# Arithmetic Theory of Quadratic Forms

# Lecture Notes for Harvard Summer Tutorial 2023

# Kush Singhal

### 3 July - 11 August 2023

# Contents

N	otation	1
0	Overview	2
1	Quadratic Spaces	3
	1.1 Definitions	4
	1.2 Regularity and Isotropy	6
	1.3 Reflections and Rotations	
	1.4 Witt's Theorems, and Index of Quadratic Spaces	
	1.5 The Orthogonal Group Determines the Form	11
2	Quadratic Forms over $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , and $\mathbb{F}_q$ .	12
	$2.1  k = \mathbb{C}  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  $	12
	$2.2  k = \mathbb{R}  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  \dots  $	
	2.3 $k$ a Finite Field	
3	Algebraic Invariants	15
	3.1 Quaternion Algebras	15
	3.2 Central Simple Algebras	
٨	Modules and Algebras	19
<b>A</b>	A.1 Modules	
	A.2 Algebras	$\frac{20}{20}$
	A 3 TENSOT Products	20

# Notation

- $\mathbb Q$  denotes the field of rational numbers, and  $\mathbb Z$  its ring of integers.
- $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of positive integers (in particular, we use the convention that  $0 \notin \mathbb{N}$ ).
- ullet Unless otherwise specified, the letter p is always going to denote a prime.
- For  $a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we write  $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$  to mean  $n \mid (b a)$ .
- $\mathbb{F}_p$  denotes the finite field with p elements, i.e.  $\mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .
- $\bullet$   $\mathbb R$  denotes the field of real numbers.
- $\mathbb{Q}_p$  will denote the field of p-adic numbers, and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  the ring of p-adic integers.
- Throughout, a ring will be assumed to be unital and commutative. An algebra over a ring will always be assumed to be unital and associative.

- Given a ring R, we write  $R^*$  to be the group of units of R. For instance, for k a field, we have  $k^* = k \setminus \{0\}$ .
- If V and W are vector spaces over k, then  $\operatorname{Hom}_k(V,W)$  denotes the k-vector space of all k-linear maps  $T:V\to W$ . We let GL(V) denote the group of all invertible k-linear maps  $T:V\to V$ . We write  $M_n(k)$  and  $GL_n(k)$  for  $M(k^n)$  and  $GL(k^n)$  respectively.
- For a matrix A, we denote its transpose by  $A^t$ .
- The phrase 'almost all' will always mean 'all but finitely many'.

### 0 Overview

This tutorial is about quadratic forms, i.e. quadratic homogeneous polynomials in n variables over various fields and rings. Given a quadratic form, say

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + 3z^2 + 4xy$$

we can ask a few basic questions:

Q1) Can we understand the set of real numbers/rational numbers/p-adic numbers/integers that can be represented by f? More generally, for R some ring or field, which  $a \in R$  can be written as

$$f(x, y, z) = a$$

for  $x, y, z \in R$ ?

This question of course has many sub-questions, two examples being:

- (a) Is the above set non-empty, i.e. can we find even one such  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ?
- (b) Is there an easy way to tell whether  $a \in R$  can be represented by f without finding explicit solutions in x, y, z?
- **Q2)** Can we classify quadratic forms? For instance, is there some (relatively) small set S of quadratic forms such that if we can answer the above question for all  $f \in S$ , then we can actually answer the above question for all quadratic forms?
- **Q3)** Fixing  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , say, can we find the number of solutions to f(x, y, z) = n for  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? Is the number of solutions finite or infinite? If the number of solutions is finite, can we write down a formula in the variable n?

In this tutorial, we try to answer the above three questions for quadratic forms over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We will see however that in trying to answer the question for the 'global field'  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we need to in fact answer the above questions for the *completions* of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. for  $\mathbb{R}$  and for the *p*-adic numbers. This is the *local-global principle*. This principle is present everywhere in number theory, and guides how much modern research is done. The idea itself is simple: in order to study some object over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we try to instead understand this object over each prime individually, and then try to stitch this 'local' information together to gain information about the original 'global' object over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The prototypical example of a local-global principle is the *Hasse-Minkowski Theorem* for quadratic forms.

Another key idea is to use linear algebra and geometry to answer the above questions. The basic idea is that quadratic forms correspond to bilinear forms, which behave like inner products in some ways. By exploiting this analogy with inner products on vector space, we will develop a linear algebraic theory of *quadratic spaces* and *lattices*. This theory will lead to deeper insights into quadratic forms. Of course, it should be kept in mind that this will always be an analogy: one can develop the entire theory without having to mention vector spaces at all (indeed, this is what is done in [Cas78]). However, the linear-algebraic theory allows us to state things cleanly, and is a useful source of motivation and intuition.

Finally, towards the end of the tutorial, in our attempt to answer the third question above, we will see how the interplay between analytic and algebraic number theory gives us very explicit results about the number of representations by quadratic forms. This will culminate in the Siegel-Weil mass formula.

Of course, the entire story above can be generalised to number fields K and their ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . In fact, we can even generalise the theory to function fields. The Hasse-Minkowski theorem extends to this setting as well, as will pretty much all of the algebraic theory.

For the sake of concreteness, I have decided to stick to  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  rather than general number fields. However, I will still make brief remarks about the theory over general number fields. Thus, if you are interested in the theory over an arbitrary number field, I would encourage you to read through the remarks I make. Those who are not familiar with the language of number fields may safely skip such remarks. I've tried to state results in as much generality as I can without having to add unnecessary complications.

Let us look at a concrete classical example to get a sense for the kind of results we're after. The binary quadratic form  $f = x^2 + y^2$  was first studied by Fermat, and then by Gauss, Jacobi, and a whole litany of other famous number theorists. Fermat gave a complete answer for the first question.

**Theorem 0.1** (Fermat). An odd prime is a sum of two integer squares if and only if it is 1 modulo 4. In our terminology, a prime p is represented by the binary quadratic form  $f = x^2 + y^2$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if p = 2 or  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

**Corollary 0.1.1.** A number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is represented by  $x^2 + y^2$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if in the prime factorisation  $n = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$  for n, the exponent  $k_i$  must be even whenever  $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

The second question was answered by Gauss in his *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*. His general body of work on binary quadratic forms is a little too big for this section, but we will satisfy ourselves with the following result just to illustrate a positive answer to the second question above.

**Theorem 0.2** (Gauss). Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and set  $f(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + c^2$ . Then there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1$  and

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y) = x^2 + y^2$$

if and only if  $b^2 - 4ac = -4$ .

Finally, let us give an answer to the third question for  $f = x^2 + y^2$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Jacobi proved the following result using a very clever argument involving formal power series. However, from the modern perspective, this statement is a direct result of the Siegel-Weil Mass Formula.

**Theorem 0.3** (Jacobi). Let r(n) denote the number of integer solutions (x,y) to  $x^2 + y^2 = n$ . Then,

$$r(n) = 4 \left( \sum_{d|n,d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} 1 - \sum_{d|n,d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} 1 \right),$$

i.e.  $\frac{1}{4}r(n)$  is the difference between the number of divisors of n congruent to 1 modulo 4, minus the number of divisors of n congruent to 3 modulo 4.

We will revisit all of the above theorems above later on in the tutorial.

The main reference for the algebraic theory of quadratic forms is the excellent book by Timothy O'Meara [OMe73]. However, this book is quite old and so a lot of the notation and terminology is outdated. O'Meara also works over general number fields rather than just  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Another good reference is Cassels [Cas78]; the advantage with him is that he only works over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For a computational point of view, as well as for applications in error correcting codes and so on, [CS13] is an excellent book. For the latter part on the Siegel-Weil Mass Formula, I don't know of any good complete expository references. I will thus mostly be following [Gar14] and [Li23] for the proof of the Siegel-Weil mass formula, and a variety of modern papers for applications of the formula.

Finally, a word on exercises. I have sprinkled a lot of exercises throughout the notes. These exercises are usually results from one of the references whose proof was straightforward enough. Quite a few of the exercises are extremely easy and can be proved within a couple of lines; most exercises should be easy enough!

# 1 Quadratic Spaces

Let us first introduce the basic language and terminology of quadratic forms. As mentioned in the overview, our approach is going to be a linear algebraic one, so that we can exploit some of the algebra and geometry inherent in quadratic forms.

Throughout, k is going to denote a field of characteristic not 2.

### 1.1 Definitions

Recall that a symmetric bilinear form B on a finite dimensional k-vector space V is a mapping

$$B: V \times V \to k$$

such that B(ax+by,z)=aB(x,z)+bB(y,z) for  $a,b\in k$  and  $x,y,z\in V$ , and such that B(x,y)=B(y,x) for  $x,y\in V$ .

Remark 1.1. Alternatively, a symmetric bilinear form is an element of  $\operatorname{Sym}^2(V^*)$ .

Given a symmetric bilinear form B on a vector space V, we can set

$$Q: V \to k, \quad Q(x) := B(x, x).$$

**Definition.** A quadratic space (over k) is a finite dimensional vector space V over k equipped with a symmetric bilinear form B.

The quadratic form on V associated to B is the above map Q.

