model's prediction for which customers are likely to pay late. Finally, we compare the model's prediction to what we know is true—the customers in the test data who actually paid late. This gives us a score that measures the model's precision and recall. If we as model makers decide that the model's precision/recall score is high enough, we can deploy the model on real customers.

A handful of different machine-learning algorithms are available to apply to datasets. You may have come across some of the names, which include random forest, decision tree, nearest neighbor, naive Bayes, or hidden Markov. An *algorithm*, remember, is a series of steps or procedures that the computer is instructed to follow. In machine learning, the algorithm is coupled with variables to create a mathematical model. A wonderful explanation of models is found in Cathy O'Neil's *Weapons of Math Destruction*. O'Neil explains that we model things unconsciously all the time. When I decide what to make for dinner, I make a model: what food is in my refrigerator, what dishes I could possibly make with that food, who the people eating that night are (usually my husband and son and me), and what their food preferences are. I evaluate the various dishes and recall how each performed in the past—who took seconds of what, and what items are on the ever-changing list of shunned foods: cashews, frozen vegetables, coconut, organ meats. By deciding what to make based on what I have and what people like, I'm optimizing my meal choices for a set of features. Building a mathematical model means formalizing the features and the choices in mathematical terms.[12]

Let's say that I want to "do" machine learning. The first thing I do is grab a dataset. A variety of interesting datasets are available for machine-learning practice; they are collected in online repositories. There are datasets of facial expressions, of pets, or of YouTube videos. There are datasets of emails sent by people who worked at a failed company (Enron), datasets of newsgroup conversations in the 1990s (Usenet), datasets of friendship networks from failed social network companies (Friendster), datasets of movies that people watched on streaming services (Netflix), datasets of people saying common phrases in different accents, or datasets of people's messy handwriting. These datasets are collected from active corporations, from websites, from university researchers, from volunteers, and from defunct corporations. This small number of iconic datasets is posted online and the datasets form the backbone of all contemporary artificial intelligence. You might even find your

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

own data in them. A friend of mine once found a video of herself as a toddler in a behavioral science archive; her mother had participated in a parent-child behavioral study when my friend was little. Researchers still had the video and still used it for drawing conclusions about the world.

Now, let's go through a classic practice exercise: we'll use machine learning to predict who survived the *Titanic* crash. Think about what happened on the *Titanic* after it hit the iceberg. Did you picture Leonardo di Caprio and Kate Winslet sliding across the decks of the ship? That's not real—but it probably colors your recall of the event, if you've seen the movie as many times as I have. It's quite likely that you've seen the movie at least once. *Titanic* earned $659 million and $1.5 billion overseas, making it the biggest movie in the world in 1997 and the second-highest-grossing film ever worldwide. (*Titanic* director James Cameron also holds the number-one spot for his other blockbuster, *Avatar*.) The film stayed in theaters for almost a year, fueled in part by young people who went to the theater to watch it over and over again.[13] *Titanic* the movie has become a part of our collective memory, just like the actual *Titanic* maritime disaster. Our brains quite commonly confuse actual events with realistic fiction. It's unfortunate, but it's normal. This confusion complicates the way we perceive risk.

We draw conclusions about risk based on *heuristics*, or informal rules. These heuristics are affected by stories that are easy to recall and by emotionally resonant experiences. For example: When he was a little boy, *New York Times* columnist Charles Blow was attacked by a vicious dog. The dog almost tore his face off. As an adult, he writes in his memoir, he remains wary of strange dogs.[14] This makes perfect sense. Being a small child attacked by a large animal is traumatic, and of course it would be the first thing someone would think of when seeing a dog for the rest of his life. Reading the book, I empathized with the little boy and felt scared when he felt scared. The day after I read Blow's memoir, I saw a man walking a dog without a leash in a park near my house—and I immediately thought of Blow and how other people who are afraid of dogs would be made uncomfortable by the fact that this dog was not on a leash. I wondered if the dog would go berserk and, if so, what would happen. The story affected my perception of risk. This is the same thinking that leads people to carry pepper spray after watching a lot of episodes of *Law & Order: SVU* or to check the back seat of the car for nasty surprises after watching a horror movie. The technical

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

name is the *availability heuristic*.[15] The stories that spring to mind first are the ones we tend to think are the most important or occur most frequently.

Perhaps because it features so prominently in our collective imagination, the *Titanic* disaster is commonly used for teaching machine learning. Specifically, a list of the passengers on the *Titanic* is used to teach students how to generate predictions using data. It works well as a class exercise because almost all of the students have seen *Titanic* or know about the disaster. This is valuable for an instructor because you don't have to spend too much class time going over the historical context: you can get right to the fun part, which is the prediction.

