |         |         |         |          |  |  |
| 4                                                   | 4  | \multicolumn{1}{c}{\shortstack{OLS}}\multicolumn{1}{c}{\shortstack{IV\\1 instrument~}}\multicolumn{1}{c}{\shortstack{IV\\2 instruments}}\multicolumn{1}{c}{\shortstack{OLS}}\multicolumn{1}{c}{\shortstack{IV\\1 instrument~}}\multicolumn{1}{c}{\shortstack{IV\\2 instruments}}\\ |       |         |                                                                           |         |         |         |          |  |  |
| 5                                                   | 5  | \midrule                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |       |         |                                                                           |         |         |         |          |  |  |
| 6                                                   | -  | Perceived issue agreement                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | &     | 0.18&   | 0.15&                                                                     | 0.15&   | 0.22&   | 0.21&   | 0.17\\   |  |  |
| 6                                                   | +  | Perceived issue agreement                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | &     | 0.19&   | 0.17&                                                                     | 0.16&   | 0.22&   | 0.20&   | 0.17\\   |  |  |
| 7                                                   | 7  | \addlinespace                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |       |         |                                                                           |         |         |         |          |  |  |
| 9                                                   | -  | Perceived party agreement                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | &     | 0.30&   | 0.30&                                                                     | 0.30&   | 0.33&   | 0.33&   | 0.55\\   |  |  |
| 10                                                  | -  | (0.006)&                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |       |         |                                                                           |         |         |         |          |  |  |
| 9                                                   | +  | Perceived party agreement                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | &     | 0.29&   | 0.30&                                                                     | 0.32&   | 0.33&   | 0.33&   | 0.55\\   |  |  |
| 10                                                  | +  | (0.006)&                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |       |         |                                                                           |         |         |         |          |  |  |
| 11                                                  | 11 | \midrule                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |       |         |                                                                           |         |         |         |          |  |  |
| 12                                                  | 12 | Average of Outcome                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | &     | 0.06&   | 0.06&                                                                     | 0.06&   | 0.22&   | 0.22&   | 0.22\\   |  |  |
| 13                                                  | 13 | Std. Dev. of Outcome                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | &     | 0.69&   | 0.69&                                                                     | 0.69&   | 0.85&   | 0.85&   | 0.85\\   |  |  |
| 14                                                  | -  | R-squared                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | &     | 0.40&   | 0.40&                                                                     | 0.40&   | 0.39&   | 0.39&   | 0.36\\   |  |  |
| 15                                                  | -  | First Stage F-stat                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | &     | 30,249& |                                                                           |         | &       | 16,343& | \\       |  |  |
| 14                                                  | +  | R-squared                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | &     | 0.39&   | 0.39&                                                                     | 0.39&   | 0.39&   | 0.39&   | 0.36\\   |  |  |
| 15                                                  | +  | First Stage F-stat                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | &     | 34,367& |                                                                           |         | &       | 15,089& | \\       |  |  |
| 16                                                  | 16 | Clusters                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | &     | 847&    | 847&                                                                      | 847&    | 740&    | 739&    | 739\\    |  |  |
| 17                                                  | -  | Observations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | &     | 43,466& | 43,427&                                                                   | 43,427& | 23,619& | 23,603& | 23,603\\ |  |  |
| 17                                                  | +  | Observations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | &     | 45,605& | 45,556&                                                                   | 45,556& | 23,619& | 23,603& | 23,603\\ |  |  |

## Benefit 2: Tracking your paper versions

*Problem: You start writing up your paper, draft.tex*

- The next day, you make a new draft. Do you overwrite?

## Benefit 2: Tracking your paper versions

*Problem: You start writing up your paper, draft.tex*

- ▶ The next day, you make a new draft. Do you overwrite?
- ▶ Or do you call it draft\_0305.tex ?  
draft\_03052019.tex ?

## Benefit 2: Tracking your paper versions

*Problem: You start writing up your paper, draft.tex*

- ▶ The next day, you make a new draft. Do you overwrite?
- ▶ Or do you call it `draft_0305.tex` ?  
`draft_03052019.tex` ?
- ▶ The next week, you find a single typo. Do you “Save As” with a new date?

