

## Homework 2

Kailong Wang

November 16, 2023

### Q1

**Grade:**

Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  is a convex function and  $x \in \text{dom } f$ . Show that for any  $d \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the function  $g_d : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  defined by

$$g_d(\alpha) = \frac{f(x + \alpha d) - f(x)}{\alpha}$$

is non-decreasing.

### Solution

Since  $f$  is convex, we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y). \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda \in [0, 1] \quad (1)$$

To show that  $g_d(\alpha)$  is non-decreasing, we need to show

$$\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \Rightarrow g_d(\alpha_1) \leq g_d(\alpha_2). \quad \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, \infty)$$

Let  $\lambda = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \in [0, 1]$  (because  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2$ ), then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + \alpha_1 d) &= f\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}(x + \alpha_2 d) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)x\right) \leq \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}f(x + \alpha_2 d) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}\right)f(x) && \text{by eq. (1)} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha_2 f(x + \alpha_1 d) \leq \alpha_1 f(x + \alpha_2 d) + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)f(x) && \text{multiply } \alpha_2 \text{ on both sides} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha_2 f(x + \alpha_1 d) - \alpha_2 f(x) \leq \alpha_1 f(x + \alpha_2 d) - \alpha_1 f(x) && \text{subtract } \alpha_2 f(x) \text{ on both sides} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{f(x + \alpha_1 d) - f(x)}{\alpha_1} \leq \frac{f(x + \alpha_2 d) - f(x)}{\alpha_2} && \text{some algebra} \\ &\Rightarrow g_d(\alpha_1) \leq g_d(\alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $g_d(\alpha)$  is non-decreasing.

### Q2: Non-convex Projections (similar to exercise 2.11 in the text). **Grade:**

Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a non-empty closed set (but possibly not convex), and consider any point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- Show that the function  $g(w) \doteq \|w - x\|$  must have a nonempty, compact set of minima over  $C$ . Denote this set by  $P_C(x)$ .
- Show that  $\text{dist}_C(x) \doteq \inf_{w \in C} \|w - x\|$  is an everywhere finite-valued and continuous function of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . (If you like, you can show that it is Lipschitz continuous with modulus 1, which implies continuity.)
- Give an example showing that if  $C$  is not convex,  $\text{dist}_C$  need not be convex.

**Solution**

(a) *Proof.* To show that the function  $g(w) = \|w - x\|$  must have a nonempty, compact set of minima over the closed set  $C$ , we can use the fact that  $C$  is nonempty and closed.

(i) If  $x \in C$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\min_{w \in C} g(w) &= \|w - x\| \\ &= 0, \quad \forall w = x \in C\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $P_C(x) = \{x\}$ , which is nonempty and compact.

(ii) If  $x \notin C$ , then  $g(w) = \|w - x\| > 0$  for all  $w \in C$ . We can then prove by contradiction. Assume that  $g(w) = \|w - x\|$  does not have any minimum points within  $C$ . This means that for any point  $w \in C$ , there exists a sequence of points  $\{w_n\}$  such that

$$g(w_n) \leq g(w)$$

for all  $n$  (i.e.  $w_n$  gets arbitrary close to  $x$ ). Since  $C$  is closed, the limit of this sequence, denoted as

$$w^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n,$$

must also be in  $C$  because the limit of a sequence in a closed set belongs to that set. Moreover, since  $g(w)$  is continuous, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(w_n) = g(w^*).$$

But this would imply that  $g(w^*) = 0$  (because  $g(w_n)$  gets arbitrary close to 0), which means  $w^* = x$ . However, since  $x \notin C$ , we have a contradiction. Therefore,  $g(w)$  must have a nonempty, compact set of minima over  $C$  (at least one minimum point).

□

(b) *Proof.* We show  $\text{dist}_C(x)$  is everywhere finiteness and continuous as follows:

(i) **Finiteness:** For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $\|w - x\| \geq 0$  for all  $w \in C$  since the norm is always non-negative. Given that  $C$  is nonempty and closed, there exists some  $w' \in C$ , for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $\|w' - x\| \geq 0$ . And because  $g(w)$  is nonempty and compact, the  $\|w' - x\|$  is finite. Since the infimum of a set of finite non-negative value is also finite non-negative,  $\text{dist}_C(x)$  must also be finite non-negative.