The quadratic space is said to be n-ary if the underlying space has dimension n. We say a quadratic space is unary, binary, ternary, quaternary for n = 1, 2, 3, 4 respectively.

We can of course recover the symmetric bilinear form from its corresponding quadratic form via the identity

$$B(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( Q(x+y) - Q(x) - Q(y) \right).$$

Thus we can define a quadratic space by simply defining the associated quadratic form on the vector space.

Example 1.2. If V is a quadratic space, then for any  $W \subset V$  a k-linear subspace of V we can restrict the quadratic form  $Q_V$  of V to W to get a new quadratic space  $(W, Q_V|_W)$ . The inclusion map  $i: W \hookrightarrow V$  is an isometry.

Example 1.3. Classically, a quadratic form is supposed to be a homogeneous degree 2 polynomial in n variables. We can recover this notion here. Indeed, if  $f \in k[x_1, ..., x_n]$  is a degree 2 homogeneous polynomial, then we can simply take  $V = k^n$  and define the quadratic form

$$Q(v) = f(v_1, ..., v_n)$$

for  $v = (v_1, ..., v_n) \in k^n$ .

Example 1.4. Suppose  $A \in M_n(k)$  is a symmetric matrix. Then, we can equip  $V = k^n$  by the symmetric bilinear form

$$B(x,y) = x^t A y$$

where we view  $x, y \in k^n$  as column vectors. This *n*-ary quadratic space is denoted by  $\langle A \rangle$  in [OMe73].

Example 1.5. For  $a \in k$ , we can define a quadratic space by equipping the 1-dimensional space k by the quadratic form

$$Q_a(b) = ab^2$$

for  $b \in k$ . This quadratic space will be denoted by  $\langle a \rangle$ . Notice that this coincides with the previous example; of course, we have  $M_1(k) = k$  and  $\langle a \rangle$  defined here is precisely the quadratic space defined in the above example.

We can also define maps between quadratic spaces, in the obvious way.

**Definition.** A morphism of quadratic spaces  $\sigma: (V, B_V) \to (W, B_W)$  over k is a k-linear map  $\sigma: V \to W$  such that  $B_W(\sigma v_1, \sigma v_2) = B_V(v_1, v_2)$  for  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ .

Equivalently, a morphism of quadratic spaces is a k-linear map that preserves the quadratic forms.

**Definition.** An *isometry* is a morphism of quadratic spaces that is injective.

Two quadratic spaces are *isomorphic* if there exists a surjective isometry between them.

For a quadratic space (V, Q), the orthogonal group attached to V, denoted by O(V, Q), is the subgroup of GL(V) consisting of isometries. If the Q is known, we can simply write O(V).

Remark 1.6 (for those who know about reductive group schemes). O(V) is the set of k-points of a certain reductive group scheme over k. In fact, the functor  $R \mapsto O(V \otimes_k R)$  is an algebraic group, say denoted by  $\mathbf{O}(V)$ .

Example 1.7. By fixing a basis  $x_1, ..., x_n$  for V, we can write

$$A = (B(x_i, x_j))_{1 \le i, j \le n},$$

and then we see easily that  $V \cong \langle A \rangle$ . This is is the Gram matrix of V (with respect to the basis  $x_1, ..., x_n$ ).

Example 1.8. Suppose  $A, A' \in M_n(k)$  are symmetric matrices. Then  $\langle A \rangle \cong \langle A' \rangle$  if and only if there exists  $X \in GL_n(k)$  such that  $A' = XAX^t$ .

In particular, in the second example above, we see that  $\det A' = (\det A)(\det X)^2$ . This leads to the following definition.

**Definition.** The discriminant discV of a quadratic space V is the element of  $\{0\} \cup k^*/(k^*)^2$  given by  $(\det A)(k^*)^2$  for any symmetric matrix A such that  $V \cong \langle A \rangle$ .

It is clear that the discriminant is an invariant of a quadratic space, i.e.  $\operatorname{disc} V = \operatorname{disc} V'$  if  $V \cong V'$ . Note that multiplication of discriminants makes sense.

Finally, recall that we are interested in the set Q(V), motivating the following definitions.

**Definition.** Let (V, Q) be a quadratic space. An element  $a \in k$  is said to be represented by Q (or sometimes represented by V if the quadratic form on V is clear) if there exists  $v \in V$  such that Q(v) = a. A quadratic space is universal if Q(V) = k, i.e. every element of k is represented by the quadratic form on V.

It is easy to see that a is represented by a quadratic space  $(V, Q_V)$  if and only if there is an isometry  $(\langle a \rangle, Q_a) \hookrightarrow (V, Q_V)$ .

Even though a quadratic space is a vector space with extra structure, as usual we often abuse notation and say that 'V is a quadratic space over k', when we really mean that V is a vector space over k equipped with a symmetric bilinear form  $B_V$  and corresponding quadratic form  $Q_V$ . If the vector space is clear from context, we sometimes omit the V from the subscript.

Now that we have a symmetric bilinear form on a vector space, we can try to generalise various notions from an introductory linear algebra course.

**Definition.** Let V be a quadratic space. Suppose  $v, w \in V$ . We say that v, w are *orthogonal* (with respect to the quadratic space structure on V) if B(v, w) = 0.

Given two subspaces  $W_1, W_2 \subset V$  such that  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$  as k-vector spaces, we say that V is the orthogonal sum of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$ , written  $V = W_1 \perp W_2$ , if every vector in  $W_1$  is orthogonal to every vector in  $W_2$ .

Given a subspace  $W \subset V$ , we write  $W^{\perp}$  to be the subspace of all  $v \in V$  such that v is orthogonal to w for all  $w \in W$ .

A basis  $v_1, ..., v_n$  is said to be *orthogonal* if  $v_i$  is orthogonal to  $v_i$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

These definitions satisfy various familiar/obvious properties, all of which are left as an exercise.

Exercise 1.9. Suppose V is a quadratic space. Then V always admits an orthogonal basis.

Exercise 1.10. If  $V \cong \langle A_1 \rangle \perp \langle A_2 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle A_r \rangle$  for symmetric matrices  $A_i$ , then

$$V \cong \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_r \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Exercise 1.11. If  $V \cong W_1 \perp W_2$  then  $\operatorname{disc}(V) = \operatorname{disc}(W_1)\operatorname{disc}(W_2)$ .

### 1.2 Regularity and Isotropy

However, unlike in Euclidean geometry, in a general quadratic space V with quadratic form Q it is possible for there to exist non-zero  $v \in V$  such that Q(v) = 0. Thus we have a few extra definitions.

**Definition.** A quadratic space V is regular if  $\operatorname{disc} V \neq 0$ .

The following exercises give all the key properties of regular spaces; the proofs involve simply unwinding definitions and shouldn't be too difficult.

Exercise 1.12. Let V be a quadratic space with quadratic form Q. Show that the following are equivalent.

- 1. V is regular.
- 2. The usual k-linear map

$$V \to \operatorname{Hom}_k(V, k), \quad v \mapsto B(v, -)$$

is an isomorphism.

- 3. If  $w \in V$  and B(v, w) = 0 for all  $v \in V$ , then w = 0.
- 4.  $V^{\perp} = \{0\}.$
- 5. If  $x_1,...,x_n$  are an orthogonal basis for V, then  $Q(x_i) \neq 0$  for all  $x_i$ .

Show also that if V is regular, then  $(W^{\perp})^{\perp} = W$  for any subspace  $W \subset V$ .

Exercise 1.13. If W is a regular subspace of a (possibly not regular) quadratic space V, then show that  $V = W \perp W^{\perp}$ , and that if  $V = W \perp W'$  for some other subspace W' of W, then  $W' = W^{\perp}$ .

Exercise 1.14. If V is a regular quadratic space, show that all morphisms of quadratic spaces  $V \to W$  are actually isometries, i.e. must be injective.

Exercise 1.15. Suppose V is a regular quadratic space. Let W be a subspace. Show that the following are equivalent:

- 1. W is regular;
- 2.  $W^{\perp}$  is regular;
- 3.  $W \cap W^{\perp} = \{0\};$
- 4.  $V = W \oplus W^{\perp}$ .

Exercise 1.16. Suppose V is any quadratic space. Consider the subspace

$$rad(V) := \{ v \in V : B(x, v) = 0 \quad \text{ for all } x \in V \}.$$

Let V' be any subspace of V such that  $V = V' \oplus \operatorname{rad}(V)$ . Show that V' is regular, and that

$$V = \operatorname{rad}(V) \perp V'$$
.

Hence, show that every non-zero quadratic form  $f \in k[x_1, ..., x_n]$  is of the form

$$f = h(a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n, \dots, a_{r1}x_1 + \dots + a_{rn}x_n)$$

for some  $1 \le r \le n$ , some regular quadratic form  $h \in k[y_1, ..., y_r]$ , and some  $A = (a_{ij}) \in GL_n(k)$ .

This last exercise shows that we only need to look at regular quadratic spaces.

**Definition.** Let V be a quadratic space.

A vector  $v \in V$  is isotropic if v is non-zero but Q(v) = 0. Otherwise, v is said to be anisotropic.

