

MTH303: Homework 3

Assigned on Friday 20170203

Ques-1. Let v be a vertex in a connected graph G . Prove that there exists a spanning tree T of G such that the distance of every vertex from v is the same in G and in T .

Ans-1 For each vertex, say u , run the Dijkstra's Algorithm to get the Minimum Distance Spanning tree. This spanning tree will have the same $d(u,v)$ for all vertices v , in G and T .

Ques-2. Given a graph G with distinct edge costs, how many minimum cost spanning trees exist in G ?

Ans-2 let T and T' be the two spanning tree we get. Order e in the increasing order of their weights. ' e ' be the first edge in T and not in T' . T' will contain an another edge e' which is not in T to compensate for ' e '. But $e' > e$ and hence T' will not be min spanning tree

Ques-3 Let T_1 and T_2 are two trees on the same vertex set V such that $d_{T_1}(v) = d_{T_2}(v)$ for all $v \in V$. Show that T_2 can be obtained from T_1 by series of 2-switches with each intermediate graph being a tree.

Ans 3. See below.

Ques-4 For $n \geq 4$, prove that the minimum number of edges in an n -vertex graph with diameter 2 and maximum degree $(n-2)$ is $(2n-4)$.

Ans-4 Consider a vertex v in graph G with degree $n-2$. This implies that there exists a vertex u such that u is not a neighbor of v otherwise v will have degree $n-1$. But it is given that diameter of G is 2. This implies that u is connected to all the neighbors of v otherwise the diameter will be 3 if it is connected to some neighbors of v . Thus, minimum number of edges = edges between v and $N(v)$ + edges between u and $N(v)$ = $n-2+n-2 = 2n-4$

Hence, proved.

Ques-5 Every tree of even order has exactly one spanning subgraph where every vertex has odd degree.

Ans-5 A spanning *subgraph* is a subgraph of a graph consisting of the same vertex set and a subset of the edge set of the graph, which is not necessarily a tree. A spanning *tree* is a spanning subgraph that is also a tree.

Anyway, for the question, you can use induction on the number of vertices = $2k$. For $k = 1$, we have 2 vertices, and so there is only one possible tree, which is just two vertices connected by 1 edge -- the graph itself is a spanning subgraph with odd vertices.

Suppose for $k \geq 1$, every tree with $2k$ vertices has a unique spanning subgraph with all odd vertices.

Now since each leaf has degree 1 in the tree, any such spanning subgraph must include all edges incident to leaves.

Consider a tree with $2(k+1)$ vertices. Consider a longest possible path in this tree. Suppose one endpoint of the path is a vertex v , which would have to be a leaf. Suppose u is the vertex adjacent to v in the path.

Case 1: u has another adjacent leaf, call it w .

$T - \{v, w\}$ has a unique such spanning subgraph by our assumption (we assumed this for any tree with $2k$ vertices), so use that spanning subgraph and add edges uv and uw -- the degree of u gains 2, so it stays odd, and v and w only have the 1 incident edge, so their degree will be 1, which is odd, and we have the type of subgraph we need.

Case 2: u has no other adjacent leaf.

Since p is the longest path, this means the degree of u is 2, or else there would be a cycle in the graph. So, we know that $T - \{u, v\}$ has a unique such spanning subgraph by assumption -- add uv to that subgraph and we have the type of subgraph we need ($u + uv + v$ will be a separate component).

So, by induction, this holds for any number of vertices $2n$ for a positive integer n .

Ques-6 Every tree T has a vertex v , such that for all e in $E(T)$, the component of $T-e$ that has v has at least $\text{ceil}(n(T)/2)$ vertices.

Ans-6 We can prove the above problem by induction on number of vertices k of the tree.

Basis Step: For $k=2$, it is true as removing the only edge of the tree will divide the tree into two components containing 1 vertex $= \text{ceil}(2/2)$ each.

Let us assume it is true for a tree with k vertices. Hence there must exist a vertex v for which the above condition holds true.

Inductive Step: Let us add a new vertex u to the tree. Let this new tree be R . Let us add u to the subtree T' of v containing maximum vertices because for all other sub-trees, v will still hold the property. Removing any edge connecting leaf nodes of any subtree would make $n-1$ vertices in the component containing v . Hence, assuming extreme case, we consider removing edges connecting v to T' . Since we are assuming the extreme case, number of vertices in subtree $T-T'$ be $\text{ceil}(k/2)$ as this is the only case when property will be violated for v . In this case, the neighbor of v in the subtree T' , say v' , is the vertex which holds the above property as removing the edge from v to v' will make two components containing $\text{ceil}(k/2)+1$ and $\text{floor}(k/2)$ vertices. For all other vertices, the property will hold true for v' .

Ques-3 Let T_1 and T_2 are two trees on the same vertex set V such that $d_{T_1}(v) = d_{T_2}(v)$ for all $v \in V$. Show that T_2 can be obtained from T_1 by series of 2-switches with each intermediate graph being a tree.

Answer: We will proceed by induction on $|V| = n$.

Base Case: $n = 2$. There is only one tree in this case, so the result holds trivially.

Inductive Step: Suppose the result holds for all trees on vertex sets of ~~size~~ order $< n$ ($n \geq 3$), and suppose $|V| = n$.

Since T_1, T_2 are trees, T_1 has a leaf, say u , i.e. ~~$d_{T_1}(u) = 1$~~ $d_{T_1}(u) = 1$.

Hence, ~~$d_{T_2}(u) = 1$~~ $d_{T_2}(u) = 1$ also, i.e. u is a leaf in T_2 also.

Let ~~$u w_1$~~ $u w_1$ and $u w_2$ be the edges incident with u in T_1 and T_2 respectively.

Case 1 : $w_1 = w_2$.

In this case, the trees $T_1' = T_1 - u$ and $T_2' = T_2 - u$ ~~are~~ have the same vertex set, and $d_{T_1'}(v) = d_{T_2'}(v)$ for all the remaining vertices. Since the ~~size~~ ^{order} of the vertex set is now $(n-1)$, by the IH, T_1' can be transformed into T_2' by a series of

(PTO)

2-switches. Re-attaching the vertex u , we see that T_1 can be transformed into T_2 by a series of 2-switches.

Case 2:- $w_1 \neq w_2$.

w.o.l.o.g., $d(w_1) \geq d(w_2)$. Since u is a leaf in both T_1 and T_2 , we see that uw_1 is not an edge in T_2 and uw_2 is not an edge in T_2 .

Now, $d_{T_2}(w_1) \geq d_{T_2}(w_2)$, and anyway w_2 is adjacent to u in T_2 , while w_1 is not adjacent to u in T_2 . Hence, \exists a vertex w_3 s.t. ~~uw_2~~ w_1w_3 is an edge in T_2 but w_2w_3 is not an edge in T_2 .

Hence, we have a 2-switch uw_2 and w_1w_3 to

uw_1 and w_2w_3 , transforming T_2 to a tree T_3 , without changing the degrees of any vertex, i.e. $d_{T_3}(v) = d_{T_2}(v) = d_{T_1}(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

Now, looking at T_3 and T_1 , we see that they fall ~~into~~ under case 1. Hence, T_1 can be transformed into T_3 by a series of 2-switches.

By the transitivity and symmetry of transforming by 2-switches, we get that T_1 can be transformed into T_2 by a series of 2-switches.