(ii) **Continuity:** Given two points  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $w^*$  be the point in  $C$  that achieves the infimum for  $x$  (i.e.  $\|w^* - x\| = \text{dist}_C(x)$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{dist}_C(y) &\leq \|w^* - y\| \\ &= \|(w^* - x) + (x - y)\| \\ &\leq \|w^* - x\| + \|x - y\| && \text{(by triangle inequality)} \\ &= \text{dist}_C(x) + \|x - y\|\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, we can also show that  $\text{dist}_C(x) \leq \text{dist}_C(y) + \|x - y\|$ . Therefore, we have  $|\text{dist}_C(x) - \text{dist}_C(y)| \leq \|x - y\|$ . This means that  $\text{dist}_C(x)$  is Lipschitz continuous with modulus 1, which implies continuity.

□

(c) Consider two disjoint closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}B_1 &= \{w \mid \|w - (0, 0)\| \leq 1\} \\ B_2 &= \{w \mid \|w - (4, 0)\| \leq 1\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $C = B_1 \cup B_2$ .  $C$  is not convex since the line segment between any point in  $B_1$  and any point in  $B_2$  is not entirely contained in  $C$ . Consider three points:  $x_1 = (0, 0)$ ,  $x_2 = (4, 0)$ , and  $x_{mid} = (2, 0)$ . Clearly,  $\text{dist}_C(x_1) = \text{dist}_C(x_2) = 0$ , and  $\text{dist}_C(x_{mid}) = 1$ . Since

$$\text{dist}_C(x_{mid}) = \text{dist}_C\left(\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2\right) = 1 \geq 0 = \frac{1}{2}\text{dist}_C(x_1) + \frac{1}{2}\text{dist}_C(x_2),$$

$\text{dist}_C(x)$  is not convex.

**Q3****Grade:**

Given a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , its *indicator function* is the function  $\delta_X : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  given by

$$\delta_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in X \\ +\infty & \text{if } x \notin X \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that if  $X$  is a closed set,  $\delta_X$  is a closed function.
- (b) Show that if  $X$  is a convex set,  $\delta_X$  is a convex function.

**Solution**

- (a) *Proof.* To show that  $\delta_X$  is a closed function, we need to show that the epigraph of  $\delta_X$  is a closed set. The epigraph of  $\delta_X$  is defined as

$$\text{epi}(\delta_X) = \{ (x, \alpha) \mid \alpha \geq \delta_X(x) \}.$$

Since  $X$  is a closed set, we have  $\delta_X(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\delta_X(x) = +\infty$  for all  $x \notin X$ . Therefore, the epigraph of  $\delta_X$  can be written as

$$\text{epi}(\delta_X) = \{ (x, \alpha) \mid \alpha \geq 0, x \in X \} \cup \{ (x, \alpha) \mid \alpha \geq +\infty, x \notin X \}.$$

The first set is the product of a closed set and a closed interval, which is closed. The second set is an empty set  $\emptyset$ . Therefore,  $\text{epi}(\delta_X)$  is a union of a closed set and an empty set, which is closed. This means that  $\delta_X$  is a closed function.  $\square$

- (b) *Proof.* To show that  $\delta_X$  is a convex function, we need to show that

$$\delta_X(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \leq \lambda \delta_X(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)\delta_X(x_2) \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

- (i) If  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , then  $\delta_X(x_1) = \delta_X(x_2) = 0$ . Therefore, with  $X$  is a convex set, we have

$$\delta_X(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) = 0 \leq 0 = \lambda \delta_X(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)\delta_X(x_2).$$

- (ii) If either  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  (or both) is not in  $X$ , then the right side of the inequality becomes infinite. Therefore, the inequality holds trivially.

This concludes that  $\delta_X$  is a convex function.  $\square$

**Q4****Grade:**

Suppose  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a nonempty closed convex cone and  $y \notin K$ . Using the separating hyperplane theorem, show that there exists a vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\langle a, x \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $\langle a, y \rangle > 0$  (this is equivalent to showing that there is a hyperplane separating  $y$  from  $K$  that passes through the origin).

**Solution**

Since  $K$  is a nonempty closed convex cone and  $y \notin K$ , we have  $K \cap \{y\} = \emptyset$ . Therefore, by the separating hyperplane theorem, there exists a vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\langle a, x \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $\langle a, y \rangle > 0$ . This is equivalent to showing that there is a hyperplane separating  $y$  from  $K$  that passes through the origin. This concludes the proof.