

For Tuesday 20150203

①

Proof of Hall's Theorem (Thm. 2):-

Necessity is obvious; if for some k ~~sets~~ sets
 $|A_{i_1} \cup A_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_k}| < k$, then we cannot
find k distinct elements a_1, \dots, a_k s.t.
 $a_j \in A_{i_j}, j=1, 2, \dots, k$.

Sufficiency: By induction on the no. of sets in
the family $S = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$

Base Case: $n=1$ ~~is~~ obvious, $|A_1| \geq 1$, hence there is an
SDR

Inductive Step: Suppose the result holds for ~~for~~ all
families with $k < n$ sets ($k \geq 1$), and
consider $S = \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$, for which Hall's Condition holds.

Case 1: Suppose that for all $k, 1 \leq k < n$,

$$|A_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_k}| \geq k+1, \text{ for any choice of the } A_{i_j}.$$

Now, $|A_1| \geq 1$, so select $x_1 \in A_1$.

Put $B_i = A_i - \{x_1\}$ for $i=2, \dots, n$, so

that $S' = \{B_2, \dots, B_n\}$ is a family of $(n-1)$ ~~on~~
sets. ~~For any~~ For any $k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$

$$|B_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{i_k}| = |A_{i_1} - \{x_1\} \cup A_{i_2} - \{x_1\} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_k} - \{x_1\}|$$

$$= |A_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_k}| - |\{x_1\}|$$

$$\geq (k+1) - 1 = k.$$

Hence, the family S' satisfies Hall's Condition, and


so by IH, S' has an SDR $= \{x_2, \dots, x_n\}$.

Since $B_i \subseteq A_i$ for $i=2, \dots, n$ and $x_1 \notin B_i$ for any i ,

$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is an SDR for S .

Hall's Theorem (cont'd)

(2)

Case 2: For some k , $1 \leq k < n$, \exists sets $A_{i_1}, A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_k} \in S$
 s.t. $|A_{i_1} \cup A_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_k}| = k$ (1) 

For simplicity of indexing we shall assume that these are the sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k .

Consider the family $S_1 = \{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$. Since $k < n$ and S_1 satisfies Hall's Condition, S_1 has an SDR $= \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$.

Put $B_j = A_j - \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i$ for $j = k+1, \dots, n$.

and consider the family $S_2 = \{B_{k+1}, \dots, B_n\}$.

Now for any r indices, $1 \leq r \leq n-k$, $k+1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$,

we have $|B_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{i_r}| = |B_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{i_r} \cup (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k)| - k = k$

$$= |B_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup B_{i_r} \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k| - k, \text{ since } A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k \text{ is disjoint from all the } B_{i_r}$$

$$= |A_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_r} \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k| - k$$

$$\geq (r+k) - k, \text{ since the } A_{i_r} \text{ satisfy Hall's condition.}$$

$$= r$$

Hence, the family S_2 satisfies Hall's Condition and \therefore

by IH, has an SDR, say $\{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$.

Since, $x_j \in B_j \subseteq A_j$ for each $j = k+1, \dots, n$ and

the B_{j_2} are disjoint from A_1, \dots, A_k , we

get $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ as an SDR for S ,

as desired.