## Homework 2

Kailong Wang

November 16, 2023

Q1 Grade:

Suppose that  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  is a convex function and  $x \in \text{dom } f$ . Show that for any  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the function  $g_d: (0, \infty) \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  defined by

$$g_d(\alpha) = \frac{f(x + \alpha d) - f(x)}{\alpha}$$

is non-decreasing.

#### Solution

Since f is convex, we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y). \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda \in [0, 1]$$
 (1)

To show that  $g_d(\alpha)$  is non-decreasing, we need to show

$$\alpha_1 \leqslant \alpha_2 \Rightarrow g_d(\alpha_1) \leqslant g_d(\alpha_2). \quad \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, \infty)$$

Let  $\lambda = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \in [0, 1]$  (because  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ ), then

$$\begin{split} f(x+\alpha_1d) &= f(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}(x+\alpha_2d) + (1-\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2})x) \leqslant \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}f(x+\alpha_2d) + (1-\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2})f(x) & \text{by eq. (1)} \\ & \Rightarrow \alpha_2f(x+\alpha_1d) \leqslant \alpha_1f(x+\alpha_2d) + (\alpha_2-\alpha_1)f(x) & \text{multiply $\alpha_2$ on both sides} \\ & \Rightarrow \alpha_2f(x+\alpha_1d) - \alpha_2f(x) \leqslant \alpha_1f(x+\alpha_2d) - \alpha_1f(x) & \text{subtract $\alpha_2f(x)$ on both sides} \\ & \Rightarrow \frac{f(x+\alpha_1d) - f(x)}{\alpha_1} \leqslant \frac{f(x+\alpha_2d) - f(x)}{\alpha_2} & \text{some algebra} \\ & \Rightarrow q_d(\alpha_1) \leqslant q_d(\alpha_2). \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $g_d(\alpha)$  is non-decreasing.

# Q2: Non-convex Projections (similar to exercise 2.11 in the text). Grade:

Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a non-empty closed set (but possibly not convex), and consider any point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (a) Show that the function  $g(w) \doteq ||w x||$  must have a nonempty, compact set of minima over C. Denote this set by  $P_C(x)$ .
- (b) Show that  $\operatorname{dist}_{C}(x) \doteq \inf_{w \in C} ||w x||$  is an everywhere finite-valued and continuous function of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ . (If you like, you can show that it is Lipschitz continuous with modulus 1, which implies continuity.)
- (c) Give an example showing that if C is not convex, dist<sub>C</sub> need not be convex.

#### Solution

- (a) *Proof.* To show that the function g(w) = ||w x|| must have a nonempty, compact set of minima over the closed set C, we can use the fact that C is nonempty and closed.
  - (i) If  $x \in C$ , then

$$\min_{w \in C} g(w) = ||w - x||$$
$$= 0. \qquad \forall w = x \in C$$

Therefore,  $P_C(x) = \{x\}$ , which is nonempty and compact.

(ii) If  $x \notin C$ , then g(w) = ||w - x|| > 0 for all  $w \in C$ . We can then prove by contradiction. Assume that g(w) = ||w - x|| does not have any minimum points within C. This means that for any point  $w \in C$ , there exists a sequence of points  $\{w_n\}$  such that

$$g(w_n) \leq g(w)$$

for all n (i.e.  $w_n$  gets arbitrary close to x). Since C is closed, the limit of this sequence, denoted as

$$w^* = \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n$$

must also be in C because the limit of a sequence in a closed set belongs to that set. Moreover, since q(w) is continuous, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}g(w_n)=g(w^*).$$

But this would imply that  $g(w^*) = 0$  (because  $g(w_n)$  gets arbitrary close to 0), which means  $w^* = x$ . However, since  $x \notin C$ , we have a contradiction. Therefore, g(w) must have a nonempty, compact set of minima over C (at least one minimum point).