I'm going to take you through the fun part using supervised learning. I think it is important to see exactly what happens when someone does machine learning. There are plenty of sites online that have ML tutorials if you're interested in going through the exercise yourself. I'm going to take you through a tutorial from a site called DataCamp, which was recommended as a first step for competing in data-science competitions by a different site, Kaggle.[16] Kaggle, which is owned by Google's parent company, Alphabet, is a site in which people compete to get the highest score for analyzing a dataset. Data scientists use it to compete in teams, sharpen their skills, or practice collaborating. It's also useful for teaching students about data science or for finding datasets.

We're going to do a DataCamp *Titanic* tutorial using Python and a few popular Python libraries: pandas, scikit-learn, and numpy. A *library* is a little bucket of functions sitting somewhere on the Internet. When we import a library, we make its functions available to the program we're writing. One way to think about it is to think about a physical library. I'm a member of the New York Public Library (NYPL). Whenever I go to stay somewhere for more than a week, for work or for vacation, I generally try to go to the local library and get a library card. Signing up for a local library card allows me to use all the books and resources available at that library. For the time that I'm a local library member, I can use all my core NYPL resources *plus* the unique resources of the local library. In a Python program, we start with a whole bunch of built-in functions: those are the NYPL. Importing a new library is like signing up for the local library card. Our program can use all the good stuff in the core Python library *plus* the nifty functions written by the researchers and open-source developers who made and published the scikit-learn library, for example.

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

Pandas, another library we'll use, has a container called a *DataFrame* that "holds" a set of data. This type of container is also called an *object*, as in *object-oriented programming*. *Object* is a generic term in programming, just as it is in the real world. In programming, an *object* is a conceptual wrapper for a little package of data, variables, and code. Having the label *object* gives us something to hold on to. We need to conceptualize our package of bits as something in order to think about it and talk about it.

The first thing we do is break our data into two sets: training data and test data. We're going to develop a model, train it on the training data, then run it on the test data. Remember how there is general AI and narrow AI? This is narrow. Let's start by typing the following:

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn import tree, preprocessing
```

We've just imported several libraries that we'll use for our analysis. We use an alias, *pd*, for pandas, and the alias *np* for numpy. We now have access to all of the functions in pandas and numpy. We can choose to import all of the functions or just a few. From scikit-learn, we'll import only two functions. One is named *tree* and the other is named *preprocessing*.

Next, let's import the data from a comma-separated values (CSV) file that is also sitting somewhere on the Internet. Specifically, this CSV file is sitting on a server owned by Amazon Web Services (AWS). We can tell because the base URL of the file (the first part after http://) is s3.amazonaws.com. A CSV file is a file of structured data in which each column is separated by a comma. We're going to import two different Titanic data files from AWS. One is a training data set, another is a test data set. Both data sets are in CSV format. Let's import the data:

```
train_url =
"http://s3.amazonaws.com/assets.datacamp.com/course/Kaggle/
train.csv"
train = pd.read_csv(train_url)

test_url = "http://s3.amazonaws.com/assets.datacamp.com/
course/Kaggle/test.csv"
test = pd.read_csv(test_url)
```

pd.read_csv() means "please invoke the read_csv() function, which lives in the pd (pandas) library." Technically, we created a DataFrame object and called one of its built-in methods. Regardless, the data is now imported

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

into two variables: *train* and *test*. We'll use the data in the *train* variable to create the model, and then we'll use the data in the *test* variable to test our model's accuracy.

Let's see what's in the *head*, or the first few lines, of the training data:

```
print(train.head())
```

	PassengerId	Survived	Pclass \
0	1	0	3
1	2	1	1
2	3	1	3
3	4	1	1
4	5	0	3

	Name	Sex	Age	SibSp \
0	Braund, Mr. Owen Harris	male	22.0	1
1	Cumings, Mrs. John Bradley (Florence Briggs Th…	female	38.0	1
2	Heikkinen, Miss. Laina	female	26.0	0
3	Futrelle, Mrs. Jacques Heath (Lily May Peel)	female	35.0	1
4	Allen, Mr. William Henry	male	35.0	0

	Parch	Ticket	Fare	Cabin	Embarked
0	0	A/5 21171	7.2500	NaN	S
1	0	PC 17599	71.2833	C85	C
2	0	STON/O2. 3101282	7.9250	NaN	S
3	0	113803	53.1000	C123	S
4	0	373450	8.0500	NaN	S

It looks like the data is twelve columns. The columns are labeled PassengerId, Survived, Pclass, Name, Sex, Age, SibSp, Parch, Ticket, Fare, Cabin, and Embarked. What do these column headings mean?

To answer this, we need a data dictionary, which is provided with most datasets. The data dictionary reveals the following:

```
Pclass = Passenger Class (1 = 1st; 2 = 2nd; 3 = 3rd)
Survived = Survival (0 = No; 1 = Yes)
Name = Name
Sex = Sex
```

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

```
Age = Age (in years; fractional if age less than one (1). If
the age is estimated, it is in the form xx.5)
Sibsp = Number of Siblings/Spouses Aboard
Parch = Number of Parents/Children Aboard
Ticket = Ticket Number
Fare = Passenger Fare (pre-1970 British pound)
Cabin = Cabin number
Embarked = Port of Embarkation (C = Cherbourg; Q = Queenstown;
S = Southampton)
```

For most of the columns, we have data. For some column values, we do not have data. For PassengerId 1, Mr. Owen Harris Braund, the value for Cabin is NaN. This means "not a number." NaN is different than zero; zero is a number. NaN means that there is no value for this variable. This distinction might seem unimportant for everyday life, but it's crucially important in computer science. Remember that mathematical language is precise. For example, NULL indicates an empty set, which is also different than NaN or zero.

Let's see what's in the first few lines of the test dataset:

```
print(test.head())
```

	PassengerId	Pclass	Name	Sex \
0	892	3	Kelly, Mr. James	male
1	893	3	Wilkes, Mrs. James (Ellen Needs)	female
2	894	2	Myles, Mr. Thomas Francis	male
3	895	3	Wirz, Mr. Albert	male
4	896	3	Hirvonen, Mrs. Alexander (Helga E Lindqvist)	female

	Age	SibSp	Parch	Ticket	Fare	Cabin	Embarked
0	34.5	0	0	330911	7.8292	NaN	Q
1	47.0	1	0	363272	7.0000	NaN	S
2	62.0	0	0	240276	9.6875	NaN	Q
3	27.0	0	0	315154	8.6625	NaN	S
4	22.0	1	1	3101298	12.2875	NaN	S

As you can see, *test* has the same type of data as *train*, minus the Survived column. Great! Our goal is to create a Survived column in the *test* data that contains a prediction for each passenger. (Of course, someone already

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

knows which passengers in the *test* data set survived—but it wouldn't be much of a tutorial if the data set already contained the answers.)

Next, we're going to run some basic summary statistics on the training dataset in order to get to know it a little better. When data journalists do this, we call it *interviewing the data*. We interview data just like we might interview a human source. A human has a name, an age, a background; a dataset has a size and a number of columns. Asking a column of data about its average value is a bit like asking someone to spell their last name.

We can get to know our data a bit by running a function called *describe* that assembles some basic summary statistics and puts them into a handy table, as follows:

```
train.describe()
```

	PassengerId	Survived	Pclass	Age	SibSp	Parch	Fare
count	891.000000	891.000000	891.000000	714.000000	891.000000	891.000000	891.000000
mean	446.000000	0.383838	2.308642	29.699118	0.523008	0.381594	32.204208
std	257.353842	0.486592	0.836071	14.526497	1.102743	0.806057	49.693429
min	1.000000	0.000000	1.000000	0.420000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
25%	223.500000	0.000000	2.000000	20.125000	0.000000	0.000000	7.910400
50%	446.000000	0.000000	3.000000	28.000000	0.000000	0.000000	14.454200
75%	668.500000	1.000000	3.000000	38.000000	1.000000	0.000000	31.000000
max	891.000000	1.000000	3.000000	80.000000	8.000000	6.000000	512.329200

The training dataset has 891 records. Of these, only 714 records show the age of the passenger. For the data we have available, the average age of the passengers is 29.699118; normal people would say that the average age is thirty.

A few of these statistics require interpretation: Survived has a min of 0 and a max of 1. In other words, it is a Boolean value. Either someone survived (1), or they didn't (0). We can calculate an average, which turns out to be 0.38. Similarly, we can calculate an average for Pclass, or passenger class. Passengers' tickets were for first, second, or third class. The average doesn't literally mean that someone traveled 2.308 class.

Now that we've gotten to know our data a little bit, it's time to do some analysis. Let's first look at the number of passengers. We can use a function called *value_counts* to do this. Value_counts will show how many values there are for each distinct category in a column. In other words, how many passengers are traveling in each passenger class? Let's find out:

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

