#### **Heuristic Analysis**

Heuristic score evaluates the board position and assigns a score. The higher the score the better it is for the AI agent, if the score is lower it is better for the opponent. Each level of the tree is called a "ply", in this analysis of the game tree we cut off at a certain maximum depth.

#### **Analysis:**

Custom functions used for heuristic analysis are the following:

AB\_Custom: The score is based on AI agents moves - Opponent's move

AB\_Custom2: The score is based on AI agents moves - 3\*Opponents move AB\_Custom3: The score is based on AI agents available moves.

I have experimented with different match sizes to analyze timeout and performance of heuristic functions.

<b>-</b>	~	17										
	*******											
	Playing Matches ************************************											
N	Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved Won   Lost	AB_Custom Won   Lost	AB_Custom_2 Won   Lost	AB_Custom_3 Won   Lost						
	1	Random	77   3	73   7	73   7	72   8						
	2	MM_Open	59   21	59   21	64 I 16	53   27						
	3	MM_Center	69   11	71   9	64 I 16	67   13						
	4	MM_Improved	56   24	57   23	60   20	57   23						
	5	AB_Open	39   41	44   36	39 I 41	33   47						
	6	AB_Center	47 I 33	45   35	45 I 35	43   37						
	7	AB_Improved	42   38	43   37	40   40	35 I 45						
	Win Rate: 69.5%		70.0%	68.8%	64.3%							

There were 21.0 timeouts during the tournament -- make sure your agent handles search timeout

# of matches played : 40

### \*\*\*\*\*\*

### 

Match #	<b>Opponent</b>	AB_Improved	AB_Custom	AB_Custom_2	AB_Custom_3			
		Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost	Won   Lost			
1	Random	36   4	38   2	39   1	37 I 3			
2	MM_Open	29   11	26   14	29   11	30   10			
□ 3	MM_Center	38   2	35   5	35 I 5	35 I 5			
4	MM_Improved	34   6	27 I 13	29   11	28   12			
5	AB_Open	21   19	21   19	23   17	20   20			
6	AB_Center	25   15	21   19	28   12	24   16			
7	AB_Improved	24   16	21   19	20   20	20   20			
	Win Rate:	73.9%	67.5%	72.5%	69.3%			

There were 1.0 timeouts during the tournament -- make sure your agent handles search

# of matches played: 20

## 

	Match #	<b>Opponent</b>	AB_Improved			AB_Custom			AB_C	ust	om_2	AB_Custom_3			
			Won	-	Lost	Won	1	Lost	Won	-	Lost	Won	1	Lost	
	1	Random	17	1	3	20	1	0	17	1	3	17	1	3	
	2	MM_Open	14	I	6	15	1	5	17	I	3	17	1	3	
_	3	MM_Center	16	-	4	17	I	3	20	1	0	18	1	2	
	4	MM_Improved	14	-	6	14	1	6	12	1	8	10	1	10	
	5	AB_Open	9	1	11	11	1	9	9	1	11	8	1	12	
	6	AB_Center	12	1	8	6	1	14	13	1	7	8	1	12	
	7	AB_Improved	9	I	11	9	1	11	11	I	9	10	1	10	
		Win Rate:	65.0%		65.7%			70.7%			62.9%				

There were 1.0 timeouts during the tournament -- make sure your agent handles search # of matches played: 10

# 

Match # Opponent		AB_In	oved Lost	AB_ Won		tom Lost	AB_C		om_2 Lost	AB_Custom_3 Won   Lost			
1	Random	10	i	0	10	i	0	9	i	1	9	i	1
2	MM_Open	9	1	1	7	1	3	7	1	3	7	1	3
3	MM_Center	8	1	2	8	1	2	8	1	2	9	1	1
4	MM_Improved	8	1	2	9	1	1	7	1	3	7	1	3
5	AB_Open	4	1	6	5	1	5	5	1	5	5	1	5
6	AB_Center	6	1	4	4	1	6	8	1	2	4	I	6
7	AB_Improved	5	I	5	5	1	5	5	1	5	4	١	6
	Win Rate:	71.4%		68.6%		7(	0.0	 %	64.3%				

#### # of matches played : 5

The best score is AB\_Custom2, it is the same as AB\_Custom but with a fundamental difference of a factor of 3 multiplied to the opponent's move. When a multiplication factor of 3 is attached to the opponent's move what it means is that, opponent now has 3 times more moves than it really does, thus the AI agent has to choose the right moves to improve the score. The only time it was below AB\_Custom was when number of matches played were 40, but I strongly believe it was because of the timeouts.

The next best score is AB\_Custom, the reason being it gives the maximum score when AI agents have more possible moves than the opponent and minimum score when opponent's has more possible moves than the AI agent. This heuristic analysis is called "Improved Score"

Finally, the worst is AB\_Custom3, here only AI agents available moves are considered and none of opponent's moves are taken into account, thus the AI agent is has a higher probability of a bad move and reducing the score.

#### Conclusion:

From the above 3 analysis it is clear to use <u>AB\_Custom2</u> since it the best winning rate, can there be a optimum weighting factor?

Addendum: (02/27/2018)

		***	***	*****	****	***	****	*					
Playing Matches													
Match #	Match # Opponent AB_Improved			oved	AB_	Cus	tom	AB_C	ust	om_2	AB_Custom_3		
	Won   Lost			Won	1	Lost	Won		Lost	Won   Lost			
1	Random	9	1	1	9	1	1	10	1	0	8	1	2
2	MM_Open	7	1	3	9	ı	1	7	1	3	8	1	2
3	MM_Center	8	1	2	9	ı	1	6	1	4	10	1	0
4	MM_Improved	7	1	3	7	1	3	8	1	2	5	1	5
5	AB_Open	4	1	6	5	I	5	5	1	5	5	I	5
6	AB_Center	4	1	6	6	I	4	6	1	4	7	I	3
7	AB_Improved	5	I	5	6	I	4	7	I	3	6	I	4
Win Rate: 62.9%						2.9	1%	7	0.0	%	7	0.0	6

There were 10.0 timeouts during the tournament -- make sure your agent handles search timeout

#### **Recommendations:**

#### AB\_Custom1:

Used "center based" heuristic calculation.

Agent gets high score if the legal move is close to the center of the board, as it moves further from the center, it earns less score. Similarly, the same process is followed for the opponent but push the opponent more towards the edge.

This is performing a little better than AB\_Custom2, that is because maximizing the number of legal moves of the agent closer to the center of the board will have more legal moves than the opponent.

#### AB\_Custom2:

Used "improved score" heuristic calculation.

This heuristic function calculates the difference of agent's move and opponent's move with the opponent's move multiplied by a factor of 3.

#### AB\_Custom3:

Used "manhattan distance" for heuristic calculation.

The more moves the agent has from the evaluated position, it is positive of the agent, if the agent's move and opponent's move are not the same then the function just returns the difference of the agent's move and opponent's move. However, not all starting position between the agent and the opponent are the same, if the agent's position is near the center it has far more likely that the agent will do better than the opponent whose available moves are at the edges.