## Benefit 2: Tracking your paper versions

*Problem: You start writing up your paper, draft.tex*

- ▶ The next day, you make a new draft. Do you overwrite?
- ▶ Or do you call it `draft_0305.tex` ?  
`draft_03052019.tex` ?
- ▶ The next week, you find a single typo. Do you “Save As” with a new date?
- ▶ Three weeks later, you return to your paper. Your computer indicates that the file named `draft_0305.tex` was “Last modified March 12, 2019”.

## Benefit 2: Tracking your paper versions

**Problem:** You start writing up your paper, `draft.tex`

- ▶ The next day, you make a new draft. Do you overwrite?
  - ▶ Or do you call it `draft_0305.tex` `draft_03052019.tex` ?
  - ▶ The next week, you find a single typo. Do you “Save As” with a new date?
  - ▶ Three weeks later, you return to your paper. Your computer indicates that the file named `draft_0305.tex` was “Last modified March 12, 2019”.

Showing 5 changed files with 62 additions and 51 deletions.

Unified Split

analyze/06\_rcv\_accountability.do

View file

```
2 @@ -275,7 +275,7 @@ esttab est1 est3 est5 est2 est4 est6 using "papers/05_accountability/tables/iv_k
275 275     span erepeat(\cmidrule(lr){span}) /////
276 276     mtitle("\shortstack{OLS}" "\shortstack{IV\1 instrument}" "\shortstack{IV\2 instruments}" "\shortstack{OLS}" "\short
277 277     b(2) se(a1) ///
278 278 - addnotes("All other variables and intercept not shown") ///
278 278 + addnotes("All other variables and intercept not shown. All IV estimates include year fixed effects.") ///
279 279     stats\ymean ysd r2 N, ///
280 280     fmt(2 2 46,0fc) ///
281 281     labels("Average of Outcome" "Std. Dev. of Outcome" "R-squared" "Observations") ///
282 282
```

82 papers/05\_accountability/ajk.tex

View file

259 259

260 260 `\subsection{Operationalization of Key Variables} \label{sec:operationalization}`

261 261

262 - Our key measures of perceived agreement are built from the responses to the perception questions in the CCES Module (a random subset of the entire study), combined with their own stances to the same issues on the same question. An example of such perception questions from 2017 is reproduced in Exhibit `\ref{fig:perception_question}`.

262 + Our key measures of perceived agreement are built from the responses to the perception questions in the CCES Module (a random subset of the entire study), combined with their own stances to the same issues on the same question. An example of such perception questions from 2017 is reproduced in Exhibit `\ref{fig:perception_question}`. Throughout, we limit our attention to the House primarily due to space restrictions.

263 263


264 + We also construct instruments for our measure of perceived agreement by collecting matching roll call vote data from the `\texttt{NOMINATE}` database (`\url{https://voteview.com/}`). To facilitate the interpretability of regression coefficients, we intentionally define all of variables on a `-1` to `+1` scale. Table `\ref{tab:summary_stats}` presents summary statistics, and a description of each of the variables follows.

264 + We also construct instruments for our measure of perceived agreement by collecting matching roll call vote data from the `\texttt{Voteview}` database (`\url{https://voteview.com/}`). To facilitate the interpretability of regression coefficients, we intentionally define all of variables on a `-1` to `+1` scale. Table `\ref{tab:summary_stats}` presents summary statistics, and a description of each of the variables follows.

# Benefit 3: And more cool stuff like

Getting a free, customizable,  
ad-free website

(instead of a click-and-drag  
Wordpress/Squarespace website)



kuriwaki / kuriwaki.github.io

Code Issues 0 Pull requests 0 Projects 0 Wiki Insights Settings

Unwatch 1 Star 0 Fork 0

<https://www.shirokuriwaki.com>

Manage topics

Edit


155 commits 1 branch 0 releases 1 environment 1 contributor

Branch: master New pull request Create new file Upload files Find file Clone or download

| Commit      | Message                                            | Time         |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| spacing     | Latest commit 5f290f0 7 days ago                   |              |
| apps        | updated version of db manual                       | a month ago  |
| cv          | up                                                 | a month ago  |
| datasets    | upload cvr sample                                  | 3 months ago |
| javascripts | Replace master branch with page content via GitHub | 4 years ago  |
| papers      | updated poster, asia polmeth                       | 2 months ago |
| prefresher  | correct trendline graph                            | 2 years ago  |
| programming | image                                              | 3 months ago |
| stylesheets | spacing                                            | 7 days ago   |

