Kuragoa Againval 1'2018113012 QI), A +BC - AB+C 52 ( 0, if V < 5 x 103 m/s. if Mis land what is the rate at 300k.
How long to from I mole of C? SOLD ASSUMPTIONS! i) all started with equal con? i.e [A] = [B] 2 [C] = X i) K2 P( GNA [ SRT ) e Fa/kg T. 2 1/2 RT. 2 1 2 1 (5000) 2 8-314x 300 Cilled the con the sis acres of the state of the 2 5.01, 11 3-5.01 K2 NAT BKT X C -5.01 -5.01 -5.01 -5.01 -5.01 -5.01 -5.01 -5.01 -5.01 -5.01 $\frac{26.6 \times 10^{-3} \times 1.8 \times 10^{9}}{26.6 \times 10^{-3} \times 1.8 \times 10^{9}}$ A BC AB + C +20 X(HU) X(HU) XU XU XU de 2 k (4-n) 2 x 2

1 de 2 2 Xo2 J kdt taking (C) 2 0.001 ( W/2 Im 3) (1-1-00x) x k. 1200 X - 1) X 10 7 10 4  $\frac{\chi}{2}$   $\frac{0.5}{22.4}$   $\frac{20.022}{22.4}$ 4 2 U.6 × 10 -65

- (12) Differences b/w Stochastic & Debominietic.
- seachers, ODEs have been used thousally seachers, ODEs have been used thousally but this deterministic too is solution is made possible after cereral simplications. In contrast, stochasticity of masters optimed by discrete chemical masters equation (Cont.). Therefore, the CHE is equation (Cont.). Therefore, eyetons the grant applied to mesoscopic systems whose random your waters are significent whose random your waters.

inthe thermody ranic limit, deterministic stable gived pants consespond well in large systems. But this is discrepancies are characterized systems. The clisareparcies are characterized back to the order systematically traced back to the magnitude of stoichiometric coefficients and to magnitude of stoichiometric reactions. Therefore the presence by non-unear reactions. Therefore the presence by the stochastic one, statisfy in cases almit of the stochastic one, statisfy in cases where the open stable consults of the two formalism give startley solutions, the two formalism give startley different results for simple small models.

The gize of the system is a import out parameter.

For anal systems, who stochastic model produces
or bimodal stationary diartibution with peaks
near the ODE solutions.

deterministic approach gives only a continuous function of the whoreas the abolistic continuous function of the whoreas the abolistic approach uses a discrete roadon voirable which allows us to capture the vorince, only who are approach is applied of allowing with anges in previous aspects of physico-chanical applicable to several aspects of physico-chanical nature associated with adsorption at surfaces interfaces and to the behaviour of celloidal periodes (i.e., dispersed particles in the roage to have to polymous (synthetic to belogical, including enry matic ocasions) and to celloids and surfaces.

All deviators of deleasementation model from the stochastic one can be seen as the model promittee of the ODE from altern. Gillespre states: "the statestic approach is always valid where the detrominance of approach is valid and is sometimes the detrominance on is not!" valid era when the determination are is not! Valid era when the determination are is not! But one must note that our too is based on some simplifying assumptions. I wondow, homograps one simplifying assumptions of reactants.

References

> Stochastic Approvach to Reactor and Physics of Chemical Kindrics (E. A Boucher)

Chemical Kindrics (E. A Boucher)

2) A Comparison of Deterministic and System hodeling Approvacies for Biochanical Reactor System (source)

(sayura K. Hahl and Andreas Kooming)

(sayura K. Hahl and Andreas Kooming)

3) On Differences between Deterministic and

Starastic Modelley Chemical Reactors:

(Michael Nysides ara 4. N. Kaznessis)

Kushagra Agarwal 2018 113012 (3)  $H_2 + I_2 \rightleftharpoons 2HI \quad k_1 = 10^{14} \stackrel{-165 k3/mo}{RF}$ k-1, 106 - 1828/2/2013. dird K for a mixture of M2, I2 XHI and 72300 k 2 2000 k. 801) K = k1/K-1 .: K2 10 P e -165 kg/RT 1018 e-185 kJ/RT ( coithout ony unt for T=300 K 30,369:32258 K= 3.03693 × 10 72 2000 K

H202 + 2H++ 2B0 -> 2H20 How does in Oceasing vonio strength affect the rate? what strength will cause a 25% sharge. Agamual 2018113012 Reactents are circled in [] and products in O. from the seacher mechanism: ZA2+1. -2(0.509) (I now if. In then JIT : -2(0.509)JII invelosing ionic strength reduces the rate of the reaction. for a 25% charge (develage) ·0.75 x Ko = Ko e m(3/4)2,-2(0.509) VI I @ . [h(4/3) x 1.018] J > 0.07986 or 7.986 %