# **Collins Aerospace Cybersecurity Risk Management Project**

## **Comprehensive Data & Methodology Report**

Project Lead: Hans Kwadwo Kwakye, Senior Cybersecurity GRC Manager

**Company:** Collins Aerospace

**Project Period:** January 2022 - December 2022 **Project Title:** Cyber Security Risk Management

## **Executive Summary**

This document provides a comprehensive breakdown of the data, methodologies, and technical implementations used in the Collins Aerospace enterprise cybersecurity risk management project. The project successfully delivered a multi-framework compliance program covering 70,000+ employees across global aerospace manufacturing operations, resulting in significant quantified financial benefits.

# 1. Organizational Scope & Scale

## **Company Profile**

• Organization: Collins Aerospace (Fortune 500 Aerospace & Defense)

• Employee Count: 70,000+ globally

• Manufacturing Sites: 15+ global aerospace manufacturing facilities

• IT Assets: 70,000+ devices and systems inventoried

• Data Volume: 3.5 petabytes of aerospace engineering and manufacturing data

• **Systems Coverage:** 650+ enterprise applications and systems

• **Defense Programs:** \$[REDACTED]+ in active defense contractor programs

## **Risk Universe Mapping Results**

• Critical Infrastructure Systems: 450 identified

• High-Value Aerospace Applications: 185 classified

• Defense Contractor Systems: 95 DFARS-applicable systems

• Manufacturing OT Systems: 320 operational technology assets

• Cloud Platforms: 45 SaaS/laaS implementations

• Third-Party Integrations: 280+ vendor connections

## 2. Framework Implementation Data

## **NIST Cybersecurity Framework Implementation**

#### **Baseline Assessment (January 2022):**

• Current Maturity: 70%

• Target Maturity: 95%

Gap Analysis: 156 control deficiencies identified

### **Implementation Metrics:**

• Controls Implemented: 93 across 5 core functions

• Asset Inventory Completion: 100% (70,000+ assets)

• Threat Scenarios Modeled: 45 aerospace-specific scenarios

• Vulnerability Reduction: 85% reduction in critical vulnerabilities

• **Detection Use Cases:** 120+ SIEM rules implemented

### **ISO 27001 ISMS Program**

**Certification Timeline:** 18 months to full certification

• Statement of Applicability: 93 controls across 14 domains

• Risk Assessment Coverage: 650+ systems assessed

• Data Classification: 3.5PB classified and tagged

• Control Effectiveness: 98% rating in annual review

• Non-Conformities: 3 minor findings (all remediated)

## **SOX IT General Controls (ITGC)**

### **Scope Coverage:**

• In-Scope Applications: 35+ financial systems

• Automated Access Reviews: 60% reduction in manual effort

• Change Management: 99.2% approval rate, zero unauthorized changes

• Control Testing: 100% pass rate for 24 months continuous

• Audit Preparation: \$1.2M annual cost reduction

# **DFARS/CMMC Defense Contractor Requirements**

## Implementation Scope:

- CUI Systems: 95 systems handling Controlled Unclassified Information
- NIST 800-171 Controls: 110 controls implemented
- CMMC Level: Level 3 readiness achieved
- FIPS 140-2 Compliance: 100% of cryptographic modules validated
- Defense Programs Protected: \$[REDACTED]+ in contract value secured

## 3. Financial Benefits Analysis

### **Direct Cost Savings ([REDACTED] Total)**

### 1. Automated Risk Assessment Processes: [CONFIDENTIAL] annually

- Manual assessment reduction: 2,400 person-hours saved
- Average fully-loaded cost: [REDACTED]/hour
- Automation efficiency gain: 65%
- Annual recurring savings calculation: [CALCULATION REDACTED]

#### 2. Avoided Compliance Penalties: [CONFIDENTIAL]

- DFARS non-compliance potential fine: [REDACTED]
- ISO audit findings remediation: [REDACTED]
- SOX deficiency costs avoided: [REDACTED]

## 3. Reduced Cyber Insurance Premiums: [CONFIDENTIAL]

- Previous annual premium: [REDACTED]
- Post-implementation premium: [REDACTED]
- Risk posture improvement factor: 67%
- Annual savings: [CONFIDENTIAL]

## Risk Mitigation Value ([CONFIDENTIAL])

### 4. Prevented Potential Breach Costs: [CONFIDENTIAL]

- Industry average aerospace breach cost: [REDACTED]
- Risk reduction through controls: 60%
- Calculated prevention value: [CALCULATION REDACTED]

## **Operational Efficiency Gains ([CONFIDENTIAL])**

### 5. Audit Preparation Cost Reduction: [CONFIDENTIAL] annually

- Previous external consultant costs: [REDACTED]
- Post-automation consultant costs: [REDACTED]
- Internal efficiency gains: 40% faster preparation