```
train["Pclass"].value_counts()
1    216
2    184
3    491
Name: Pclass, dtype: int64
```

The training data shows 491 passengers traveling third class, 184 passengers traveling second class, and 216 passengers traveling first class.

Let's look at the numbers for survival:

```
train["Survived"].value_counts()
0    549
1    342
Name: Survived, dtype: int64
```

The training data shows that 549 people perished and 342 survived.

Let's see those numbers normalized:

```
print(train["Survived"].value_counts(normalize = True))
0    0.616162
1    0.383838
Name: Survived, dtype: float64
```

Sixty-two percent of passengers perished, and 38 percent survived. In other words, most people died in the disaster. If we were to make a prediction about whether a random passenger survived, we'd likely predict that they did not survive.

We could stop here if we wanted. We just drew a conclusion that would allow us to make a reasonable prediction. We can do better, however, so let's keep going. Are there any factors that might help improve the prediction? In addition to survival, we have some other columns in the data: Pclass, Name, Sex, Age, SibSp, Parch, Ticket, Fare, Cabin, and Embarked.

Pclass is a proxy for the socioeconomic class of the passengers. That might be useful as a predictor. We could guess that first-class passengers got on the boats before third-class passengers. Sex is also a reasonable guess for a predictor. We know that "women and children first" was a principle used during maritime disasters. This principle dates to 1852, when the British HMS *Birkenhead*, a troop ship, ran aground off the coast of South Africa. It's not a uniformly applied principle, but it's recurrent enough to use for social analysis.

Now, let's do some comparisons to see if we can find variables that seem predictive:

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

```
# Passengers that survived vs passengers that passed away
print(train["Survived"].value_counts())
0    549
1    342
Name: Survived, dtype: int64

# As proportions
print(train["Survived"].value_counts(normalize = True))
0    0.616162
1    0.383838
Name: Survived, dtype: float64

# Males that survived vs males that passed away
print(train["Survived"][train["Sex"] == 'male'].value_counts())
0    468
1    109
Name: Survived, dtype: int64

# Females that survived vs females that passed away
print(train["Survived"][train["Sex"] == 'female'].value_counts())
1    233
0     81
Name: Survived, dtype: int64

# Normalized male survival
print(train["Survived"][train["Sex"] == 'male'].value_counts
(normalize=True))
0    0.811092
1    0.188908
Name: Survived, dtype: float64

# Normalized female survival
print(train["Survived"][train["Sex"] == 'female'].value_counts
(normalize=True))
1    0.742038
0    0.257962
Name: Survived, dtype: float64
```

We can see that 74 percent of females survived, and only 18 percent of males survived. Therefore, for a random person, we might adjust our guess to say that they survived if they were female, but not if they were male.

Remember that the goal at the beginning of this section was to create a Survived column in the test data that contains a prediction for each passenger. At this point, we could create a Survived column and fill in "1"

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

(meaning "yes, this passenger survived") for 74 percent of the females and "0" (meaning "no, this passenger did not survive") for the remaining females. We could fill in "1" for 18 percent of the male passengers and "0" for 81 percent of the remaining males.

But we won't, because that would mean assigning probable outcomes randomly based only on gender. We know there are other factors in the data that influence the outcome. (If you're truly curious to see the nitty-gritty of how this is determined, I encourage you to look at the DataCamp tutorial or something similar online.) What about women traveling third class? Women traveling first class? Women traveling with spouses? Women traveling with children? This quickly becomes tedious to calculate manually, so we're going to train a model to do the guessing for us based on the factors that we know.

To construct the model, we're going to use a *decision tree*, a type of algorithm. Remember, there are a handful of algorithms that are standard in machine learning. They have names like decision tree, or random forest, or artificial neural network, or naive Bayes, or k-nearest neighbor, or deep learning. Wikipedia's list of machine-learning algorithms is quite comprehensive.

These algorithms come packaged into software like pandas. Very few people write their own algorithms for machine learning; it's much easier to use one that already exists. Writing a new algorithm is like writing a new programming language. You really have to care *a lot* and you have to devote a lot of time to doing it. I'm going to wave my hands and say "math" to explain what happens inside the model. Sorry. If you really want to know, I encourage you to read more about it. It's very interesting, but it's beyond the scope of the current discussion.

Now, let's train the model on the training data. We know from our exploratory analysis that the features that matter are fare class and sex. We want to create a guess for survival. We already know whether the passengers in the training data survived or not. We're going to make the model guess, then compare the guesses to reality. Whatever the percentage is that we get right is our accuracy number.

Here's an open secret of the big data world: *all data is dirty*. All of it. Data is made by people going around and counting things or made by sensors that are made by people. In every seemingly orderly column of numbers, there is noise. There is mess. There is incompleteness. This is life. The

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

problem is, dirty data doesn't compute. Therefore, in machine learning, sometimes we have to make things up to make the functions run smoothly.

Are you horrified yet? I was, the first time I realized this. As a journalist, I don't get to make anything up. I need to fact-check each line and justify it for a fact-checker or an editor or my audience—but in machine learning, people often make stuff up when it's convenient.

Now, in physics you can do this. If you want to find the temperature at point A inside a closed container, you take the temperature at two other equidistant points (B and C) and assume that the temperature at point A is halfway between the B and C temperatures. In statistics … well, this is how it works, and the *missing-ness* contributes to the inherent uncertainty of the whole endeavor. We'll use a function called *fillna* to fill in all of the missing values:

