

# **Chapter 9: Object-Based Databases**

#### **Database System Concepts**

©Silberschatz, Korth and Sudarshan See www.db-book.com for conditions on re-use





## **Chapter 9: Object-Based Databases**

- Complex Data Types and Object Orientation
- Structured Data Types and Inheritance in SQL
- Table Inheritance
- Array and Multiset Types in SQL
- Object Identity and Reference Types in SQL
- Implementing O-R Features
- Persistent Programming Languages
- Comparison of Object-Oriented and Object-Relational Databases





## **Object-Relational Data Models**

- Extend the relational data model by including object orientation and constructs to deal with added data types.
- Allow attributes of tuples to have complex types, including non-atomic values such as nested relations.
- Preserve relational foundations, in particular the declarative access to data, while extending modeling power.
- Upward compatibility with existing relational languages.





# **Complex Data Types**

#### Motivation:

- Permit non-atomic domains (atomic = indivisible)
- Example of non-atomic domain: set of integers, or set of tuples
- Allows more intuitive modeling for applications with complex data
- Intuitive definition:
  - allow relations whenever we allow atomic (scalar) values
     relations within relations
  - Retains mathematical foundation of relational model
  - Violates first normal form.





## **Example of a Nested Relation**

- Example: library information system
- Each book has
  - title,
  - a set of authors,
  - Publisher, and
  - a set of keywords
- Non-1NF relation books

| title     | author-set     | publisher               | keyword-set         |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
|           |                | (name, branch)          | The gree or to see  |
| Compilers | {Smith, Jones} | (McGraw-Hill, New York) | {parsing, analysis} |
| Networks  | {Jones, Frick} | (Oxford, London)        | {Internet, Web}     |





# **4NF Decomposition of Nested Relation**

- Remove awkwardness of flat-books by assuming that the following multivalued dependencies hold:
  - title → author
  - title → keyword
  - title → pub-name, pub-branch
- Decompose flat-doc into 4NF using the schemas:
  - (title, author)
  - (title, keyword)
  - (title, pub-name, pub-branch)





# 4NF Decomposition of flat-books

| title     | author |  |  |
|-----------|--------|--|--|
| Compilers | Smith  |  |  |
| Compilers | Jones  |  |  |
| Networks  | Jones  |  |  |
| Networks  | Frick  |  |  |
| authors   |        |  |  |

| title     | keyword  |  |  |  |
|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| Compilers | parsing  |  |  |  |
| Compilers | analysis |  |  |  |
| Networks  | Internet |  |  |  |
| Networks  | Web      |  |  |  |
| keywords  |          |  |  |  |

| title                 | риb-пате              | pub-branch         |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Compilers<br>Networks | McGraw-Hill<br>Oxford | New York<br>London |  |  |  |  |
| books4                |                       |                    |  |  |  |  |





### **Problems with 4NF Schema**

- 4NF design requires users to include joins in their queries.
- 1NF relational view flat-books defined by join of 4NF relations:
  - eliminates the need for users to perform joins,
  - but loses the one-to-one correspondence between tuples and documents.
  - And has a large amount of redundancy
- Nested relations representation is much more natural here.





# **Complex Types and SQL:1999**

- Extensions to SQL to support complex types include:
  - Collection and large object types
    - Nested relations are an example of collection types
  - Structured types
    - Nested record structures like composite attributes
  - Inheritance
  - Object orientation
    - Including object identifiers and references
- Our description is mainly based on the SQL:1999 standard
  - Not fully implemented in any database system currently
  - But some features are present in each of the major commercial database systems
    - Read the manual of your database system to see what it supports





# Structured Types and Inheritance in SQL

Structured types can be declared and used in SQL

```
create type Name as

(firstname varchar(20),
lastname varchar(20))
final

create type Address as
(street varchar(20),
city varchar(20),
zipcode varchar(20))
not final
```

- Note: final and not final indicate whether subtypes can be created
- Structured types can be used to create tables with composite attributes create table customer ( name Name, address Address, dateOfBirth date)
- Dot notation used to reference components: name.firstname





## **Structured Types (cont.)**

User-defined row types
create type CustomerType as (
name Name,
address Address,
dateOfBirth date)
not final

Can then create a table whose rows are a user-defined type create table customer of CustomerType