# Benefit 3: And more cool stuff like

**Work on a collaborative workbook**  
(instead of needing to add people to  
your Dropbox)



The screenshot shows a website layout for the "Gov Prefresher". The left sidebar contains a navigation menu with the following items:

- About this Booklet
- Authors and Contributors
- Contributing
- Pre-Prefresher Exercises
- I Math
  - 1 Linear Algebra
  - 2 Functions and Operations
  - 3 Limits
  - 4 Calculus
  - 5 Optimization
  - 6 Probability Theory
- II Programming
  - 7 Orientation and Reading in Data
  - 8 Manipulating Vectors and Matrices
  - 9 Visualization
  - 10 Objects, Functions, Loops
  - 11 Joins and Merges, Wide and Long

The main content area on the right features a title and a date:

## Math Prefresher for Political Scientists

February 2019

### About this Booklet


The Harvard Gov Prefresher is held each year in August. All relevant information is on our website, including the day-to-day schedule. The 2018 Prefresher instructors were Shiro Kuriwaki and Yon Soo Park, and the faculty sponsor is Gary King.

This booklet serves as the text for the Prefresher. It is the product of generations of Prefresher Instructors. See below for a full list of instructors and contributors.

## Benefit 3: And more cool stuff like

## Work on a collaborative workbook

(instead of needing to add people to your Dropbox)




# Benefit 3: And more cool stuff like

## Contributing to / getting the latest on actual software packages

Github issues is the de facto communication of open-source developers.\*

As a researcher or lab group, **creating your own package** for internal use is not far-fetched: common functions and critical workhorse functions that get tweaked should be bundled in a R package and tracked. Try it out!



tidyverse / haven

Code Issues 16 Pull requests 1 Insights Watch 26

validate\_dta only checks first column for labelled #326

Closed kuriwaki opened this issue on Dec 17, 2017 · 4 comments

kuriwaki commented on Dec 17, 2017

validate\_dta only checks the first column for integer+labelled:

```
haven/R/haven.R
Line 247 in 7f72b479
247   bad_labels <- is_labelled && !is_integer
```

Shouldn't it check all columns? MWE:

```
library(haven)

s1 <- labelled(c("M", "M", "F"), c(Male = "M", Female = "F"))
s2 <- labelled(c(1L, 1L, 2L), c(Male = 1L, Female = 2L))
labelled_df <- data.frame(s1, s2)

## appropriately fails because s1 is not integer
write_dta(labelled_df, "labelled.dta")
#> Error: Stata only supports labelled integers.
#> Problems: 's1', 's2'

## swapping columns should fail for same reason (?), but doesn't
write_dta(labelled_df, c("s2", "s1")), "labelled.dta"
```

hadley commented on Jan 7, 2018


Doh!

hadley added the bug label on Jan 7, 2018

\* The usual introduction to GitHub would spend most of the time discussing this topic! Package development in Git: <http://r-pkgs.had.co.nz/git.html>.


## Terminology II: Pushing commits

- Files increment by **commits**. The line-by-line changes between a pair of commits is a **diff**.




# Terminology II: Pushing commits

- ▶ Files increment by **commits**. The line-by-line changes between a pair of commits is a **diff**.
- ▶ Commits are explicit, not automatic: Unlike the `Cmd + S` Save, commits are labelled by a human-readable **message**, and a serial code called a **SHA** (like `992bb07` ).
- ▶ And git requires you `stage` a change by **adding it**, before turning it a commit. (but let's worry about this later)



# Terminology II: Pushing commits

- ▶ Files increment by **commits**. The line-by-line changes between a pair of commits is a **diff**.
- ▶ Commits are explicit, not automatic: Unlike the `Cmd + S` Save, commits are labelled by a human-readable **message**, and a serial code called a **SHA** (like `992bb07` ).
- ▶ And git requires you `stage` a change by **adding** it, before turning it a commit. (but let's worry about this later)
- ▶ Git sees a files as essentially an accumulation of commits. That accumulation is a **branch**. (this naming choice makes more sense with more than one "branch.")




