

Prime number heuristics

Primes by chance?

Torsten Ekedahl

February 21, 2005

The grand plan

1 Probable primes

- The prime number theorem
- Twinning primes
- Sifting primes

1 Prime renormalisation

- Twins revisited
- Another go
- Pitfalls

1 Cramér's model

Number of primes

- $\pi(x)$ number of primes $\leq x$
- Prime number theorem

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

- π grows slowly \rightsquigarrow

$$\pi(x) - \pi(y) \approx \frac{x - y}{\log x}$$

- Heuristic (=crazy) interpretation:

$$\text{Prob}(n \text{ is prime}) = \frac{1}{\log n}$$

- Expected number of primes $\leq x$:

$$\sum_{2 \leq n \leq x} \frac{1}{\log n}$$

Reality check

Compare this prediction with $\pi(x)$ och $x / \log x$:

| n | $\pi(10^n)$ | $10^n / \log 10^n$ | Expectation |
|-----|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| 1 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| 2 | 25 | 22 | 30 |
| 3 | 168 | 145 | 178 |
| 4 | 1229 | 1086 | 1246 |
| 5 | 9592 | 8686 | 9630 |
| 6 | 78498 | 72382 | 78628 |
| 7 | 664579 | 620421 | 664918 |
| 8 | 5761455 | 5428681 | 5762209 |
| 9 | 50847534 | 48254942 | 50849235 |
| 10 | 455052511 | 434294482 | 455055615 |

Approx. half the numbers right; probabilistically reasonable.

Twin primes

n and $n + 2$ are primes

$$\text{Prob}(n \text{ and } n+2 \text{ prime}) = \frac{1}{\log n} \cdot \frac{1}{\log(n+2)}$$

| x | Twins | Expect. value | Quotient |
|----------|-------|---------------|----------|
| 10 | 2 | 3.4038 | 0.5876 |
| 100 | 8 | 9.8001 | 0.8163 |
| 1000 | 35 | 34.1945 | 1.0236 |
| 10000 | 205 | 161.7370 | 1.2675 |
| 100000 | 1224 | 945.2490 | 1.2949 |
| 1000000 | 8169 | 6246.4600 | 1.3078 |
| 10000000 | 58980 | 44499.0000 | 1.3254 |
| 15000000 | 83660 | 63241.4000 | 1.3229 |

Wrong limit!

The sieve of Eratosthenes

- Single prime divisibility

$$\text{Prob}(p \mid n) = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$$

- Chinese remainder theorem \rightsquigarrow

$$\text{Prob}(p \mid n \wedge q \mid n) = \text{Prob}(p \mid n) \text{Prob}(q \mid n)$$

- Sift repeatedly:

$$\text{Prob}(n \text{ is prime}) = \prod_{p \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

- Elementary fact:

$$\prod_{p \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log n}, \gamma = 0.577216\dots$$

$$\text{Prob}(n \text{ is prime}) \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log n}$$

$$e^{-\gamma} \approx 0.561459 \neq 1$$

- Modify:

$$\text{Prob}(n \text{ is prime}) = \prod_{p \leq \sqrt{n}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sim \frac{e^{-\gamma}}{\log \sqrt{n}} = \frac{2e^{-\gamma}}{\log n}$$

- $2e^{-\gamma} \approx 1.12292$. Close but no cigar!
- Error term:

$$\text{Prob}(p \nmid n) = 1 - \frac{1}{p} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{p}{n}\right)$$

Heuristic assumptions

- $2e^{-\gamma}$ because of “interval tails”.
- The needed corrections are the same for all our problems.
- We can “renormalise” (give ∞/∞ a specific value).

$$\text{Prob}(n \text{ and } n+2 \text{ primes}) = \\ \frac{\text{Prob}(n \text{ and } n+2 \text{ primes})}{\text{Prob}(m \text{ and } n \text{ prime})} \text{Prob}(m \text{ and } n \text{ prime})$$

m and n random with $m \approx n \rightsquigarrow$

$$\text{Prob}(m \text{ and } n \text{ prime}) = \frac{1}{\log^2 n}$$

$$\text{Prob}(n \text{ and } n+2 \text{ primes}) = \\ \frac{\text{Prob}(n \text{ and } n+2 \text{ primes})}{\text{Prob}(m \text{ and } n \text{ prime})} \frac{1}{\log^2 n}$$

“Tail errors” will (hopefully) cancel.

$$\text{Prob}(m, m' \text{ primes}) = \prod_{p < n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2$$

$$\text{Prob}(n, n+2 \text{ primes}) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{2 < p < n} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)$$

Not independent!

$$\frac{\text{Prob}(n, n+2 \text{ primes})}{\text{Prob}(m, m' \text{ primes})} = 2 \prod_{2 < p < n} \frac{1 - \frac{2}{p}}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2}$$

Conclusion

$$\frac{1 - \frac{2}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^2} = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{p^2}\right)$$

~~~

$$\prod_{2 < p} \frac{1 - \frac{2}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^2} \text{ is convergent}$$

Renormalisation!