### **Methods**

Can add a method declaration with a structured type.
method ageOnDate (onDate date)
returns interval year

```
Method body is given separately.
create instance method ageOnDate (onDate date)
returns interval year
for CustomerType
begin
return onDate - self.dateOfBirth;
end
```

We can now find the age of each customer: select name.lastname, ageOnDate (current\_date) from customer





#### **Inheritance**

Suppose that we have the following type definition for people:

```
(name varchar(20), address varchar(20))
```

Using inheritance to define the student and teacher types create type Student under Person

```
(degree varchar(20),
department varchar(20))
create type Teacher
under Person
(salary integer,
department varchar(20))
```

Subtypes can redefine methods by using overriding method in place of method in the method declaration



### Multiple Inheritance

- SQL:1999 and SQL:2003 do not support multiple inheritance
- If our type system supports multiple inheritance, we can define a type for teaching assistant as follows:

create type Teaching Assistant under Student, Teacher

To avoid a conflict between the two occurrences of department we can rename them

create type Teaching Assistant under Student with (department as student\_dept), Teacher with (department as teacher\_dept)





### **Consistency Requirements for Subtables**

- Consistency requirements on subtables and supertables.
  - Each tuple of the supertable (e.g. people) can correspond to at most one tuple in each of the subtables (e.g. students and teachers)
  - Additional constraint in SQL:1999:
    - All tuples corresponding to each other (that is, with the same values for inherited attributes) must be derived from one tuple (inserted into one table).
      - That is, each entity must have a most specific type
      - We cannot have a tuple in *people* corresponding to a tuple each in *students* and *teachers*





# **Array and Multiset Types in SQL**

Example of array and multiset declaration:

```
create type Publisher as
  (name
               varchar(20),
                varchar(20))
   branch
create type Book as
  (title
          varchar(20),
   author-array varchar(20) array [10],
   pub-date date,
   publisher Publisher,
   keyword-set varchar(20) multiset )
```

create table books of Book

Similar to the nested relation books, but with array of authors instead of set





#### **Creation of Collection Values**

- Array construction array ['Silberschatz', `Korth', `Sudarshan']
- Multisets
  - multisetset ['computer', 'database', 'SQL']
- To create a tuple of the type defined by the *books* relation: ('Compilers', **array**[`Smith',`Jones'],

  \*\*Publisher (`McGraw-Hill',`New York'),

  \*\*multiset [`parsing',`analysis'])
- To insert the preceding tuple into the relation books





# **Querying Collection-Valued Attributes**

To find all books that have the word "database" as a keyword,

```
select title
from books
where 'database' in (unnest(keyword-set ))
```

- We can access individual elements of an array by using indices
  - E.g.: If we know that a particular book has three authors, we could write:
     select author-array[1], author-array[2], author-array[3]
     from books
     where title = `Database System Concepts'
- To get a relation containing pairs of the form "title, author-name" for each book and each author of the book

```
select B.title, A.author from books as B, unnest (B.author-array) as A (author)
```

■ To retain ordering information we add a with ordinality clause select B.title, A.author, A.position from books as B, unnest (B.author-array) with ordinality as A (author, position)





## **Unnesting**

- The transformation of a nested relation into a form with fewer (or no) relation-valued attributes us called unnesting.
- E.g.

```
select title, A as author, publisher.name as pub_name, publisher.branch as pub branch, K.keyword
```

```
from books as B, unnest(B.author_array) as A (author),
    unnest (B.keyword_set) as K (keyword)
```





# **Nesting**

- Nesting is the opposite of unnesting, creating a collection-valued attribute
- NOTE: SQL:1999 does not support nesting
- Nesting can be done in a manner similar to aggregation, but using the function colect() in place of an aggregation operation, to create a multiset
- To nest the *flat-books* relation on the attribute *keyword*:

To nest on both authors and keywords:

```
select title, collect (author) as author_set,
Publisher (pub_name, pub_branch) as publisher,
collect (keyword) as keyword_set
from flat-books
group by title, publisher
```