A subspace W of V is isotropic if there exists an isotropic vector in W. Otherwise, if every vector of W is anisotropic, we say that W is anisotropic.

The following is the most important example of an isotropic quadratic space.

**Definition.** A binary quadratic space is said to be a hyperbolic plane if it is isomorphic to  $\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$ .

Notice that the discriminant of the hyperbolic plane is  $-1 \cdot (k^*)^2$ , and so the hyperbolic plane is regular. It is also clearly isotropic.

**Proposition 1.17.** Every isotropic regular quadratic space V contains a hyperbolic plane as a subspace.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$  be an isotropic vector. Since an isotropic quadratic space is by definition regular, by Exercise 1.12 we can find  $w' \in V$  such that  $B(v, w') \neq 0$ . Replacing w' with  $\frac{1}{B(v, w')}w'$  if necessary, we can assume that B(v, w') = 1. Now consider

$$w = w' - \frac{Q(w')}{2}v.$$

An easy computation shows that Q(w) = 0 and B(v, w) = 1. We then see that the subspace spanned by v and w is a hyperbolic plane.

The above proof in fact established something stronger.

Corollary 1.17.1. Every isotropic vector in a regular quadratic space is contained in a hyperbolic plane.

**Corollary 1.17.2.** The following are equivalent for a binary quadratic space V:

- 1. V is regular isotropic;
- 2. V is the hyperbolic plane; and
- 3.  $\operatorname{disc} V = -(k^*)^2$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is immediate from the theorem. (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (3) is a direct computation. So suppose (3). As  $\operatorname{disc} V = -1$ , V is regular, and so  $Q(V) \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $\alpha \in Q(V) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $x \in V$  such that  $Q(x) = \alpha$ . By regularity,  $V = kx \perp ky$  for some  $y \in V$ . Now  $\operatorname{disc} V = -(k^*)^2$  implies that  $-\alpha Q(y)$  is a non-zero square in k, and so after scaling y we can write  $Q(y) = -\alpha$ . One then checks that  $Q(\frac{x+y}{2}) = 0 = Q(\frac{x-y}{\alpha})$  and  $B(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x-y}{\alpha}) = 1$ , so that V is isomorphic to the hyperbolic plane.

Corollary 1.17.3. Any isotropic regular quadratic space is universal.

*Proof.* By the lemma, it suffices to prove that the hyperbolic plane  $H = \langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rangle$  is universal. However this is easy, since for any  $a \in k$  we can take  $v = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, a \end{pmatrix}$  so that

$$Q(v) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ a \end{pmatrix} = a.$$

**Corollary 1.17.4.** Let V be a regular quadratic space, and let  $x_1, ..., x_r$  be r linearly independent vectors in V. Suppose that  $B(x_i, x_j) = 0$  for all  $1 \le i, j \le r$ . Then, there exist subspaces  $H_i \subset V$  such that  $x_i \in H_i$ , each  $H_i$  is a hyperbolic plane, and  $H_i \subseteq H_j^{\perp}$  for all  $i \ne j$  (so that  $H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_r$  is a 2r-dimensional subspace of V).

Proof. The proof is by induction on r. For r=1, this is Corollary 1.17.1. So suppose r>1. Let  $U=kx_1\perp\cdots\perp kx_{r-1}$  and  $W=U\perp kx_r$ . By assumption,  $Q(W)=\{0\}$ . Now, we have  $U\subset W$  and so  $W^\perp\subset U^\perp$ . Pick  $y_r\in U^\perp\backslash W^\perp$ , and take  $H_r=kx_r+ky_r$ . A quick computation checks that  $H_r$  is a hyperbolic plane containing  $x_r$  and that  $x_i\in H_r^\perp$  for  $1\leq i\leq r-1$ . Applying the inductive hypothesis to  $H_r^\perp$ , we have  $H_1\perp\cdots\perp H_{r-1}\subseteq H_r^\perp$  with  $x_i\in H_i$  and  $H_i$  a hyperbolic plane, and the collection  $H_1,\ldots,H_r$  satisfies the lemma.  $\square$ 

We have already made some progress towards answering Q1 from before!

The following exercises are also corollaries of the above lemma.

Exercise 1.18. Let V be a regular quadratic space over k and  $a \in k$ . Then V represents a if and only if  $\langle -a \rangle \perp V$  is isotropic.

Exercise 1.19. Let U be a regular ternary subspace of a regular quaternary space V such that  $\operatorname{disc} V = 1$ . Then V is isotropic if and only if U is isotropic.

### 1.3 Reflections and Rotations

There is a special family of isometries in O(V) for V a regular quadratic space.

**Definition.** Fix an anisotropic vector  $x \in V$ . The reflection (aka symmetry) attached to x is the map  $\tau_x : V \to V$  given by

$$\tau_x y := y - \frac{2B(x, y)}{Q(x)} x.$$

Remark 1.20. In [OMe73], reflections mean something else entirely, while he refers to  $\tau_x$  as symmetries. However, I think the term reflection is quite common nowadays.

It is easy to check that  $\tau_x \in O(V)$ , that it is an involution (i.e.  $\tau_x^2 = 1$ ), and that  $\det \tau_x = -1$  for all anisotropic  $x \in V$ . Notice also that  $\tau_x(x) = -x$ , and that  $\tau_x(v) = v$  whenever B(v,x) = 0. Thus,  $\tau_x$  can be viewed as the reflection through the subspace  $(kx)^{\perp}$  of V orthogonal to x. It is easy to see that  $\tau_{\lambda x} = \tau_x$  for any  $\lambda \in k^*$ .

Exercise 1.21. Suppose  $\sigma \in O(V)$  and  $x \in V$  is anisotropic. Then,  $\tau_{\sigma x} = \sigma \tau_x \sigma^{-1}$ .

Now, recall that we have a group homomorphism det :  $GL(V) \to k^*$  for any k-vector space V. Since  $O(V) \subset GL(V)$ , we have the group homomorphism

$$\det |_{O(V)}: O(V) \to k^*.$$

Exercise 1.22. The image of det  $|_{O(V)}$  is the subgroup  $\{\pm 1\} \subset k^*$ .

**Definition.** The special orthogonal group SO(V) attached to a quadratic space V is the kernel of det  $|_{O(V)}$ , i.e. it is the subgroup of isometries of determinant 1.

We can now prove the following.

**Theorem 1.23** (Cartan-Dieudonné). Every isometry  $\sigma \in O(V)$  of a regular n-ary quadratic space is a product of at most n reflections, (here, the identity is considered to be the product of 0 reflections).

Before we establish this theorem, we need a couple of lemmas. The first is left as an exercise.

Exercise 1.24. Suppose V is a quadratic space, and  $W \subseteq V$  a subspace such that  $Q(W) = \{0\}$ . Then, show that  $W \subseteq W^{\perp}$ .

This second lemma is the technical heart of the proof.

**Lemma 1.25.** Suppose V is as in the statement of the theorem. Suppose  $\sigma \in O(V)$  satisfies the condition that  $\sigma x - x$  is non-zero and isotropic whenever  $x \in V \setminus \{0\}$  is anisotropic. Then,  $n \geq 4$ , n is even, and  $\sigma \in SO(V)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there exists anisotropic  $x \in V$  such that  $\sigma x$  is not linearly independent from x. We must have  $\sigma x = \pm x$ , and so either  $\sigma x - x$  is zero or it is anisotropic, thus violating the given assumption on  $\sigma$ . Thus x and  $\sigma x$  must be linearly independent whenever x is anisotropic. In particular,  $n \neq 1$ .

If n=2, then as  $\operatorname{disc} V \neq 0$  we can pick an anisotropic  $x \in V$ . Since  $x, \sigma x$  are linearly independent, V has a basis given by x and  $\sigma x - x$ . However since  $\sigma x - x$  is isotropic, a simple calculation shows  $Q(x) = B(x, \sigma x)$ , and so we see that

$$B(\sigma x - x, ax + b(\sigma x - x)) = 0$$

for all  $a, b \in k$ . This contradicts the regularity of V.

We now suppose that  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $y \in V$  be isotropic; then there exists a hyperbolic plane  $H \subset V$  with  $y \in H$  and  $V = H \perp H^{\perp}$ . Since H is regular,  $H^{\perp}$  is regular, and so there exists an anisotropic  $z \in H^{\perp}$ . Thus, for any  $a \in k^*$ , we see that  $Q(y + az) \neq 0$ . By our assumption on  $\sigma$ , we have  $Q(\sigma z - z) = 0$  and

$$Q(\sigma(y+az) - y - az) = 0.$$

A simple computation then shows that

$$Q(\sigma y - y) + 2aB(\sigma y - y, \sigma z - z) = 0$$

for all  $a \in k^*$ . Thus  $Q(\sigma y - y) = 0$ . Since  $Q(\sigma y - y) = 0$  for  $y \in V$  anisotropic anyway, it thus follows that  $Q(\sigma y - y) = 0$  for all  $y \in V$ .