## Terminology III: local and remote, push and pull

- ▶ Two copies of your repo exist: the **local** on your computer, and a **remote** (hosted on Github, with URL

`https://github.com/user/repo.git` ),

# Terminology III: local and remote, push and pull

- ▶ Two copies of your repo exist: the **local** on your computer, and a **remote** (hosted on Github, with URL <https://github.com/user/repo.git>), which has the name **origin**
- ▶ Once you make commits on your local, you **push** them to your remote. (Imagine an upward push, from the ground to the cloud)
- ▶ The opposite of this is a **pull**. (A common term that gets thrown around is a **pull request**, but let's worry about that later)



## Now, some caveats

**Only plain-text files get tracked line-by-line**

So non plain-text files:

e.g. PDFs ( .pdf ),

## Now, some caveats

**Only plain-text files get tracked line-by-line**

So non plain-text files:

e.g. PDFs ( .pdf ), JPEGs,

## Now, some caveats

**Only plain-text files get tracked line-by-line**

So non plain-text files:

e.g. PDFs ( .pdf ), JPEGs, Microsoft Word,

## Now, some caveats

**Only plain-text files get tracked line-by-line**

So non plain-text files:

e.g. PDFs ( .pdf ), JPEGs, Microsoft Word, Powerpoint,

Excel ( xlsx ), Google Docs,

## Now, some caveats

**Only plain-text files get tracked line-by-line**

So non plain-text files:

e.g. PDFs ( .pdf ), JPEGs, Microsoft Word, Powerpoint,  
Excel ( .xlsx ), Google Docs, .sav , .por , .dta , .Rds ,  
RData ...

can be tracked, but git's value-add is small here.

## Now, some caveats

**Only plain-text files get tracked line-by-line**

So non plain-text files:

e.g. PDFs ( `.pdf` ), JPEGs, Microsoft Word, Powerpoint,  
Excel ( `xlsx` ), Google Docs, `.sav` , `.por` , `.dta` , `.Rds` ,  
`RData` ...

can be tracked, but git's value-add is small here.

**Therefore, requires a switch to working with**

# Now, some caveats

**Only plain-text files get tracked line-by-line**

So non plain-text files:

e.g. PDFs ( `.pdf` ), JPEGs, Microsoft Word, Powerpoint,  
Excel ( `xlsx` ), Google Docs, `.sav` , `.por` , `.dta` , `.Rds` ,  
`RData` ...

can be tracked, but git's value-add is small here.

**Therefore, requires a switch to working with**

Markdown ( `.md` ) and TeX ( `.tex` ) for writing,

# Now, some caveats

**Only plain-text files get tracked line-by-line**

So non plain-text files:

e.g. PDFs ( `.pdf` ), JPEGs, Microsoft Word, Powerpoint,  
Excel ( `xlsx` ), Google Docs, `.sav` , `.por` , `.dta` , `.Rds` ,  
`RData` ...

can be tracked, but git's value-add is small here.

**Therefore, requires a switch to working with**

Markdown ( `.md` ) and TeX ( `.tex` ) for writing, code ( `.R` ,  
`.py` )-centered output, small datasets in `.csv` or `.txt` ,

# Now, some caveats

Only plain-text files get tracked line-by-line

So non plain-text files:

e.g. PDFs ( `.pdf` ), JPEGs, Microsoft Word, Powerpoint, Excel ( `xlsx` ), Google Docs, `.sav` , `.por` , `.dta` , `.Rds` , RData ...

can be tracked, but git's value-add is small here.


Therefore, requires a switch to working with

Markdown ( `.md` ) and TeX ( `.tex` ) for writing, code ( `.R` , `.py` )-centered output, small datasets in `.csv` or `.txt` , interweavers like `.Rmd` .

Kieran Healy, *"The Plain Person's Guide to Plain Text Social Science."*

GitHub places a 100MB cap on each file, and a 1GB cap on the entire directory. Anything larger is **not** trackable in GitHub.

Git is not built for storing data!