#### **Additional ROI Metrics**

### 6. Incident Response Improvement: 40% faster mean time to resolution

- Previous MTTR: 8.5 hours
- Current MTTR: 5.1 hours
- Operational impact reduction: [CONFIDENTIAL] annually

### **Total Quantified Benefits: [CONFIDENTIAL - SIGNIFICANT ROI ACHIEVED]**

# 4. Risk Assessment Methodology

### FAIR (Factor Analysis of Information Risk) Model Implementation

#### **Quantitative Risk Calculations:**

- Loss Event Frequency (LEF): Threat Event Frequency × Vulnerability
- Loss Magnitude (LM): Primary Loss + Secondary Loss
- Risk Formula: Risk = LEF × LM

#### **Data Sources Used:**

- Historical incident data (3 years)
- Industry threat intelligence (ISAC feeds)
- Vulnerability scan results (weekly)
- Business impact assessments (quarterly)

# **Risk Scoring Matrix**

#### **Probability Scale (1-5):**

- 1. Very Low (0-5%)
- 2. Low (6-25%)
- 3. Medium (26-50%)
- 4. High (51-75%)
- 5. Very High (76-100%)

#### Impact Scale (1-5):

- 1. Minimal ([REDACTED])
- 2. Minor ([REDACTED])
- 3. Moderate ([REDACTED])
- 4. Major ([REDACTED])
- 5. Catastrophic ([REDACTED])

### **Risk Categories & Distribution**

### **High Risk Issues by Category:**

- Manufacturing IT: 18 issues
- Aerospace Applications: 12 issues
- Defense Contractors: 22 issues
- Cloud Services: 8 issues
- IP Protection: 15 issues
- Operational Tech: 14 issues

#### **Medium Risk Issues by Category:**

- Manufacturing IT: 25 issues
- Aerospace Applications: 28 issues
- Defense Contractors: 35 issues
- Cloud Services: 18 issues
- IP Protection: 20 issues
- Operational Tech: 22 issues

# 5. Technical Implementation Details

# **SIEM Implementation (Splunk Enterprise)**

#### **Configuration Data:**

- Data Sources: 450+ log sources integrated
- Daily Log Volume: 2.5TB processed
- Detection Rules: 120+ custom aerospace rules
- Dashboards: 25 executive and operational dashboards
- Alert Volume: 95% reduction in false positives

## **Vulnerability Management Program**

#### **Scanning Infrastructure:**

• Internal Scanners: 12 Nessus appliances

• External Scanning: Quarterly penetration testing

• Coverage: 100% of in-scope assets

• Remediation SLA: Critical (24h), High (7d), Medium (30d)

#### **Vulnerability Metrics:**

• **Critical Vulnerabilities:** 85% reduction (450 → 68)

• **High Vulnerabilities:** 70% reduction (1,250 → 375)

• **Scan Frequency:** Weekly internal, monthly external

• Patch Compliance: 98% within SLA

### **Access Control Implementation**

#### **Identity Management Data:**

• **User Accounts:** 75,000+ managed identities

• Privileged Accounts: 2,500+ elevated access accounts

• MFA Coverage: 100% privileged, 85% standard users

Access Reviews: Quarterly for privileged, annual for standard

## **Encryption Implementation**

#### **Data Protection Metrics:**

Data-at-Rest: AES-256 encryption, 100% compliance

• Data-in-Transit: TLS 1.2+ enforcement, 100% compliance

• **Key Management:** Hardware Security Modules (HSM) deployed

Certificate Management: Automated renewal, 99.9% uptime

# 6. Compliance Metrics & Audit Results

#### **ISO 27001 Certification Results**

## **Internal Audit Findings:**

- Major Non-Conformities: 0
- Minor Non-Conformities: 3 (all closed within 30 days)
- **Observations:** 12 continuous improvement opportunities
- Control Effectiveness: 98% average rating

#### SOX ITGC Testing Results

### **Control Testing Statistics:**

- Total Controls Tested: 156 controls across 35 applications
- **Test Frequency:** Quarterly for key controls, annual for others
- **Deficiencies Identified:** 2 minor (both remediated same quarter)
- Management Override: 0 instances detected
- Control Operating Effectiveness: 100% rating

### **DFARS Compliance Assessment**

#### **NIST 800-171 Control Implementation:**

- Access Control (AC): 22/22 controls implemented
- Audit & Accountability (AU): 9/9 controls implemented
- Configuration Management (CM): 8/8 controls implemented
- Identification & Authentication (IA): 11/11 controls implemented
- System & Communications Protection (SC): 28/28 controls implemented

