

SnarkExpress

(2025 Q4)

Edited by ~~KurtPan~~@ZKPunk

November 23, 2025

Contents

1 2025.11	8
1.1 [GJLM25] Non-Interactive Threshold Mercurial Signatures with Applications to Threshold DAC	8
1.2 [KLOT25] SALSA – Sumcheck-Aided Lattice-based Succinct Arguments and Applications	8
1.3 [JS25] Dictators? Friends? Forgers. Breaking and Fixing Unforgeability Definitions for Anamorphic Signature Schemes	9
1.4 [JM25] Generic and Algebraic Computation Models: When AGM Proofs Transfer to the GGM	9
1.5 [KVSH+25] Language-Agnostic Detection of Computation-Constraint Inconsistencies in ZKP Programs via Value Inference	9
1.6 [Kho25] Revisiting Simulation Extractability in the Updatable Setting	10
1.7 [AHKM25] Single-Server Private Outsourcing of zk-SNARKs	10
1.8 [Hab25] A note on mutual correlated agreement for Reed-Solomon codes	10
1.9 [FA25] SoK: Blockchain Oracles Between Theory and Practice	11
1.10 [Abl25] Tag Functions and Their Applications to Lattice-based Signatures and IBEs — Compact Designs and Tighter Security	11
1.11 [AEAN25] A Lattice-based Designated Verifier zkSNARK from Standard Assumptions	11
1.12 [HJGM+25] Optical computing of zero-knowledge proof with single-pixel imaging . .	12
1.13 [HL25] Hash-Based Blind Signatures: First Steps	12
1.14 [KS25b] Vega: Low-Latency Zero-Knowledge Proofs over Existing Credentials	12
1.15 [CFP25] On Composing AGM-Secure Functionalities with Cryptographic Proofs: Applications to Unbounded-Depth IVC and More	12

1.16 [MT25] Integrating PQC in OpenSSL via Shallow Providers for Cryptographic Agility	13
1.17 [KS25a] Issuer Hiding for BBS-Based Anonymous Credentials	13
1.18 [CDKL25] Malicious Homomorphic Secret Sharing with Applications to DV-NIZK and More	14
1.19 [HPZ25] Non-Interactive Blind Signatures from RSA Assumption and More	14
1.20 [AK25] Shorter Hash-Based Signatures Using Forced Pruning	14
1.21 [BFRW25] TensorSwitch: Nearly Optimal Polynomial Commitments from Tensor Codes	15
1.22 [MFS25] Multivariate Signatures with Polynomial Factorization	15
1.23 [DGR25] Compact, Efficient and Non-Separable Hybrid Signatures	15
1.24 [BCHK+25] On Proximity Gaps for Reed–Solomon Codes	16
1.25 [GG25] Optimal Proximity Gaps for Subspace-Design Codes and (Random) Reed–Solomon Codes	16
1.26 [BCGM25] All Polynomial Generators Preserve Distance with Mutual Correlated Agreement	17
1.27 [CS25] On Reed–Solomon Proximity Gaps Conjectures	17
1.28 [GGPR25] Threshold Anonymous Credentials with Silent Setup	18
1.29 [BBBB+25] The Algebraic CheapLunch: Extending FreeLunch Attacks on Arithmetization-Oriented Primitives Beyond CICO-1	18
1.30 [FGPR+25] Non-Delegatable Commitments	18
1.31 [ACDK25] On the Simulation-Extractability of Proof-Carrying Data	19
1.32 [BFMR+25] Multivariate Commitments and Signatures with Efficient Protocols	19
1.33 [KR25] Succinct Zero-knowledge Proofs from One-way Functions: The Blackbox Way	19
1.34 [BS25] Forging Dilithium and Falcon Signatures by Single Fault Injection	20
1.35 [NP25] Improving ML-KEM and ML-DSA on OpenTitan - Efficient Multiplication Vector Instructions for OTBN	20
1.36 [PFGM25] Whom do you trust? PRISM: Lightweight Key Transparency for All	20
1.37 [Din25] Migration to Post-Quantum Cryptography: From ECDSA to ML-DSA	21
1.38 [DG25] On the Distribution of the Distances of Random Words	21
1.39 [QGYZ25] VerfCNN, Optimal Complexity zkSNARK for Convolutional Neural Networks	21

2 2025.10	22
2.1 [BM25] Threshold Signatures from One-Way Functions	22
2.2 [KKAŚ+25] Keccacheck: towards a SNARK friendly Keccak	22
2.3 [WZDW+25] Polylogarithmic Polynomial Commitment Scheme over Galois Rings	22
2.4 [CYY25] DualMatrix: Conquering zkSNARK for Large Matrix Multiplication	23
2.5 [BGY25] Batched & Non-interactive Blind Signatures from Lattices	23
2.6 [AAKT+25] Impossibility of VDFs in the ROM: The Complete Picture	24
2.7 [AAFK+25] On Verifiable Delay Functions from Time-Lock Puzzles	24
2.8 [Kiy25] Four-round Statistical Non-malleable Zero-knowledge	25
2.9 [GHKS+25] Olingo: Threshold Lattice Signatures with DKG and Identifiable Abort	25
2.10 [GK25] A note on the soundness of an optimized <i>gemini</i> variant	25
2.11 [RR25] Threshold Blind Signatures from CDH	25
2.12 [AB25] Zyga: Optimized Zero-Knowledge Proofs with Dynamic Public Inputs	26
2.13 [Liu25] Traceable Ring Signatures Revisited: Extended Definitions, $O(1)$ Tracing, and Efficient Log-Size Constructions	26
2.14 [Hio25] Anchored Merkle Range Proof for Pedersen Commitments	27
2.15 [Kon25] Two-party ECDSA Signing at Constant Communication Overhead	27
2.16 [FPST25] New Straight-Line Extractable NIZKPs for Cryptographic Group Actions	27
2.17 [SKVP25] Coppercloud: Blind Server-Supported RSA Signatures	28
2.18 [GR25] Proofs of No Intrusion	28
2.19 [KP RR+25] Block-Accumulate Codes: Accelerated Linear Codes for PCGs and ZK	28
2.20 [CMV25] Public-Key Encryption from the MinRank Problem	29
2.21 [HMOY25] Proofs of quantum memory	29
2.22 [AMK25] Lattice-Based zk-SNARKs with Hybrid Verification Technique	29
2.23 [MSY25] Quantum Cryptography and Hardness of Non-Collapsing Measurements	30
2.24 [ZLSZ+25] Pegasus and PegaRing: Efficient (Ring) Signatures from Sigma-Protocols for Power Residue PRFs with (Q)ROM Security	30
2.25 [Jan25] Bird of Prey: Practical Signature Combiners Preserving Strong Unforgeability	31

2.26 [BF25] The Order of Hashing in Fiat-Shamir Schemes	31
2.27 [GKKP+25] Revisiting Lattice-based Non-interactive Blind Signature	32
2.28 [JBW25] CoBBl: Dynamic constraint generation for SNARKs	32
2.29 [BCD25] Linear*-Time Permutation Check	32
2.30 [CSK25] Locally Recoverable Data Availability Sampling	33
2.31 [AFLL+25] A Gaussian Leftover Hash Lemma for Modules over Number Fields	33
2.32 [CH25] On the Quantum Equivalence between $S LWE\rangle$ and ISIS	33
2.33 [BHMV25] On Limits on the Provable Consequences of Quantum Pseudorandomness	34
2.34 [LXYY+25] Succinct Line-Point Zero-Knowledge Arguments from Homomorphic Secret Sharing	34
2.35 [ZZ25] Vectorized Falcon-Sign Implementations using SSE2, AVX2, AVX-512F, NEON, and RVV	35
2.36 [HACF25] SoK: Lookup Table Arguments	35
2.37 [CDGV25] MIRANDA: short signatures from a leakage-free full-domain-hash scheme	35
2.38 [KLR25] Blind Signatures from Arguments of Inequality	36
2.39 [GKKR+25] Poseidon2b: A Binary Field Version of Poseidon2	36
2.40 [ZOZ25] Dynark: Making Groth16 Dynamic	36
2.41 [QTW25] Unique NIZKs and Steganography Detection	37
2.42 [ZGCP+25] HyperWolf: Lattice Polynomial Commitments with Standard Soundness	37
2.43 [Che25] Symphony: Scalable SNARKs in the Random Oracle Model from Lattice-Based High-Arity Folding	38
2.44 [HV25] A Simple and Efficient One-Shot Signature Scheme	38
2.45 [ZGGX25] Quasar: Sublinear Accumulation Schemes for Multiple Instances	39
2.46 [CFJW25] Unambiguous SNARGs for P from LWE with Applications to PPAD Hardness	39
2.47 [ZSVD25] Graeffe-Based Attacks on Poseidon and NTT Lower Bounds	39
2.48 [RLHK+25] UPPR: Universal Privacy-Preserving Revocation	40
2.49 [BP25] ALFOMs and the Moirai: Quantifying the Performance/Security Tradeoff for ZK-friendly Hash Functions	40

CONTENTS	6
----------	---

2.50 [BCK25] Golden: Lightweight Non-Interactive Distributed Key Generation	40
2.51 [Kos25] Hashing-friendly elliptic curves	41
2.52 [SGBK+25] Optimizing the Post Quantum Signature Scheme CROSS for Resource Constrained Devices	41
2.53 [WZZW+25] Attention is still what you need: Another Round of Exploring Shoup's GGM	41
2.54 [GL25] Fully Homomorphic Encryption for Matrix Arithmetic	42
2.55 [FTM25] zk-Cookies: Continuous Anonymous Authentication for the Web	42
2.56 [ZJRY+25] GPV Preimage Sampling with Weak Smoothness and Its Applications to Lattice Signatures	43
2.57 [NRT25] Adaptively-Secure Three-Round Threshold Schnorr from DL	43
2.58 [BFL25] Circuit-Succinct Algebraic Batch Arguments from Projective Functional Commitments	43
2.59 [YLCX+25] Robust and Scalable Lattice-Based Distributed Key Generation for Asynchronous Networks	44
2.60 [BGCR+25] Fully Adaptive FROST in the Algebraic Group Model From Falsifiable Assumptions	44
2.61 [BCLT+25] Adaptively Secure Partially Non-Interactive Threshold Schnorr Signatures in the AGM	44
2.62 [Sak25] Aggregate Signatures Tightly Secure under Adaptive Corruptions	45
2.63 [CTHK25] Linear-time and Logarithmically-sound Permutation and Multiset SNARKs	45
2.64 [TKKS+25] Cryptographic Personas: Responsible Pseudonyms Without De-Anonymization	45
2.65 [CHT25] Tight Security for BBS Signatures	46
2.66 [MV25] Towards Optimal Concurrent-Secure Blind Schnorr Signatures	46
2.67 [GMW25] A Simplified Round-by-round Soundness Proof of FRI	46
2.68 [FHLL25] Device-Bound Anonymous Credentials With(out) Trusted Hardware	47
2.69 [KX25] New Security Proofs of MPC-in-the-Head Signatures in the Quantum Random Oracle Model	47
2.70 [Bag25] Trust, But Verify When Using the Powers of Tau	47
2.71 [BBR25] k-Anonymous Group Signatures: Addressing Strict Content Moderation in End-to-End Secure Messaging Platforms	48

3 ESORICS 2025	49
3.1 [ZZSD+26] Extending Groth16 for Disjunctive Statements	49
3.2 [PH26; PH25] Formalisation of the KZG Polynomial Commitment Schemes in EasyCrypt	49
3.3 [WZDW+26] Polylogarithmic Polynomial Commitment Scheme over Galois Rings . .	50
4 Communications in Cryptology Volume 2, Issue 3	51
4.1 [SR25] Towards Post-Quantum Bitcoin Blockchain using Dilithium Signature	51
4.2 [HHI25] Practical Batch Proofs of Exponentiation	51
4.3 [GBKS+25] Blind zkSNARKs: for Private Proof Delegation and Verifiable Computation over Encrypted Data	52
4.4 [MBSB+25] Keyed-Verification Anonymous Credentials with Highly Efficient Partial Disclosure	52
4.5 [DH25] zkMaP: Zero-Knowledge Succinct Non-Interactive Matrix Multiplication Proofs	53
4.6 [OPS25b; OPS25a] Who Verifies the Verifiers? Lessons Learned From Formally Verified Line-Point Zero-Knowledge	53
4.7 [MP25b; MP25a] Blind ECDSA from the ECDSA Assumption	53
4.8 [LSZ25] Vision: A Modular Framework for Anonymous Credential Systems	53

Chapter 1

2025.11

1.1 [GJLM25] Non-Interactive Threshold Mercurial Signatures with Applications to Threshold DAC

In this work, we define and realize non-interactive TMS, where each participant non-interactively computes its contribution to the threshold mercurial signature. Our construction also substantially reduces the overall communication complexity. It uses the mercurial signature scheme of Mir et al. (CCS 2023) as a starting point. Further, we introduce threshold delegatable anonymous credentials (TDAC) and use a non-interactive TMS to construct them.

1.2 [KLOT25] SALSAA – Sumcheck-Aided Lattice-based Succinct Arguments and Applications

We present SALSAA, a more efficient and more versatile extension of the state-of-the-art lattice-based fully-succinct argument frameworks, “RoK, paper, SISsors (RPS)” and “RoK and Roll (RnR)” [Klooß, Lai, Nguyen, and Osadnik; ASIACRYPT’24, ’25], integrating the sumcheck technique as a main component. This integration enables us to design an efficient norm-check protocol (controlling the norm during witness extraction) with a strictly linear-time prover while reducing proof sizes by $2\text{-}3\times$ compared to the previous quasi-linear-time norm-check in RPS/RnR, eliminating a central performance bottleneck. The sumcheck integration also allows us to natively support a wider class of relations, including rank-1 constraint systems (R1CS), which are widely used to express real-world computations. To demonstrate the versatility and efficiency of our framework, we showcase three impactful applications achieved by different RoKs (Reductions of Knowledge) compositions: (i) a lattice-based succinct argument of knowledge with a linear-time prover, achieving a verifier time of 41 ms, prover runtime of 10.61 s, and proof size of 979 KB for a witness of 2^{28} \mathbb{Z}_q elements; (ii) a polynomial commitment scheme with matching performance; and (iii) the first lattice-based folding scheme natively operating on ℓ_2 -norm-bounded witnesses, achieving highly efficient verification in 2.28 ms and producing a proof of just 73 KB for a witness of 2^{28} \mathbb{Z}_q elements, outperforming

prior works for the family of linear relations. We provide a modular, concretely efficient Rust implementation of our framework, benchmarked over cyclotomic rings with AVX-512-accelerated NTT-based arithmetic, demonstrating the practical efficiency of our approach.

1.3 [JS25] Dictators? Friends? Forgers. Breaking and Fixing Unforgeability Definitions for Anamorphic Signature Schemes

We port two related BGHMR constructions to the signature scheme setting and demonstrate that, as presented, both of these and a construction from KPPYZ are insecure under an active dictator. However, two of the three can easily be modified to satisfy our definition. The second notion we propose considers a recipient who wishes to forge signatures. To motivate this notion, we identify a gap in an existing security definition from KPPYZ and present attacks that allow parties to be impersonated when using schemes erroneously deemed secure. We then formalize our new unforgeability definition to close this gap.

1.4 [JM25] Generic and Algebraic Computation Models: When AGM Proofs Transfer to the GGM

The Fuchsbauer, Kiltz, and Loss (CRYPTO 2018) claim that (some) hardness results in the algebraic group model imply the same hardness results in the generic group model was recently called into question by Katz, Zhang, and Zhou (ASIACRYPT 2022). The latter gave an interpretation of the claim under which it is incorrect. We give an alternate interpretation under which it is correct, using natural frameworks for capturing generic and algebraic models for arbitrary algebraic structures. Most algebraic analyses in the literature can be captured by our frameworks, making the claim correct for them.

1.5 [KVSH+25] Language-Agnostic Detection of Computation-Constraint Inconsistencies in ZKP Programs via Value Inference

We propose a language-agnostic formal model, called the Domain Consistency Model (DCM), which captures the relationship between computations and constraints. Using this model, we provide a taxonomy of vulnerabilities based on computation-constraint mismatches, including novel subclasses overlooked by existing models. Next, we implement a lightweight automated bug detection tool, called CCC-Check, which is based on abstract interpretation. We evaluate CCC-Check on a dataset of 20 benchmark programs. Compared to the SoTA verification tool CIVER, our tool achieves a $100\text{-}1000\times$ speedup, while maintaining a low false positive rate. Finally, using the DCM, we examine six widely adopted ZKP projects and uncover 15 previously unknown vulnerabilities. We reported these bugs to the projects' maintainers, 13 of which have since been patched. Of these 15 vulnerabilities, 12 could not be captured by existing models.

1.6 [Kho25] Revisiting Simulation Extractability in the Updatable Setting

We revisit the notion of Simulation Extractability (SE) for SNARKs in the updatable setting. We demonstrate that existing formal definitions of SE in this setting are insufficient to guarantee the required non-malleability in real-world scenarios. Towards this, we first identify and frame a malleability vulnerability: a cross-SRS reinterpretation attack, which shows that an adversary can reuse or maul proofs across different, correlated SRSs generated through the update procedure. This is made possible because existing security definitions fail to model an adversary’s ability to observe simulated proofs relative to various derived SRSs. To close this security gap, we propose a revised and stronger security notion of Updatable Simulation Extractability (USE) which was originally defined in [GKK+22]. Our definition models a dynamic environment where the SRS is adaptively updatable by the adversary, who can also query simulation oracles for proofs under the resulting family of reachable SRSs. This captures the full extent of the adversarial capabilities observed in practice. Finally, we provide positive results for popular polynomial-IOP-based SNARKs, and show that these schemes satisfy our stronger USE notion, provided the circuit-specific SRS is securely bound into the proof transcript, e.g., via a correct implementation of the Fiat-Shamir transformation.

1.7 [AHKM25] Single-Server Private Outsourcing of zk-SNARKs

we study server-aided zk-SNARKs, where a client/prover outsources most of its work to a single, untrusted server while the server learns nothing about the witness or even the proof. We formalize this notion and show how to realize server-aided proving for widely deployed zk-SNARKs, including Nova, Groth16, and Plonk. The key building block underlying our designs is a new primitive, encrypted multi-scalar multiplication (EMSM), that enables private delegation of multi-scalar multiplications (MSMs). We construct an EMSM from variants of the learning parity with noise assumption in which the client does $O(1)$ group operations, while the server’s work matches that of the plaintext MSM. We implement and evaluate our constructions. Compared to local proving, our techniques lower the client’s computation by up to $20\times$ and reduce the proving latency by up to $9\times$.