In particular, the subspace  $W = (\sigma - 1)(V)$  satisfies  $Q(W) = \{0\}$ . A computation now shows that

$$B(x, \sigma y - y) = -B(\sigma x - x, y) = 0$$

for all  $x \in V$  and all  $y \in W^{\perp}$ . By the regularity of V, we then have  $\sigma y = y$  for all  $y \in W^{\perp}$ . Since  $\sigma y - y \neq 0$  for y anisotropic, it then follows that every element of  $W^{\perp}$  is isotropic. By Exercise 1.24 we have  $W \subseteq W^{\perp}$  and  $W^{\perp} \subseteq (W^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . However, V is regular, and so  $(W^{\perp})^{\perp} = W$ , and thus  $W^{\perp} = W$ .

As  $\sigma|_{W^{\perp}} = 1$  and  $W = \operatorname{im}(\sigma - 1)$ , it follows that

$$n = \dim_k \ker(\sigma - 1) + \operatorname{rank}(\sigma - 1) = \dim W^{\perp} + \dim W = 2\dim W.$$

Hence n is even. As a consequence of Corollary 1.17.4, we then have a n/2-dimensional subspace U of V such that  $Q(U) = \{0\}$  and  $V = W \oplus U$ . We have  $(\sigma - 1)(U) \subseteq W = W^{\perp}$  so that  $\sigma(u) = u + L(u)$  for some linear map  $L: U \to W^{\perp}$ . Since  $\sigma|_W$  is the identity as well, the matrix of  $\sigma$  is of block form  $\begin{pmatrix} I & * \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$ , which has determinant 1

We can now prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.23. We proceed by induction on n. For n=1, we have  $O(V)=\{1_V,-1_V\}$  where  $-1_V$  is a reflection, and so we are done. We can thus suppose n>1. If there exists an anisotropic  $x\in V$  such that  $\sigma x=x$ , then  $\sigma|_{(kx)^{\perp}}$  has image contained in  $(kx)^{\perp}$  where  $\dim_k(kx)^{\perp}=n-1$  (since x anisotropic), and we can apply the inductive hypothesis to  $\sigma|_{(kx)^{\perp}}\in O((kx)^{\perp})$  and write  $\sigma|_{(kx)^{\perp}}=\tau_{y_1}\cdots\tau_{y_r}$  for  $r\leq n-1$  vectors  $y_i\in (kx)^{\perp}$ . Since  $B(x,y_i)=0$  for all  $1\leq i\leq r$ , it follows that  $\tau_{y_1}\cdots\tau_{y_r}(x)=x$  as well, and hence we have the equality

$$\sigma = \tau_{y_1} \cdots \tau_{y_r}$$

in O(V).

Next, suppose that we can find an anisotropic  $x \in V$  such that  $Q(\sigma x - x) \neq 0$ . Then, a quick calculation shows that  $\tau_{\sigma x - x} \sigma$  fixes x. As x is anisotropic, the image of  $\tau_{\sigma x - x} \sigma$  lies in  $(kx)^{\perp}$ , and as before  $\tau_{\sigma x - x} \sigma$  is a product of at most n - 1 reflections. Multiplying by  $\tau_{\sigma x - x}$  on both sides it follows that  $\sigma$  is the product of at most n reflections.

Finally, we can suppose that  $\sigma$  is such that  $\sigma x \neq x$  and  $Q(\sigma x - x) = 0$  whenever  $x \in V$  is anisotropic. By Lemma 1.25, we know that  $n \geq 4$  is even and  $\sigma \in SO(V)$ . Let  $y \in V$  be an arbitrary anisotropic vector, and consider  $\tau_y \sigma$ . Since  $\det(\tau_y \sigma) = -1$  as  $\det \tau_y = -1$ , by Lemma 1.25 again  $\tau_y \sigma$  cannot satisfy the hypothesis of

the lemma. In particular, we are in one of the previous two cases, and so  $\tau_y \sigma$  is the product of r reflections with  $r \leq n$ . However n is even, whereas the number of reflections r must be odd as

$$-1 = \det(\tau_u \sigma) = (-1)^r.$$

Hence  $r \leq n-1$ , and so by multiplying by  $\tau_y$  we see that  $\sigma$  is the product of at most n reflections.

We now have a bunch of corollaries, all of which are left as an exercise.

Corollary 1.25.1. Suppose  $\sigma$  can be expressed as a product of n-reflections. Then,  $\sigma$  can be expressed as a product of n reflections with the first (or last) symmetry chosen arbitrarily.

**Corollary 1.25.2.** If  $\sigma$  is a product of r symmetries, then the dimension of its fixed space (i.e. of  $\ker(\sigma-1)$ ) is at least n-r.

### 1.4 Witt's Theorems, and Index of Quadratic Spaces

We now give two powerful theorems of Witt.

**Theorem 1.26** (Witt's Extension Theorem (version 1)). Suppose  $V_1, V_2 \subseteq V$  are regular subspaces of the (possibly not regular) quadratic space V. Suppose  $\rho: V_1 \to V_2$  is an isomorphism of quadratic spaces. Then, there exists  $\sigma \in O(V)$  such that  $\sigma|_{V_1} = \rho$ .

Proof. Pick an anisotropic  $x \in V_1$ . Then there exists  $\sigma' \in O(V)$  such that  $\sigma'(\rho x) = x$ ; indeed, either  $Q(\rho x - x) \neq 0$  in which case we can take  $\sigma' = \tau_{\rho x - x}$ , or  $Q(\rho x - x) = 0$  in which case we must have  $Q(\rho x + x) \neq 0$  (as  $Q(x) \neq 0$ ) and we can take  $\sigma' = \tau_{\rho x} \tau_{\rho x + x}$ . By replacing  $\rho$  and  $V_2$  with  $\sigma' \rho$  and  $\sigma' V_2$  respectively, we may as well assume that  $x \in V_1 \cap V_2$  and that  $\rho x = x$ .

If dim  $V_1 = 1$ , we are done already as  $V_1 = V_2 = kx$ . Otherwise, we use induction on dim  $V_1$ . Let  $W = (kx)^{\perp}$ , and take  $V_i' := W \cap V_i$ . As  $x \in V_1 \cap V_2$ , one checks that  $\rho|_{V_1'} : V_1' \to V_2'$  is surjective, and thus an isomorphism. Since x is anisotropic, we have  $\dim_k V_1' \leq \dim_k V_1 - 1$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists  $\sigma_1 \in O(W)$  such that  $\sigma_1|_{V_1'} = W$ . The map  $\sigma \in GL(V)$  given by  $\sigma x = x$  on kx and by  $\sigma = \sigma_1$  on W is an isometry, so that  $\sigma \in O(V)$  as required.

**Theorem 1.27** (Witt's Extension Theorem (version 2)). Suppose V is a regular quadratic space, and U is any subspace of V with an isometry  $\rho: U \hookrightarrow V$ . Then, there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma \in O(V)$  such that  $\sigma|_U = \rho$ .

Proof. Write  $U = U_0 \perp U_r$  where  $Q(U_0) = \{0\}$  and  $U_r$  is regular. Let  $x_1, ..., x_r$  be a basis for  $U_0$ . By Corollary 1.17.4 applied to  $U_0 \subseteq U_r^{\perp}$ , there is a 2r-dimensional space  $H = H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_r$  with each  $H_i$  a hyperbolic plane and  $x_i \in H_i$ . As H is regular, we can write  $U_r^{\perp} = H \perp W$  for some W = H. We thus have a splitting  $V = H \perp W \perp U_r$  where each of  $H, W, U_r$  are regular.

Now, we can do the same thing for  $\rho(U)$ . We thus write  $V = H' \perp W' \perp \rho(U_r)$  where  $H', W', \rho(U_r)$  are all regular with  $(\rho(U_r))^{\perp} = H' \perp W'$ , and where  $H' = H'_1 \perp \cdots \perp H'_r$  with each  $H'_i$  a hyperbolic plane containing  $\rho x_i$ . We can easily define an extension  $\hat{\rho}_i : H_i \to H'_i$  of  $\rho|_{kx_i} : kx_i \to k\sigma x_i$ . Glueing these  $\hat{\rho}_i$ s together along with  $\rho|_{U_r} : U_r \to \rho(U_r)$ , we get an isomorphism  $\hat{\rho} : H \perp U_r \to H' \perp \rho(U_r) \subset V$ . By version 1 of Witt's extension theorem, noting that  $H \perp U_r$  and  $H' \perp \sigma(U_r)$  are regular, we can find the required extension  $\sigma \in O(V)$ .

The following is an immediate corollary of Witt's Extension theorem.

**Theorem 1.28** (Witt's Cancellation Theorem). Suppose W, W', and V are quadratic spaces with V regular. If  $W \perp V \cong W' \perp V$ , then  $W \cong W'$ .

*Proof.* The identity map  $V \cong V$  is an isometry. By version 1 of Witt's Extension Theorem, this is induced by an isometry  $\sigma \in O(W \perp V)$ . One then checks that  $\sigma|_W$  is an isomorphism from W to W'.