A screenshot of a GitHub commit page for a file named `tweak` in the `karuki/githup-demo` repository. The commit message is "karuki committed 30 seconds ago". The commit hash is `67782a4ed8a5368d1cb6234f8e50c195363704cc`. The commit shows 2 changed files with 8 additions and 3 deletions, totaling 74 bytes (100% presentation-slides/karuki/githup.pdf). A red circle highlights the file name `presentation-slides/karuki/githup.pdf` in the commit message. The file content is a large binary blob of data, with the first few lines being:

```
00 -45.7 +45.7 00
43 43 \begin{widetext}
44 44 \item G-4 In Government
45 47 \item American Politics, elections and representation
46 48 - \item Before A year in politics\item data analytics (where I learned git from \url{https://www.jw.com})
47 49 + \item Before: \url{https://www.jw.com} data analytics (where I learned git from \url{https://www.jw.com})\item
48 50 \end{widetext}\pause
49 51 \begin{widetext}
50 52 \item Version control is mandatory for programmers (and professional data scientists)\item
51 53 \item But does it make sense for \url{https://www.jw.com} researchers
52 55 - \item Who work with datasets that are \code{pvalue} (large), \code{pvalue} \code{>alert} (unstructured), \code{pvalue}
53 57 + \item But does it make sense for \url{https://www.jw.com} (with collaborators)
54 58 \item Who work with datasets that are \code{pvalue} (large), \code{pvalue} \code{>alert} (unstructured), \code{pvalue}
55 59 + \item But does it make sense for \url{https://www.jw.com} (with collaborators)
56 62 - \item Who work with datasets that are \code{pvalue} (large), \code{pvalue} \code{>alert} (unstructured), \code{pvalue}
57 63 + \item Who work with datasets that are \code{pvalue} (large), \code{pvalue} \code{>alert} (unstructured), \code{pvalue}
58 64 \end{widetext}
```

~~ Rely on the usual Dropbox / Google Drive / Dataverse / Cloud Servers for that

# Another caveat: Tracking a long line (like a paragraph) is not as useful

- ▶ The unit of a change is a “line”
- ▶ Git was for **programmers**, whose line of text is short (< 50 characters)



The image shows a GitHub commit page for the repository `paul-buerkner / brms`. The commit is titled "fix lower truncation bound in discrete models" and was committed by `paul-buerkner` 25 days ago. It has 1 parent commit `773a914` and a commit hash `966947e8b2573bd0014213fec4af58f7f70e0997`. The commit message includes a link to "Browse files".

Showing 2 changed files with 3 additions and 2 deletions. The files are `R/stan-likelihood.R` and `tests/testthat/tests.make_stancode.R`. The diff highlights changes in lines 194-201 of `R/stan-likelihood.R` and lines 284-290 of `tests/testthat/tests.make_stancode.R`. The GitHub interface includes "Unified" and "Split" view options.

**R/stan-likelihood.R**

```
3 @@ -194,7 +194,8 @@ stan_llh_trunc <- function(llh, bterms, data, resp = "", short = FALSE) {  
194 194     if (!any(bounds$lb > -Inf | bounds$ub < Inf)) {  
195 195         return("")  
196 196     }  
197 -     lb <- str_if(any(bounds$lb > -Inf), glue("lb{resp}[n]"))  
197 +     m1 <- str_if(use_int(bterms), " - 1")  
198 +     lb <- str_if(any(bounds$lb > -Inf), glue("lb{resp}[n]{m1}"))  
198 199     ub <- str_if(any(bounds$ub < Inf), glue("ub{resp}[n]"))  
199 200     if (short) {  
200 201         # truncation using T[ , ] syntax  
201  
201
```

**tests/testthat/tests.make\_stancode.R**

```
2 @@ -284,7 +284,7 @@ test_that("truncation appears in the Stan code", {  
284 284     data = epilepsy, family = "poisson"  
285 285     expect_match2(scode, "target += poisson_lpmf(Y[n] | mu[n]) ~")  
286 286     expect_match2(scode,  
287 -     "log_diff_exp(poisson_lcdf(ub[n] | mu[n]), poisson_lcdf(lb[n] | mu[n]));"  
287 +     "log_diff_exp(poisson_lcdf(ub[n] | mu[n]), poisson_lcdf(lb[n] + 1 | mu[n]));"  
288 288     )  
289 289 })  
290 290  
290
```