1.8 [Hab25] A note on mutual correlated agreement for Reed-Solomon codes

We outline how to generalize the Guruswami-Sudan list decoder analysis from Ben-Sasson, Carmon, Ishai, Kopparty and Saraf [BCI+20] in order to obtain a “global” proximity gap, called mutual correlated agreement in Arnon, Chiesa, Fenzi and Yoge [WHIR 2024], or strong correlated agreement in Zeilberger [Khatam 24].

1.9 [FA25] SoK: Blockchain Oracles Between Theory and Practice

We develop a systematization of knowledge for blockchain oracle services. To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first to provide extensive study of oracles while empirically investigating their capabilities in practice. After examining the general design framework of oracles, we develop a multi-dimensional systematization framework assessing existing solutions based on their capabilities, trust and security assumption/guarantees, and their underlying design architecture. To further aid in this assessment, we conduct a number of empirical experiments to examine oracle deployed in practice, thus offering additional insights about their deployment maturity, usage popularity, performance, and ease-of-use. We go on to distill a number of insights and gaps, thus providing a guide for practitioners (on the use of these oracles) and researchers (by highlighting gaps and open problems).

1.10 [Abl25] Tag Functions and Their Applications to Lattice-based Signatures and IBEs — Compact Designs and Tighter Security

First, we construct a lattice-based short signature scheme with a compact verification key in the standard model based on the ring short integer solution (RSIS) assumption. Under the same compactness, the ring modulus of our signature scheme is significantly smaller than the compact signature scheme of Alperin-Sheriff (PKC 2015). More importantly, our signature scheme achieves better reduction loss than all the previous confined guessing-based signatures. In other words, our signature scheme achieves better security and efficiency simultaneously. Secondly, we further design a short signature scheme with a nearly compact public key size and an even smaller reduction loss. Our second signature scheme achieves even better reduction loss than our first signature scheme yet at the cost of increasing the public key to a super-constant number of ring vectors. Last but not least, we construct an adaptively secure compact IBE scheme from the lattice assumptions and the truncation collision-resistant hash functions (TCRHF) introduced by Jager and Kurek (ASIACRYPT 2018). Note that the previous TCRHF-based IBE schemes are not even close to compactness.

1.11 [AEAN25] A Lattice-based Designated Verifier zkSNARK from Standard Assumptions

We propose an inner product argument system that relies solely on the hardness of the Module Short Integer Solution (MSIS) assumption and achieves knowledge soundness in the random oracle model. This construction enables a designated verifier, holding a secret key, to succinctly verify inner product of a committed witness with an arbitrary vector. By combining our argument system with a linear probabilistic checkable proof (LPCP) compiler, to the best of our knowledge, we obtain the first DV-zkSNARK construction based on standard assumptions. Our implementation achieves prover and verification times comparable to the state of the art, while reducing public parameter size by a factor of 10, at the cost of a $2.5\times$ increase in proof size.

1.12 [HJGM+25] Optical computing of zero-knowledge proof with single-pixel imaging

In this paper, we propose an optical ZKP method based on single-pixel imaging (SPI). By utilizing the flexibility of SPI, our proposed approach can directly acquire randomly permuted results of the source problem’s solution in the form of encoded images, thereby encrypting and verifying the original solution. ZKP for the source problem can be realized with optical computing based on a proving protocol without disclosing additional information. Simulated and experimental results show that our proposed method can be effectively applied to two typical ZKP problems: Sudoku and Hamiltonian cycle problem.

1.13 [HL25] Hash-Based Blind Signatures: First Steps

In this work we do the same for the important case of blind signatures. We describe a possible hash-based instantiation of Fischlin’s generic scheme, we motivate our choices and we finally give some benchmarks for running times and memory requirements, resulting from our C implementation.

1.14 [KS25b] Vega: Low-Latency Zero-Knowledge Proofs over Existing Credentials

We present Vega, a practical zero-knowledge proof system that proves statements about existing credentials without revealing anything else. Vega is simple, does not require a trusted setup, and is more efficient than the prior state-of-the-art: for a 1920-byte credential, Vega achieves 212 ms proving time, 51 ms verification time, 150 kB proofs, and a 436 kB proving key. At the heart of Vega are two principles that together enable a lightweight proof system that pays only for what it needs. First, fold-and-reuse proving exploits repetition and folding opportunities (i) across presentations, by pushing repeated work to a rerandomizable precomputation; (ii) across uniform hashing steps, by folding many steps into a single step; and (iii) for zero-knowledge, by folding the public-coin transcript with a random one. Second, lookup-centric arithmetization extracts relevant values from credential bytes, both for extracting relevant fields without full in-circuit parsing, and to enable length-hiding hashing.

1.15 [CFP25] On Composing AGM-Secure Functionalities with Cryptographic Proofs: Applications to Unbounded-Depth IVC and More

However, when the security of the other primitive is established in the Algebraic Group Model (AGM), the security of the resulting construction does not follow automatically. We introduce

a general methodology of *provable security* for this setting. Our approach guarantees the security of $\Pi \circ X$, the composition of a cryptographic proof Π with a functionality X , whenever the security of X is analysed in the AGM. Our methodology has general applicability, with immediate relevance to IVC, proof aggregation, and aggregate signatures. We obtain:

- IVC for unbounded depth from AGM-secure proofs. Incrementally Verifiable Computation (IVC) is a canonical example of composing cryptographic proofs with one another. Achieving provable security for IVC beyond constant-depth computations has remained a central open challenge.

Using our methodology, we obtain new IVC instantiations that remain secure for unbounded-depth computations, when built from proofs analysed in the AGM. This broadens the class of proofs systems usable in the canonical IVC constructions to include prominent systems such as Groth16 and Marlin – proof systems not covered by prior analyses (e.g., Chiesa et al., TCC 2024).

- Succinct aggregation of AGM-secure signatures. Applying our framework, we give the first provable security for the folklore proof-based construction of aggregate signatures from AGM-secure signatures. Prior analyses either exclude AGM-secure signatures or rely on heuristic assumptions. Establishing this result required resolving additional technical challenges beyond applying our framework – for example, reasoning about the security of proof systems in the presence of signing oracles.

1.16 [MT25] Integrating PQC in OpenSSL via Shallow Providers for Cryptographic Agility

This work presents the concept of a "shallow 'Provider'", facilitating integration of external implementations, to achieve a higher degree of cryptographic agility. 'aurora', which we introduce as an instance of the "shallow 'Provider'" methodology, integrates standardized PQC algorithms in TLS 1.3 for both key establishment and authentication, to support the PQC transition. It enhances cryptographic agility by allowing OpenSSL to dynamically adapt to evolving PQC standards and the rapidly evolving ecosystem of PQC implementations.

1.17 [KS25a] Issuer Hiding for BBS-Based Anonymous Credentials

In this work we show how to achieve issuer hiding for BBS-based anonymous credentials. Our construction satisfies a notion of everlasting issuer-hiding anonymity, and is unforgeable in the generic group model. It can be integrated into existing standards, and has several efficiency advantages compared to prior work.

1.18 [CDKL25] Malicious Homomorphic Secret Sharing with Applications to DV-NIZK and More

In this work, we initiate the study of malicious public-key 2-party HSS in the standard model with reusable setup, where any malicious behavior during share generation and share evaluation can be detected. Towards constructing malicious HSS, we introduce the notion of homomorphic secret sharing with robust linear reconstruction (RLR-HSS) and show that this notion readily implies malicious HSS. We outline challenges in instantiating RLR-HSS due to the error present in all current HSS constructions not relying on SHE/FHE, and show how to overcome these using derandomization techniques by Dwork et al. (EUROCRYPT 2004). Finally, we show applications of malicious HSS to compact designated verifier non-interactive zero knowledge arguments and maliciously secure 2-party computation in the standard model (supporting the same function class as the underlying malicious HSS).

1.19 [HPZ25] Non-Interactive Blind Signatures from RSA Assumption and More

We introduce a generic construction of non-interactive blind signatures that relies on Yao’s garbled circuit techniques and provide particular improvements to this generic setting. We replace oblivious transfer with their non-interactive variant and show how to construct them so that the recipient’s public key, encoding the OT choice, is a standard RSA public key (e, N) . To improve the efficiency of the garbling, we show how to garble the signing algorithm of the pairing-based Pointcheval-Sanders (PS) signatures and the RSA-based signature scheme with efficient protocols by Camenisch and Lysyanskaya. Our technique also apply to the well-known BBS signatures. All our improvements are of independent interest and are central to our contribution.

1.20 [AK25] Shorter Hash-Based Signatures Using Forced Pruning

We explore using forced pruning in the few-time signature scheme used by SPHINCS+ to reduce the overall signature size. Prior work suggested similar ideas, but claimed that the improvement from forced pruning was small. We re-visit this conclusion by performing a detailed theoretical analysis of forced pruning along with a more thorough exploration of its benefits. We show that forced pruning can improve upon SPHINCS+C (Oakland 2023) in all respects, and can reduce the overall signature size for the “smaller SPHINCS+” variants proposed by Fluhrer and Dang by up to 20% with minimal effect on signing time. Our results thus show that forced pruning can be a beneficial optimization for hash-based signatures.

1.21 [BFRW25] TensorSwitch: Nearly Optimal Polynomial Commitments from Tensor Codes

We present TensorSwitch, a hash-based PCS for multilinear polynomials that improves the state-of-the-art in two fundamental bottlenecks: prover time and proof size. We frame our results as an interactive oracle PCS, which can be compiled into a cryptographic PCS using standard techniques. The protocol uses any linear code with rate ρ , list-decoding and correlated agreement up to δ , and encoding time $\tau \cdot \ell$, where ℓ is the block length. For a size n polynomial, security parameter λ , and sufficiently large field, it has the following efficiency measures, up to lower order terms:

- Commitment time: $(\tau/\rho^2 + \tau/\rho + 3) \cdot n$ field multiplications.
- Opening time: $6n$ field multiplications.
- Query complexity: $\frac{1}{-\log(1-\delta^2)} \cdot \lambda$.
- Verification time: $O(\lambda \log n)$. Moreover, the evaluation proof only contains $O(\log \log n)$ oracles of total size $(\lambda n)^{0.5+o(1)}$. With a Reed-Solomon code of rate $1/2$, the query complexity is 2.41λ and commitment time is dominated by $(6 \log n + 3) \cdot n$ field multiplications. With an RAA code of rate $1/4$ and distance 0.19 , the query complexity is 19λ and the commitment time is $42n$ field additions and $3n$ field multiplications. For both instantiations, the opening time is dominated by $6n$ field multiplications.

1.22 [MFS25] Multivariate Signatures with Polynomial Factorization

We propose a new multivariate digital signature scheme whose central mapping arises from the product of two one-variate polynomials over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . The resulting quadratic transformation is efficiently invertible through polynomial factorization, defining the trapdoor mechanism. The public key comprises m bilinear forms in $2n$ variables, obtained by masking the central map with secret linear transformations. A reference implementation targeting NIST security level 1 achieves a 24-byte signature and a 23-kilobyte public key. This signature size is among the smallest ever proposed for level 1 security and the scheme achieves verification efficiency comparable to the fastest existing designs. Security relies on the hardness of solving certain bilinear systems, for which it seems no efficient classical or quantum algorithms are known.

1.23 [DGR25] Compact, Efficient and Non-Separable Hybrid Signatures

We introduce a new security notion for hybrid signatures, Hybrid EU-CMA, which captures cross-protocol, separability, and recombination attacks that may occur during the post-quantum transition, while encompassing standard unforgeability guarantees. Using this framework, we adapt the Fiat-Shamir (with or without aborts) transform to build hybrid signature schemes that satisfy our notion from two identification schemes. Compared to simple concatenation of signatures, our construction (i) has no separability issues, (ii) reduces signature size, (iii) runs faster, and (iv) remains easily

implementable. As a concrete application, we propose Silithium, a hybrid signature combining the identification schemes underlying EC-Schnorr and ML-DSA. Implementing Silithium requires only an ML-DSA implementation supporting the “external” option during verification and an elliptic curve library. In the security analysis, we show that our scheme can be safely used along with ML-DSA and either EC-Schnorr or ECDSA. A proof-of-concept OpenSSL implementation demonstrates its practicality, simplicity, and performance.

1.24 [BCHK+25] On Proximity Gaps for Reed–Solomon Codes

This paper is about the proximity gaps phenomenon for Reed–Solomon codes. Very roughly, the proximity gaps phenomenon for a code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ says that for two vectors $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, if sufficiently many linear combinations $f + z \cdot g$ (with $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$) are close to \mathcal{C} in Hamming distance, then so are both f and g , up to a proximity loss of ε^* . Determining the optimal quantitative form of proximity gaps for Reed–Solomon codes has recently become of great interest because of applications to interactive proofs and cryptography, and in particular, to scalable transparent arguments of knowledge (STARKs) and other modern hash based argument systems used on blockchains today. Our main results show improved positive and negative results for proximity gaps for Reed–Solomon codes of constant relative distance $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

1. For proximity gaps up to the unique decoding radius $\delta/2$, we show that arbitrarily small proximity loss $\varepsilon^* > 0$ can be achieved with only $O_{\varepsilon^*}(1)$ exceptional z ’s (improving the previous bound of $O(n)$ exceptions).
2. For proximity gaps up to the Johnson radius $J(\delta)$, we show that proximity loss $\varepsilon^* = 0$ can be achieved with only $O(n)$ exceptional z ’s (improving the previous bound of $O(n^2)$ exceptions). This significantly reduces the soundness error in the aforementioned arguments systems.
3. In the other direction, we show that for some Reed–Solomon codes and some δ , proximity gaps at or beyond the Johnson radius $J(\delta)$ with arbitrarily small proximity loss ε^* needs to have at least $\Omega(n^{1.99})$ exceptional z ’s.
4. More generally, for all constants τ , we show that for some Reed–Solomon codes and some $\delta = \delta(\tau)$, proximity gaps at radius $\delta - \Omega_\tau(1)$ with arbitrarily small proximity loss ε^* needs to have n^τ exceptional z ’s.
5. Finally, for all Reed–Solomon codes, we show that improved proximity gaps imply improved bounds for their list-decodability. This shows that improved bounds on the list-decoding radius of Reed–Solomon codes is a prerequisite for any new proximity gaps results beyond the Johnson radius.

1.25 [GG25] Optimal Proximity Gaps for Subspace-Design Codes and (Random) Reed–Solomon Codes

Here we prove that variants of RS codes, such as folded RS codes and univariate multiplicity codes, indeed have proximity gaps for δ approaching $1 - R$. The result applies more generally to codes with a certain subspace-design property. Our proof hinges on a clean property we abstract called line (or more generally curve) decodability, which we establish leveraging and adapting techniques from recent progress on list-decoding such codes. Importantly, our analysis avoids the heavy algebraic machinery used in previous works, and requires a field size only linear in the block length. The

behavior of subspace-design codes w.r.t “local properties” has recently been shown to be similar to random linear codes and random RS codes (where the evaluation points are chosen at random from the underlying field). We identify a local property that implies curve decodability, and thus also proximity gaps, and thereby conclude that random linear and random RS codes also exhibit proximity gaps up to the $1 - R$ bound. Our results also establish the stronger (mutual) correlated agreement property which implies proximity gaps. Additionally, we also show a *slacked* proximity gap theorem for constant-sized fields using AEL-based constructions and local property techniques.

1.26 [BCGM25] All Polynomial Generators Preserve Distance with Mutual Correlated Agreement

We study generators that preserve distance to a linear code: the linear combination of any list of vectors using coefficients sampled by the generator has distance to the code no smaller than that of the original vectors, except for a small error. Distance preservation plays a central role in modern probabilistic proofs, and has been formalized in several ways. We study *mutual correlated agreement*, the strongest known form of distance preservation. We initiate the systematic study of mutual correlated agreement, aiming to characterize the class of generators with this property. Towards this, we study polynomial generators, a rich class that includes all examples of generators considered in the distance preservation literature. Our main result is that *all polynomial generators guarantee mutual correlated agreement for every linear code*. This improves on prior work both in generality (the class of generators covered) and in parameters (the error bounds). We additionally provide new results for the case where the linear code is a Reed–Solomon code, which is of particular interest in applications. We prove that all polynomial generators satisfy mutual correlated agreement for Reed–Solomon codes up to the Johnson bound. In particular, we improve upon the state-of-the-art by Ben-Sasson, Carmon, Ishai, Kopparty, and Saraf (FOCS 2020) and resolve a question posed by Arnon, Chiesa, Fenzi, and Yugev (Eurocrypt 2025).

Along the way we develop a flexible and general toolbox for mutual correlated agreement, are the first to establish distance preservation for generators that lie beyond polynomial generators.

1.27 [CS25] On Reed–Solomon Proximity Gaps Conjectures

We disprove a range of conjectures for Reed–Solomon codes underpinning the security and efficiency of many modern proof systems, including SNARKs based on FRI (Ben-Sasson-Bentov-Horesh-Riabzev, ICALP’18), DEEP-FRI (Ben-Sasson-Goldberg-Kopparty-Saraf, ITCS’20), STIR (Arnon-Chiesa-Fenzi-Yugev, CRYPTO’24), and WHIR (Arnon-Chiesa-Fenzi-Yugev, preprint). Concretely, we prove that the following conjectures are false: 1. The correlated agreement up-to-capacity conjecture of Ben-Sasson-Carmon-Ishai-Kopparty-Saraf (J. ACM’23), 2. The mutual correlated agreement up-to-capacity conjecture of WHIR, 3. The list-decodability up-to-capacity conjecture of DEEP-FRI, which follows from existing results in the literature. We then propose minimal modifications to these conjectures up to the list-decoding capacity bound.

1.28 [GGPR25] Threshold Anonymous Credentials with Silent Setup

We present the first construction of issuer-hiding anonymous credentials with constant-sized showing, threshold issuance, and no requirement of interactive setup. Silent (non-interactive) setup is crucial as the various issuers may be slow-moving, independent organizations that are unwilling to coordinate in a distributed key generation protocol beforehand. Our construction also supports dynamic verifier policies. This is useful if different verifiers disagree about which issuers they trust or what threshold they accept. At the heart of our scheme, we construct threshold structure-preserving signatures with silent setup and prove security in the generic group model. We also provide a NIZK for anonymous showing that is more efficient than a standard application of Groth-Sahai proofs. Finally, we provide an implementation of our scheme in Rust, along with concrete efficiency metrics.