The above theorems now allow us to define a new invariant of a regular quadratic space. Indeed, by Witt's extension theorems, any two maximal subspaces M, M' of V with  $Q(M) = \{0\} = Q(M')$  must be isomorphic. Thus, the dimension of the maximal subspace M of V with  $Q(M) = \{0\}$  is independent of the choice of M.

**Definition.** The *index* indV of a regular quadratic space V is the k-dimension of the maximal subspace M of V satisfying  $Q(M) = \{0\}$ .

We have another interpretation. By Corollary 1.17.4, we can write

$$V = H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_r \perp V'$$

where each of the  $H_i$  are hyperbolic planes and where V' is either 0 or is anisotropic. Witt's Lemma implies that the number r of such hyperbolic planes does not depend on how we do the splitting (i.e. it is an invariant of V) and that V' is unique up to isomorphism. It is easy to check that in fact r = indV.

We have thus proven the following.

**Theorem 1.29** (Witt's Decomposition Theorem). If V is any regular quadratic space, then indV satisfies  $0 \le \text{ind}V \le \frac{1}{2}\dim_k V$ .

There exist  $\tilde{H}_1, ..., H_{\mathrm{ind}V} \subset V$  hyperbolic planes such that

$$V = H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_{\text{ind}V} \perp V'$$

for a unique (up to isomorphism) subspace  $V' \subset V$  that is either 0 or (regular and) anisotropic.

In this sense, the index of a regular quadratic space measures how far the space is from being anisotropic. If the index is 0, then the space is anisotropic.

Exercise 1.30. Suppose V is a regular quadratic space such that we have a decomposition

$$V = H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_r \perp V'$$

where V' is either 0 or anisotropic, and  $0 \le r \le \frac{1}{2} \dim V$ . Show, using Witt's extension theorem, that this r does not depend on the above decomposition of V. Hence, show that  $r = \operatorname{ind} V$ .

This exercise shows that the index is precisely the number of hyperbolic planes showing up as orthogonal factors in V.

### 1.5 The Orthogonal Group Determines the Form

Remark 1.31. This section was the subject of a question in the first problem set.

Perhaps not surprisingly, we have the following result.

**Proposition 1.32.** Suppose  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are two regular quadratic forms on the same vector space V over a field k of characteristic not 2. If  $O(V,Q_1)=O(V,Q_2)$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in k^*$  such that  $Q_2=\lambda Q_1$ .

Proof. We let the corresponding symmetric bilinear forms be  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  respectively. For  $v \in V$  with  $Q_1(v) \neq 0$ , consider the reflection  $\tau_v^{(1)}(x) = x - \frac{2B_1(x,v)}{O(v)}v$ . Since  $\tau_v^{(1)} \in O(V,Q_1) = O(V,Q_2)$ , it preserves  $B_2$ . The equation

$$B_2(\tau_v^{(1)}w, \tau_v^{(1)}v) = B_2(w, v)$$

shows that

$$Q_1(v)B_2(v,w) = Q_2(v)B_1(v,w)$$

for all  $w \in V$ . In particular, this shows that  $Q_2(v) \neq 0$  for all  $v \in V$  with  $Q_1(v) \neq 0$ . By exchanging the roles of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ , we see that  $Q_1(v) \neq 0$  if and only if  $Q_2(v) \neq 0$ . The previous equation also implies that

$$(kv)^{\perp 1} := \{x \in V : B_1(v, x) = 0\} = \{x \in V : B_2(v, x) = 0\} = : (kv)^{\perp 2}.$$

We now fix  $v \in V$  with  $Q_1(v) \neq 0$ ; such a v exists by regularity of  $Q_1$ . Let  $w \in V$ . We claim that

$$Q_2(w) = \frac{Q_2(v)}{Q_1(v)}Q_1(w).$$

If  $Q_1(w) = 0$ , then  $Q_2(w) = 0$  as well and the equation follows easily, so we may suppose that  $Q_1(w) \neq 0$  and  $Q_2(w) \neq 0$ . In particular, we also have

$$Q_1(w)B_2(w,x) = Q_2(w)B_1(w,x)$$

for all  $x \in V$ . If  $B_1(v, w) \neq 0$ , it then follows that

$$\frac{Q_2(w)}{Q_1(w)} = \frac{B_2(w,v)}{B_1(w,v)} = \frac{Q_2(v)}{Q_1(v)}.$$

Finally, suppose  $B_1(v, w) = 0$ . Then  $B_2(v, w) = 0$  as well. We have  $B_1(v, v + w) = B_1(v, v) = Q_1(v) \neq 0$ . By the previous argument, we know that

$$Q_2(v+w) = \frac{Q_2(v)}{Q_1(v)}Q_1(v+w).$$

From  $B_1(v, w) = B_2(v, w) = 0$ , we then have that

$$Q_2(v) + Q_2(w) = \frac{Q_2(v)}{Q_1(v)} (Q_1(v) + Q_1(w)),$$

and hence that

$$Q_2(w) = \frac{Q_2(v)}{Q_1(v)}Q_1(w)$$

as claimed.

**Corollary 1.32.1.** Suppose V and W are quadratic spaces over k of the same dimension. Suppose that, under some linear isomorphism  $L: V \to W$ , the subgroup  $O(V) \subset GL(V)$  is carried over via conjugation by L to the subgroup  $O(W) \subset GL(W)$ . Then  $V \cong W$  as quadratic spaces.

# 2 Quadratic Forms over $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , and $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

We are now well-placed to classify all regular quadratic spaces (and thus all quadratic forms) over certain fields.

#### 2.1 $k = \mathbb{C}$

This is in fact trivial. Write a regular quadratic space V as

$$V = \langle \mathbb{C}x_1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \mathbb{C}x_n \rangle$$

for some choice of orthogonal basis, with  $n = \dim V$ . Notice that every element of  $\mathbb{C}$  has a square root, and so upon replacing  $x_i$  with  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q(x)}}x_i$ , we can assume that in fact  $Q(x_i) = 1$ . Hence,

$$V \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle 1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle 1 \rangle$$
.

We have thus classified all complex regular quadratic spaces.

**Proposition 2.1.** Every regular quadratic space over  $\mathbb{C}$  is of the form

$$\langle 1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle 1 \rangle$$
.

Two regular quadratic spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$  are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimensions.

Notice again that  $\langle 1 \rangle$  is universal, since every element of  $\mathbb C$  has a square root. We thus have the following result.

**Proposition 2.2.** Every non-zero regular complex quadratic space is universal.

In fact, this exact same argument here shows that every regular quadratic space over an algebraically closed field must be of the form  $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle 1 \rangle$ .

### 2.2 $k = \mathbb{R}$

Notice that  $(\mathbb{R}^*)^2 = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , the set of positive reals. Any real number is either 0, positive, or negative. This basic fact will allow us to completely determine all regular quadratic spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition.** A real quadratic space V is positive definite if  $Q(V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . It is negative definite if  $Q(V) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ . Otherwise, it is said to be indefinite.

Now recall that any quadratic space has an orthogonal basis, say

$$V = \langle \mathbb{R}x_1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \mathbb{R}x_n \rangle$$

where  $n = \dim V$ . As we assume V is regular, we know that  $Q(x_i) \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^*/(\mathbb{R}^*)^2$  is a group of order 2, with coset representatives  $\{\pm 1\}$ , we see that we may take  $Q(x_i) \in \{\pm 1\}$  without loss of generality. By reordering, we may suppose that  $Q(x_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , and  $Q(x_i) = -1$  for  $p+1 \leq i \leq n$ . Here,  $0 \leq p \leq n$ . Thus, we can always decompose any regular real quadratic space as an orthogonal sum of a maximal positive definite space and a maximal negative definite space. We see here that p is the dimension of this maximal positive definite. However, a priori, this p could depend on our choice of orthogonal basis.

**Lemma 2.3** (Sylvester's Law of Inertia). The dimension of the maximal positive definite subspace of a real regular quadratic space V is an invariant of V.

*Proof.* Suppose P and P' are maximal positive definite subspaces of V, and suppose without loss of generality that dim  $P \leq \dim P'$ . By the previous discussion, we know that

$$P = \underbrace{\langle 1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle 1 \rangle}_{\text{dim } P \text{ times}}$$

and

$$P' = \underbrace{\langle 1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle 1 \rangle}_{\text{dim } P' \text{ times}}.$$

We thus have an obvious isometry  $\iota: P \hookrightarrow P'$  taking the *i*'th copy of  $\langle 1 \rangle$  in P to the *i*'th copy of  $\langle 1 \rangle$  in P'. By Witt's extension theorem, we can find  $\sigma \in O(V)$  such that  $\sigma|_P = \iota$ . Notice that  $\sigma^{-1}(P')$  is a positive definite subspace of V such that  $P \subseteq \sigma^{-1}(P')$ . By maximality of P, we have  $P = \sigma^{-1}(P')$ . Hence,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} P = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma^{-1}(P') = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} P',$$

as required.

By replacing Q with -Q, we see that we have also proven the following.

Corollary 2.3.1. The dimension of the maximal negative definite subspace of a real regular quadratic space V is an invariant of V.

The above lemma and corollary motivate the following definition.