# Another caveat: Tracking a long line (like a paragraph) is not as useful

- ▶ The unit of a change is a “line”
- ▶ Git was for **programmers**, whose line of text is short (< 50 characters)
- ▶ For **social scientists**, one line of text is a paragraph (> 1000 characters)

|     |     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-----|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 150 | 150 | individuals' attachment to the institution of slavery.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| 151 |     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- In the final part of the paper, we try to shed light on some of the mechanisms for these effects. Even though slaveowners as a whole had incentives to fight against the Union, any individual slaveowner would still face incentives to shirk and avoid risking death in war. Inevitably, these analyses are more speculative, but we document patterns that suggest local communities organized to encourage collective action. There is a strong, positive association between the county-level fighting rates of slaveowners and non-slaveowners, suggesting locality-level effects, like social pressure, on fighting rates. Counties with a higher percentage of slaveowners also exhibit higher fighting rates, on average.</li></ul> |
|     | 151 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>+ In the final set of analyses, we try to shed light on some of the mechanisms for these effects. Even though slaveowners as a whole had incentives to fight against the Union, any individual slaveowner would still face incentives to shirk and avoid risking death in war. Inevitably, these analyses are more speculative, but we document patterns that suggest local communities organized to encourage collective action. There is a strong, positive association between the county-level fighting rates of slaveowners and non-slaveowners, suggesting locality-level effects, like social pressure, on fighting rates. Counties with a higher percentage of slaveowners also exhibit higher fighting rates, on average.</li></ul>   |
| 152 | 152 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 153 | 153 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 154 |     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The paper contributes to the broader literature on the relationship between political institutions and violent conflict by assessing an important historical debate in a case that seems to have fallen in between political science's subfields. The main finding of this paper—that wealthier slaveowners were on average more likely to fight for the Confederate Army than non-slaveowners—demonstrates how the individuals who had the greatest stake in the continuation of the institution of slavery were the most likely to fight in defense of it.</li></ul>                                                                                                                                                                       |
|     | 154 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>+ The article contributes to the broader literature on the relationship between political institutions and violent conflict by assessing an important historical debate in a case that seems to have fallen in between political science's subfields. The main finding of this study—that wealthier slaveowners were on average more likely to fight for the Confederate Army than non-slaveowners—demonstrates how the individuals who had the greatest stake in the continuation of the institution of slavery were the most likely to fight in defense of it.</li></ul>                                                                                                                                                                     |

# Another caveat: Tracking a long line (like a paragraph) is not as useful

- ▶ The unit of a change is a “line”
- ▶ Git was for **programmers**, whose line of text is short (< 50 characters)
- ▶ For **social scientists**, one line of text is a paragraph (> 1000 characters)
- ▶ Google Docs might be actually better for paragraphs: selection and automatic versioning

The screenshot shows a Google Doc with a long paragraph of text. The text discusses the H.R. 3018 immigration bill, mentioning its goals of spending \$25 billion on border security, eliminating the diversity visa lottery, and providing a path to citizenship for DACA recipients. Below the text is a list of 7 options for a dropdown menu. The sidebar on the right lists tracked changes from August 1 to 14, 2018, with the most recent changes (from August 13 and 14) highlighted in blue.

AUGUST 2018

- August 14, 10:53 PM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ **August 13, 5:49 PM**  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ August 13, 2:35 PM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ August 8, 6:19 PM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ August 7, 12:16 PM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ August 7, 10:50 AM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ August 6, 11:59 AM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ August 4, 10:05 PM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ August 4, 5:42 PM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ August 4, 3:16 PM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ August 3, 4:52 PM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- August 3, 3:12 PM  
● Shiro Kuriwaki
- ▶ **August 1, 2:32 PM**  
● Shiro Kuriwaki

# And to top it off, git is chock full of jargon

## git-unfold-index(1) Manual Page

[Permalink](#)

### NAME

`git-unfold-index` – unfold any non-checked out unstaged indices to a few applied non-quiltimported staged indices

### SYNOPSIS

```
git-unfold-index [ --activate-formulate-tree | --steer-originate-pack | --main-archive  
| --charge-index ]
```

### DESCRIPTION

`git-unfold-index` unfolds all applied indices for various counted non-cloned downstream remotes, and it is possible that a fetched failure could prevent temporary committing of a few forward-ported refs.

Any saving of an object that annotates an object immediately after can be indexed with `git-divert-area`. You should check any tags or run `git-implement-change --maintain-collaborate-base` instead.