1.29 [BBBB+25] The Algebraic CheapLunch: Extending FreeLunch Attacks on Arithmetization-Oriented Primitives Beyond CICO-1

In this work, we build on the "FreeLunch method" of Bariant et al. (Crypto 2024) that constructs Gröbner bases "for free" in this particular case, and extend it to CICO problems with multiple outputs. More precisely, we consider tools for solving weighted polynomial systems, and show how to apply them in the AO setting. This results in new polynomial modelings, more efficient methods for computing the initial Gröbner basis under certain assumptions, and improved complexity estimates for the change of ordering step, derived from tighter upper bounds on the ideal degree. We apply our framework to Poseidon, Neptune and XHash8, where our assumptions are experimentally verified, and theory matches practice. For Griffin and ArionHash our assumptions are not verified, leaving us with improved, yet loose, upper bounds on the ideal degree. While our results do not threaten the security of any full-round hash function, they provide new insights into the security of these primitives under more general CICO problems.

1.30 [FGPR+25] Non-Delegatable Commitments

This work initiates the study of non-delegatable commitments, a new primitive where forming a commitment requires possession of a private key, and delegating the commitment process necessarily leaks that key. We formally define the primitive and provide a generic construction that is secure in the random oracle model given a polynomial commitment scheme. Additionally, we show how this primitive can be applied to solve a variety of mechanism design problems.

1.31 [ACDK25] On the Simulation-Extractability of Proof-Carrying Data

This work provides the first systematic study of simulation extractability for PCD. We begin by observing that the standard SIM-EXT notion for non-recursive zkSNARKs does not directly extend to PCD/IVC settings. To address this, we propose a new, tailored definition of SIM-EXT for proof-carrying data that accounts for their idiosyncratic features. Using this framework, we prove two general results: (1) that a simulation-extractable SNARK implies a simulation-extractable PCD when used recursively, and (2) that even lighter PCD constructions—built from a (not necessarily succinct) argument of knowledge (NARK) combined with a split-accumulation scheme—achieve SIM-EXT of PCD by requiring SIM-EXT only from the underlying NARK. Our results show that many modern PCD systems are already simulation-extractable by design.

1.32 [BFMR+25] Multivariate Commitments and Signatures with Efficient Protocols

We revisit multivariate commitments based on the hardness of solving systems of multivariate quadratic (MQ) equations over finite fields. We analyze a simple construction where a message μ is committed as $c = (\mu + F(r), G(r))$, with F and G random quadratic maps. We prove that the scheme is computationally hiding assuming the intractability of the MQ problem. Its binding property reduces to solving random bilinear systems. We prove that this problem is NP-complete and study the performance of existing algebraic and hybrid attacks. We show that this commitment is well-suited for integration with zero-knowledge proofs. Using the Threshold-computation-in-the-Head framework, we construct zero-knowledge efficient arguments of knowledge for the opening and arguments for relations on committed values. We apply this to construct an efficient blind signature scheme à la Fischlin, and we demonstrate that our techniques yield a fully multivariate construction of signatures with efficient protocols, enabling practical post-quantum anonymous credentials.

1.33 [KR25] Succinct Zero-knowledge Proofs from One-way Functions: The Blackbox Way

In this work we show that such succinct zero-knowledge proofs exist for the same class of NP relations, where the protocol makes only a blackbox use of a one-way function. Our protocol achieves a negligible soundness error, in contrast to recent works which can achieve, at best, an inverse polynomial error.

1.34 [BS25] Forging Dilithium and Falcon Signatures by Single Fault Injection

In this paper, we introduce new non-obvious fault injection attacks on the verification routines of Dilithium and Falcon signature schemes, which allow an attacker to get signatures for arbitrary messages accepted by fault injection. We demonstrate the feasibility of our attacks by simulations using an ARM Cortex-M4 and the pqm4 library as a target of evaluation and pinpoint vulnerable instructions. Finally, we propose and discuss possible countermeasures against these attacks.

1.35 [NP25] Improving ML-KEM and ML-DSA on OpenTitan - Efficient Multiplication Vector Instructions for OTBN

We improve the efficiency of the Montgomery multiplication by fully exploiting existing integer multiplication resources and move modular multiplication from hardware back to software by providing more powerful and versatile integer-multiplication vector instructions. This enables us not only to reduce the overall computational overhead through lazy reduction in software but also to improve performance in other functions beyond finite-field arithmetic. We provide two variants of our instruction set extension, each offering different trade-offs between resource usage and performance. For ML-KEM and ML-DSA, we achieve a speedup of up to 17% in cycle count, with an ASIC area increase of up to 6% and an FPGA resource usage increase of up to 4% more LUT, 20% more CARRY4, 1% more FF, and the same number of DSP compared to OTBNTW. Overall, we significantly reduce the ASIC time-area product, if the designs are clocked at their individual maximum frequency, and at least match that of OTBNTW, if the designs are clocked at the same frequency.

1.36 [PFGM25] Whom do you trust? PRISM: Lightweight Key Transparency for All

Our main innovation is the integration of a zero-knowledge virtual machine to create a “rollup” architecture on a third-party data availability layer via which every user automatically checks the integrity of the whole key directory. Counterintuitively, this approach yields substantial performance improvements over custom-built zk proof circuits and enables verification of targeted policies within the cryptographic proof system. We introduce PRISM, the first practically deployable key transparency protocol that eliminates hidden backdoors in E2EE services through automatic, trust-minimized verification. Our system advances beyond previous approaches by proving not just structural validity of key directory updates, but their semantic correctness as well. Previous solutions require some form of manual interaction by the user. This burden prevented wide spread adoption. Our solution however eliminates user intervention entirely.

1.37 [Din25] Migration to Post-Quantum Cryptography: From ECDSA to ML-DSA

Analyzing implementation attacks (e.g., side-channel and fault injection) and countermeasures applicable to the signature generation of the Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA), a widely used cryptographic algorithm, and the Module-Lattice-Based Digital Signature Algorithm (ML-DSA), a quantum-resistant algorithm set to replace the former.

1.38 [DG25] On the Distribution of the Distances of Random Words

For each positive integer c^* , we construct an infinite sequence of Reed–Solomon codes $C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$, together with ball radii z , for which the proportion of \mathbb{F}_q^n collectively covered by the radius- z Hamming balls decays asymptotically more slowly than $\frac{n^{c^*}}{q}$ does. To pinpoint this decay rate, we develop various new, sharp combinatorial estimates, pertaining to the volumes of balls and their intersections. Our result proves that the capacity conjecture of Ben-Sasson, Carmon, Ishai, Kopparty and Saraf (J. ACM '23) is false. Our code families' relative rates converge to 0 and their relative radii converge to 1. We suggest avenues by the means of which the capacity conjecture might be resuscitated; roughly, we suggest that that conjecture be restricted to the case of families whose relative rates are bounded from below by a positive constant. Our work shows that many deployed SNARKs may be less secure than they were formerly—optimistically—assumed to be.

1.39 [QGYZ25] VerfCNN, Optimal Complexity zkSNARK for Convolutional Neural Networks

we introduce VerfCNN, a novel and efficient ZKP system for CNN inference. The core innovation of VerfCNN lies in a specialized protocol for proving multi-channel convolutions, achieving optimal prover complexity that matches the I/O size of the convolution. Our design significantly reduces the prover overhead for verifiable CNN inference. Experiments on VGG-16 demonstrate that our system achieves a prover time of just 12.6 seconds, offering a $6.7\times$ improvement over zkCNN (CCS'21).

Chapter 2

2025.10

2.1 [BM25] Threshold Signatures from One-Way Functions

In this work, we show how to construct threshold signatures for any t and n from one way functions, thus establishing the latter as a necessary and sufficient computational assumption. Our protocol makes non-black box use of one-way functions, and can be generalized to other access structures, such as monotone policies.

2.2 [KKAS+25] Keccacheck: towards a SNARK friendly Keccak

This paper introduces a new method, termed keccacheck, which builds upon sum-check with influence from GKR to create circuits that can batch-verify Keccak permutations with fewer than 4000 constraints per instance. Keccacheck achieves this by exploiting the logarithmic scaling of recursive verification of the sum-check protocol, reducing the computational cost of verifying large enough batches to be only slightly higher than evaluating the multilinear extension of the input and output states. Its performance becomes competitive for a batch containing 16 permutations and offers more than a 10x cost reduction for batches of 512 or more permutations. This approach enables new levels of efficiency for the ZK ecosystem, providing the performant storage proofs that are essential to light clients, cross-chain bridges, privacy-focused protocols, and roll-ups.

2.3 [WZDW+25] Polylogarithmic Polynomial Commitment Scheme over Galois Rings

This paper introduces the first multilinear polynomial commitment scheme (PCS) over Galois rings achieving $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 n)$ verification cost. It achieves $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ committing time and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ evaluation opening prover time. This PCS can be used to construct zero-knowledge proofs for arithmetic circuits over Galois rings, facilitating verifiable computation in applications requiring proofs of polynomial

ring operations (e.g., verifiable fully homomorphic encryption). First we construct random foldable linear codes over Galois rings with sufficient code distance and present a distance preservation theorem over Galois rings. Second we extend the **Basefold** commitment (Zeilberger et al., Crypto 2024) to multilinear polynomials over Galois rings. Our approach reduces proof size and verifier time from $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ to $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 n)$ compared to Wei et al., PKC 2025. Furthermore, we give a batched multipoint opening protocol for evaluation phase that collapses the proof size and verifier time of N polynomials at M points from $\mathcal{O}(NM \log^2 n)$ to $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 n)$, prover time from $\mathcal{O}(NMn)$ to $\mathcal{O}(n)$, further enhancing efficiency.

2.4 [CYY25] DualMatrix: Conquering zkSNARK for Large Matrix Multiplication

We present DualMatrix, a zkSNARK solution for large-scale matrix multiplication. Classical zkSNARK protocols typically underperform in data analytic contexts, hampered by the large size of datasets and the superlinear nature of matrix multiplication. DualMatrix excels in its scalability. The prover time of DualMatrix scales linearly with respect to the number of non-zero elements in the input matrices. For $n \times n$ matrix multiplication with N non-zero elements across three input matrices, DualMatrix employs a structured reference string (SRS) of size $\mathcal{O}(n)$, and achieves RAM usage of $\mathcal{O}(N + n)$, transcript size of $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$, prover time of $\mathcal{O}(N + n)$, and verifier time of $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$. The prover time, notably at $\mathcal{O}(N + n)$ and surpassing all existing protocols, includes $\mathcal{O}(N + n)$ field multiplications and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ exponentiations and pairings within bilinear groups. These efficiencies make DualMatrix effective for linear algebra on large matrices common in real-world applications. We evaluated DualMatrix with $2^{15} \times 2^{15}$ input matrices each containing 1G non-zero integers, which necessitate $32T$ integer multiplications in naive matrix multiplication. DualMatrix recorded prover and verifier times of 150.84s and 0.56s, respectively. When applied to $1M \times 1M$ sparse matrices each containing 1G non-zero integers, it demonstrated prover and verifier times of 1,384.45s and 0.67s. Our approach outperforms current zkSNARK solutions by successfully handling the large matrix multiplication task in experiment. We extend matrix operations from field matrices to group matrices, formalizing group matrix algebra. This mathematical advancement brings notable symmetries beneficial for high-dimensional elliptic curve cryptography. By leveraging the bilinear properties of our group matrix algebra in the context of the two-tier commitment scheme, DualMatrix achieves efficiency gains over previous matrix multiplication arguments. To accomplish this, we extend and enhance Bulletproofs to construct an inner product argument featuring a transparent setup and logarithmic verifier time.

2.5 [BGY25] Batched & Non-interactive Blind Signatures from Lattices

We introduce a new generalization called non-interactive batched blind signatures (NIBBS). Our goal is to reduce the computation and communication costs for signers and receivers, by batching

multiple blind signature queries. More precisely, we define the property of 'succinct communication' which requires that the communication cost from signer to receiver be independent of the batch size. NIBBS is very suitable for large-scale deployments requiring only minimal signer-side effort. We design a NIBBS scheme and prove its security based on the hardness of lattice assumptions (in the random oracle model). When instantiated with the low-depth PRF candidate "Crypto Dark Matter" (TCC '18) and the succinct lattice-based proof system for rank-1 constraint systems (Crypto '23), our final signature size is 308 KB with <1 KB communication.

2.6 [AAKT+25] Impossibility of VDFs in the ROM: The Complete Picture

This paper is concerned with the question whether Verifiable Delay Functions (VDFs), as introduced by Boneh et al. [CRYPTO 2018], can be constructed in the plain Random Oracle Model (ROM) without any computational assumptions. A first partial answer to this question is due to Mahmoody, Smith, and Wu [ICALP 2020], and rules out the existence of perfectly unique VDFs in the ROM. Building on this result, Guan, Riazanov, and Yuan [CRYPTO 2025] very recently demonstrated that even VDFs with computational uniqueness are impossible under a public-coin setup. However, the case of computationally unique VDFs with private-coin setup remained open. We close this gap by showing that even computationally expensive private-coin setup will not allow to construct VDFs in the ROM.

2.7 [AAFK+25] On Verifiable Delay Functions from Time-Lock Puzzles

In this paper, we study the relationship between these two timed primitives. Our main result is a construction of “one-time” VDF from TLP using indistinguishability obfuscation (iO) and one-way functions (OWFs), where by “one-time” we mean that sequentiality of the VDF holds only against parallel adversaries that do not preprocess public parameters. Our VDF satisfies several desirable properties. For instance, we achieve perfectly sound and short proofs of $O(\lambda)$ bits, where λ is the security parameter. Moreover, our construction is a trapdoor (one-time) VDF that can be easily extended to achieve interesting extra properties (defined in our paper) such as trapdoor-homomorphic and trapdoor-constrained evaluation. Finally, when combined with the results of Bitansky et al., [ITCS 2016], this yields one-time VDFs from any worst-case non-parallelizing language, iO and OWF. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first such construction that only relies on polynomial security.

2.8 [Kiy25] Four-round Statistical Non-malleable Zero-knowledge

We present a 4-round statistical non-malleable zero-knowledge (NMZK) argument in the plain model under standard hardness assumptions. Our construction can be based on any collision-resistant hash function and injective one-way function, and it guarantees simulation extractability in the delayed-input one-many setting. Before this work, 4-round constructions were known for computational NMZK but not for statistical NMZK.

2.9 [GHKS+25] Olingo: Threshold Lattice Signatures with DKG and Identifiable Abort

We present Olingo, a framework for threshold lattice signatures that is the first to offer all desired properties for real-world implementations of quantum-secure threshold signatures: small keys and signatures, low communication and round complexity, non-interactive online signing, distributed key generation (DKG), and identifiable abort. Our starting point is the framework of Gur, Katz, and Silde (PQCrypt 2024). We change the underlying signature scheme to Raccoon (Katsumata et al., Crypto 2024), remove the trapdoor commitments, and apply numerous improvements and optimizations to achieve all the above properties. We provide detailed proofs of security for our new framework and present concrete parameters and benchmarks. At the 128-bit security level, for up to 1024 parties and supporting 2^{60} signatures, our scheme has 2.6 KB public keys and 9.7 KB signatures; while signing requires communication of 953 KB per party. Using the LABRADOR proof system (Beullens and Seiler, Crypto 2023), this can be further reduced to 596 KB. An optimistic non-interactive version of our scheme requires only 83 KB communication per party.

2.10 [GK25] A note on the soundness of an optimized gemini variant

We give a formal analysis of the optimized variant of the *gemini* polynomial commitment scheme [BCHO22] used by the [Aztec Network](#). Our work is motivated by an attack on a previous implementation [GL25].

2.11 [RR25] Threshold Blind Signatures from CDH

Threshold blind signature schemes (TBS) enhance blind signatures with a signing procedure distributed among up to n signers to reduce the risk attached to the compromise of the secret key. Blind signatures and TBS in pairing-free groups often rely on strong assumptions, e.g., the algebraic group model (AGM) or interactive assumptions. A recent line of work initiated by Chairattana-apirom, Tessaro and Zhu (Crypto'24), hereafter CTZ, manages to construct blind signatures in pairing-free groups in the random oracle model (ROM) without resorting to the AGM. While CTZ gives a construction from CDH, the scheme suffers from large signatures. Recent works have improved the

efficiency, however at the cost of relying on a decisional assumption, namely DDH. In this work, we close this gap by giving an efficient blind signature in pairing-free groups proven secure under CDH in the ROM. Our signatures are of size 320 Byte which is an $32 \times$ improvement over CTZ’s CDH-based construction. Further, we give the first TBS in pairing-free groups that does not rely on the AGM by thresholdizing our blind signature. Likewise, our TBS is proven secure under CDH in the ROM. To achieve this, our starting point is the efficient scheme introduced by Kloof, Reichle and Wagner (Asiacrypt’24). We manage to avoid the DDH assumption in the security argument by carefully hiding critical information from the user during the signing phase. At the cost of only 3 additional Zp elements in signature size, this allows us to prove security under CDH.

2.12 [AB25] Zyga: Optimized Zero-Knowledge Proofs with Dynamic Public Inputs

We present Zyga, a pairing-based zero-knowledge proof system optimized for privacy-preserving DeFi applications. Our main contribution is an enhancement of existing zkSNARK constructions that enables dynamic public input substitution during verification while maintaining privacy of witness components through one-sided encoding. The one-sided encoding aspect favors practical deployment constraints on Solana where G2 scalar multiplications are computationally expensive. Zyga separates private values (blinded through trusted setup) from public values (instantiated on-chain), enabling applications like private trading against current market rates without reproving. We provide rigorous security analysis under discrete logarithm and q-Strong Diffie-Hellman assumptions, demonstrating computational soundness, zero-knowledge, and completeness. Performance analysis shows verification requires only 3 pairings with constant proof size, making it practical for blockchain deployment where transaction costs are critical.