- (b) Proof. We show  $\mathrm{dist}_{\mathbb{C}}(x)$  is everywhere finiteness and continuous as follows:
  - (i) **Finiteness:** For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $||w x|| \ge 0$  for all  $w \in C$  since the norm is always non-negative. Given that C is nonempty and closed, there exists some  $w' \in C$ , for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $||w' x|| \ge 0$ . And because g(w) is nonempty and compact, the ||w' x|| is finite. Since the infimum of a set of finite non-negative value is also finite non-negative, dist<sub>C</sub>(x) must also be finite non-negative.
  - (ii) Continuity: Given two points  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $w^*$  be the point in C that achieves the infimum for x (i.e.  $||w^* x|| = \text{dist}_C(x)$ ). Then

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{dist}_{C}(y) & \leqslant \|w^{*} - y\| \\ & = \|(w^{*} - x) + (x - y)\| \\ & \leqslant \|w^{*} - x\| + \|x - y\| \\ & = \operatorname{dist}_{C}(x) + \|x - y\| \end{split} \tag{by triangle inequality}$$

By symmetry, we can also show that  $\operatorname{dist}_C(x) \leq \operatorname{dist}_C(y) + \|x - y\|$ . Therefore, we have  $|\operatorname{dist}_C(x) - \operatorname{dist}_C(y)| \leq \|x - y\|$ . This means that  $\operatorname{dist}_C(x)$  is Lipschitz continuous with modulus 1, which implies continuity.

(c) Consider two disjoint closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$B_1 = \{ w \mid ||w - (0,0)|| \le 1 \}$$

$$B_2 = \{ w \mid ||w - (4,0)|| \le 1 \}.$$

Let  $C = B_1 \cup B_2$ . C is not convex since the line segment between any point in  $B_1$  and any point in  $B_2$  is not entirely contained in C. Consider three points:  $x_1 = (0,0)$ ,  $x_2 = (4,0)$ , and  $x_{mid} = (2,0)$ . Clearly,  $dist_C(x_1) = dist_C(x_2) = 0$ , and  $dist_C(x_{mid}) = 1$ . Since

$${\rm dist}_{C}(x_{\rm mid}) = {\rm dist}_{C}(\frac{1}{2}x_{1} + \frac{1}{2}x_{2}) = 1 \geqslant 0 = \frac{1}{2}\,{\rm dist}_{C}(x_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}\,{\rm dist}_{C}(x_{2}),$$

 $dist_{\mathbb{C}}(x)$  is not convex.

Q3 Grade:

Given a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , its indicator function is the function  $\delta_X : \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  given by

$$\delta_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in X \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin X \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that if X is a closed set,  $\delta_X$  is a closed function.
- (b) Show that if X is a convex set,  $\delta_X$  is a convex function.

#### Solution

(a) *Proof.* To show that  $\delta_X$  is a closed function, we need to show that the epigraph of  $\delta_X$  is a closed set. The epigraph of  $\delta_X$  is defined as

$$epi(\delta_X) = \{ (x, \alpha) \mid \alpha \ge \delta_X(x) \}.$$

Since X is a closed set, we have  $\delta_X(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\delta_X(x) = +\infty$  for all  $x \notin X$ . Therefore, the epigraph of  $\delta_X$  can be written as

$$\operatorname{epi}(\delta_X) = \{ (x, \alpha) \mid \alpha \geqslant 0, x \in X \} \cup \{ (x, \alpha) \mid \alpha \geqslant +\infty, x \notin X \}.$$

The first set is the product of a closed set and a closed interval, which is closed. The second set is an empty set  $\emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\operatorname{epi}(\delta_X)$  is a union of a closed set and an empty set, which is closed. This means that  $\delta_X$  is a closed function.

(b) *Proof.* To show that  $\delta_X$  is a convex function, we need to show that

$$\delta_X(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda \delta_X(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)\delta_X(x_2) \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

(i) If  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , then  $\delta_X(x_1) = \delta_X(x_2) = 0$ . Therefore, with X is a convex set, we have

$$\delta_X(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) = 0 \le 0 = \lambda \delta_X(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)\delta_X(x_2).$$

(ii) If either  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  (or both) is not in X, then the right side of the inequality becomes infinite. Therefore, the inequality holds trivially.

This concludes that  $\delta_X$  is a convex function.

## Q4 Grade:

Suppose  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a nonempty closed convex cone and  $y \notin K$ . Using the separating hyperplane theorem, show that there exists a vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\langle a, x \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $\langle a, y \rangle > 0$  (this is equivalent to showing that there is a hyperplane separating y from K that passes through the origin).

### Solution

Since K is a nonempty closed convex cone and  $y \notin K$ , we have  $K \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$ . Therefore, by the separating hyperplane theorem, there exists a vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\langle \alpha, x \rangle \leqslant 0$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $\langle \alpha, y \rangle > 0$ . This is equivalent to showing that there is a hyperplane separating y from K that passes through the origin. This concludes the proof.