**Definition.** The positive index ind<sup>+</sup>V of a regular quadratic space V over  $\mathbb{R}$  is the dimension of the maximal positive definite subspace. The negative index ind<sup>+</sup>V of a regular quadratic space V over  $\mathbb{R}$  is the dimension of the maximal negative definite subspace.

Clearly, we have  $\operatorname{ind}^+V = \dim V$  if and only if V is positive definite, and  $\operatorname{ind}^- = \dim V$  if and only if V is negative definite.

Exercise 2.4. Prove that  $\operatorname{ind} V = \min \{ \operatorname{ind}^+ V, \operatorname{ind}^- V \}$  and that  $\operatorname{dim} V = \operatorname{ind}^+ V + \operatorname{ind}^- V$ .

Putting all this together, we have the following.

**Theorem 2.5.** Two regular quadratic spaces V and V' over  $\mathbb{R}$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\operatorname{ind}^+V = \operatorname{ind}^+V'$  and  $\operatorname{ind}^-V = \operatorname{ind}^-V'$ .

Thus, up to isomorphism, there are only n+1 isomorphism classes of regular quadratic spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  of dimension n. Every regular quadratic space is the orthogonal sum of a maximal positive definite and a maximal negative definite quadratic space (we consider the zero space as both positive and negative definite). Moreover, V is isotropic if and only if V is indefinite.

**Definition.** The signature of a real regular quadratic space V is the pair of integers ( $\operatorname{ind}^+V$ ,  $\operatorname{ind}^-V$ ).

More generally, we have the following result.

Exercise 2.6. Suppose V and V' are two regular quadratic spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, there exists an isometry  $\sigma: V \hookrightarrow V'$  if and only if  $\operatorname{ind}^+ V \leq \operatorname{ind}^+ V'$  and  $\operatorname{ind}^- V \leq \operatorname{ind}^- V'$ .

Using the above classification, the following result is immediate.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let V be a regular quadratic space. Then,

$$Q(V) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}_{>0} & \text{if } V \text{ positive definite,} \\ \mathbb{R}_{<0} & \text{if } V \text{ negative definite,} \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### 2.3 k a Finite Field

Finally, we consider the case of k a finite field. Then,  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$  where q is a prime or a power of a prime. Under our characteristic assumption, we assume q is odd.

Consider the homomorphism

$$\varphi: k^* \to (k^*)^2, \quad x \mapsto x^2.$$

Obviously  $\varphi$  is surjective. Since the roots of  $x^2-1$  are precisely  $\pm 1$  (which are distinct as q is odd), it follows that  $\ker \varphi = \{\pm 1\}$ . Hence  $k^*/(k^*)^2$  is again a group of order 2. Fix a non-trivial coset representative  $\epsilon \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^2$ .

**Lemma 2.8.**  $\langle \epsilon \rangle \perp \langle \epsilon \rangle \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle 1 \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V = kx \perp ky$  where  $Q(x) = \epsilon = Q(y)$ . Now, the sets  $(k^*)^2$  and

$$1 - \epsilon(k^*)^2 = \{1 - \epsilon\alpha^2 : \alpha \in k, \alpha \neq 0\}$$

are both finite subsets of  $k^*$  of cardinality  $\frac{q-1}{2}$ . These two sets are also not equal, since  $1 \in (k^*)^2$  but  $1 \notin 1 - \epsilon(k^*)^2$ . It follows that  $(1 - \epsilon(k^*)^2) \setminus (k^*)^2$  is non-empty, i.e. there exists  $\alpha \in k^*$  such that  $1 - \epsilon \alpha^2 \in k^* \setminus (k^*)^2 = \epsilon(k^*)^2$ . Thus, we have  $1 - \epsilon \alpha^2 = \epsilon \beta^2$ , and so  $v := \alpha x + \beta y \in V$  and  $w = \beta x - \alpha y \in V$  satisfy Q(v) = 1 = Q(w) and B(v, w) = 0. Hence, we have  $V \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle 1 \rangle$ .

As before, we can write

$$V = \underbrace{\langle 1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle 1 \rangle}_{p \text{ times}} \perp \underbrace{\langle \epsilon \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle \epsilon \rangle}_{n-p \text{ times}}.$$

By the lemma, we can suppose without loss of generality that  $n - p \le 1$ . We have thus proven the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.9.** Any regular quadratic space V over a finite field k of odd characteristic has a splitting

$$V \cong \underbrace{\langle 1 \rangle \perp \cdots \perp \langle 1 \rangle}_{(n-1) \ times} \perp \langle \operatorname{disc} V \rangle.$$

In particular,

- 1. there are essentially two regular quadratic spaces over k of given dimension; and
- 2. two regular quadratic spaces over k are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension and discriminant.

Exercise 2.10 (Chevalley's Theorem for Quadratic Polynomials). Show that any regular quadratic space over a finite field of dimension  $n \geq 3$  is always isotropic. Hence, or otherwise, prove the d = 2 case of the following theorem (this special case was originally due to Dickson).

Theorem (Chevalley (1935)). Let  $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that n > d. Then, every polynomial of total degree d in n variables has a non-trivial zero (i.e. a zero not in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{(0,...,0)\}$ ).

# 3 Algebraic Invariants

In the previous section, using elementary methods, we were able to completely classify all quadratic spaces over certain nice fields. The classification used some simple invariants of quadratic spaces, such as the dimension, the discriminant, and the index. However, for more general fields, we need more sophisticated invariants.

Throughout this chapter, an algebra is assumed to be both unital and associative (see the appendix).

A division algebra over k is an algebra D over k such that for every non-zero element  $x \in D$  there exists  $y \in D$  such that

$$xy = yx = 1_D$$
.

Notice that a commutative division algebra over k is simply a field extension of k. Thus, division algebras are 'non-commutative' field extensions of k.

### 3.1 Quaternion Algebras

In order to define the Hasse algebra, we need to understand quaternion algebras over k.

**Definition.** Given a field k and elements  $\alpha, \beta \in k^*$ , the quaternion algebra is the 4-dimensional k-algebra

$$(\alpha, \beta)_k := k \oplus k\mathbf{i} \oplus k\mathbf{j} \oplus k\mathbf{k}$$

where multiplication is defined by the following multiplication table.

	1	i	_ j	k
1	1	i	j	k
i	i	$\alpha$	k	$\alpha \mathbf{j}$
j	j	$-\mathbf{k}$	β	$-\beta \mathbf{i}$
$\mathbf{k}$	k	$-\alpha \mathbf{j}$	$\beta$ i	$-\alpha\beta$

An element of  $(\alpha, \beta)_k^0 := k\mathbf{i} \oplus k\mathbf{j} \oplus k\mathbf{k}$  is called a *pure quaternion*, and an element of k (viewed as an element of the quaternion algebra) is called a *scalar quaternion*.

We say that the k-basis  $1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$  of  $(\alpha, \beta)_k$  is the defining basis of  $(\alpha, \beta)_k$ .

The *conjugate* of an element  $x := x_0 + x_1 \mathbf{i} + x_2 \mathbf{j} + x_3 \mathbf{k} \in (\alpha, \beta)_k$  is

$$\overline{x} := x_0 - x_1 \mathbf{i} - x_2 \mathbf{j} - x_3 \mathbf{k}.$$

The norm and trace of x is

$$N(x) = x\overline{x} = (x_0^2 - \alpha x_1^2 - \beta x_2^2 + \alpha \beta x_3^2) \in k$$

and 
$$T(x) := x + \overline{x} = 2x_0 \in k$$
.

One checks that conjugation on any quaternion algebra is a k-linear anti-isomorphism preserving 1. If k' is a field extension of k, then clearly

$$(\alpha, \beta)_k \otimes_k k' \cong (\alpha, \beta)_{k'}$$
.

Example 3.1. The classical quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$  are  $(-1,-1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ . This is a division algebra.

Example 3.2. The matrix algebra  $M_2(k)$  is a quaternion algebra, isomorphic to  $(-1,1)_k$ . A defining basis of this quaternion algebra is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Conjugation is given by  $\overline{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus, the trace of the quaternion algebra coincides with the usual trace of a matrix and the norm is the determinant.

Remark 3.3. It is possible for  $(\alpha, \beta)_k$  to be isomorphic to  $(\gamma, \delta)_k$  even if  $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (\gamma, \delta)$ . For instance, we trivially have

$$(\alpha, \beta)_k \cong (\beta, -\alpha\beta)_k \cong (-\alpha\beta, \alpha)_k$$

by simply permuting  $\hat{i}, \hat{j}, \hat{k}$ .

Exercise 3.4. Let x be an element of a quaternion algebra. Then x is invertible if and only if  $Nx \in k^*$ . If this condition is satisfied, then  $x^{-1} = (Nx)^{-1}\overline{x}$ .

Remark 3.5. In particular,  $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$  are invertible. This is why we require  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to be both non-zero; otherwise the quaternion algebra starts behaving strangely.

Exercise 3.6. Show that a quaternion x is pure if and only if  $x^2$  is scalar.

Exercise 3.7. An algebra isomorphism of one quaternion algebra onto another sends pure quaternions to pure quaternions, and commutes with conjugation, norms, and traces.