2.13 [Liu25] Traceable Ring Signatures Revisited: Extended Definitions, $O(1)$ Tracing, and Efficient Log-Size Constructions

We revisit the syntax and security notions of TRS, and close this gap by defining extended linkability and extended exculpability. Building on these, we design a new framework of TRS from Pseudo-Random Functions (PRF) and Zero-Knowledge Proofs of Knowledge (ZKPoK) that supports $O(1)$ tracing, provided that both two signatures are valid. This constitutes a substantial improvement over existing approaches—all of which require $O(n)$ tracing with n the size of the ring—and elevates TRS to a level of practicality and efficiency comparable to Linkable Ring Signatures (LRS), which have already achieved widespread deployment in practice. Finally, we instantiate our generic framework from the DDH assumption and leverage the Bulletproofs [S&P’18] to construct a TRS scheme with log-size signatures. The proposed scheme achieves highly optimized signature sizes in practice and remains compatible with most existing DLog-based systems. On Curve25519, the signature size is $(128 \cdot \log n + 736)$ bytes, which to our best knowledge is the shortest LRS scheme for a ring $n \geq 19$.

2.14 [Hio25] Anchored Merkle Range Proof for Pedersen Commitments

We present a simple range-proof mechanism for Pedersen commitments that avoids pertransaction heavy ZK verification and pairings. The idea is to commit once to a Merkleized range table of points $\{(U, aX \cdot G)\}_{X \in \{1, \dots, 2^n\}}$ for a secret $a \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ and a public anchor $U = a \cdot B$. At transaction time, a prover shows set membership of the leaf $(U, ax \cdot G)$, proves via a Chaum-Pedersen DLEQ that $\log_B U = \log_C C'$ where $C' = a \cdot C$ and C is the Pedersen commitment, and finally proves (Schnorr) that $C' - (ax \cdot G)$ lies in the H -direction. These three checks enforce x to be the in-range value indexed by the Merkle leaf while preserving privacy. Verification costs a single Merkle proof plus a DLEQ and a Schnorr discrete-log proof over an elliptic curve group.

2.15 [Kon25] Two-party ECDSA Signing at Constant Communication Overhead

In this work, we investigate whether the cost of two-party ECDSA signing can be brought within the realm of plain ECDSA signing. We answer the question in the affirmative for the case of communication complexity, by means of a new signing protocol. Our protocol consumes bandwidth linear in the security parameter, and hence the size of an ECDSA signature. Our scheme makes only blackbox use of generic tools—Oblivious Transfer during key generation, and any Pseudorandom Function when signing. While computation complexity is not asymptotically optimal, benchmarks of our protocol confirm that concrete costs are the lowest known for ECDSA signing. Our protocol is therefore the most concretely efficient in the literature on all fronts: bandwidth, computation, and rounds. On a technical level, our protocol is enabled by a novel Pseudorandom Correlation Function (PCF) for the Vector Oblivious Linear Evaluation correlation over a large ring. The PCF relies on one-way functions alone, and may be of independent interest. Our scheme supports standard extensions, such as pre-signing, and including backup servers for key shares in a $(2, n)$ configuration.

2.16 [FPST25] New Straight-Line Extractable NIZKPs for Cryptographic Group Actions

This work introduces the GAO (Group Action Oriented) transform, a new generic compiler that produces straight-line extractable NIZKPs from Sigma protocols while significantly simplifying the analysis of the fixed-weight framework. The GAO transform is then optimized in two different ways, defining a collision predicate (yielding the Coll-GAO transform) and adopting a technique (Stretch-and-Compress) that can be applied to improve both GAO and Coll-GAO (yielding the SC-GAO and SC-Coll-GAO transforms). The practical advantages of the SC-Coll-GAO transform are theoretically motivated and concretely tested on the LESS digital signature, a code-based candidate that recently advanced to the second round of the NIST standardization process specifically purposed

for post-quantum signatures. Remarkably, when compared to the Fiat-Shamir LESS baseline, SC-Coll-GAO incurs a computational cost increase by 50-60%, while signature sizes grow by only 10-20%.

2.17 [SKVP25] Coppercloud: Blind Server-Supported RSA Signatures

In this work, we introduce Coppercloud, a blind server-supported RSA signature scheme designed to enhance privacy in digital identity systems. Coppercloud enables a user to obtain a signature on a message, without revealing its content to the supporting server, while distributing the signing key between the user’s device and the supporting server. We formalize the security requirements for blind server-supported signing by defining an ideal functionality, and prove that Coppercloud securely realizes this functionality in the Universal Composability (UC) model.

2.18 [GR25] Proofs of No Intrusion

We introduce Proofs of No Intrusion, which enable a classical client to remotely test whether a quantum server has been hacked and the client’s data stolen. Crucially, the test does not destroy the data being tested, avoiding the need to store a backup elsewhere. We define and construct proofs of no intrusion for ciphertexts assuming fully homomorphic encryption. Additionally, we show how to equip several constructions of unclonable primitives with proofs of non-intrusion, such as unclonable decryption keys and signature tokens. Conceptually, proofs of non-intrusion can be defined for essentially any unclonable primitive. At the heart of our techniques is a new method for non-destructively testing coset states with classical communication. It can be viewed as a non-destructive proof of knowledge of a measurement result of the coset state.

2.19 [KPRR+25] Block-Accumulate Codes: Accelerated Linear Codes for PCGs and ZK

We propose a generalized paradigm for building LPN-friendly codes with provable minimum distance. Roughly speaking, these codes are based on the idea of randomized turbo codes such as repeat accumulate codes. To prove their minimum distance, we present a generalized enumeration technique, which allows us to precisely compute the minimum distance for a broad class of codes. Although we do not prove their asymptotic behavior, the concrete parameters essentially give a linear-time encoder. Armed with these new techniques, we construct several novel codes, the most promising of which we call Block-Accumulate codes. Our original design goal was to construct codes that run efficiently on GPUs. Surprisingly, we find that our newly constructed codes are the fastest on both GPUs and CPUs, while at the same time achieve a better minimum distance. If we restrict our attention to codes with proofs, our code is 8× faster than state of the art on a CPU and 50×

faster on a GPU. Even if we use aggressive parameters, our code is 3 and $20\times$ faster, respectively. Under these parameters, this yields overall PCG speedups of $2.5\times$ on the CPU and $15\times$ on the GPU, achieving about 200 million OTs or binary Beaver triples per second on the GPU (excluding the one-time 10 ms GGM seed expansion). We expect similar improvements when applied to the ZK space.

2.20 [CMV25] Public-Key Encryption from the MinRank Problem

We construct a public-key encryption scheme from the hardness of the (planted) MinRank problem over uniformly random instances. This corresponds to the hardness of decoding random linear rank-metric codes. Existing constructions of public-key encryption from such problems require hardness for structured instances arising from the masking of efficiently decodable codes. Central to our construction is the development of a new notion of duality for rank-metric codes.

2.21 [HMOY25] Proofs of quantum memory

In this paper, we introduce a new concept, proofs of quantum memory (PoQM). A PoQM is an interactive protocol between a classical probabilistic polynomial-time (PPT) verifier and a quantum polynomial-time (QPT) prover over a classical channel where the verifier can verify that the prover has possessed a quantum memory with a certain number of qubits during a specified period of time. PoQM generalize the notion of proofs of quantumness (PoQ) [Brakerski, Christiano, Mahadev, Vazirani, and Vidick, JACM 2021]. Our main contributions are a formal definition of PoQM and its constructions based on hardness of LWE. Specifically, we give two constructions of PoQM. The first is of a four-round and has negligible soundness error under subexponential-hardness of LWE. The second is of a polynomial-round and has inverse-polynomial soundness error under polynomial-hardness of LWE. As a lowerbound of PoQM, we also show that PoQM imply one-way puzzles. Moreover, a certain restricted version of PoQM implies quantum computation classical communication (QCCC) key exchange.

2.22 [AMK25] Lattice-Based zk-SNARKs with Hybrid Verification Technique

In this work, we propose a new notion of a hybrid verification mechanism. Here, the prover generates a proof that can be verified by a designated verifier. For this proof, the designated verifier can generate auxiliary information with its secret key. The combination of this proof and the auxiliary information allows any public verifier to verify the proof without any other information. We also introduce necessary security notions and mechanisms to identify a cheating designated verifier or the prover. Our hybrid verification zkSNARK construction is based on module lattices and adapts the zkSNARK construction by Ishai et al. (CCS 2021). In this construction, the designated verifier is

required only once after proof generation to create the publicly verifiable proof. Our construction achieves a small constant-size proof and fast verification time, which is linear in the statement size.

2.23 [MSY25] Quantum Cryptography and Hardness of Non-Collapsing Measurements

In this paper, we base OWPuzzs on hardness of non-collapsing measurements. To that end, we introduce a new complexity class, **SampPDQP**, which is a sampling version of the decision class **PDQP** introduced in [Aaronson, Bouland, Fitzsimons, and Lee, ITCS 2016]. We show that if **SampPDQP** is hard on average for quantum polynomial time, then OWPuzzs exist. We also show that if **SampPDQP** $\not\subseteq$ **SampBQP**, then auxiliary-input OWPuzzs exist. **SampPDQP** is the class of sampling problems that can be solved by a classical polynomial-time deterministic algorithm that can make a single query to a non-collapsing measurement oracle, which is a "magical" oracle that can sample measurement results on quantum states without collapsing the states. Such non-collapsing measurements are highly unphysical operations that should be hard to realize in quantum polynomial-time, and therefore our assumptions on which OWPuzzs are based seem extremely plausible. Moreover, our assumptions do not seem to imply OWFs, because the possibility of inverting classical functions would not be helpful to realize quantum non-collapsing measurements. We also study upperbounds of the hardness of **SampPDQP**. We introduce a new primitive, distributional collision-resistant puzzles (dCRPuzzs), which are a natural quantum analogue of distributional collision-resistant hashing [Dubrov and Ishai, STOC 2006]. We show that dCRPuzzs imply average-case hardness of **SampPDQP** (and therefore OWPuzzs as well). We also show that two-message honest-statistically-hiding commitments with classical communication and one-shot message authentication codes (MACs), which are a privately-verifiable version of one-shot signatures [Amos, Georgiou, Kiayias, Zhandry, STOC 2020], imply dCRPuzzs.

2.24 [ZLSZ+25] Pegasus and PegaRing: Efficient (Ring) Signatures from Sigma-Protocols for Power Residue PRFs with (Q)ROM Security

In this work, we present a novel commit-and-open Σ -protocol based on the Legendre and power residue PRFs. Our construction leverages the oblivious linear evaluation (OLE) correlations inherent in PRF evaluations and requires only black-box access to a tree-PRG-based vector commitment. By applying the standard Fiat-Shamir transform, we obtain a post-quantum signature scheme, Pegasus, which achieves short signature sizes (6025 to 7878 bytes) with efficient signing (3.910 to 19.438 ms) and verification times (3.942 to 18.999 ms). Furthermore, by pre-computing the commitment phase, the online response time can be reduced to as little as 0.047 to 0.721 ms. We prove the security of Pegasus in both the classical random oracle model (ROM) and the quantum random oracle model (QROM), filling a gap left by prior PRF-based signature schemes. We further develop a ring signature scheme, PegaRing, that preserves the three-move commit-and-open structure of

Pegasus. Compared to previous PRF-based ring signature called DualRing-PRF (ACISP 2024), PegaRing reduces the constant communication overhead by more than half and achieves significantly faster signing and verification. For a ring size of 1024, PegaRing yields signatures of 29 to 32 KB, with signing times of 8 to 44 ms, and verification times of 6 to 31 ms, depending on the parameters. Finally, we prove the security of PegaRing in both the ROM and the QROM, which is, to the best of our knowledge, the first symmetric-key primitives-based ring signature with practical performances and provable QROM security.

2.25 [Jan25] Bird of Prey: Practical Signature Combiners Preserving Strong Unforgeability

This work introduces three practical combiners that preserve strong unforgeability and all BUFF (beyond unforgeability features) properties. Each combiner is tailored to a specific class of classical signature schemes capturing all broadly used schemes that are strongly unforgeable. Remarkably, all combiners can be instantiated with any post-quantum signature scheme in a black-box way making deployment practical and significantly less error prone. The proposed solutions are further highly efficient and have signatures that are at most the size of the (insecure) concatenation combiner. For instance, our most efficient combiner enables the combination of EdDSA with ML-DSA, yielding a signature size that is smaller than the sum of an individual EdDSA signature and an individual ML-DSA signature. Additionally, we identify a novel signature property that we call random-message validity and show that it can be used to replace the BUFF transform with the more efficient Pernin-Stern transform. The notion may be of independent interest.

2.26 [BF25] The Order of Hashing in Fiat-Shamir Schemes

Our work investigates whether there are advisable or imprudent input orders for hashing in Fiat-Shamir signatures. We examine Fiat-Shamir signatures with plain and nested hashing using Merkle-Damgård or sponge-based hash functions. We analyze these constructions in both classical and quantum settings. As part of our investigations, we introduce new security properties following the idea of quantum-annoyance of Eaton and Stebila (PQCrypto 2021), called annoyance for user exposure and signature forgeries. These properties ensure that an adversary against the hash function cannot gain a significant advantage when attempting to extend a successful attack on a single signature forgery to multiple users or to multiple forgeries of a single user. Instead, the adversary must create extra forgeries from scratch. Based on our analysis, we derive a simple rule: When using Fiat-Shamir signatures, one should hash the commitment before the message; all other inputs may be ordered arbitrarily.

2.27 [GKKP+25] Revisiting Lattice-based Non-interactive Blind Signature

Later, Zhang et al. introduced another lattice-based construction in ProvSec 2024, and proved its security under the standard module short integer solution (MSIS) assumption. We analyse the security of the latter scheme. In the random oracle model, we show that it fails to achieve both nonce blindness and receiver blindness. We present explicit attacks where an adversary breaks both properties with probability 1. Our attack is based on a crucial observation that uncovers a flaw in the design. Specifically, this flaw allows an attacker to link a message-signature pair with its presignature-nonce pair. In addition, we also identify a flaw in the unforgeability proof. Finally, we suggest a modification to address the issue, which is similar to Baldimtsi et al. construction, and its security relies again on the non-standard rOM-ISIS assumption. This work again raises the question of the feasibility of achieving NIBS from standard assumptions.

2.28 [JBW25] CoBBl: Dynamic constraint generation for SNARKs

This paper presents a compiler and proof system, CoBBl, that combines the benefits of CPU emulation and direct translation: it takes advantage of program-specific optimizations, but doesn't pay for an unnecessary state representation or unexecuted computation. COBBL outperforms CirC, a state-of-the-art direct translator, by $1\text{--}30\times$ on compile time and $26\text{--}350\times$ on prover time, and outperforms Jolt, a state-of-the-art CPU emulator, on prover time by $1.1\text{--}1.8\times$ on Jolt-friendly benchmarks, and up to $100\times$ on other benchmarks.

2.29 [BCD25] Linear*-Time Permutation Check

Permutation and lookup arguments are at the core of most deployed SNARK protocols today. Most modern techniques for performing them require a grand product check. This requires either committing to large field elements (E.g. in Plonk) or using GKR (E.g. in Spartan) which has worse verifier cost and proof size. Sadly, both have a soundness error that grows linearly with the input size. We present two permutation arguments that have $\text{polylog}(n)/|\mathbb{F}|$ soundness error – for reasonable input size $n = 2^{32}$ and field size $|\mathbb{F}| = 2^{128}$, the soundness error improves significantly from 2^{-96} to 2^{-120} . Moreover, the arguments achieve $\log(n)$ verification cost and proof size without ever needing to commit to anything beyond the witness. BiPerm only requires the prover to perform $O(n)$ field operations on top of committing to the witness, but at the cost of limiting the choices of PCS. We show a stronger construction, MulPerm, which has no restriction on the PCS choice and its prover performs essentially linear field operations, $n \cdot \tilde{O}(\sqrt{\log(n)})$. Our permutation arguments generalize to lookups. We demonstrate how our arguments can be used to improve SNARK systems such as HyperPlonk and Spartan, and build a GKR-based protocol for proving non-uniform circuits.

2.30 [CSK25] Locally Recoverable Data Availability Sampling

We propose Locally Recoverable Data Availability Sampling (LR-DAS), which upgrades binary, threshold-based availability to graded verification by leveraging optimal locally recoverable codes (e.g., Tamo-Barg). Local groups of size $r + \alpha$ serve as atomic certification units: once r verified openings fix a degree- $< r$ local polynomial, the entire group is certified and accumulates monotonically toward global availability. We formalize a locality-aware commitment with a single algebraic local-global link that binds every accepted local proof to a unique global codeword, preventing cross-group splicing. Our verifier admits a two-tier IOP view (local RSmembership, global TB-proximity, one DEEP-style linking query). We instantiate this with (i) a two-layer KZG design and (ii) a transparent FRI/IOPP stack. Both support batched multi-point openings and cross-block random-weight aggregation, yielding $\mathcal{O}(1)$ verifier work per certified batch with $\mathcal{O}(r + \alpha)$ field payload per block. Security is captured by graded soundness against missing-fraction and missing-group adversaries with explicit overshoot bounds. A lightweight proof-of-custody layer-one unpredictable global opening at publish time plus periodic batched local checks-composes seamlessly to enforce possession without altering the core pipeline. Empirically and analytically, LR-DAS certifies availability with fewer samples than required for global recovery under the same encoding, providing a practical univariate alternative to multivariate repair-based DAS while retaining succinct proofs and a simple prover/verifier pipeline. Design levers (r, α) allow tuning responsiveness versus distance, and the transparent instantiation offers a post-quantum-ready option.

2.31 [AFLL+25] A Gaussian Leftover Hash Lemma for Modules over Number Fields

Leftover Hash Lemma (LHL) states that $\mathbf{X} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ for a Gaussian \mathbf{v} is an essentially independent Gaussian sample. It has seen numerous applications in cryptography for hiding sensitive distributions of \mathbf{v} . We generalise the Gaussian LHL initially stated over \mathbb{Z} by Agrawal, Gentry, Halevi, and Sahai (2013) to modules over number fields. Our results have a sub-linear dependency on the degree of the number field and require only polynomial norm growth: $\|\mathbf{v}\|/\|\mathbf{X}\|$. To this end, we also prove when \mathbf{X} is surjective (assuming the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis) and give bounds on the smoothing parameter of the kernel of \mathbf{X} . We also establish when the resulting distribution is independent of the geometry of \mathbf{X} and establish the hardness of the k -SIS and k -LWE problems over modules (k -MSIS/ k -MLWE) based on the hardness of SIS and LWE over modules (MSIS/MLWE) respectively, which was assumed without proof in prior works.

