

University of Warsaw

Faculty of Psychology

Kamil Tomaszek

Record book number: 432044

**Middle Polish Dependency Treebank
in Universal Dependencies format:
Design, Implementation, and Analysis**

**Master's thesis
in COGNITIVE SCIENCE**

The thesis was written under the supervision of

Dr. Alina Wróblewska

Institute of Computer Science

Polish Academy of Sciences

Dr. Grzegorz Krajewski

University of Warsaw

Warsaw, September 2025

Summary

This thesis presents a rule-based approach to converting the Middle Polish Dependency Treebank (MPDT), annotated in a Polish-specific scheme, into the Universal Dependencies (UD) format. After introducing the project motivation, data sources, and target standard, the thesis outlines general design assumptions behind the conversion, the mapping strategy, and the validation workflow. It reports overall outcomes of the conversion and sketches applications and extensions, including releasing MPDT-UD and implications for research in historical language processing within cognitive science.

Keywords

Middle Polish, dependency trees, treebank conversion, Universal Dependencies

The title of the thesis in Polish

Średniopolski Bank Drzew Zależnościowych w formacie Universal Dependencies: projekt, implementacja i analiza

Streszczenie

Praca przedstawia podejście regułowe do konwersji Średniopolskiego Banku Drzew Zależnościowych (MPDT), anotowanego w polskim schemacie, do formatu Universal Dependencies (UD). Po krótkim omówieniu motywacji, danych i standardu docelowego zaprezentowano ogólne założenia projektu, strategię odwzorowań oraz schemat walidacji. Przedstawiono ogólne wyniki konwersji oraz możliwe zastosowania i kierunki rozwoju, w tym udostępnienie MPDT-UD i znaczenie dla badań nad przetwarzaniem języka historycznego w kognitywistyce.

Słowa kluczowe

język średniopolski, drzewa zależnościowe, konwersja korpusu, Universal Dependencies

The title of the thesis in English

Middle Polish Dependency Treebank in Universal Dependencies format: Design, Implementation, and Analysis

Contents

1. Introduction	5
1.1. Motivation	5
1.2. Objectives	5
1.3. Contributions	6
1.4. Structure of the Document	6
2. Background	7
2.1. Dependency Grammar	7
2.2. Universal Dependencies	7
2.3. Resources	7
2.3.1. KorBa	7
2.3.2. MPDT (Middle Polish Dependency Treebank)	7
2.3.3. PDB / PDB-UD (analogy and rule reuse)	7
3. Linguistic Features of Middle Polish	8
3.1. Middle Polish: Key Linguistic Features Relevant to Conversion	8
3.1.1. Orthography and Punctuation	8
3.1.2. Morphology	8
3.1.3. Syntax	8
3.2. Annotation Principles for This Work	8
3.2.1. Scope and Exclusions	8
3.2.2. Tokenization and Normalization	8
3.2.3. Extended POS (ExtPos)	8
3.3. Summary	8
4. Conversion Design and Implementation	9
4.1. Design Overview and Pipeline	9
4.2. POS and Morphological Mapping	9
4.3. Dependency Relation Conversion	9
4.4. Logging, Testing, and Traceability	9
4.5. Processing Workflow	9

5. Validation and Outcomes	10
5.1. Evaluation Data	10
5.2. UD Validation Setup	10
5.3. Results Overview	10
5.4. Qualitative Error Analysis	10
5.5. Known Limitations and Outstanding Issues	10
6. Applications and Cognitive Science Perspective	11
6.1. Usefulness and Audience	11
6.1.1. Who benefits and how	11
6.1.2. Packaging and License	11
6.1.3. Repository and UD ecosystem integration	11
6.2. Use Cases	11
6.2.1. Historical Syntax and Diachrony	11
6.2.2. Parser Training and Evaluation	11
6.3. Cognitive Science Perspective	11
6.3.1. Processing Constraints	11
6.3.2. Category Change Over Time	11
6.4. Future Work	11
6.4.1. Coverage and Phenomena	11
6.4.2. Generalization and Automation	11

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1. Motivation

Natural-language tools and comparative treebank research have standardized around Universal Dependencies (UD), which enables typologically informed analyses and cross-lingual transfer (Nivre et al. 2020). For 17th–18th-century Polish, however, key resources remain outside UD: Middle Polish texts in KorBa (Gruszczyński et al. 2022) and the emerging Middle Polish Dependency Treebank (MPDT) are annotated in a Polish-specific scheme (Wieczorek 2025). This limits their interoperability with UD-based tools and doesn’t allow for straightforward comparative studies with other languages.

From an engineering perspective, a faithful, auditable conversion is non-trivial: historical orthography, abbreviations (**brev**), clitic mobility (*by*, *że*), numeral complexes, and multiword conjunctions/prepositions interact with head rules and label inventories. Prior conversion experience for contemporary Polish (PDB → UD) offers valuable guidance (Wróblewska 2020), yet historical data introduce additional phenomena that require explicit, rule-based handling and transparent traceability.