```
train["Age"] = train["Age"].fillna(train["Age"].median())
```

The algorithm can't run with missing values. Thus, we need to make up the missing values. Here, DataCamp recommends using the median.

Let's take a look at the data to see what's in there:

```
# Print the train data to see the available features
print(train)
```

	PassengerId	Survived	Pclass \
0	1	0	3
1	2	1	1
2	3	1	3
3	4	1	1
4	5	0	3
5	6	0	3
6	7	0	1
7	8	0	3
8	9	1	3
9	10	1	2
10	11	1	3
11	12	1	1
12	13	0	3
13	14	0	3
14	15	0	3
15	16	1	2
16	17	0	3

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

	PassengerId	Survived	Pclass \
17	18	1	2
18	19	0	3
19	20	1	3
20	21	0	2
21	22	1	2
22	23	1	3
23	24	1	1
24	25	0	3
25	26	1	3
26	27	0	3
27	28	0	1
28	29	1	3
29	30	0	3
..	…	…	…
861	862	0	2
862	863	1	1
863	864	0	3
864	865	0	2
865	866	1	2
866	867	1	2
867	868	0	1
868	869	0	3
869	870	1	3
870	871	0	3
871	872	1	1
872	873	0	1
873	874	0	3
874	875	1	2
875	876	1	3
876	877	0	3
877	878	0	3
878	879	0	3
879	880	1	1
880	881	1	2
881	882	0	3
882	883	0	3
883	884	0	2
884	885	0	3
885	886	0	3
886	887	0	2
887	888	1	1

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

	PassengerId	Survived	Pclass \
888	889	0	3
889	890	1	1
890	891	0	3

	Name	Sex	Age	SibSp \
0	Braund, Mr. Owen Harris	male	22.0	1
1	Cumings, Mrs. John Bradley (Florence Briggs Th…	female	38.0	1
2	Heikkinen, Miss. Laina	female	26.0	0
3	Futrelle, Mrs. Jacques Heath (Lily May Peel)	female	35.0	1
4	Allen, Mr. William Henry	male	35.0	0
5	Moran, Mr. James	male	28.0	0
6	McCarthy, Mr. Timothy J	male	54.0	0
7	Palsson, Master. Gosta Leonard	male	2.0	3
8	Johnson, Mrs. Oscar W (Elisabeth Vilhelmina Berg)	female	27.0	0
9	Nasser, Mrs. Nicholas (Adele Achem)	female	14.0	1
10	Sandstrom, Miss. Marguerite Rut	female	4.0	1
11	Bonnell, Miss. Elizabeth	female	58.0	0
12	Saundercock, Mr. William Henry	male	20.0	0
13	Andersson, Mr. Anders Johan	male	39.0	1
14	Vestrom, Miss. Hulda Amanda Adolfina	female	14.0	0
15	Hewlett, Mrs. (Mary D Kingcome)	female	55.0	0
16	Rice, Master. Eugene	male	2.0	4
17	Williams, Mr. Charles Eugene	male	28.0	0
18	Vander Planke, Mrs. Julius (Emelia Maria Vande…	female	31.0	1
19	Masselmani, Mrs. Fatima	female	28.0	0
20	Fynney, Mr. Joseph J	male	35.0	0
21	Beesley, Mr. Lawrence	male	34.0	0
22	McGowan, Miss. Anna “Annie”	female	15.0	0
23	Sloper, Mr. William Thompson	male	28.0	0
24	Palsson, Miss. Torborg Danira	female	8.0	3
25	Asplund, Mrs. Carl Oscar (Selma Augusta Emilia…	female	38.0	1
26	Emir, Mr. Farred Chehab	male	28.0	0
27	Fortune, Mr. Charles Alexander	male	19.0	3
28	O’Dwyer, Miss. Ellen “Nellie”	female	28.0	0
29	Todoroff, Mr. Lalio	male	28.0	0
..	…	…	…	…

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

	Name	Sex	Age	SibSp \
861	Giles, Mr. Frederick Edward	male	21.0	1
862	Swift, Mrs. Frederick Joel (Margaret Welles Ba…	female	48.0	0
863	Sage, Miss. Dorothy Edith “Dolly”	female	28.0	8
864	Gill, Mr. John William	male	24.0	0
865	Bystrom, Mrs. (Karolina)	female	42.0	0
866	Duran y More, Miss. Asuncion	female	27.0	1
867	Roebling, Mr. Washington Augustus II	male	31.0	0
868	van Melkebeke, Mr. Philemon	male	28.0	0
869	Johnson, Master. Harold Theodor	male	4.0	1
870	Balkic, Mr. Cerin	male	26.0	0
871	Beckwith, Mrs. Richard Leonard (Sallie Monypeny)	female	47.0	1
872	Carlsson, Mr. Frans Olof	male	33.0	0
873	Vander Cruyssen, Mr. Victor	male	47.0	0