2.32 [CH25] On the Quantum Equivalence between $S|LWE\rangle$ and ISIS

In this paper, we investigate the equivalence between $S|LWE\rangle$ and ISIS. We present the first fully generic reduction from ISIS to $S|LWE\rangle$, valid even in the presence of errors in the underlying

algorithms. We then explore the reverse direction, introducing an inhomogeneous variant of $C|LWE\rangle$, denoted $IC|LWE\rangle$, and show that $IC|LWE\rangle$ reduces to $S|LWE\rangle$. Finally, we prove that, under certain recoverability conditions, an algorithm for ISIS can be transformed into one for $S|LWE\rangle$. We instantiate this reverse reduction by tweaking a known algorithm for (I)ISIS in order to construct quantum algorithm for $S|LWE\rangle$ when the alphabet size q is a small power of 2, recovering some results of Bai et al. [BJK+ 25]. Our results thus clarify the landscape of reductions between $S|LWE\rangle$ and ISIS, and we show both their strong connection as well as the remaining barriers for showing full equivalence.

2.33 [BHMV25] On Limits on the Provable Consequences of Quantum Pseudorandomness

We study new oracle worlds where one form of quantum pseudorandomness exists but another does not, under certain assumptions or constraints, and we provide potential directions toward achieving full black-box separation. More precisely: - We give a unitary oracle relative to which PRFSGs exist, but PRUs without using ancilla do not. This can be extended to general PRUs if a structural property of the PRU algorithm can be proven. Assuming a conjecture similar to an isoperimetric inequality, we show a unitary oracle world where log-length output PRFSGs exist, but proving the existence of quantum-computable pseudorandom generators (QPRGs) with negligible correctness error is as hard as proving that $BQP \neq QCMA$. This result suggests that the inverse-polynomial error in the state-of-the-art construction of QPRGs from log-length PRSGs is inherent. Assuming the same conjecture, we prove that some natural methods of constructing super-log-length output PRSGs from log-length output PRFSGs are impossible. This partly complements the known hardness of shrinking the PRSG output lengths. Along the way, we also discuss other potential approaches to extend the PRSG output lengths. All our worlds are based on (variants of) oracles that output Haar-random quantum states for each bit string, which can be viewed as a quantum version of the random oracle model, where output strings are replaced by quantum states. Our results highlight technical difficulties when dealing with ancillary registers, measurements, and adaptivity in the quantum setting. As one of our key technical tools, we show an intriguing gentle behavior of intermediate measurements in algorithms producing outcome states with high purity, which may be of independent interest.

2.34 [LXYY+25] Succinct Line-Point Zero-Knowledge Arguments from Homomorphic Secret Sharing

In this work, we beat the proof size barrier and propose *succinct LPZK arguments*, by distilling techniques from orthogonal studies on homomorphic secret sharing and succinct garbling. Specifically, under variants of group/lattice-based assumptions, we show the followings: i) There exist succinct LPZK arguments with common reference string (CRS) size $O(n^{2/3})$, proof size $O(n^{2/3})$, prover time $O(n^{4/3} + |\mathcal{C}|)$, verification time $O(n + |\mathcal{C}|)$, and negligible soundness error, where both the prover

and the verifier executions and be run in a streaming fashion. ii) The above proof size can be further optimized to $O(1)$, at the cost of a larger CRS size $O(n)$, and prover time increased to $O(n^2 + |\mathcal{C}|)$. In general, our succinct LPZK arguments pave a new way for building designated-verifier zero-knowledge succinct non-interactive arguments of knowledge (dv-zkSNARKs), and new interesting features (e.g., streaming, constant sized proof with CRS size not proportional to the circuit size) are obtained for the first time along the way.

2.35 [ZZ25] Vectorized Falcon-Sign Implementations using SSE2, AVX2, AVX-512F, NEON, and RVV

We design a vectorized version of the BaseSample and provide optimized implementations across six different instruction sets: SSE2, AVX2, AVX-512F, NEON, RISC-V Vector (RVV), and RV64IM. The AVX2 implementation, for instance, achieves an $8.4\times$ speedup over prior work. Additionally, we optimize the FFT/iFFT operations using RVV and RV64D. For the RVV implementation, we introduce a new method using strided load/store instructions, with 4+4 and 4+5 layer merging strategies for Falcon-512 and Falcon-1024, respectively, resulting in a speedup of more than $4\times$. Finally, we present the results of our optimized implementations across eight different instruction sets for signature generation of Falcon. For instance, our AVX2, AVX-512F, and RV64GCVB implementations achieve performance improvements of 23%, 36%, and 59%, respectively, for signature generation of Falcon-512.

2.36 [HACF25] SoK: Lookup Table Arguments

In this work, we systematize the design of lookup arguments and the cryptographic primitives they rely on. We introduce a unified and modular framework that covers standard, projective, indexed, vector, and decomposable lookups. We classify existing protocols by proof technique—multiset equality, Logup-based, accumulators, and subvector extraction (matrix–vector)—as well as by composition style. We survey and evaluate existing protocols along dimensions such as prover cost, dependence on table size, and compatibility with recursive proofs. From this analysis, we distill lessons and guidelines for choosing lookup constructions in practice and highlight the benefits and limitations of emerging directions in literature, such as preprocessing and decomposability.

2.37 [CDGV25] MIRANDA: short signatures from a leakage-free full-domain-hash scheme

We present Miranda, the first family of full-domain-hash signatures based on matrix codes. This signature scheme fulfils the paradigm of Gentry, Peikert and Vaikuntanathan (GPV), which gives strong security guarantees. Our trapdoor is very simple and generic: if we propose it with matrix codes, it can actually be instantiated in many other ways since it only involves a subcode of a

decodable code (or lattice) in a unique decoding regime of parameters. Though Miranda signing algorithm relies on a decoding task where there is exactly one solution, there are many possible signatures given a message to sign and we ensure that signatures are not leaking information on their underlying trapdoor by means of a very simple procedure involving the drawing of a small number of uniform bits. In particular Miranda does not use a rejection sampling procedure which makes its implementation a very simple task contrary to other GPV-like signatures schemes such as Falcon or even Wave. We instantiate Miranda with the famous family of Gabidulin codes represented as spaces of matrices and we study thoroughly its security (in the EUF-CMA security model). For 128 bits of classical security, the signature sizes are as low as 90 bytes and the public key sizes are in the order of 2.6 megabytes.

2.38 [KLR25] Blind Signatures from Arguments of Inequality

We give the first lattice-based blind signature that is concurrently-secure based on the Fiat-Shamir paradigm. - We give the first pairing-free blind signature that is concurrently-secure under the discrete logarithm assumption (without the algebraic group model). On a technical level, our work is inspired by the recent proofs of inequality technique (Kloof and Reichle, Crypto'25). This technique relies on statistical puncturing of the verification key. We explore the technique in the computational regime and develop new proof and design techniques to tackle the challenges encountered along the way.

2.39 [GKKR+25] Poseidon2b: A Binary Field Version of Poseidon2

We present Poseidon2b, a version of Poseidon2 defined over binary extension fields. It is specifically designed to inherit many of the circuit-friendly properties of its prime field version, and to be used together with binary extension field proving systems such as Binus. Benchmarking demonstrates the merits around proof size, proving time, and especially verification time. We also revisit recent attacks on Poseidon and Poseidon2 and discuss their applicability in the binary field extension setting, in addition to analyzing attack vectors that were not applicable in the prime field setting. In particular, we lay special focus on algebraic cryptanalysis and subspace trails, techniques which resulted in attacks on initial versions of Poseidon defined over binary extension fields.

2.40 [ZOZ25] Dynark: Making Groth16 Dynamic

In this paper, we introduce DYNARK, a dynamic zkSNARK scheme that can update the proof in sublinear time when the change of the witness is small. DYNARK is built on top of the seminal zkSNARK protocol of Groth, 2016. In the semi-dynamic setting, for an R1CS of size n , after a preprocessing of $O(n \log n)$ group operations on the original witness, it only takes $O(d)$ group operations and $O(d \log^2 d)$ field operations to update the proof for a new witness with distance d .

from the original witness, which is nearly optimal. In the fully-dynamic setting, the update time of DYNARK is $O(d\sqrt{n \log n})$ group operations and $O(d \log^2 d)$ field operations. Both the proof size and the verifier time are $O(1)$, which are exactly the same as Groth16. Compared to the scheme in a prior work by Wang et al. 2024, we reduce the proof size from $O(\sqrt{n})$ to $O(1)$ without relying on pairing product arguments or another zkSNARK, and the update time and the verifier time of DYNARK are faster in practice. Experimental results show that for $n = 2^{20}$, after a one-time preprocessing of 74.3 seconds, it merely takes 3 milliseconds to update the proof in our semi-dynamic zkSNARK for $d = 1$, and 60 milliseconds to update the proof in our fully-dynamic zkSNARK. These are $1433\times$ and $73\times$ faster than Groth16, respectively. The proof size is 192 bytes and the verifier time is 4.4 milliseconds. The system is fully compatible with any existing deployment of Groth16 without changing the trusted setup, the proof and the verification algorithm.

2.41 [QTW25] Unique NIZKs and Steganography Detection

In this work, following Lepinski, Micali, and shelat (TCC '05), we consider the following relaxed notion of unique NIZKs (UNIZKs): - We only require (computationally) unique proofs for NP statements with a (computationally) unique witness; an adversary that can produce two distinct proofs must also know two distinct witnesses. - We consider NIZKs with prover setup, where a potentially malicious prover initially publishes a public key pk and keeps a corresponding secret key sk , which it uses to produce arbitrarily many NIZK proofs π in the future. While the public key pk is not required to be unique, once it is fixed, all the subsequent proofs π that the prover can produce should be unique. We show that both of these relaxations are needed to avoid witness encryption. Prior work constructed such UNIZKs under the quadratic residuosity assumption, and it remained an open problem to do so under any other assumptions. Here, we give a new construction of UNIZKs under the learning with errors (LWE) assumption. We also identify and fix a subtle circularity issue in the prior work. UNIZKs are a non-interactive version of steganography-free zero-knowledge of Abdolmaleki et al. (TCC '22). As an application of UNIZKs, we get a general steganography detection mechanism that can passively monitor arbitrary functionalities to detect steganographic leakage.

2.42 [ZGCP+25] HyperWolf: Lattice Polynomial Commitments with Standard Soundness

We present **HyperWolf**, a lattice-based, fully transparent polynomial commitment scheme (PCS) for univariate and multilinear polynomials. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first lattice PCS to simultaneously achieve logarithmic proof size and verification time with standard soundness under standard lattice assumptions over polynomial rings. Building on sublinear schemes such as **Greyhound** (CRYPTO'24) and **BrakeDown** (CRYPTO'23), we generalize the two-dimensional approach to a k -dimensional witness-folding recursion, yielding a k -round hyperdimensional proof. Each round folds the witness along one axis, reducing the tensor arity by one, giving overall cost $O(kN^{1/k})$;

choosing $k = \log N$ yields $O(\log N)$ verification time and proof size. For standard ℓ_2 soundness, we give an exact Euclidean-norm proof tailored to lattice relations: we prove $\langle \vec{f}, \vec{f} \rangle \bmod q$ via an inner-product argument and enforce a small-coefficient bound on $\|\vec{f}\|_\infty$ so that $\langle \vec{f}, \vec{f} \rangle \bmod q = \langle \vec{f}, \vec{f} \rangle$ over \mathbb{Z} . Both sub-proofs admit the same structure for $O(\log N)$ complexity. We further compact the proof using a proof-of-proof IPA à la LaBRADOR (CRYPTO'23), attaining $O(\log \log \log N)$ while preserving logarithmic verification and linear proving. We also describe a candidate optimization that achieves $O(\log \log N)$ proofs without LaBRADOR. For $N = 2^{30}$, HyperWolf features a ~ 53 KB proof size and, compared to Greyhound, reduces verifier work from $\Theta(\sqrt{N})$ to $\Theta(\log N)$, yielding 2 to 3 orders of magnitude improvement for large N while maintaining comparable size.

2.43 [Che25] Symphony: Scalable SNARKs in the Random Oracle Model from Lattice-Based High-Arity Folding

We re-envision how to use folding, and introduce Symphony, the first folding-based SNARK that avoids embedding hashes in SNARK circuits. It is memory-efficient, parallelizable, streaming-friendly, plausibly post-quantum secure, with polylogarithmic proof size and verification, and a prover dominated by committing to the input witnesses. As part of our construction, we introduce a new lattice-based folding scheme that compresses a large number of NP-complete statements into one in a single shot, which may be of independent interest. Furthermore, we design a generic compiler that converts a folding scheme into a SNARK without embedding the Fiat-Shamir circuit into proven statements. Our evaluation shows its concrete efficiency, making Symphony a promising candidate for applications such as zkVM, proof of learning, and post-quantum aggregate signatures.

2.44 [HV25] A Simple and Efficient One-Shot Signature Scheme

In this work, we address the inefficiency of the Shmueli-Zhandry construction which signs messages bit-by-bit, resulting in signing keys of $\Theta(\lambda^4)$ qubits and signatures of size $\Theta(\lambda^3)$ bits for polynomially long messages, where λ is the security parameter. We construct a new, simple, direct, and efficient one-shot signature scheme which can sign messages of any polynomial length using signing keys of $\Theta(\lambda^2)$ qubits and signatures of size $\Theta(\lambda^2)$ bits. We achieve corresponding savings in runtimes, in both the oracle model and the plain model. In addition, unlike the Shmueli-Zhandry construction, our scheme achieves perfect correctness. Our scheme also achieves strong signature incompressibility, which implies a public-key quantum fire scheme with perfect correctness among other applications, correcting an error in a recent work of Çakan, Goyal and Shmueli (QCrypt 2025) and recovering their applications.

2.45 [ZGGX25] Quasar: Sublinear Accumulation Schemes for Multiple Instances

In this work, we present a novel accumulation scheme for multiple instances based on polynomial commitment schemes, achieving a theoretical verifier complexity that is sublinear in the number of instances. Technically, our scheme leverages partial evaluation of polynomials to replace random linear combinations, thereby minimizing the costly Commitment Random Linear Combination (CRC) operations on the verifier side. Building on this accumulation scheme, we introduce Quasar, a multi-instance IVC with small recursion overhead in practice. Notably, Quasar reduces the number of costly CRC operations in the recursive circuit from linear to quasi-linear, substantially improving practical performance. By instantiating Quasar with appropriate polynomial commitment schemes, it can achieve linear-time accumulation prover complexity, plausible post-quantum security, and support for parallelizable proving at each step.

2.46 [CFJW25] Unambiguous SNARGs for P from LWE with Applications to PPAD Hardness

We construct the first unambiguous succinct non-interactive arguments (SNARGs) for P and incrementally verifiable computation (IVC) for P from the polynomial hardness of learning with errors (LWE). Unambiguity guarantees that it is computationally hard to find two distinct accepting proofs for the same statement. As an application, we establish the first PPAD hardness result based on the polynomial hardness of LWE combined with a widely believed complexity assumption. Central to our approach is a new notion of rate-1 witness-unambiguous batch arguments for NP, which we give the first construction from the polynomial hardness of LWE.

2.47 [ZSVD25] Graeffe-Based Attacks on Poseidon and NTT Lower Bounds

We introduce the use of the Graeffe transform in univariate polynomial solving within this line of work. The proposed method streamlines the root recovery process in interpolation attacks and achieves several orders of magnitude acceleration in practical settings, enabling a new and more efficient class of attacks against Poseidon targeting round-reduced permutations and constrained input/output instances. We release open-source code and describe our method in detail, demonstrating substantial improvements over prior approaches: reductions in wall time by a factor of 2^{13} and in memory usage by a factor of $2^{4.5}$. Memory-access costs for NTTs turn out to be a dominant barrier in practice. And we prove that this cost increases at least as the $4/3$ -power of the input size (up to logarithmic factors), which suggests the commonly used pseudo-linear cost model may underestimate the true resource requirements. This behavior contrasts with multivariate equation solving, whose main bottleneck remains finite-field linear algebra. We argue that, when selecting parameters, designers

should account for interpolation-based attacks explicitly, since their practical hardness is determined by different, and sometimes stronger, resource constraints than those of multivariate techniques.

2.48 [RLHK+25] UPPR: Universal Privacy-Preserving Revocation

This paper introduces UPPR, a revocation mechanism for One-Show Verifiable Credentials (oVCs) and unlinkable Anonymous Credentials (ACs). Revocations are managed using per-credential Verifiable Random Function (VRF) tokens, which are published in a Bloom filter cascade on a blockchain. Holders prove non-revocation via a VRF proof for oVCs or a single Zero-Knowledge Proof for ACs. The construction prevents revocation status tracking, allows holders to stay offline, and hides issuer revocation behavior. We analyze the privacy properties of UPPR and provide a prototype implementation on Ethereum. Our implementation enables off-chain verification at no cost. On-chain checks cost 0.56-0.84 USD, while issuers pay only 0.00002-0.00005 USD per credential to refresh the revocation state.

2.49 [BP25] ALFOMs and the Moirai: Quantifying the Performance/Security Tradeoff for ZK-friendly Hash Functions

In this paper, we show that it is possible to build a simple yet efficient security argument based on a precise estimate of the so-called “algebraic degree” of a system of equations. Furthermore, we show that the increase of this quantity across rounds is tightly connected to the cost of the hash function in two different arithmetizations, namely AIR and R1CS. We precisely quantify this relation by introducing ALgebraic Figures Of Merit (ALFOMs) that capture how efficient a specific primitive (and in fact its round function) are at increasing the security per unit of cost. This new insight allows us to better understand sometimes puzzling performance differences between state-of-the-art hash functions in the R1CS and AIR cases, and to provide a fair and simple comparison of their round functions in this context. Furthermore, we present a new group of round functions we called the Moirai which allow us to explore what a round function providing optimal performance/security tradeoff could look like.

2.50 [BCK25] Golden: Lightweight Non-Interactive Distributed Key Generation

In this work, we present Golden, a non-interactive Distributed Key Generation (DKG) protocol. The core innovation of Golden is how it achieves publicly verifiability in a lightweight manner, allowing all participants to non-interactively verify that all other participants followed the protocol correctly. For this reason, Golden can be performed with only one round of (broadcast) communication. Non-interactive DKGs are important for distributed applications; as parties may go offline at any moment, reducing rounds of communication is a desirable feature. Golden outputs Shamir secret

shares of a field element $sk \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ to all participants, and a public key $PK = g^{sk}$ that is a discrete-logarithm commitment to sk . Further, the security of Golden requires only the hardness of discrete-logarithm assumptions, and so can be used over any elliptic curve where these assumptions hold.