1.2. Objectives

The thesis pursues the following goals:

- (O1) **Design a UD-oriented conversion strategy for MPDT.** Specify mapping principles that respect Middle Polish specifics while aligning with UD guidelines.
- (O2) **Implement an auditable conversion pipeline.** Provide modular components for morphosyntax mapping and dependency restructuring, with token-level logging.
- (O3) **Ensure UD conformance and evaluability.** Produce output that passes the official UD validator (strict settings) and supports downstream analysis.

- (O4) **Document decisions.** Record non-obvious mapping choices and edge-case policies to enable maintenance and reuse.

1.3. Contributions

This project delivers concrete, reusable artifacts:

- (C1) **A rule-based MPDT \rightarrow UD converter.** A modular pipeline with fine-grained logging, selectively adapting ideas from PDB \rightarrow UD while targeting Middle Polish phenomena. The code will be released in a public repository under an open-source license, together with this paper, which documents the design and implementation.
- (C2) **An initial public release of MPDT-UD.** A subset of MPDT (2018 sentences at the time of writing) converted automatically and validated with the official UD validator on all of the levels.

The intended users include historical linguists needing UD-compatible data and NLP practitioners interested in diachronic Polish or cross-lingual experiments.

1.4. Structure of the Document

- **Chapter 2: Background.** Dependency grammar from first principles; UD design; CoNLL-U and validation; a brief note on KorBa, MPDT, and PDB.
- **Chapter 3: Linguistic Features of Middle Polish.** Data and annotation context; orthography, morphology, and syntax relevant to conversion; annotation principles used here.
- **Chapter 4: Conversion Design and Implementation.** Pipeline modules; POS/morph mapping; relation conversion (function words, coordination, copulas, numerals, MWEs); testing, logging, and traceability.
- **Chapter 5: Validation and Outcomes.** Evaluation setup; validator configuration; aggregate results and warning profiles; qualitative error analysis; limitations.
- **Chapter 6: Applications and Cognitive Science Perspective.** Usefulness and audience; packaging and repository integration with UD; exemplar use cases (historical syntax, parser baselines); future work.

Chapter 2

Background

2.1. Dependency Grammar

2.2. Universal Dependencies

2.3. Resources

2.3.1. KorBa

2.3.2. MPDT (Middle Polish Dependency Treebank)

2.3.3. PDB / PDB-UD (analogy and rule reuse)

Chapter 3

Linguistic Features of Middle Polish

3.1. Middle Polish: Key Linguistic Features Relevant to Conversion

3.1.1. Orthography and Punctuation

3.1.2. Morphology

3.1.3. Syntax

3.2. Annotation Principles for This Work

3.2.1. Scope and Exclusions

3.2.2. Tokenization and Normalization

3.2.3. Extended POS (ExtPos)

3.3. Summary

Chapter 4

Conversion Design and Implementation

4.1. Design Overview and Pipeline

4.2. POS and Morphological Mapping

4.3. Dependency Relation Conversion

4.4. Logging, Testing, and Traceability

4.5. Processing Workflow

Chapter 5

Validation and Outcomes

5.1. Evaluation Data

5.2. UD Validation Setup

5.3. Results Overview

5.4. Qualitative Error Analysis

5.5. Known Limitations and Outstanding Issues

Chapter 6

Applications and Cognitive Science Perspective

6.1. Usefulness and Audience

6.1.1. Who benefits and how

6.1.2. Packaging and License

6.1.3. Repository and UD ecosystem integration

6.2. Use Cases

6.2.1. Historical Syntax and Diachrony

6.2.2. Parser Training and Evaluation

6.3. Cognitive Science Perspective

6.3.1. Processing Constraints

6.3.2. Category Change Over Time

6.4. Future Work

6.4.1. Coverage and Phenomena

6.4.2. Generalization and Automation

Bibliography

- Gruszczyński, W. et al. (2022). “The Electronic Corpus of 17th- and 18th-century Polish Texts”. In: *Language Resources and Evaluation* 56.1, pp. 309–332. ISSN: 1574-0218. DOI: 10.1007/s10579-021-09549-1. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10579-021-09549-1>.
- Nivre, J. et al. (2020). “Universal Dependencies v2: An Evergrowing Multilingual Treebank Collection”. In: *Proceedings of the Twelfth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*. Ed. by N. Calzolari et al. Marseille, France: European Language Resources Association, pp. 4034–4043. ISBN: 979-10-95546-34-4. URL: <https://aclanthology.org/2020.lrec-1.497/>.
- Wieczorek, A. (2025). “Towards the Middle Polish Dependency Treebank”. In: *Native Language in the 21st Century: System, Communication Practices and Education*. V & R Unipress.
- Wróblewska, A. (2020). “Towards the Conversion of National Corpus of Polish to Universal Dependencies”. In: *Proceedings of the Twelfth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*. Ed. by N. Calzolari et al. Marseille, France: European Language Resources Association, pp. 5308–5315. ISBN: 979-10-95546-34-4. URL: <https://aclanthology.org/2020.lrec-1.653/>.