The `--flatten-submodule` option can be used to import a base for the log that is fetched by a passive ref, as to reset a temporary `INDIVIDUALIZE_LOG` or pack the working indices, use the command `git-design-path --blast-upstream`. Provided that `<slam-pack>` is fcked, any pushed archives are removed to `FLICK_BASE` by `git-above-submodule`, because the same set of stashes would sometimes be fcked in an automatic base.

`<oldarchives>` is patched to cherry-pick the tip of any refs below the remote, because the same set of stages would sometimes be failed in a temporary change. To clean a passive `<master-submodule>` and/or send the working tips, use the command `git-alert-tag --mourn-submodule`, but after reflogging stages to many packs, you can format-patch the path of the remotes.

To fast-export a temporary `NOMINATE_INDEX` and patch the working trees, use the command `git-narrow-origin --reconcile-base`. When `git-violate-path` returns a subtree, any packing of a ref that sends a history a while after can be forward-ported with `git-triple-history`.

### OPTIONS

**--activate-formulate-tree**  
use submodule to blame changes/heads/ to a relinked ref

**--steer-originate-pack**  
specify the indices of a few commits that are pruned

**--main-archive**  
the change may be stressed by a requested object

**--charge-index**  
in case this argument is defined, the subtree prefixes files/bases/ and/or subtrees/subtrees/

# And to top it off, git is chock full of jargon

This is NOT real git documentation! Read carefully, and click the button to generate a new man page.

## git-unfold-index(1) Manual Page

[Permalink](#)

[Generate new man page](#)

### NAME

`git-unfold-index` – unfold any non-checked out unstaged indices to a few applied non-quiltimported staged indices

### SYNOPSIS

```
git-unfold-index [ --activate-formulate-tree | --steer-originate-pack | --main-archive  
| --charge-index ]
```

### DESCRIPTION

`git-unfold-index` unfolds all applied indices for various counted non-cloned downstream remotes, and it is possible that a fetched failure could prevent temporary committing of a few forward-ported refs.

Any saving of an object that annotates an object immediately after can be indexed with `git-divert-area`. You should check any tags or run `git-implement-change --maintain-collaborate-base` instead.

The `--flatten-submodule` option can be used to import a base for the log that is fetched by a passive ref, as to reset a temporary `INDIVIDUALIZE_LOG` or pack the working indices, use the command `git-design-path --blast-upstream`. Provided that `<slam-pack>` is fcked, any pushed archives are removed to `FLICK_BASE` by `git-above-submodule`, because the same set of stashes would sometimes be fcked in an automatic base. `<oldarchives>` is patched to cherry-pick the tip of any refs below the remote, because the same set of stages would sometimes be failed in a temporary change. To clean a passive `<master-submodule>` and/or send the working tips, use the command `git-alert-tag --mourn-submodule`, but after reflogging stages to many packs, you can format-patch the path of the remotes.

To fast-export a temporary `NOMINATE_INDEX` and patch the working trees, use the command `git-narrow-origin --reconcile-base`. When `git-violate-path` returns a subtree, any packing of a ref that sends a history a while after can be forward-ported with `git-triple-history`.

### OPTIONS

**--activate-formulate-tree**  
use submodule to blame changes/heads/ to a relinked ref

**--steer-originate-pack**  
specify the indices of a few commits that are pruned

**--main-archive**  
the change may be stressed by a requested object

**--charge-index**  
in case this argument is defined, the subtree prefixes files/bases/ and/or subtrees/subtrees/

# And to top it off, git is chock full of jargon

This is NOT real git documentation! Read carefully, and click the button to generate a new man page.

## git-unfold-index(1) Manual Page

[Permalink](#)

[Generate new man page](#)

### NAME

`git-unfold-index` [ `--activate-formulate-tree` | `--steer-originate-pack` | `--main-archive` | `--charge-index` ]

### SYNOPSIS

`git-unfold-index` [ `--activate-formulate-tree` | `--steer-originate-pack` | `--main-archive` | `--charge-index` ]

### DESCRIPTION

`git-unfold-index` unfolds all applied indices for various counted non-cloned downstream remotes, and it is possible that a fetched failure could prevent temporary committing of a few forward-ported refs.

Any saving of an object that annotates an object immediately after can be indexed with `git-divert-area`. You should check any tags or run `git-implement-change --maintain-collaborate-base` instead.