2.51 [Kos25] Hashing-friendly elliptic curves

The article introduces a new class of elliptic curves over finite fields, more appropriate for multiple hashing to them. Moreover, two explicit hashing-friendly Montgomery/twisted Edwards curves (of ≈ 128 security bits) have been generated: one of CM discriminant -7 , i.e., a GLV-friendly curve and one of huge CM discriminant, i.e., a CM-secure curve. The new elliptic curves are intentionally covered by so-called Klein's and Bring's curves of geometric genera 3 and 4, respectively. The latter are well studied in various algebraic geometry contexts, although they have not yet been (reasonably) applied in cryptography to the author's knowledge. Such a mathematical complication is justified, since conventional curves (from existing standards or of j -invariants 0, 1728) are seemingly less efficient for batch hashing.

2.52 [SGBK+25] Optimizing the Post Quantum Signature Scheme CROSS for Resource Constrained Devices

In this work, we propose two optimized implementations of the Codes and Restricted Objects Signature Scheme (CROSS) targeting the Cortex-M4 platform. One implementation targets the minimal possible stack size while the other trades some memory space for performance optimization using vectorization for some performance critical arithmetic operations. We show that all parameter sets fit within at maximum 24 kB of stack which corresponds to a reduction by a factor of 15 to 45 with respect to the reference implementation. The memory footprint of our implementation, taking the size of the binary and the signature also into account, is less than 128 kB. We additionally outline different stack reduction options which allow for a fine grained trade-off between memory footprint and performance of the algorithm. Notably, we also show that our memory optimizations alone have no significant impact on the signature verification of CROSS while we even achieve a speed-up factor of up to 1.7 when taking the stack and speed optimizations into account.

2.53 [WZZW+25] Attention is still what you need: Another Round of Exploring Shoup's GGM

In this work, we further investigate Shoup's GGM and identify novel limitations that have been previously overlooked. Specifically, to prevent generic algorithms from generating valid group elements without querying the oracle, the model typically employs sufficiently large encoding lengths. This leads to sparse encodings, a setting referred to as the sparse generic group model (sparse GGM).

In conclusion, our findings indicate that both feasibility and impossibility results in Shoup’s GGM should be reinterpreted in a fine-grained manner, encouraging further exploration of cryptographic constructions and black-box separations in EC-GGM or dense GGM.

2.54 [GL25] Fully Homomorphic Encryption for Matrix Arithmetic

We propose an efficient fully homomorphic encryption (FHE) scheme tailored for matrix arithmetic based on the Ring-Learning with Errors (RLWE) problem. The proposed scheme naturally supports matrix multiplication, addition, and Hadamard multiplication for batched matrices of various sizes over both complex numbers and integers. Encrypted matrix multiplication is reduced to four matrix multiplications of ciphertext elements, without the need for expensive operations such as slot-to-coefficient conversion or ring switching. In addition, the scheme efficiently supports matrix transformations, including general and conjugate transpositions, as well as matrix rotations: inter-matrix rotations across batched matrices and intra-matrix rotations within rows and columns. Moreover, the proposed FHE scheme can be directly combined with existing bootstrapping algorithms. By eliminating the need for expensive operations such as repeated slot rotations and conversion between slot- and coefficient-encoding, the proposed construction achieves significant performance improvements. In our construction, encrypted multiplications of $n \times n$ matrices under slot encoding are decomposed into two parts: (1) matrix multiplication — four $n \times n$ matrix multiplications of ciphertext coefficients, and (2) key switching — with a total cost approximately 2–4 times that of Hadamard multiplication. We implemented the proposed scheme and utilized the FLINT library for the matrix multiplication component. Experimental results demonstrate that, even when leveraging highly optimized implementations, matrix multiplication remains the major cost, indicating that our construction substantially reduces auxiliary overheads and achieves strong overall efficiency.

2.55 [FTM25] zk-Cookies: Continuous Anonymous Authentication for the Web

In this paper, we propose Continuous Anonymous Authentication (CAA) schemes and give a concrete construction and applications for preventing credential sharing and theft. CAA schemes allow us to move the server-side collection, storage, and processing of these behavioral signals to the client while maintaining privacy and integrity. CAA schemes support, on the client side, a number of common behavioral analysis tests and analytics both for determining fraudulent behavior and updating security policies. We implement a prototype, zk-Cookies, which runs in the browser, and supports common behavioral signals such as IP address and geolocation history, browser fingerprinting, and page view history. Using this, we build a prototype application for age verification based on legacy credentials (like passports). We implement these checks efficiently in zk-SNARKs, and also show how to securely implement differentially private behavioral analytics in a zk-SNARK. The simplest version of our construction can perform the computation for an update in under 200 ms.

2.56 [ZJRY+25] GPV Preimage Sampling with Weak Smoothness and Its Applications to Lattice Signatures

In this work, we investigate the feasibility of *weak smoothness*, e.g. $\epsilon = O(\frac{1}{n})$ or even $O(1)$ in the GPV framework and present several positive results. First, we provide a theoretical security proof for GPV with weak smoothness under a new assumption. Then, we present Gaussian samplers that are compatible with the weak smoothness condition. As direct applications, we present two practical GPV signature instantiations based on a weak smoothness condition. Our first instantiation is a variant of Falcon achieving smaller size and higher security. The public key sizes are 21% to 28% smaller, and the signature sizes are 23.5% to 29% smaller than Falcon. We also showcase an NTRU-based GPV signature scheme that employs the Peikert sampler with weak smoothness. This offers a simple implementation while the security level is greatly lower. Nevertheless, at the NIST-3 security level, our scheme achieves a 49% reduction in size compared to Dilithium-3.

2.57 [NRT25] Adaptively-Secure Three-Round Threshold Schnorr from DL

We present the first adaptively-secure threshold Schnorr scheme in three rounds (two online, one offline) in the random oracle model under the DL assumption. Our result demonstrates that achieving both low round complexity and adaptive security is possible while preserving the (so far) minimal assumptions for Schnorr signatures. To achieve this, we introduce new techniques, including a novel use of an equivocal commitment scheme paired with a simulation-extractable NIZK, and a masking-based aggregated opening strategy for homomorphic commitments. Our work also makes several contributions that might be of independent interest, including a formalization of a strong adaptive security notion, a stronger commitment equivocation property, and an analysis of the simulation-extractability of the randomized Fischlin transformation.

2.58 [BFL25] Circuit-Succinct Algebraic Batch Arguments from Projective Functional Commitments

In this work, we give the first algebraic (pairing-based) construction of BARG that achieves proof size and online verifier runtime $O(\lambda \cdot |w|)$. We achieve our result by means of a compiler which builds a BARG generically from a projective chainable functional commitment (PCFC), which supports somewhere extraction, subvector projection, and functional openings. We then construct a PCFC from the standard MDDH assumption in bilinear groups by building on top of the functional commitment for circuits by Wee and Wu [Eurocrypt'24]. Our black-box transformation may be of independent interest for understanding the connection between functional commitments and BARGs and towards obtaining other algebraic constructions of the latter.

2.59 [YLCX+25] Robust and Scalable Lattice-Based Distributed Key Generation for Asynchronous Networks

This paper presents LADKG, a Lattice-Based Asynchronous Distributed Key Generation framework designed for post-quantum secure and scalable distributed systems. LADKG integrates Asynchronous Verifiable Short Secret Sharing (AV3S) with an Approximate Asynchronous Common Subset (AACS) protocol to achieve efficient key generation. By deferring verification and leveraging deterministic approximate agreement, LADKG reduces computational and communication overhead while maintaining security and robustness. Evaluations on geo-distributed AWS EC2 clusters demonstrate that LADKG is comparable or better than classical Asynchronous Distributed Key Generation (ADKG) schemes in scalability and efficiency. Under optimistic conditions with $n = 121$ nodes, completion is achieved in 45 seconds, ensuring robust key generation for post-quantum secure applications.

2.60 [BGCR+25] Fully Adaptive FROST in the Algebraic Group Model From Falsifiable Assumptions

We present the first round-optimal Schnorr threshold signature scheme that achieves full adaptive security against algebraic adversaries, relying solely on the Algebraic One-More Discrete Log (AOMDL) assumption. Our scheme, FaFROST, builds on the FROST framework preserving its two-round signing structure and communication efficiency. By avoiding binding commitments to partial public keys, FaFROST circumvents the recent impossibility results from CRYPTO'25 and requires no reliance on the newly introduced, tailor-made LDVR assumption. This establishes that round-optimal, adaptively secure Schnorr threshold signatures are achievable under well-established algebraic assumptions.

2.61 [BCLT+25] Adaptively Secure Partially Non-Interactive Threshold Schnorr Signatures in the AGM

In this paper, we present ms-FROST. Our scheme is partially non-interactive and supports any $t - 1 < n$ adaptive corruptions, where n is the number of signers and t is the signing threshold. Its security relies on the algebraic one-more discrete logarithm (AOMDL) assumption, the algebraic group model (AGM), and the random oracle model (ROM). Further, it achieves the strongest security notion (TS-UF-4) in the security hierarchy of Bellare et al. (CRYPTO 2022). To justify our use of the algebraic group model, we show an impossibility result: We rule out any black-box algebraic security reduction in the ROM from AOMDL to the adaptive TS-UF-0 security of ms-FROST.

2.62 [Sak25] Aggregate Signatures Tightly Secure under Adaptive Corruptions

We propose the first aggregate signature scheme tightly secure under adaptive corruptions using pairings. An aggregate signature includes two source group elements of bilinear groups plus a bit vector whose length is equal to the number of single-signer signatures being aggregated. To construct a scheme, we employ a technique from quasi-adaptive non-interactive zero-knowledge arguments. Our construction can be seen as modularization and tightness improvement of Libert et al.’s threshold signature scheme supporting signature aggregation (Theoretical Computer Science 645) in a non-threshold setting.

2.63 [CTHK25] Linear-time and Logarithmically-sound Permutation and Multiset SNARKs

We present new arguments with linear-time provers and logarithmic soundness, without auxiliary commitments. Prior work achieving logarithmic soundness error arithmetizes the permutation as a product of several multilinear polynomials, a formulation chosen for compatibility with the classic Sumcheck PIOP. A simpler alternative treats permutations as multilinear extensions of their permutation matrices. While this formulation was previously believed to require quadratic prover time, we show that this overhead can be eliminated by taking a linear-algebraic perspective. This viewpoint has a key advantage: partially evaluating the multilinear polynomial of the permutation requires no additional field operations and amounts to applying the inverse permutation to the verifier’s challenge vector. This makes the step essentially free in terms of algebraic cost, unlike in prior approaches. Compared to concurrent work BiPerm (Bünz et al., ePrint Archive, 2025), our scheme requires no permutation preprocessing and supports prover-supplied permutations. We show a sparsity-aware PCS like Dory (Lee, TCC, 2021) can compile our PIOP to a SNARK such that the resulting SNARK prover still runs in time $O(n)$. Our construction is the first logarithmically-sound SNARK with an $O(n)$ -time prover for both permutation and multiset checks. We further prove a matching optimal prover lower bound, and we identify specific permutations that can be evaluated by the verifier in $O(\text{polylog}(n))$ -time. The ability to evaluate these permutations in $O(\text{polylog}(n))$ time allows the verifier to avoid relying on prover-supplied commitments or evaluation proofs. As a result, we obtain the first logarithmically sound, field-agnostic SNARK with an $O(n)$ -time prover in this setting.

2.64 [TKKS+25] Cryptographic Personas: Responsible Pseudonyms Without De-Anonymization

We present cryptographic personas, an approach for facilitating access to pseudonymous speech within communities without enabling abuse. In systems equipped with cryptographic personas, users are

able to authenticate to the service provider under new, unlinkable personas at will and post messages under those personas. When users violate community norms, their ability to post anonymously can be revoked. We develop two significant improvements to existing work on anonymous banning systems that make it possible to integrate cryptographic personas into real-time applications like group messaging: we show how to push expensive proof generation into an offline phase and find a way to optimize server-side overhead using recent proof folding techniques.

2.65 [CHT25] Tight Security for BBS Signatures

On the positive end, we show a novel tight reduction for BBS in the case where each message is signed at most once—this case covers in particular the common practical use case which derandomizes signing. On the negative end, we use a meta-reduction argument to prove that if we allow generating multiple signatures for the same message, then *no* algebraic reduction to q -SDH (and its variants) can be tight.

2.66 [MV25] Towards Optimal Concurrent-Secure Blind Schnorr Signatures

In this work, we show neat improvements to the state-of-the-art presenting a new construction for concurrent-secure blind Schnorr signatures that relies on milder/reduced cryptographic assumptions, enjoys statistical blindness, replaces the problematic trusted setup with a non-programmable random oracle, and satisfies also a one-sided simulation-based property providing deniability guarantees to users. Finally, we show that the above improvements come at a very modest additional cost, achieving essentially the same performance of [FW24].

2.67 [GMW25] A Simplified Round-by-round Soundness Proof of FRI

In this work, we present a significantly simpler security analysis of FRI, in particular its round-by-round soundness. Our approach is more accessible to a broader audience, lowering the barrier to understanding this fundamental protocol. Furthermore, the simplicity of our analysis may pave the way for future formal verification efforts of modern SNARK constructions.

2.68 [FHLL25] Device-Bound Anonymous Credentials With(out) Trusted Hardware

In this work, we thoroughly study and solve the problem of device-bound anonymous credentials (DBACs). We model DBACs to ensure the unforgeability and non-transferability of credentials, and to guarantee user privacy at the same time. Our definitions cover a range of SE trust levels, including the case of a subverted or fully corrupted SE. We also define blind DBACs, in which the SE learns nothing about the credential presentations it helped compute. This targets the design of a remote, cloud-based SE which is a deployment model considered for the EU Digital Identity (EUDI) wallet to address the fact that most user phones are not equipped with a sufficiently secure SE. Finally, we present three simple and round-optimal constructions for device binding of BBS credentials, and prove their security in the AGM+ROM and privacy unconditionally. The SE therein is extremely lightweight: it only has to compute a BLS or Schnorr signature in a single call. We also give the BLS-based construction in a blind variant, yielding the first protocol that enables privacy-preserving device binding for anonymous credentials when being used with a remote SE.

2.69 [KX25] New Security Proofs of MPC-in-the-Head Signatures in the Quantum Random Oracle Model

This paper addresses this gap by analyzing the QROM security of MPC-in-the-Head signatures that incorporate these optimizations, with a focus on Mirath and RYDE. We make two main contributions: 1) We provide a new (strong) EUF-CMA security proof that accommodates rejection sampling and grinding. We also present a new EUF-NMA security proof compatible with these optimizations, by extending the techniques of Don et al. (CRYPTO 2022) and Aguilar-Melchor et al. (ASIACRYPT 2023). 2) We also point out a gap in the EUF-CMA security proof of the MPC-in-the-Head signature schemes using correlated-tree techniques, MQOM, SBC (Huth and Joux, CRYPTO 2024), and rBN++ (Kim, Lee, and Son, EUROCRYPT 2025).

2.70 [Bag25] Trust, But Verify When Using the Powers of Tau

This note demonstrates the importance of verifying the final SRS by either *each* individual end-user or *all* ceremony participants to mitigate potential attacks. We discuss simple attack scenarios that highlight vulnerabilities if *each* end-user or *all* participants fail to verify the final SRS. Additionally, by leveraging batching and aggregating techniques, we introduce an efficient verification algorithm for the (original) Powers of Tau protocol, substantially reducing SRS verification time and making it practical even for large-scale ceremonies. In the case of rejection, a more efficient recursive verification approach aids in identifying malicious parties more effectively. This note aims to enhance procedural understanding of SRS generation ceremonies through the Powers of Tau protocol and improve the reliability of current ceremonies against potential threats.

2.71 [BBR25] k-Anonymous Group Signatures: Addressing Strict Content Moderation in End-to-End Secure Messaging Platforms

We review k-anonymity in authentication schemes, group signatures and ring signatures. While existing constructions achieve unlinkability, they typically necessitate maintaining state or relying on computationally expensive tracing algorithms. We propose a stateless variant that is efficiently traceable, albeit necessarily fully linkable. To the best of our knowledge, our variant, which we call k-Anonymous Group Signatures (k-AGS), is the first scheme to combine both statelessness and efficient traceability. Building upon our k-AGS framework, we design k-Anonymous Set Pre-Constrained Group Signatures (k-ASPCGS) which is a threshold extension of the Set Pre-Constrained Group Signatures (SPCGS) introduced by Bartusek et al. (EUROCRYPT 2023). We show that our notions arise naturally in the context of lawful surveillance, particularly for end-to-end secure messaging platforms, where controlled traceability is essential. Beyond this setting, they may also help mitigate the impact of strict moderation policies in large-scale distributed asynchronous platforms (e.g. Facebook, whistleblowing portals) as well as in spam control, where false positives remain a persistent challenge.

Chapter 3

ESORICS 2025

3.1 [ZZSD+26] Extending Groth16 for Disjunctive Statements

In this paper, we mainly focus on the disjunctive statements of Groth16, and we propose a Groth16 variant—CompGroth16, which provides a framework for Groth16 to prove the disjunctive statements that consist of a mix of algebraic and arithmetic components. Specifically, we could directly combine CompGroth16 with varSigma Σ -protocol or even CompGroth16 with CompGroth16 just like the logical composition of varSigma Σ -protocols. From this, we can gain many good properties, such as broader expression, better prover’s efficiency and shorter CRS. In addition, for the combination of CompGroth16 and varSigma Σ -protocol, we also present two representative application scenarios to demonstrate the practicality of our construction.

3.2 [PH26; PH25] Formalisation of the KZG Polynomial Commitment Schemes in EasyCrypt

In this paper, we present formally verified proofs of the popular KZG Polynomial Commitment Schemes (PCSs), including the security proofs for the properties of correctness, polynomial binding, evaluation binding and hiding. Polynomial commitment schemes have various applications in cryptography and computer science, including verifiable computation, blockchain and cryptocurrencies, secure multi-party computation as well as in the construction of ZK-SNARKs. To validate security, we utilise EasyCrypt, an interactive theorem prover that allows for formal verification of cryptographic primitives and protocols. This approach enforces correct proofs which cover all required cases and formalising assumptions reducing the risk of overlooked vulnerabilities. This formalisation validates the current understanding of KZG’s PCSs as secure while clarifying various issues in the original claims.