874	Abelson, Mrs. Samuel (Hannah Wizosky)	female	28.0	1
875	Najib, Miss. Adele Kiamie “Jane”	female	15.0	0
876	Gustafsson, Mr. Alfred Ossian	male	20.0	0
877	Petroff, Mr. Nedelio	male	19.0	0
878	Laleff, Mr. Kristo	male	28.0	0
879	Potter, Mrs. Thomas Jr (Lily Alexenia Wilson)	female	56.0	0
880	Shelley, Mrs. William (Imanita Parrish Hall)	female	25.0	0
881	Markun, Mr. Johann	male	33.0	0
882	Dahlberg, Miss. Gerda Ulrika	female	22.0	0
883	Banfield, Mr. Frederick James	male	28.0	0
884	Sutehall, Mr. Henry Jr	male	25.0	0
885	Rice, Mrs. William (Margaret Norton)	female	39.0	0
886	Montvila, Rev. Juozas	male	27.0	0
887	Graham, Miss. Margaret Edith	female	19.0	0
888	Johnston, Miss. Catherine Helen “Carrie”	female	28.0	1
889	Behr, Mr. Karl Howell	male	26.0	0
890	Dooley, Mr. Patrick	male	32.0	0

	Parch	Ticket	Fare	Cabin	Embarked
0	0	A/5 21171	7.2500	NaN	S
1	0	PC 17599	71.2833	C85	C
2	0	STON/O2. 3101282	7.9250	NaN	S
3	0	113803	53.1000	C123	S

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

	Parch	Ticket	Fare	Cabin	Embarked
4	0	373450	8.0500	NaN	S
5	0	330877	8.4583	NaN	Q
6	0	17463	51.8625	E46	S
7	1	349909	21.0750	NaN	S
8	2	347742	11.1333	NaN	S
9	0	237736	30.0708	NaN	C
10	1	PP 9549	16.7000	G6	S
11	0	113783	26.5500	C103	S
12	0	A/5. 2151	8.0500	NaN	S
13	5	347082	31.2750	NaN	S
14	0	350406	7.8542	NaN	S
15	0	248706	16.0000	NaN	S
16	1	382652	29.1250	NaN	Q
17	0	244373	13.0000	NaN	S
18	0	345763	18.0000	NaN	S
19	0	2649	7.2250	NaN	C
20	0	239865	26.0000	NaN	S
21	0	248698	13.0000	D56	S
22	0	330923	8.0292	NaN	Q
23	0	113788	35.5000	A6	S
24	1	349909	21.0750	NaN	S
25	5	347077	31.3875	NaN	S
26	0	2631	7.2250	NaN	C
27	2	19950	263.0000	C23 C25 C27	S
28	0	330959	7.8792	NaN	Q
29	0	349216	7.8958	NaN	S
..	…	…	…	…	…
861	0	28134	11.5000	NaN	S
862	0	17466	25.9292	D17	S
863	2	CA. 2343	69.5500	NaN	S
864	0	233866	13.0000	NaN	S
865	0	236852	13.0000	NaN	S
866	0	SC/PARIS 2149	13.8583	NaN	C
867	0	PC 17590	50.4958	A24	S
868	0	345777	9.5000	NaN	S
869	1	347742	11.1333	NaN	S
870	0	349248	7.8958	NaN	S
871	1	11751	52.5542	D35	S
872	0	695	5.0000	B51 B53 B55	S
873	0	345765	9.0000	NaN	S
874	0	P/PP 3381	24.0000	NaN	C

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

	Parch	Ticket	Fare	Cabin	Embarked
875	0	2667	7.2250	NaN	C
876	0	7534	9.8458	NaN	S
877	0	349212	7.8958	NaN	S
878	0	349217	7.8958	NaN	S
879	1	11767	83.1583	C50	C
880	1	230433	26.0000	NaN	S
881	0	349257	7.8958	NaN	S
882	0	7552	10.5167	NaN	S
883	0	C.A./SOTON 34068	10.5000	NaN	S
884	0	SOTON/OQ 392076	7.0500	NaN	S
885	5	382652	29.1250	NaN	Q
886	0	211536	13.0000	NaN	S
887	0	112053	30.0000	B42	S
888	2	W./C. 6607	23.4500	NaN	S
889	0	111369	30.0000	C148	C
890	0	370376	7.7500	NaN	Q

[891 rows x 12 columns]

If you read all of those hundreds of lines, bravo—but if you skipped ahead, I'm not surprised. I printed many rows of data here, instead of using a small subset, in order to illustrate what it feels like to be a data scientist. Working with columns of numbers feels value-neutral and occasionally tedious. There's a certain amount of dehumanization that occurs when you deal only with numbers. It's not easy to remember that each row in a dataset represents a real person with hopes, dreams, a family, and a history.

Now that we've looked at the raw data, it's time to start working with it. Let's turn it into *arrays*, which are structures that the computer can manipulate:

