Proof of Proposition 224 (Theoday 20170131)

Defn: A rooted tree T(N) is a tree with a specified vertex x denoted as the nort of T.

An orientation of a nooted tree with a to in which every vertex but the tracker has nidegree I is called a branching, which has ni-degree O.
There is an evident by critish between x-trees and x- branchings.

Proof: We show that the number of labelled branchings on new vertices is not. Cayley's formula the follows directly, because each labelled tree on neutrices gives rise to ne labelled branchings, one for each choice of the root vertex.

Concider, first, the number of ways in which a labelled branching can be built up, one edge at a time, starting with the empty graph. In order to end up with a branching, the subgraph constructed at each stage must be a branching forest.

Initially, there are n components, each consisting of an inolated vertex. At each stage, the no. of components decreases by one. At each stage, the no. If there are k components, the number of choices for a new edge (u, v) is n (k-1):—any one of the n vertices may play the role of u, but we has to be the root of one of the (k-1) components which do not entain h.

Prop. 24 (continued)

Hence, the total number of ways to construct a branching following this approach $n:-\frac{n-1}{1}$ n(n-i)=n (n-i)!

OTOH, any individual branching on no vertices could have been constructed eseactly (n-1)! times by this procedure; once for each of the orders in which it (n-1) edges are selected.

on n verticer is $\frac{n-1}{(n-1)!}$

at the start.

7 le