3.3 [WZDW+26] Polylogarithmic Polynomial Commitment Scheme over Galois Rings

This paper introduces the first multilinear polynomial commitment scheme (PCS) over Galois rings achieving $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 n)$ verification cost. It achieves $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ committing time and $\mathcal{O}(n)$ evaluation opening prover time. This PCS can be used to construct zero-knowledge proofs for arithmetic circuits over Galois rings, facilitating verifiable computation in applications requiring proofs of polynomial ring operations (e.g., verifiable fully homomorphic encryption). First we construct random foldable linear codes over Galois rings with sufficient code distance and present a distance preservation theorem over Galois rings. Second we extend the Basefold commitment (Zeilberger et al., Crypto 2024) to multilinear polynomials over Galois rings. Our approach reduces proof size and verifier time from $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ to $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 n)$ compared to Wei et al., PKC 2025. Furthermore, we give a batched multipoint opening protocol for evaluation phase that collapses the proof size and verifier time of N polynomials at M points from $\mathcal{O}(NM \log^2 n)$ to $\mathcal{O}(\log^2 n)$, prover time from $\mathcal{O}(NMn)$ to $\mathcal{O}(n)$, further enhancing efficiency.

Chapter 4

Communications in Cryptology Volume 2, Issue 3

4.1 [SR25] Towards Post-Quantum Bitcoin Blockchain using Dilithium Signature

In this work, we analyze the potential replacement of the ECDSA signature, the current signature in Bitcoin, with Dilithium, which is a post-quantum digital signature. This replacement will have a significant impact on many protocols within the Bitcoin ecosystem. The ECDSA algorithms are not only utilized for transaction signing and verification but also in wallet management. Bitcoin operates on a pseudonymous system rather than complete anonymity. To enhance privacy protection, the Bitcoin community has adopted a special type of (hierarchical) deterministic wallet as outlined in Bitcoin Improvement Proposal 32 (BIP32). We have constructed deterministic wallets by first designing DilithiumRK, a signature scheme with rerandomizable keys from Dilithium. Subsequently, we conducted a thorough security analysis and successful implementation of DilithiumRK.

4.2 [HHI25] Practical Batch Proofs of Exponentiation

In this work, we introduce two batch PoEs that outperform both proposals of Rotem and we evaluate their practicality. First, we show that the two batch PoEs of Rotem can be combined to improve the overall efficiency by at least a factor of two. Second, we revisit the work of Bellare, Garay and Rabin (EUROCRYPT 1998) on batch verification of digital signatures and show that, under the low order assumption, their bucket test can be securely adapted to the setting of groups of unknown order. The resulting batch PoE quickly outperforms the state of the art in the expected number of group multiplications with the growing number of instances, and it decreases the cost of batching by an order of magnitude already for hundreds of thousands of instances. Importantly, it is the first batch PoE that significantly decreases both the proof size and complexity of verification.

4.3 [GBKS+25] Blind zkSNARKs: for Private Proof Delegation and Verifiable Computation over Encrypted Data

In this paper, we show for the first time it is practical to privately delegate proof generation of zkSNARKs to a single server for computations of up to 2^{20} R1CS constraints. We achieve this by computing zkSNARK proof generation over homomorphic ciphertexts, an approach we call blind zkSNARKs. We formalize the concept of blind proofs, analyze their cryptographic properties and show that the resulting blind zkSNARKs remain sound when compiled using BCS compilation. Our work follows the framework proposed by Garg et al. (Crypto'24) and improves the instantiation presented by Aranha et al. (Asiacrypt'24), which implements only the FRI subprotocol. By delegating proof generation, we are able to reduce client computation time from 10 minutes to mere seconds, while server computation time remains limited to 20 minutes. We also propose a practical construction for vCOED supporting constraint sizes four orders of magnitude larger than the current state-of-the-art verifiable FHE-based approaches. These results are achieved by optimizing Fractal for the GBFV homomorphic encryption scheme, including a novel method for making homomorphic NTT evaluation packing-friendly by computing it in two dimensions. Furthermore, we make the proofs publicly verifiable by appending a zero-knowledge Proof of Decryption (PoD). We propose a new construction for PoDs optimized for low proof generation time, exploiting modulus and ring switching in GBFV and using the Schwartz-Zippel lemma for proof batching; these techniques might be of independent interest. Finally, we implement the latter protocol in C and report on execution time and proof sizes.

4.4 [MBSB+25] Keyed-Verification Anonymous Credentials with Highly Efficient Partial Disclosure

Our first construction adapts the approach of Fuchsbauer, Hanser and Slamanig (JoC'19), which achieved constant-size credential presentation in a publicly verifiable setting using their proposed structure-preserving signatures on equivalence classes (SPS-EQ) and set commitment schemes, to the KVAC setting. We introduce structure-preserving message authentication codes on equivalence classes (SP-MAC-EQ) and designated-verifier set commitments (DVSC), resulting in a KVAC system with constant-size credentials (2 group elements) and presentations (5 group elements). To avoid the bilinear groups and pairing operations required by SP-MAC-EQ, our second construction uses a homomorphic MAC with a simplified DVSC. While this sacrifices constant-size credentials ($n+2$ group elements, where n is the number of attributes), it retains constant-size presentations (2 group elements) in a pairingless setting.

4.5 [DH25] zkMaP: Zero-Knowledge Succinct Non-Interactive Matrix Multiplication Proofs

We introduce zkMaP (Zero-Knowledge Succinct Non-Interactive Matrix Multiplication Proofs), a novel non-interactive zero-knowledge proof system for verifying matrix multiplication with significant improvements in efficiency and scalability. Our protocol leverages KZG polynomial commitments and an innovative inner-product reduction technique to reduce the verification of $n \times n$ matrix multiplication to a single pairing equation, thereby enabling constant-time verification independent of the matrix size. In particular, zkMaP requires only two pairing operations and produces proofs as small as 320 bytes, yielding a 96 percent reduction in proof size compared to prior schemes.

4.6 [OPS25b; OPS25a] Who Verifies the Verifiers? Lessons Learned From Formally Verified Line-Point Zero-Knowledge

We show that despite these formal claims, the EasyCrypt model was flawed, and the implementation (supposed to be high-assurance) had critical security vulnerabilities. Concretely, we demonstrate that: 1) the EasyCrypt soundness proof was incorrectly done, allowing an attack on the scheme that leads honest verifiers into accepting false statements; and 2) the EasyCrypt formalization inherited a deficient model of zero knowledge for a class of non-interactive zero knowledge protocols that also allows the verifier to recover the witness. In addition, we demonstrate 3) a gap in the proof of the perfect zero knowledge property of the LPZK variant of Dittmer, Ishai, Lu and Ostrovsky (CCS 2022) that the EasyCrypt proof is based, which, depending on the interpretation of the protocol and security claim, could allow a malicious verifier to learn the witness.

4.7 [MP25b; MP25a] Blind ECDSA from the ECDSA Assumption

We design a protocol that ensures both unforgeability and blindness without introducing new computational assumptions and ensuring concurrent security. It involves zero-knowledge proofs based on the MPC-in-the-head paradigm for complex statements combining relations on encrypted elliptic curve points, their coordinates, and discrete logarithms.

4.8 [LSZ25] Vision: A Modular Framework for Anonymous Credential Systems

In this work we present our vision to use a modular approach to build anonymous credential systems: they are built from a core component – consisting of a commitment, signature and NIZK scheme – that can be extended with additional commitment-based modules in a plug-and-play manner. We sketch modules for pseudonyms, range proofs and device binding. Importantly, apart from the

committed input, all modules are entirely independent of each other. We use this modularity to propose a concrete instantiation that uses BBS signatures for the core component and ECDSA signatures for device binding, addressing the need to bind modern credential schemes to legacy signatures in secure hardware elements.

Bibliography

- [AAFK+25] Hamza Abusalah, Karen Azari, Dario Fiore, Chethan Kamath, and Erkan Tairi. *On Verifiable Delay Functions from Time-Lock Puzzles*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1782. 2025 (cit. on p. 24).
- [AAKT+25] Hamza Abusalah, Karen Azari, Chethan Kamath, Erkan Tairi, and Maximilian von Consbruch. *Impossibility of VDFs in the ROM: The Complete Picture*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1773. 2025 (cit. on p. 24).
- [AB25] Tiago A. O. Alves and Vitor Py Braga. *Zyga: Optimized Zero-Knowledge Proofs with Dynamic Public Inputs*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1802. 2025 (cit. on p. 26).
- [Abl25] Parhat Abla. *Tag Functions and Their Applications to Lattice-based Signatures and IBEs — Compact Designs and Tighter Security*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2100. 2025 (cit. on p. 11).
- [ACDK25] Behzad Abdolmaleki, Matteo Campanelli, Quang Dao, and Hamidreza Khoshakhlagh. *On the Simulation-Extractability of Proof-Carrying Data*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2037. 2025 (cit. on p. 19).
- [AEAN25] Mohammad Sadegh Ahmadi, Taraneh Eghlidos, Behzad Abdolmaleki, and Ngoc Khanh Nguyen. *A Lattice-based Designated Verifier zkSNARK from Standard Assumptions*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2099. 2025 (cit. on p. 11).
- [AFLL+25] Martin R. Albrecht, Joël Felderhoff, Russell W. F. Lai, Oleksandra Lapiha, and Ivy K. Y. Woo. *A Gaussian Leftover Hash Lemma for Modules over Number Fields*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1852. 2025 (cit. on p. 33).
- [AHKM25] Kasra Abbaszadeh, Hossein Hafezi, Jonathan Katz, and Sarah Meiklejohn. *Single-Server Private Outsourcing of zk-SNARKs*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2113. 2025 (cit. on p. 10).
- [AK25] Mehdi Abri and Jonathan Katz. *Shorter Hash-Based Signatures Using Forced Pruning*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2069. 2025 (cit. on p. 14).

- [AMK25] Supriya Adhikary, Puja Mondal, and Angshuman Karmakar. *Lattice-Based zk-SNARKs with Hybrid Verification Technique*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1839. 2025 (cit. on p. 29).
- [Bag25] Karim Baghery. *Trust, But Verify When Using the Powers of Tau*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2000. 2025 (cit. on p. 47).
- [BBBB+25] Antoine Bak, Augustin Bariant, Aurélien Boeuf, Pierre Briaud, Morten Øygarden, and Atharva Phanse. *The Algebraic CheapLunch: Extending FreeLunch Attacks on Arithmetization-Oriented Primitives Beyond CICO-1*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2040. 2025 (cit. on p. 18).
- [BBR25] Shalini Banerjee, Andrey Bozhko, and Andy Rupp. *k-Anonymous Group Signatures: Addressing Strict Content Moderation in End-to-End Secure Messaging Platforms*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2007. 2025 (cit. on p. 48).
- [BCD25] Benedikt Bünz, Jessica Chen, and Zachary DeStefano. *Linear*-Time Permutation Check*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1850. 2025 (cit. on p. 32).
- [BCGM25] Sarah Bordage, Alessandro Chiesa, Ziyi Guan, and Ignacio Manzur. *All Polynomial Generators Preserve Distance with Mutual Correlated Agreement*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2051. 2025 (cit. on p. 17).
- [BCHK+25] Eli Ben-Sasson, Dan Carmon, Ulrich Haböck, Swastik Kopparty, and Shubhangi Saraf. *On Proximity Gaps for Reed–Solomon Codes*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2055. 2025 (cit. on p. 16).
- [BCK25] Benedikt Bünz, Kevin Choi, and Chelsea Komlo. *Golden: Lightweight Non-Interactive Distributed Key Generation*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1924. 2025 (cit. on p. 40).
- [BCLT+25] Renas Bacho, Yanbo Chen, Julian Loss, Stefano Tessaro, and Chenzhi Zhu. *Adaptively Secure Partially Non-Interactive Threshold Schnorr Signatures in the AGM*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1953. 2025 (cit. on p. 44).
- [BF25] Barbara Jiabao Benedikt and Marc Fischlin. *The Order of Hashing in Fiat-Shamir Schemes*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1846. 2025 (cit. on p. 31).
- [BFL25] David Balbás, Dario Fiore, and Russell W. F. Lai. *Circuit-Succinct Algebraic Batch Arguments from Projective Functional Commitments*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1943. 2025 (cit. on p. 43).
- [BFMR+25] Charles Bouillaguet, Thibauld Feneuil, Jules Maire, Matthieu Rivain, Julia Sauvage, and Damien Vergnaud. *Multivariate Commitments and Signatures with Efficient Protocols*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2035. 2025 (cit. on p. 19).

- [BFRW25] Benedikt Bünz, Giacomo Fenzi, Ron D. Rothblum, and William Wang. *TensorSwitch: Nearly Optimal Polynomial Commitments from Tensor Codes*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2065. 2025 (cit. on p. 15).
- [BGCR+25] Ruben Baecker, Paul Gerhart, Davide Li Calsi, Luigi Russo, Dominique Schröder, and Arkady Yerukhimovich. *Fully Adaptive FROST in the Algebraic Group Model From Falsifiable Assumptions*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1950. 2025 (cit. on p. 44).
- [BGY25] Foteini Baldimtsi, Rishab Goyal, and Aayush Yadav. *Batched & Non-interactive Blind Signatures from Lattices*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1771. 2025 (cit. on p. 23).
- [BHMV25] Samuel Bouaziz-Ermann, Minki Hhan, Garazi Muguruza, and Quoc-Huy Vu. *On Limits on the Provable Consequences of Quantum Pseudorandomness*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1863. 2025 (cit. on p. 34).
- [BM25] Pedro Branco and Giulio Malavolta. *Threshold Signatures from One-Way Functions*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1762. 2025 (cit. on p. 22).
- [BP25] Aurélien Boeuf and Léo Perrin. *ALFOMs and the Moirai: Quantifying the Performance/Security Tradeoff for ZK-friendly Hash Functions*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1920. 2025 (cit. on p. 40).
- [BS25] Sven Bauer and Fabrizio De Santis. *Forging Dilithium and Falcon Signatures by Single Fault Injection*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2029. 2025 (cit. on p. 20).
- [CDGV25] Alain Couvreur, Thomas Debris-Alazard, Philippe Gaborit, and Adrien Vinçotte. *MIRANDA: short signatures from a leakage-free full-domain-hash scheme*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1878. 2025 (cit. on p. 35).
- [CDKL25] Pedro Capitão, Hila Dahari-Garbian, Lisa Kohl, and Zhe Li. *Malicious Homomorphic Secret Sharing with Applications to DV-NIZK and More*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2077. 2025 (cit. on p. 14).
- [CFJW25] Liyan Chen, Cody Freitag, Zhengzhong Jin, and Daniel Wichs. *Unambiguous SNARGs for P from LWE with Applications to PPAD Hardness*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1913. 2025. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3717823.3718159> (cit. on p. 39).
- [CFP25] Matteo Campanelli, Dario Fiore, and Mahak Pancholi. *On Composing AGM-Secure Functionalities with Cryptographic Proofs: Applications to Unbounded-Depth IVC and More*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2086. 2025 (cit. on p. 12).
- [CH25] André Chailloux and Paul Hermouet. *On the Quantum Equivalence between S/LWE> and ISIS*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1857. 2025 (cit. on p. 33).

- [Che25] Binyi Chen. *Symphony: Scalable SNARKs in the Random Oracle Model from Lattice-Based High-Arity Folding*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1905. 2025 (cit. on p. 38).
- [CHT25] Rutchathon Chairattana-Apirom, Dennis Hofheinz, and Stefano Tessaro. *Tight Security for BBS Signatures*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1973. 2025 (cit. on p. 46).
- [CMV25] Rohit Chatterjee, Changrui Mu, and Prashant Nalini Vasudevan. *Public-Key Encryption from the MinRank Problem*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1833. 2025 (cit. on p. 29).
- [CS25] Elizabeth Crites and Alistair Stewart. *On Reed–Solomon Proximity Gaps Conjectures*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2046. 2025 (cit. on p. 17).
- [CSK25] Seunghyun Cho, Eunyoung Seo, and Young-Sik Kim. *Locally Recoverable Data Availability Sampling*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1851. 2025 (cit. on p. 33).
- [CTHK25] Bing-Jyue Chen, Lilia Tang, David Heath, and Daniel Kang. *Linear-time and Logarithmically-sound Permutation and Multiset SNARKs*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1967. 2025 (cit. on p. 45).
- [CYY25] Mingshu Cong, Tsz Hon Yuen, and Siu-Ming Yiu. *DualMatrix: Conquering zkSNARK for Large Matrix Multiplication*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1768. 2025 (cit. on p. 23).
- [DG25] Benjamin E. Diamond and Angus Gruen. *On the Distribution of the Distances of Random Words*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2010. 2025 (cit. on p. 21).
- [DGR25] Julien Devevey, Morgane Guerreau, and Maxime Roméas. *Compact, Efficient and Non-Separable Hybrid Signatures*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2059. 2025 (cit. on p. 15).
- [DH25] Biniyam Deressa and M. Anwar Hasan. “zkMaP: Zero-Knowledge Succinct Non-Interactive Matrix Multiplication Proofs”. In: *IACR Communications in Cryptology* 2.3 (Oct. 6, 2025). ISSN: 3006-5496. DOI: [10.62056/angy11fgx](https://doi.org/10.62056/angy11fgx) (cit. on p. 53).
- [Din25] Daniel Dinu. *Migration to Post-Quantum Cryptography: From ECDSA to ML-DSA*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2025. 2025 (cit. on p. 21).
- [FA25] Colin Finkbeiner and Ghada Almashaqbeh. *SoK: Blockchain Oracles Between Theory and Practice*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2106. 2025 (cit. on p. 11).
- [FGPR+25] Georg Fuchsbauer, Pranav Garimidi, Guru-Vamsi Policharla, Max Resnick, and Ertem Nusret Tas. *Non-Delegatable Commitments*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2039. 2025 (cit. on p. 18).

