CAP 6307 Text Mining I

Fall 2021

Homework 3

Lecturer: Dr. Fei Liu Due: Thursday 11/11 11:59PM EST

3.1 Summarization Evaluation

The goal of this assignment is for you to gain familiarity with text summarization evaluation toolkit named ROUGE. Automatic text summarization aims to generate a concise textual summary from a collection of documents. It has broad applications in areas such as question answering, search engine, and text analytics.

In this assignment, you are provided with two sets of summaries: Human_Summaries contain the goldstandard summaries created by highly qualified human annotators. System_Summaries contain a set of folders. Each folder is named by a state-of-the-art summarization system. You will have the opportunity to learn more about these systems in the following paper.

http://www.lrec-conf.org/proceedings/lrec2014/pdf/1093_Paper.pdf

The input document collection contains 50 topics (indexed from D30001 to D31050). Each topic is associated with 10 news articles collected from major news agencies. An automatic summarization system will generate a summary of 100 words or less (about 5 sentences) for each topic using the 10 news documents as input. The original documents are not provided to you for the purpose of this assignment. For evaluation, each system summary is compared against four human summaries created for the same topic. The human annotators are coded in the last character of the file name (A to H).

3.1.1 ROUGE Toolkit

Your task will be to generate evaluation scores for each text summarization system. Specifically, you will report ROUGE-1, ROUGE-2, and ROUGE-L scores produced by ROUGE. ROUGE was originally developed by Chin-Yew Lin, a researcher at Microsoft Research. The original implementation is based on Perl. But it's often easier to work with its Python wrapper since the interface is simple.

https://pypi.org/project/pyrouge/ http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/people/cyl/was2004.pdf

Chin-Yew Lin. ROUGE: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In Proceedings of the ACL Workshop on Text Summarization Branches Out. 2004.

ROUGE as an evaluation tool measures n-gram overlap between system and human summaries. There are multiple variants. ROUGE-1, ROUGE-2, and ROUGE-L ("rougeL") are the most commonly used metrics. They respectively measure the shared unigrams (single words), bigrams (two consecutive words), and longest common subsequence (LCS) between system and human summaries. The higher the score, the better the system. ROUGE-2 has traditionally been believed to correlate well with human judgments on news document summarization evaluation. Nowadays it is a typical practice to report all three metrics together.

3-2 Homework 3

You should be able to download the ROUGE toolkit from the above link and run it without change. Some of the ROUGE options (-e RELEASE-1.5.5/data -n 4 -m -2 4 -u -c 95 -r 1000 -f A -p 0.5 -t 0 -a -1 100) and explanations are provided below in case you are interested.

Please submit: (1) A report named report_firstname_lastname.pdf. In the report, fill in the ROUGE-1, ROUGE-2, and ROUGE-L scores for each of the five summarization systems using the table provided below. You should also describe your experimental setup, including but not limited to the programming language, preprocessing steps, running time, etc. (2) source code of your implementation in a zipped file.

	ROUGE-1			ROUGE-2			ROUGE-L		
System	P (%)	R (%)	F (%)	P (%)	R (%)	F (%)	P (%)	R (%)	F (%)
CENTROID	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX
DPP	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx	XX.XX	xx.xx
ICSISUMM	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx
LEXRANK	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx
Submodular	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx	XX.XX	XX.XX	XX.XX	XX.XX	xx.xx

Table 3.1: Summarization results evaluated by ROUGE (%).

[&]quot;-n 4" compute Rouge-n up to max-ngram

[&]quot;-e" specify the data folder comes with ROUGE

[&]quot;-m" use stemming

[&]quot;-2 -4 -u" use unigram and skip-bigram with distance up to 4 (aka ROUGE-SU4)

[&]quot;-1 100" use first 100 words of summary for evaluation

[&]quot;-c 95" confidence level