~~~

$$\pi_{twin}(x) \sim 2 \prod_{2 < p} \frac{1 - \frac{2}{p}}{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^2} \frac{x}{\log^2 x}$$

Reality check

| x | Twins | Expect. value | Quotient |
|----------|-------|---------------|----------|
| 10 | 2 | 4.4942 | 0.4450 |
| 100 | 8 | 12.9393 | 0.6183 |
| 1000 | 35 | 45.1478 | 0.7752 |
| 10000 | 205 | 213.5452 | 0.9600 |
| 100000 | 1224 | 1248.0346 | 0.9807 |
| 1000000 | 8169 | 8247.3488 | 0.9905 |
| 10000000 | 58980 | 58753.0813 | 1.0039 |
| 15000000 | 83660 | 83499.1149 | 1.0019 |

The problem

**How many prime numbers
of the form $n^2 + 1$ are there?**

Tentative answer

With $m \approx n^2 + 1$:

$$\frac{\text{Prob}(n^2 + 1 \text{ prime})}{\text{Prob}(m \text{ prime})} \text{Prob}(m \text{ prime})$$

$$\text{Prob}(m \text{ prime}) = \frac{1}{\log m} = \frac{1}{\log(n^2 + 1)} \approx \frac{1}{2 \log n}$$

Easy part:

$$\text{Prob}(m \text{ prime}) = \prod_{p < m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

Prob($n^2 + 1$ prime)

- $p|n^2 + 1 \iff n^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$
- $\exists n: n^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p} \implies (-1)^{(p-1)/2} \equiv n^{p-1} \equiv 1$
- $\rightsquigarrow p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$
- Converse also true

Conclusion

- $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$: $\text{Prob}(p \mid n^2 + 1) = 1 - 2/p$ (**2 sol's**)
- $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$: $\text{Prob}(p \mid n^2 + 1) = 1 - 0/p$ (**No sol's**)

~~~

$$\text{Prob}(n^2 + 1 \text{ prime}) = \prod_{p < n^2 + 1} \left(1 - \frac{c_p}{p}\right)$$

$$c_p = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\text{Prob}(n^2 + 1 \text{ prime})}{\text{Prob}(m \text{ prime})} = \prod_{2 < p} \frac{1 - \frac{c_p}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}}$$
 is **convergent**

# Final formula

$$\pi_{n^2+1}(x) \sim \prod_{2 < p} \frac{1 - \frac{c_p}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{\log x}$$

## Reality check

$$\prod_{2 < p} \frac{1 - \frac{c_p}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \approx 1.37278$$

| $n$     | $\pi_{n^2+1}$ | Expect. value | Quotient |
|---------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| 100     | 19            | 20.4147       | 0.930703 |
| 1000    | 112           | 121.727       | 0.920095 |
| 10000   | 841           | 855.906       | 0.982584 |
| 100000  | 6656          | 6616.37       | 1.00599  |
| 1000000 | 54110         | 54025.2       | 1.00157  |

# On the wrong path

## How many prime numbers of the form $2^m \pm 1$ are there?

### Tentative answer

- $\text{Prob}(2^m \pm 1 \text{ prime}) = 1 / \log(2^m \pm 1)$ .
- Expected number of primes  $\leq n$ :

$$\sum_{1 < m \leq n} \frac{1}{2^m \pm 1} \sim \frac{\log n}{\log 2}$$

- Hence the expected number in all is infinite.

**This gives the wrong answer.**

# Back to basics

- $m$  odd  $\implies 3|2^m + 1$ .
- Hence only  $2^{2^k} + 1$  can be prime.

$$\sum_k \frac{1}{\log(2^{2^k} + 1)} < \infty$$

---

- $m = k \cdot \ell \implies 2^k - 1|2^m - 1$ .
- Hence only  $2^p - 1$  can be prime.

$$\sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{\log(2^p - 1)} \approx \frac{1}{\log 2} \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p} \sim \frac{\log \log n}{\log 2}$$

## Question

Can this be seen by sifting?

# The model

- $z_n \in \{0, 1\}$  “Independent random variables”

$$\text{Prob}(v_n = 1) = \frac{1}{\log n}$$

- $\Pi(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} z_n$  number of “random primes”
- Expectation value

$$E(\Pi(x)) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{\log n} \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$$

# Primes in small intervals

For  $N > 2$

$$E(\Pi(x + \log^N x) - \Pi(x)) \sim \log^{N-1} x$$

Theorem of Maier (1985)

**Contradicting Cramér's model!**

Exists  $\delta_N > 0$  s.t. for arbitrarily large  $x_+, x_-$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(x_+ + \log^N x_+) - \pi(x_+) &\geq (1 + \delta_N) \log^{N-1} x_+ \\ \pi(x_- + \log^N x_-) - \pi(x_+) &\leq (1 - \delta_N) \log^{N-1} x_-\end{aligned}$$

# Maier's argument

- Sift for small primes up to  $\log x$ . (Very small tails.)
- Then use Cramér's model. (Using the prime number theorem to justify.)

## Conclusion

Sifting is fundamental for primes.

# More differences

## Prime gap distribution

- Cramér's model ( $p_n$ ,  $n$ 'th prime):

$$\max_{p_n \leq x} (p_{n+1} - p_n) \sim \log^2 x$$

- Maier's model (sifting + Cramér):

$$\max_{p_n \leq x} (p_{n+1} - p_n) \gtrsim 2e^{-\gamma} \log^2 x$$

- Numerical data not enough to distinguish between them.

# In defence of Cramér

- Cramér's model seems to work for **small** and **large** intervals. Maier's counter example deals with **medium sized** intervals.
- Heuristics must be used sensibly... The examples discussed previously do not need the precision where Maier's counter example lives.