Let  $A = (\alpha, \beta)_k$ . Recall that the trace of a quaternion algebra is valued in k, and so we have a bilinear map

$$B: A \times A \to k, \quad B(x,y) := \frac{1}{2}T(x\overline{y}).$$

A computation shows that the corresponding quadratic form is simply

$$Q: A \to k, \quad Q(x) = N(x).$$

Thus, we can view the underlying k-vector space of A as a quaternary quadratic space. We abuse notation by writing A for the corresponding quadratic space as well. We let  $A^0$  denote the ternary quadratic subspace of pure quaternions. A quick computation shows that  $1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$  is an orthogonal basis for A, and that in this basis we have

$$A \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle -\alpha \rangle \perp \langle -\beta \rangle \perp \langle \alpha \beta \rangle.$$

Since  $\operatorname{disc} A = \alpha^2 \beta^2 (k^*)^2 = (k^*)^2$ , A is a regular quadratic space. The following results show how this quadratic space structure completely determines properties of the quaternion algebra. The proofs of these results are straightforward.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let A and B be two quaternion algebras. The following are equivalent.

- 1. A and B are isomorphic as k-algebras.
- 2. A and B are isomorphic as quadratic spaces.
- 3. A and B (the subspace of pure quaternions) are isomorphic as quadratic spaces.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in k^*$ . Prove that the following are equivalent.

- 1.  $(\alpha, \beta)_k$  is isomorphic as a k-algebra to  $(1, -1)_k$ .
- 2.  $(\alpha, \beta)_k$  is not a division algebra.
- 3.  $(\alpha, \beta)_k$  is an isotropic quaternary regular quadratic space.
- 4.  $(\alpha, \beta)_k^0$  is isotropic ternary regular quadratic space.
- 5.  $\langle \alpha \rangle \perp \langle \beta \rangle$  represents 1.
- 6.  $\alpha \in N_{k'/k}(k')$  where  $k' := k(\sqrt{\beta})$ . (Here,  $N_{k'/k}$  denotes the field norm corresponding to a finite field extension k'/k)

**Lemma 3.10** (Basic Manipulation Rules for Quaternion Algebras). Suppose  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda, \mu \in k^*$ . We have the following algebra isomorphisms:

- $(1,\alpha)_k \cong (\alpha,-\alpha)_k \cong (\alpha,1-\alpha)_k \cong (1,-1)_k \cong M_2(k)$ .
- $(\beta, \alpha)_k \cong (\alpha, \beta)_k \cong (\alpha \lambda^2, \beta \mu^2)$ .
- $(\alpha, \beta)_k \otimes_k (\alpha, \gamma)_k \cong (\alpha, \beta\gamma)_k \otimes_k (1, -1)_k$ .

Exercise 3.11. Prove Proposition 3.8. Hence, or otherwise, classify all quaternion algebras up to isomorphism for  $k = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , and for k a finite field.

Exercise 3.12. Prove Proposition 3.9.

Exercise 3.13. Prove Lemma 3.10.

Exercise 3.14. Let  $u \in (\alpha, \beta)_k^0$ .

- 1. Show that u is anisotropic if and only if u is invertible in the algebra  $(\alpha, \beta)_k$ .
- 2. Show that if u is anisotropic, then the reflection  $\tau_u$  is given by  $\tau_u x = -uxu^{-1}$ .

# 3.2 Central Simple Algebras

Quaternion algebras are examples of particularly nice algebras, the central simple algebras.

**Definition.** A k-algebra A is central if the centre

$$Z(A) := \{ z \in A : zx = xz \text{ for all } x \in A \}$$

is equal to k (a priori, we have  $k \subseteq Z(A)$ ).

**Definition.** A k-algebra A is simple if every k-algebra homomorphism  $A \to A'$  to another k-algebra A' is either injective or a constant. In other words, A is simple if A does not contain any non-zero proper two-sided ideal.

Example 3.15. All division algebras are simple. Thus, a central division algebra D over k is a central simple algebra over k.

Example 3.16.  $M_n(k)$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , is a central simple algebra.

Example 3.17. If K/k is a field extension, then K is a simple k-algebra. However, the centre of K is K itself. Hence, the only field that is also a central simple k-algebra is k itself.

Proposition 3.18. Quaternion algebras are central simple algebras.

*Proof.* Let A denote the quaternion algebra in question. Suppose  $x = x_0 + x_1 \mathbf{i} + x_2 \mathbf{j} + x_3 \mathbf{k} \in Z(A)$ . A quick computation shows that

$$x\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i}x = 2(x_2\mathbf{j} + x_3\mathbf{k})\mathbf{i}$$
,  $x\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{j}x = 2(x_1\mathbf{i} + x_3\mathbf{k})\mathbf{j}$ , and  $x\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}x = 2(x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{j})\mathbf{k}$ .

Since  $x \in Z(A)$ , all of these expressions must be zero, and so we see that  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ . Thus  $x \in k$ . Hence, quaternion algebras are central.

Suppose now that  $\varphi: A \to A'$  is a k-algebra homomorphism that is not injective nor is the zero map. Then  $\varphi(1) = 1$  and so  $k \cap \ker \varphi = \{0\}$ . Let  $x \in \ker \varphi$  be non-zero, which exists as  $\varphi$  is not injective. If  $x \in k$  (i.e. if  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ ), we are done. So suppose one of  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  is non-zero, say WLOG that  $x_1 \neq 0$ . Then, by the previous identities, we have

$$2\varphi((x_1\mathbf{i} + x_3\mathbf{k})\mathbf{j}) = \varphi(x)\varphi(\mathbf{j}) - \varphi(\mathbf{j})\varphi(x) = 0$$

However, **j** is invertible in A, and since  $\varphi$  is assumed non-zero, we see that  $\varphi(x_1\mathbf{i} + x_3\mathbf{k}) = 0$ . However

$$(x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{k})\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{i}(x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{k}) = 2x_1\mathbf{i}^2,$$

and so  $\varphi(2x_1\mathbf{i}^2)=0$ . This is impossible since  $x_1\neq 0$  and so  $2x_2\mathbf{i}^2\in k^*$ .

The following lemma allows us to construct new central simple algebras from old ones.

**Lemma 3.19.** If A and B are central simple finite dimensional k-algebras, then so is  $A \otimes_k B$ .

Example 3.20. If D is a finite dimensional central division algebra over k and  $n \ge 1$ , then  $M_n(D) \cong M_n(k) \otimes_k D$  is a central simple algebra.

In fact, the famous Artin-Wedderburn theorem says that this last example gives us all central simple algebras. We only need Wedderburn's contribution to the Artin-Wedderburn theorem.

**Theorem 3.21** (Wedderburn). Let A be a central simple k-algebra of finite dimension over k. Then there is a unique (up to isomorphism) central division algebra D of finite dimension over k and a unique  $n \ge 1$  such that  $A \cong M_n(D)$ .

For a complete proof, see for instance [OMe73, §52F]

Example 3.22. By Proposition 3.9 and the fact that  $(-1,1)_k \cong M_2(k)$ , we see that we have already shown the Artin-Wedderburn theorem for quaternion algebras: every quaternion algebra is either a division algebra, or is isomorphic to  $M_2(k)$ .

The Artin-Wedderburn theorem allows us to define an equivalence relation on finite-dimensional central simple algebras over k.

**Definition.** Suppose A and B are central simple algebras over k. Then, A and B are said to be (Brauer)-equivalent, written  $A \sim_k B$ , if their corresponding division algebras (guaranteed by the Artin-Wedderburn Theorem) are isomorphic k-algebras. The Brauer class of such an algebra A is the equivalence class of the algebra A under Brauer equivalence; it is written [A].

Brauer equivalence is important for us since Hasse algebras are (finite dimensional) central simple algebras, and it turns out that the Brauer class of the Hasse algebra is very useful invariant of a regular quadratic space.

Example 3.23.  $(1,-1)_k \sim_k k$ .

Example 3.24. In Lemma 3.10, one of the isomorphisms can be rewritten as  $(\alpha, \beta)_k \otimes_k (\alpha, \gamma)_k \sim_k (\alpha, \beta\gamma)_k$ .

Since  $\dim_k M_n(D) = n^2 \dim_k D$ , the following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma 3.25.** If  $A \sim_k A'$  for two central simple k-algebras A and A' such that  $\dim_k A = \dim_k A'$ , then  $A \cong A'$ .

We also have the following.

**Lemma 3.26.** Suppose A, A', B, B' are central simple k-algebras of finite-dimension. If  $A \sim_k A'$  and  $B \sim_k B'$ , then  $A \otimes_k B \sim_k A' \otimes_k B'$ .

**Definition.** For a field k, the Brauer group Br(k) is the set of Brauer classes of finite dimensional central simple algebras over k.

By the previous lemma, it is clear that tensor products of k-algebras yields a commutative associative binary operation on Br(k). Since  $A \otimes_k k \cong A$  for any algebra A, it follows that the Brauer equivalence class of k acts as the identity on Br(k). We have the following non-trivial proposition.