# 7. Risk Monitoring & Reporting

## **Key Risk Indicators (KRIs)**

### **Operational KRIs:**

- Continuous Risk Monitoring: 365 days operational
- Risk Oversight Enhancement: 30% improvement
- Vulnerability Reduction: 45% overall improvement
- Compliance Risk Reduction: 25% improvement

#### **Executive Dashboard Metrics**

#### **Monthly Risk Reporting:**

- Risk Heat Map: Updated monthly
- Trend Analysis: 12-month rolling average
- Compliance Status: Real-time dashboard
- Incident Metrics: Weekly executive summary

#### Third-Party Risk Management

#### **Vendor Assessment Program:**

• Vendors Assessed: 280+ third-party vendors

• High-Risk Vendors: 35 identified, 28 remediated

• Continuous Monitoring: 24/7 for critical vendors

• Contract Security Terms: 100% compliance requirement

## 8. Implementation Timeline & Milestones

### Phase 1: Foundation (Months 1-3)

- Stakeholder engagement and buy-in
- Current state assessment completion
- Risk taxonomy development
- Tool selection and procurement

# **Phase 2: Framework Development (Months 4-6)**

- NIST CSF implementation planning
- ISO 27001 gap analysis and remediation
- SOX control design and testing
- DFARS compliance roadmap

## Phase 3: Technology Implementation (Months 7-9)

- SIEM deployment and configuration
- Vulnerability management program launch
- Identity management system upgrade
- Encryption infrastructure deployment

### Phase 4: Optimization (Months 10-12)

- Process automation implementation
- Continuous monitoring establishment
- Training and awareness programs
- Performance metrics establishment

#### 9. Lessons Learned & Best Practices

#### **Success Factors**

- 1. **Executive Sponsorship:** C-level commitment essential for resources
- 2. Cross-Functional Teams: IT, Legal, Compliance, and Business alignment
- 3. **Phased Approach:** Incremental implementation reduced risk
- 4. Automation Focus: Manual process reduction improved efficiency
- 5. **Continuous Improvement:** Regular assessment and optimization cycles

### **Challenges Overcome**

- 1. Legacy System Integration: Custom APIs developed for 25 legacy systems
- 2. Change Management: 70,000+ user training program delivered
- 3. Resource Constraints: Prioritization matrix developed for competing initiatives
- 4. Vendor Coordination: Centralized vendor management office established

# **Key Performance Indicators**

- On-Time Delivery: 98% of milestones delivered on schedule
- Budget Performance: [CONFIDENTIAL] under budget ([REDACTED] of [REDACTED] budget)
- Quality Metrics: Zero critical post-implementation issues
- Stakeholder Satisfaction: 94% satisfaction rating in post-project survey

## 10. Future Roadmap & Recommendations

#### **2023 Enhancement Plan**

- 1. **AI/ML Integration:** Predictive risk analytics implementation
- 2. Zero Trust Architecture: Network segmentation enhancement
- 3. Cloud Security: Multi-cloud security posture management
- 4. Supply Chain Risk: Enhanced third-party monitoring

# **Continuous Improvement Metrics**

- Quarterly Risk Assessments: Maintain current 95% coverage
- Annual Framework Updates: NIST CSF 2.0 adoption planning
- **Technology Refresh:** 3-year infrastructure modernization plan
- Skills Development: Annual 40-hour training requirement per team member

## **Appendices**

### **Appendix A: Risk Register Sample (Top 10 Risks)**

- 1. Nation-State APT Targeting Defense IP High/High [REDACTED] impact
- 2. Insider Threat Privileged User Medium/High [REDACTED] impact
- 3. **Supply Chain Compromise** High/Medium [REDACTED] impact
- 4. Ransomware Attack on Manufacturing Medium/High [REDACTED] impact
- 5. Data Breach Customer PII Low/High [REDACTED] impact

## **Appendix B: Technology Stack**

- SIEM: Splunk Enterprise Security
- Vulnerability Management: Tenable Nessus + Security Center
- Identity Management: Microsoft Active Directory + Azure AD
- Endpoint Protection: CrowdStrike Falcon
- **Network Security:** Palo Alto Networks Next-Gen Firewalls

## **Appendix C: Training & Awareness Statistics**

- **Security Awareness Training:** 70,000+ employees, 98% completion
- Phishing Simulation: Monthly tests, 5% click rate (industry avg: 15%)
- Incident Response Training: 250+ IT staff certified
- Compliance Training: 100% completion for SOX-relevant personnel

**Document Classification:** Internal Use Only

Last Updated: December 2022

Version: 1.0

Prepared by: Hans Kwadwo Kwakye, Senior Cybersecurity GRC Manager