- [FHLL25] Karla Friedrichs, Franklin Harding, Anja Lehmann, and Anna Lysyanskaya. *Device-Bound Anonymous Credentials With(out) Trusted Hardware*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1995. 2025 (cit. on p. 47).
- [FPST25] Andrea Flamini, Federico Pintore, Edoardo Signorini, and Giovanni Tognolini. *New Straight-Line Extractable NIZKPs for Cryptographic Group Actions*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1819. 2025 (cit. on p. 27).
- [FTM25] Alexander Frolov, Hal Triedman, and Ian Miers. *zk-Cookies: Continuous Anonymous Authentication for the Web*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1938. 2025 (cit. on p. 42).
- [GBKS+25] Mariana Gama, Emad Heydari Beni, Jiayi Kang, Jannik Spiessens, and Frederik Vercauteren. “Blind zkSNARKs: for Private Proof Delegation and Verifiable Computation over Encrypted Data”. In: *IACR Communications in Cryptology* 2.3 (Oct. 6, 2025). ISSN: 3006-5496. DOI: [10.62056/akgy18n4e](https://doi.org/10.62056/akgy18n4e) (cit. on p. 52).
- [GG25] Rohan Goyal and Venkatesan Guruswami. *Optimal Proximity Gaps for Subspace-Design Codes and (Random) Reed-Solomon Codes*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2054. 2025 (cit. on p. 16).
- [GGPR25] Preshtha Garg, Sanjam Garg, Guru-Vamsi Policharla, and Bhaskar Roberts. *Threshold Anonymous Credentials with Silent Setup*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2042. 2025 (cit. on p. 18).
- [GHKS+25] Kamil Doruk Gur, Patrick Hough, Jonathan Katz, Caroline Sandsbråten, and Tjerand Silde. *Olingo: Threshold Lattice Signatures with DKG and Identifiable Abort*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1789. 2025 (cit. on p. 25).
- [GJLM25] Scott Griffy, Nicholas Jankovic, Anna Lysyanskaya, and Arup Mondal. *Non-Interactive Threshold Mercurial Signatures with Applications to Threshold DAC*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2134. 2025 (cit. on p. 8).
- [GK25] Ariel Gabizon and Nishat Koti. *A note on the soundness of an optimized gemini variant*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1793. 2025 (cit. on p. 25).
- [GKKP+25] Anindya Ganguly, Angshuman Karmakar, Suparna Kundu, Debranjan Pal, and Sumanta Sarkar. *Revisiting Lattice-based Non-interactive Blind Signature*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1848. 2025 (cit. on p. 32).
- [GKKR+25] Lorenzo Grassi, Dmitry Khovratovic, Katharina Koschatko, Christian Rechberger, Markus Schafneggger, and Verena Schröppel. *Poseidon2b: A Binary Field Version of Poseidon2*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1893. 2025 (cit. on p. 36).
- [GL25] Craig Gentry and Yongwoo Lee. *Fully Homomorphic Encryption for Matrix Arithmetic*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1935. 2025 (cit. on p. 42).

- [GMW25] Albert Garreta, Nicolas Mohnblatt, and Benedikt Wagner. *A Simplified Round-by-round Soundness Proof of FRI*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1993. 2025 (cit. on p. 46).
- [GR25] Vipul Goyal and Justin Raizes. *Proofs of No Intrusion*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1826. 2025 (cit. on p. 28).
- [Hab25] Ulrich Haböck. *A note on mutual correlated agreement for Reed-Solomon codes*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2110. 2025 (cit. on p. 10).
- [HACF25] Hossein Hafezi, Gaspard Anthoine, Matteo Campanelli, and Dario Fiore. *SoK: Lookup Table Arguments*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1876. 2025 (cit. on p. 35).
- [HHI25] Charlotte Hoffmann, Pavel Hubáček, and Svetlana Ivanova. “Practical Batch Proofs of Exponentiation”. In: *IACR Communications in Cryptology* 2.3 (Oct. 6, 2025). ISSN: 3006-5496. DOI: [10.62056/abvur-iuc](https://doi.org/10.62056/abvur-iuc) (cit. on p. 51).
- [Hio25] Leona Hioki. *Anchored Merkle Range Proof for Pedersen Commitments*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1811. 2025 (cit. on p. 27).
- [HJGM+25] Wei Huang, Shuming Jiao, Huichang Guan, Huisi Miao, and Chao Wang. *Optical computing of zero-knowledge proof with single-pixel imaging*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2098. 2025 (cit. on p. 12).
- [HL25] Javier Herranz and Hugo Louiso. *Hash-Based Blind Signatures: First Steps*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2097. 2025 (cit. on p. 12).
- [HMOY25] Minki Hhan, Tomoyuki Morimae, Yasuaki Okinaka, and Takashi Yamakawa. *Proofs of quantum memory*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1837. 2025 (cit. on p. 29).
- [HPZ25] Lucjan Hanzlik, Eugenio Paracucchi, and Riccardo Zanotto. *Non-Interactive Blind Signatures from RSA Assumption and More*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2076. 2025. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-91124-8> (cit. on p. 14).
- [HV25] Andrew Huang and Vinod Vaikuntanathan. *A Simple and Efficient One-Shot Signature Scheme*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1906. 2025 (cit. on p. 38).
- [Jan25] Jonas Janneck. *Bird of Prey: Practical Signature Combiners Preserving Strong Unforgeability*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1844. 2025 (cit. on p. 31).
- [JBW25] Kunming Jiang, Fraser Brown, and Riad S. Wahby. *CoBBl: Dynamic constraint generation for SNARKs*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1849. 2025. DOI: [10.1109/SP61157.2025.00200](https://doi.org/10.1109/SP61157.2025.00200) (cit. on p. 32).
- [JM25] Joseph Jaeger and Deep Inder Mohan. *Generic and Algebraic Computation Models: When AGM Proofs Transfer to the GGM*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2121. 2025. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-031-68388-6_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-68388-6_2) (cit. on p. 9).

- [JS25] Joseph Jaeger and Roy Stracovsky. *Dictators? Friends? Forgers. Breaking and Fixing Unforgeability Definitions for Anamorphic Signature Schemes*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2123. 2025. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-0888-1_4 (cit. on p. 9).
- [Kho25] Hamidreza Khoshakhlagh. *Revisiting Simulation Extractability in the Updatable Setting*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2117. 2025 (cit. on p. 10).
- [Kiy25] Susumu Kiyoshima. *Four-round Statistical Non-malleable Zero-knowledge*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1787. 2025 (cit. on p. 25).
- [KKAS+25] Marcin Kostrzewska, Matthew Klein, Ara Adkins, Grzegorz Świrski, and Wojciech Źmuda. *Keccacheck: towards a SNARK friendly Keccak*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1764. 2025 (cit. on p. 22).
- [KLOT25] Shuto Kuriyama, Russell W. F. Lai, Michał Osadnik, and Lorenzo Tucci. *SALSAA – Sumcheck-Aided Lattice-based Succinct Arguments and Applications*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2124. 2025 (cit. on p. 8).
- [KLR25] Michael Kloof, Russell W. F. Lai, and Michael Reichle. *Blind Signatures from Arguments of Inequality*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1886. 2025 (cit. on p. 36).
- [Kon25] Yashvanth Kondi. *Two-party ECDSA Signing at Constant Communication Overhead*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1813. 2025 (cit. on p. 27).
- [Kos25] Dimitri Koshelev. *Hashing-friendly elliptic curves*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1926. 2025 (cit. on p. 41).
- [KP RR+25] Vladimir Kolesnikov, Stanislav Peceny, Rahul Rachuri, Srinivasan Raghuraman, Peter Rindal, and Harshal Shah. *Block-Accumulate Codes: Accelerated Linear Codes for PCGs and ZK*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1828. 2025 (cit. on p. 28).
- [KR25] Eden Florentz-Konopnicki and Ron D. Rothblum. *Succinct Zero-knowledge Proofs from One-way Functions: The Blackbox Way*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2030. 2025 (cit. on p. 19).
- [KS25a] Jonathan Katz and Marek Sefranek. *Issuer Hiding for BBS-Based Anonymous Credentials*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2080. 2025 (cit. on p. 13).
- [KS25b] Darya Kaviani and Srinath Setty. *Vega: Low-Latency Zero-Knowledge Proofs over Existing Credentials*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2094. 2025 (cit. on p. 12).
- [KVSH+25] Arman Kolozyan, Bram Vandenbogaerde, Janwillem Swalens, Lode Hoste, Stefanos Chaliasos, and Coen De Roover. *Language-Agnostic Detection of Computation-Constraint Inconsistencies in ZKP Programs via Value Inference*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2120. 2025 (cit. on p. 9).

- [KX25] Haruhisa Kosuge and Keita Xagawa. *New Security Proofs of MPC-in-the-Head Signatures in the Quantum Random Oracle Model*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1999. 2025 (cit. on p. 47).
- [Liu25] Xiangyu Liu. *Traceable Ring Signatures Revisited: Extended Definitions, O(1) Tracing, and Efficient Log-Size Constructions*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1807. 2025 (cit. on p. 26).
- [LSZ25] Anja Lehmann, Andrey Sidorenko, and Alexandros Zacharakis. *Vision: A Modular Framework for Anonymous Credential Systems*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1981. 2025 (cit. on p. 53).
- [LXYY+25] Zhe Li, Chaoping Xing, Yizhou Yao, Chen Yuan, and Mengmeng Zhou. *Succinct Line-Point Zero-Knowledge Arguments from Homomorphic Secret Sharing*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1866. 2025 (cit. on p. 34).
- [MBSB+25] Omid Mirzamohammadi, Jan Bobolz, Mahdi Sedaghat, Emad Heydari Beni, Aysajan Abidin, Dave Singelée, and Bart Preneel. “Keyed-Verification Anonymous Credentials with Highly Efficient Partial Disclosure”. In: *IACR Communications in Cryptology* 2.3 (Oct. 6, 2025). ISSN: 3006-5496. DOI: [10.62056/aey7n59p1](https://doi.org/10.62056/aey7n59p1) (cit. on p. 52).
- [MFS25] Irene Di Muzio, Martin Feussner, and Igor Semaev. *Multivariate Signatures with Polynomial Factorization*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2061. 2025 (cit. on p. 15).
- [MP25a] Jules Maire and Alan Pulval-Dady. *Blind ECDSA from the ECDSA Assumption*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1827. 2025 (cit. on p. 53).
- [MP25b] Jules Maire and Alan Pulval-Dady. “Blind ECDSA from the ECDSA Assumption”. In: *IACR Communications in Cryptology* 2.3 (Oct. 6, 2025). ISSN: 3006-5496. DOI: [10.62056/ahjbhee-3](https://doi.org/10.62056/ahjbhee-3) (cit. on p. 53).
- [MSY25] Tomoyuki Morimae, Yuki Shirakawa, and Takashi Yamakawa. *Quantum Cryptography and Hardness of Non-Collapsing Measurements*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1840. 2025 (cit. on p. 30).
- [MT25] Akif Mehmood and Nicola Tuveri. *Integrating PQC in OpenSSL via Shallow Providers for Cryptographic Agility*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2082. 2025 (cit. on p. 13).
- [MV25] Pierpaolo Della Monica and Ivan Visconti. *Towards Optimal Concurrent-Secure Blind Schnorr Signatures*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1992. 2025 (cit. on p. 46).
- [NP25] Ruben Niederhagen and Hoang Nguyen Hien Pham. *Improving ML-KEM and ML-DSA on OpenTitan - Efficient Multiplication Vector Instructions for OTBN*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2028. 2025 (cit. on p. 20).

- [NRT25] Guilhem Niot, Michael Reichle, and Kaoru Takemure. *Adaptively-Secure Three-Round Threshold Schnorr from DL*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1941. 2025 (cit. on p. 43).
- [OPS25a] Sabine Oechsner, Vitor Pereira, and Peter Scholl. *Who Verifies the Verifiers? Lessons Learned From Formally Verified Line-Point Zero-Knowledge*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1835. 2025 (cit. on p. 53).
- [OPS25b] Sabine Oechsner, Vitor Pereira, and Peter Scholl. “Who Verifies the Verifiers? Lessons Learned From Formally Verified Line-Point Zero-Knowledge”. In: *IACR Communications in Cryptology* 2.3 (Oct. 6, 2025). ISSN: 3006-5496. DOI: [10.62056/a0wa0lmol](https://doi.org/10.62056/a0wa0lmol) (cit. on p. 53).
- [PFGM25] Sebastian Pusch, Ryan Quinn Ford, Joachim von zur Gathen, and Alexander Markowetz. *Whom do you trust? PRISM: Lightweight Key Transparency for All*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2026. 2025 (cit. on p. 20).
- [PH25] Palak and Thomas Haines. *Formalisation of the KZG polynomial commitment schemes in EasyCrypt*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1972. 2025 (cit. on p. 49).
- [PH26] Palak and Thomas Haines. “Formalisation of the KZG Polynomial Commitment Schemes in EasyCrypt”. In: *Computer Security – ESORICS 2025*. Ed. by Vincent Nicomette, Abdelmalek Benzekri, Nora Boulahia-Cuppens, and Jaideep Vaidya. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2026, pp. 303–320. ISBN: 978-3-032-07891-9. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-032-07891-9_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-07891-9_16) (cit. on p. 49).
- [QGYZ25] Wenjie Qu, Yanpei Guo, Yue Ying, and Jiaheng Zhang. *VerfCNN, Optimal Complexity zkSNARK for Convolutional Neural Networks*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/2020. 2025 (cit. on p. 21).
- [QTW25] Willy Quach, LaKyah Tyner, and Daniel Wichs. *Unique NIZKs and Steganography Detection*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1898. 2025 (cit. on p. 37).
- [RLHK+25] Leandro Rometsch, Philipp-Florens Lehwalder, Anh-Tu Hoang, Dominik Kaaser, and Stefan Schulte. *UPPR: Universal Privacy-Preserving Revocation*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1919. 2025 (cit. on p. 40).
- [RR25] Michael Reichle and Zoé Reinke. *Threshold Blind Signatures from CDH*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1798. 2025 (cit. on p. 25).
- [Sak25] Yusuke Sakai. *Aggregate Signatures Tightly Secure under Adaptive Corruptions*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1955. 2025 (cit. on p. 45).
- [SGBK+25] Jonas Schupp, Marco Gianvecchio, Alessandro Barenghi, Patrick Karl, Gerardo Pelosi, and Georg Sigl. *Optimizing the Post Quantum Signature Scheme CROSS for Resource Constrained Devices*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1928. 2025 (cit. on p. 41).

- [SKVP25] Nikita Snetkov, Mihkel Jaas Karu, Jelizaveta Vakarjuk, and Alisa Pankova. *Copper-cloud: Blind Server-Supported RSA Signatures*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1824. 2025 (cit. on p. 28).
- [SR25] Michel Seck and Adeline Roux-Langois. “Towards Post-Quantum Bitcoin Blockchain using Dilithium Signature”. In: *IACR Communications in Cryptology* 2.3 (Oct. 6, 2025). ISSN: 3006-5496. DOI: [10.62056/ak5wom2hd](https://doi.org/10.62056/ak5wom2hd) (cit. on p. 51).
- [TKKS+25] Rachel Thomas, Oliwia Kempinski, Hari Kailad, Emma Margaret Shroyer, Ian Miers, and Gabriel Kaptchuk. *Cryptographic Personas: Responsible Pseudonyms Without De-Anonymization*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1969. 2025 (cit. on p. 45).
- [WZDW+25] Zhus Wu, Xinxuan Zhang, Yi Deng, Yuanju Wei, Zhongliang Zhang, and Liuyu Yang. *Polylogarithmic Polynomial Commitment Scheme over Galois Rings*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1767. 2025 (cit. on p. 22).
- [WZDW+26] Zhus Wu, Xinxuan Zhang, Yi Deng, Yuanju Wei, Zhongliang Zhang, and Liuyu Yang. “Polylogarithmic Polynomial Commitment Scheme over Galois Rings”. In: *Computer Security – ESORICS 2025*. Ed. by Vincent Nicomette, Abdelmalek Benzekri, Nora Boulahia-Cuppens, and Jaideep Vaidya. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2026, pp. 400–420. ISBN: 978-3-032-07891-9. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-032-07891-9_21](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-07891-9_21) (cit. on p. 50).
- [WZZW+25] Taiyu Wang, Cong Zhang, Hong-Sheng Zhou, Xin Wang, Pengfei Chen, Wenli Wang, Kui Ren, and Chun Chen. *Attention is still what you need: Another Round of Exploring Shoup’s GGM*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1930. 2025 (cit. on p. 41).
- [YLCX+25] Linghe Yang, Jian Liu, Jingyi Cui, Guangquan Xu, Mingzi Zuo, Lei Zhang, and Zhongshan Li. *Robust and Scalable Lattice-Based Distributed Key Generation for Asynchronous Networks*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1946. 2025 (cit. on p. 44).
- [ZGCP+25] Lizhen Zhang, Shang Gao, Sherman S. M. Chow, Kurt Pan, and Bin Xiao. *Hyper-Wolf: Lattice Polynomial Commitments with Standard Soundness*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1903. 2025 (cit. on p. 37).
- [ZGGX25] Tianyu Zheng, Shang Gao, Yu Guo, and Bin Xiao. *Quasar: Sublinear Accumulation Schemes for Multiple Instances*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1912. 2025 (cit. on p. 39).
- [ZJRY+25] Shiduo Zhang, Huiwen Jia, Delong Ran, Yang Yu, Yu Yu, and Xiaoyun Wang. *GPV Preimage Sampling with Weak Smoothness and Its Applications to Lattice Signatures*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1940. 2025 (cit. on p. 43).
- [ZLSZ+25] Xinyu Zhang, Ziyi Li, Ron Steinfeld, Raymond K. Zhao, Joseph K. Liu, and Tsz Hon Yuen. *Pegasus and PegaRing: Efficient (Ring) Signatures from Sigma-Protocols for*

- Power Residue PRFs with (Q)ROM Security.* Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1841. 2025 (cit. on p. 30).
- [ZOZ25] Tianyu Zhang, Yupeng Ouyang, and Yupeng Zhang. *Dynark: Making Groth16 Dynamic.* Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1897. 2025 (cit. on p. 36).
- [ZSVD25] Ziyu Zhao, Antonio Sanso, Giuseppe Vitto, and Jintai Ding. *Graeffe-Based Attacks on Poseidon and NTT Lower Bounds.* Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1916. 2025 (cit. on p. 39).
- [ZZ25] Jipeng Zhang and Jiaheng Zhang. *Vectorized Falcon-Sign Implementations using SSE2, AVX2, AVX-512F, NEON, and RVV.* Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/1867. 2025 (cit. on p. 35).
- [ZZSD+26] Xudong Zhu, Xinxuan Zhang, Xuyang Song, Yi Deng, Yuanju Wei, and Liuyu Yang. “Extending Groth16 for Disjunctive Statements”. In: *Computer Security – ESORICS 2025*. Ed. by Vincent Nicomette, Abdelmalek Benzekri, Nora Boulahia-Cuppens, and Jaideep Vaidya. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2026, pp. 506–527. ISBN: 978-3-032-07891-9. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-032-07891-9_26](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-07891-9_26) (cit. on p. 49).