**Proposition 3.27.** For any finite dimensional central simple k-algebra A, the opposite algebra  $A^{op}$  is a finite dimensional central simple k-algebra satisfying  $A \otimes_k A^{op} \cong M_n(k)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

**Corollary 3.27.1.** Br(k) is an abelian group under the tensor product.

Example 3.28. Br( $\mathbb{R}$ )  $\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , generated by the Brauer class of the usual quaternions  $(-1,-1)_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Example 3.29 (Wedderburn's Little Theorem). Br(k) = 0 for k a finite field.

Example 3.30.  $Br(\mathbb{C}) = 0$ .

This justifies our use of the term 'Brauer group' for Br(k). Brauer groups (and their generalisations) play an important role in algebraic geometry and number theory. For us however, we are only interested in the subgroup of the Brauer group generated by the Brauer classes of quaternion algebras, i.e. the Brauer classes of those central simple algebras that are a tensor product of finitely many quaternion algebras.

Notice first that the Brauer class of  $(\alpha, \beta)_k$  has order 2 in Br(k); indeed, we have

$$(\alpha, \beta)_k \otimes_k (\alpha, \beta)_k \sim_k (\alpha, \beta^2)_k \cong (\alpha, 1)_k \cong M_2(k).$$

It turns out we have a kind of converse.

**Theorem 3.31** (Merkurjev-Suslin). The subgroup of the Brauer group Br(k) generated by the Brauer classes of quaternion algebras over k is Br(k)[2], the subgroup of those elements of Br(k) of order 2.

# A Modules and Algebras

### A.1 Modules

Let R be a commutative ring with identity.

**Definition.** An R-module is an abelian group (M, +) equipped with a 'multiplication by R' map

$$\cdot:R\times M\to M$$

such that, for all  $r, s \in R$  and all  $x, y \in M$  we have

- $r \cdot (x+y) = r \cdot x + r \cdot y$ ,
- $\bullet \ (r+s) \cdot x = r \cdot x + s \cdot x,$
- $(rs) \cdot x = r \cdot (s \cdot x)$ , and
- $\bullet \ 1 \cdot x = x.$

Example A.1. Modules over a field are called vector spaces.

Example A.2. All abelian groups are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules.

**Definition.** A submodule of an R-module M is a subgroup  $N \subset M$  such that  $r \cdot n \in N$  for all  $r \in R$  and all  $n \in N$ . Clearly, a submodule is an R-module in its own right.

Given a submodule N of a module M, we can form the quotient of abelian groups M/N. We may equip this quotient group by the following action of R:

$$r \cdot (m+N) = rm + N.$$

One can then check that M/N equipped with this R-action is itself an R-module. Thus we can take quotients of modules.

**Definition.** A homomorphism of R-modules from M to N is a homomorphism of abelian groups  $f: M \to N$  such that f(rx) = rf(x) for all  $x \in M$  and all  $r \in R$ . An isomorphism is a bijective homomorphism.

**Definition.** A module M is *finitely generated* if there exists a finite collection of elements  $x_1, ..., x_n \in M$  such that for any  $x \in M$  there exists  $c_i \in R$  such that

$$x = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n$$
.

A module M is *free* if there exists a collection  $S \subset M$  (possibly infinite) that forms a *basis* for M, i.e. every  $x \in M$  can be written as

$$x = \sum_{y \in S} c_y y$$

for unique choices of  $c_y \in R$ , where  $c_y = 0$  for all but finitely many  $y \in S$ .

Example A.3.  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a finitely generated free module. In fact, every finitely generated free module is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for some n.

**Definition.** Suppose S is any subset of an R-module M. The R-submodule generated by S is defined to be

$$\langle S \rangle := \bigcap_{N \supseteq S} N$$

where N runs through all submodules of M containing S.

Concretely, we have

$$\langle S \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i x_i : k \in \mathbb{N}, r_i \in R, x_i \in S \right\}.$$

### A.2 Algebras

As usual, we let R be a commutative ring. However, we will only need the case where R is a field.

**Definition.** An R-algebra A is an R-module equipped with a binary operation (multiplication)

$$\cdot: A \times A \to A$$

such that, for any  $r, s \in R$  and any  $x, y, z \in A$ , we have

- $\bullet (x+y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z,$
- $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ ,
- $(ax) \cdot (by) = (ab)(x \cdot y)$ .

An R-algebra A is associative if the above binary operation also satisfies  $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$  for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

An R-algebra A is commutative if the above binary operation also satisfies  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

An R-algebra A is unital if there exists an element  $1_A \in A$  such that  $1_A \cdot x = x \cdot 1_A = x$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Example A.4. For any commutative ring R, the matrices  $M_n(R)$  are an associative unital R-algebra.

Example A.5. If S is any ring with R as a subring, then S is a associative R-algebra. If S is commutative and with identity, then S is a commutative associative unital R-algebra. Thus, for instance,  $\mathbb{C}$  is a 2-dimensional commutative associative unital  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra.

For us, most of the algebras tend to be unital and associative.

**Definition.** Given two R-algebras A and B, a homomorphism of R-algebras is a homomorphism of R-modules  $f: A \to B$  further satisfying  $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$ .

Thus, given any rings  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  both containing a commutative ring R, a homomorphism  $S_1 \to S_2$  of rings is a homomorphism of R-algebras if and only if it acts as the identity on R.

### A.3 Tensor Products

We shall define tensor products using a universal property. Again, R is a commutative ring.

**Definition.** Suppose M and N are R-modules. The tensor product of M and N is an R-module  $M \otimes_R N$  equipped with a bilinear map  $\otimes : M \times N \to M \otimes_R N$  satisfying the following universal property: For any R-module T with a bilinear map  $B: M \times N \to T$ , there exists a unique morphism  $f: M \otimes_R N \to T$  of R-modules satisfying

$$B(m,n) = f(m \otimes n)$$

for all  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$ .

$$\begin{array}{c} M\times N \\ -\otimes - \downarrow \\ M\otimes_R N \xrightarrow{B} T \end{array}$$

Due to the universal property, if the tensor product exists it is unique up to unique isomorphism. That it exists can be checked easily via the following construction:

Let X be the free R-module generated by  $M \times N$ . Let Y be the submodule of X generated by all elements of X of the form

$$(m_1 + m_2, n) - (m_1, n) - (m_2, n), \quad (m, n_1 + n_2) - (m, n_1) - (m, n_2), \quad \text{and} \quad (rm, n) - (m, rn).$$

Then one can check that X/Y satisfies the universal property of tensor products, so that  $M \otimes_R N = X/Y$ . Thus, as a set,  $M \otimes_R N$  consists of finite-linear combination of formal symbols  $m \otimes n$ , where the symbol  $-\otimes -$  satisfies

$$(m_1+m_2)\otimes n=m_1\otimes n+m_2\otimes n,\quad m\otimes (n_1+n_2)=m\otimes n_1+m\otimes n_2,\quad \text{ and }\quad r(m\otimes n)=(rm)\otimes n=m\otimes (rn).$$

We have a lot of straightforward properties of tensor products:

**Proposition A.6.** Let R be a commutative ring, and M, N, L are R-modules. Then, we have the following canonical isomorphisms of R-modules:

- 1.  $M \otimes_R R \cong M$ ;
- 2.  $M \otimes_R N \cong N \otimes_R M$ ;
- 3.  $L \otimes_R (M \otimes_R N) \cong (L \otimes_R M) \otimes_R N$ .

4.

It also turns out that the tensor product of finitely generated R-modules is also finitely generated.

Now suppose S is a commutative associative unital R-algebra. In particular, it is an R-module, so that we can form the tensor product  $M \otimes_R S$  with any R-module M. We can equip  $M \otimes_R S$  with an S-action by defining

$$s \cdot (m \otimes t) := m \otimes (st)$$

and then extending linearly. In this way,  $M \otimes_R S$  is an S-module. This process of producing S-modules from R-modules is called *base-change*. One can think of base-change as simply a change in coefficients. For instance, we have

$$M_n(R) \otimes_R S \cong M_n(S)$$
 and  $R^n \otimes_R S \cong S^n$ .

In fact, for us, just these two facts is more than enough.

**Proposition A.7.** Suppose L is an R-module, and M and N are S-modules for a commutative associative unital R-algebra S. We then have the following canonical isomorphism

$$L \otimes_R (M \otimes_S N) \cong (L \otimes_R M) \otimes_S N$$

if M is an S-module then it is also an R-module by simply restricting to the image of R in S.

### References

- [Cas78] John William Scott Cassels. Rational quadratic forms. Courier Dover Publications, 1978.
- [CS13] John Horton Conway and Neil James Alexander Sloane. Sphere packings, lattices and groups. Vol. 290. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Gar14] Paul Garrett. Proof of a Simple Case of the Siegel-Weil Formula. 2014. URL: https://www-users.cse.umn.edu/~garrett/m/v/easy\_siegel\_weil.pdf.
- [Li23] Chao Li. "From sum of two squares to arithmetic Siegel-Weil formulas". In: Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society (2023).
- [OMe73] O. Timothy O'Meara. Introduction to Quadratic Forms. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.