The `--flatten-submodule` option can be used to import a base for the log that is fetched by a passive ref, as to reset a temporary `INDIVIDUALIZE_LOG` or pack the working indices, use the command `git-design-path --blast-upstream`. Provided that `<slam-pack>` is tacked, any pushed archives are removed to `FLICK_BASE` by `git-above-submodule`, because the same set of stashes would sometimes be flicked in an automatic base.

`<oldarchives>` is patched to cherry-pick the tip of any refs below the remote, because the same set of stages would sometimes fail in a temporary change. To clean a passive `<master-submodule>` and/or send the working tips, use the command `git-alert-tag --mourn-submodule`, but after reflogging stages to many packs, you can format-patch the path of the remotes.

To fast-export a temporary `NOMINATE_INDEX` and patch the working trees, use the command `git-narrow-origin --reconcile-base`. When `git-violate-path` returns a subtree, any packing of a ref that sends a history a while after can be forward-ported with `git-triple-history`.


### OPTIONS

`--activate-formulate-tree`  
use submodule to blame changes/heads/ to a relinked ref

`--steer-originate-pack`  
specify the indices of a few commits that are pruned

`--main-archive`  
the change may be stressed by a requested object

`--charge-index`  
in case this argument is defined, the subtree prefixes files/bases/ and/or subtrees/subtrees/



# That said, I think Git/GitHub is still worth it

- ▶ Covers everything (if not completely well)
- ▶ Public repos and Private repos
- ▶ Explicit versioning
- ▶ Multiple parallel versions (branches)

## Version Control with Others

# Demo, in “GitHub first, then git” ordering

## 1. Someone else's repository

- ▶ Familiarize yourself with <https://github.com/fivethirtyeight/guns-data>.
- ▶ From RStudio, create a New RStudio Project with Version Control > Git > Provide the URL
- ▶ Make a change in Michael Casselman's code.
- ▶ → commit with the Git pane on the top-right.
- ▶ Try “pushing” it: It **won't** work, because `fivethirtyeight/guns` is not *your* remote repo. (the local repo is yours)

## 2. Retry after “Forking”


- ▶ Visit <https://github.com/fivethirtyeight/guns-data> again but now click “Fork”
- ▶ Verify that it leads you to *your* GitHub account. Otherwise the same.
- ▶ Creating another RStudio Project with the new URL.
- ▶ Try the same change in Casselman's code, commit, then push
- ▶ This **will** work, because the remote is yours

## 3. Your repository

- ▶ We used someone else's repo for beginning users, but usually **you create your own repo from scratch**
- ▶ Create a “new repository” on your GitHub account
- ▶ Create a RStudio Project with that new URL of yours
- ▶ Throw in files into your local repo: push, pull, diff!

# Terminology IV: Parallel version control, a.k.a branching


Branches are parallel universes of your own repository



- ▶ The first/main branch is called **master** by convention.

# Terminology IV: Parallel version control, a.k.a branching


Branches are parallel universes of your own repository



- ▶ The first/main branch is called **master** by convention.
- ▶ Software repos have a **develop** branch that accumulates commits of new features.

# Terminology IV: Parallel version control, a.k.a branching

Branches are parallel universes of your own repository



- ▶ The first/main branch is called **master** by convention.
- ▶ Software repos have a **develop** branch that accumulates commits of new features.
- ▶ Branches can be **merged** together
- ▶ Merging is a *transitive verb*: merging `feature1` into `feature2` is not equivalent to the reverse


## Forks

are linked carbon copies you make of *other people's* repositories.

- ▶ If a repo is a public, you can always fork it without permission
- ▶ Even though your fork is "linked", they are different repos now: To synch, you need to explicitly pull.

## Clone

is the general term for copying a remote repo down to your local.



# What's Next

## Learn by starting small

(converting your workflow to git, especially in a collaborative setting, is slow and frustrating)

1. Create and work with a (private) repo on your own
2. Learn some git with command-line (instead of relying solely on a client) through git tutorials
3. Start sharing and contributing

## Thanks

Inspirations and most infographics from

- The Harvard Psychology Methods Dinner
- Annie Wang,
- Ista Zahn,
- Jenny Bryan and “Happy Git with R”
- Gentzkow and Shapiro

Questions / requests for more walkthroughs:  
[kuriwaki@g.harvard.edu](mailto:kuriwaki@g.harvard.edu)