```
# Create the target and features numpy arrays: target,
features_one
target = train["Survived"].values

# Preprocess
encoded_sex = preprocessing.LabelEncoder()

# Convert into numbers
train.Sex = encoded_sex.fit_transform(train.Sex)
features_one = train[["Pclass," "Sex," "Age," "Fare"]].values
```

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

```
# Fit the first decision tree: my_tree_one
my_tree_one = tree.DecisionTreeClassifier()
my_tree_one = my_tree_one.fit(features_one, target)
```

What we're doing is running a function called *fit* on the decision tree classifier called *my_tree_one*. The features we want to consider are Pclass, Sex, Age, and Fare. We're instructing the algorithm to figure out what relationship among these four predicts the value in the target field, which is Survived:

```
# Look at the importance and score of the included features
print(my_tree_one.feature_importances_)
[ 0.12315342  0.31274009  0.22675108  0.3373554 ]
```

The feature_importances attribute shows the statistical significance of each predictor.

The largest number in this group of values is the considered the most important:

```
Pclass = 0.1269655
Sex = 0.31274009
Age = 0.23914906
Fare = 0.32114535
```

Fare is the largest number. We can conclude that passenger fare is the most important factor in determining whether a passenger survived the *Titanic* disaster.

At this point in our data analysis, we can run a function to show exactly how accurate our calculation is within the mathematical constraints of the universe represented by this data. Let's use the score function to find the mean accuracy:

```
print(my_tree_one.score(features_one, target))
0.977553310887
```

Wow, 97 percent! That feels great. If I got a 97 percent on an exam, I'd be perfectly content. We could call this model 97 percent accurate. The machine just "learned" in that it constructed a mathematical model. The model is stored in the object called *my_tree_one*.

Next, we'll take this model and apply it to the set of test data. Remember: the test data doesn't have a Survived column. Our job is to use the model to predict whether each passenger in the test data survived or perished. We know that fare is the most important predictor according to this model, but

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

age and sex and passenger class matter mathematically too. Let's apply the model to the test data and see what happens:

```
# Fill any missing fare values with the median fare
test["Fare"] = test["Fare"].fillna(test["Fare"].median())

# Fill any missing age values with the median age
test["Age"] = test["Age"].fillna(test["Age"].median())

# Preprocess
test_encoded_sex = preprocessing.LabelEncoder()
test.Sex = test_encoded_sex.fit_transform(test.Sex)

# Extract important features from the test set: Pclass, Sex,
Age, and Fare
test_features = test[["Pclass," "Sex," "Age," "Fare"]].values
print('These are the features:\n')
print(test_features)

# Make a prediction using the test set and print
my_prediction = my_tree_one.predict(test_features)
print('This is the prediction:\n')
print(my_prediction)