### **1NF Version of Nested Relation**

#### 1NF version of books

| title     | author | риb-пате    | pub-branch | keyword  |
|-----------|--------|-------------|------------|----------|
| Compilers | Smith  | McGraw-Hill | New York   | parsing  |
| Compilers | Jones  | McGraw-Hill | New York   | parsing  |
| Compilers | Smith  | McGraw-Hill | New York   | analysis |
| Compilers | Jones  | McGraw-Hill | New York   | analysis |
| Networks  | Jones  | Oxford      | London     | Internet |
| Networks  | Frick  | Oxford      | London     | Internet |
| Networks  | Jones  | Oxford      | London     | Web      |
| Networks  | Frick  | Oxford      | London     | Web      |

flat-books





# **Nesting (Cont.)**

Another approach to creating nested relations is to use subqueries in the select clause.

```
select title,
    array ( select author
    from authors as A
    where A.title = B.title
    order by A.position) as author_array,
    Publisher (pub-name, pub-branch) as publisher,
    multiset (select keyword
    from keywords as K
    where K.title = B.title) as keyword_set
from books4 as B
```



# **Object-Identity and Reference Types**

Define a type Department with a field name and a field head which is a reference to the type Person, with table people as scope:

```
create type Department (
name varchar (20),
head ref (Person) scope people)
```

- We can then create a table departments as follows create table departments of Department
- We can omit the declaration scope people from the type declaration and instead make an addition to the create table statement:
  create table departments of Department
  (head with options scope people)



# **Initializing Reference-Typed Values**

To create a tuple with a reference value, we can first create the tuple with a null reference and then set the reference separately:



#### **User Generated Identifiers**

- The type of the object-identifier must be specified as part of the type definition of the referenced table, and
- The table definition must specify that the reference is user generated

```
create type Person
(name varchar(20)
address varchar(20))
ref using varchar(20)
create table people of Person
ref is person_id user generated
```

When creating a tuple, we must provide a unique value for the identifier:

```
insert into people (person_id, name, address) values ('01284567', 'John', `23 Coyote Run')
```

- We can then use the identifier value when inserting a tuple into departments
  - Avoids need for a separate query to retrieve the identifier:

```
insert into departments
values(`CS', `02184567')
```





## **User Generated Identifiers (Cont.)**

Can use an existing primary key value as the identifier:

```
create type Person
(name varchar (20) primary key,
address varchar(20))
ref from (name)
create table people of Person
ref is person_id derived
```

When inserting a tuple for departments, we can then use

```
insert into departments
  values(`CS',`John')
```





## Path Expressions

- Find the names and addresses of the heads of all departments:
  - **select** *head* -> *name*, *head* -> *address* **from** *departments*
- An expression such as "head->name" is called a path expression
- Path expressions help avoid explicit joins
  - If department head were not a reference, a join of departments with people would be required to get at the address
  - Makes expressing the query much easier for the user





## Implementing O-R Features

- Similar to how E-R features are mapped onto relation schemas
- Subtable implementation
  - Each table stores primary key and those attributes defined in that table

or,

Each table stores both locally defined and inherited attributes





# Persistent Programming Languages

- Languages extended with constructs to handle persistent data
- Programmer can manipulate persistent data directly
  - no need to fetch it into memory and store it back to disk (unlike embedded SQL)
- Persistent objects:
  - by class explicit declaration of persistence
  - by creation special syntax to create persistent objects
  - by marking make objects persistent after creation
  - by reachability object is persistent if it is declared explicitly to be so or is reachable from a persistent object





## **Object Identity and Pointers**

- Degrees of permanence of object identity
  - Intraprocedure: only during execution of a single procedure
  - Intraprogram: only during execution of a single program or query
  - Interprogram: across program executions, but not if data-storage format on disk changes
  - Persistent: interprogram, plus persistent across data reorganizations
- Persistent versions of C++ and Java have been implemented
  - C++
    - DDMG C++
    - ObjectStore
  - Java
    - Java Database Objects (JDO)





# Comparison of O-O and O-R Databases

#### Relational systems

simple data types, powerful query languages, high protection.

#### Persistent-programming-language-based OODBs

 complex data types, integration with programming language, high performance.

#### Object-relational systems

- complex data types, powerful query languages, high protection.
- Note: Many real systems blur these boundaries
  - E.g. persistent programming language built as a wrapper on a relational database offers first two benefits, but may have poor performance.





# **End of Chapter**

#### **Database System Concepts**

©Silberschatz, Korth and Sudarshan See www.db-book.com for conditions on re-use