# Create a data frame with two columns: PassengerId & Survived
# Survived contains the model's prediction
PassengerId =np.array(test["PassengerId"]).astype(int)
my_solution = pd.DataFrame(my_prediction, PassengerId, columns
= ["Survived"])
print('This is the solution in toto:\n')
print(my_solution)

# Check that the data frame has 418 entries
print('This is the solution shape:\n')
print(my_solution.shape)

# Write the solution to a CSV file with the name my_solution.csv
my_solution.to_csv("my_solution_one.csv," index_label =
["PassengerId"])
```

Here's the output:

```
These are the features:
[[  3.       1.      34.5      7.8292]
 [  3.       0.      47.       7.    ]
 [  2.       1.      62.       9.6875] …,
 [  3.       1.      38.5      7.25  ]
 [  3.       1.      27.       8.05  ]
 [  3.       1.      27.      22.3583]]
```

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

```
This is the prediction:
[0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0
 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0
 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0
 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1
 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0
 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0
 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1
 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 0
 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0
 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 0]
This is the solution in toto:
     Survived
892         0
893         0
894         1
895         1
896         1
897         0
898         0
899         0
900         1
901         0
902         0
903         0
904         1
905         1
906         1
907         1
908         0
909         1
910         1
911         0
912         0
913         1
914         1
915         0
916         1
917         0
918         1
919         1
```

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

```
920          1
921          0
…            …
1280         0
1281         0
1282         0
1283         1
1284         1
1285         0
1286         0
1287         1
1288         0
1289         1
1290         0
1291         0
1292         1
1293         0
1294         1
1295         0
1296         0
1297         0
1298         0
1299         0
1300         1
1301         1
1302         1
1303         1
1304         0
1305         0
1306         1
1307         0
1308         0
1309         0
[418 rows x 1 columns]
This is the solution shape:
(418, 1)
```

That new column, Survived, contains a prediction for each of the 418 passengers listed in the test data set. We can write the predictions to a CSV file called *my_solution_one.csv*, upload the file to DataCamp, and verify that our predictions were 97 percent accurate. Ta-da! We just did machine learning. It was entry level, but it was machine learning nonetheless. When someone says they have "used artificial intelligence to make a decision," usually they

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.

mean "used machine learning," and usually they went through a process similar to the one we just worked through.

We created the Survived column and got a number that we can call 97 percent accurate. We learned that fare is the most influential factor in a mathematical analysis of *Titanic* survivor data. This was narrow artificial intelligence. It was not anything to be scared of, nor was it leading us toward a global takeover by superintelligent computers. "These are just statistical models, the same as those that Google uses to play board games or that your phone uses to make predictions about what word you're saying in order to transcribe your messages," Carnegie Mellon professor and machine learning researcher Zachary Lipton told the *Register* about AI. "They are no more sentient than a bowl of noodles."[17]

For a programmer, writing an algorithm is that easy. It gets made, it gets deployed, it seems to work. Nobody follows up. You maybe try turning the dials differently the next time to see if the accuracy seems to go up any. You try to get the highest number you can. Then, you move on to the next thing.

Meanwhile, out in the world, these numbers have consequences. It would be unwise to conclude from this data that people who pay more have a greater chance of surviving a maritime disaster. Nevertheless, a corporate executive could easily argue that it would be statistically legitimate to conclude this. If we were calculating insurance rates, we could say that people who pay higher ticket prices are less likely to die in iceberg accidents and thus represent a lower risk of early payout. People who pay more for tickets are wealthier than people who don't. This would allow us to charge rich people less for insurance. That's bad! The point of insurance is that risk is distributed evenly across a large pool of people. We've made more money for the insurance company, but we've not promoted the greatest good.

These types of computational techniques are used for *price optimization*, or grouping customers into very small segments to offer different prices to different groups. Price optimization is used in industries from insurance to travel—and it often results in price discrimination. A 2017 analysis by ProPublica and *Consumer Reports* found that in California, Illinois, Texas, and Missouri, some major insurers charged people who lived in minority neighborhoods as much as 30 percent more than people who lived in other areas with similar accident costs.[18] A 2014 analysis by the *Wall Street Journal* found that customers were being charged different prices for the same

Copyright © 2018. MIT Press. All rights reserved.

Broussard, Meredith. Artificial Unintelligence : How Computers Misunderstand the World. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2018.
Accessed March 8, 2021. ProQuest Ebook Central.
Created from ndlib-ebooks on 2021-03-08 15:09:54.