

# **Introduction to Quantum Mechanics**

Kenneth Wang

April 14, 2024



# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>1 Why Quantum Mechanics?</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Waves as Particles: The photoelectric effect . . . . .	1
1.2 Particles as Waves: Interference of individual electrons . . . . .	2
1.3 Line Spectra in Atoms . . . . .	3
1.4 Everyday Quantum Mechanics . . . . .	4
1.5 Spin and Measurement . . . . .	5
1.6 Summary . . . . .	7
<b>2 Time-Independent Quantum Dynamics</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 The Wavefunction . . . . .	9
2.2 Operators and Expectation Values . . . . .	12



# Why Quantum Mechanics?

# 1

Born out of the failure of classical physics to explain everyday phenomenon, quantum mechanics, and its extension to quantum field theory, is one of the most powerful and predictive theories in physics to this day. Understanding quantum mechanics has led to technological developments that range from laser barcode scanners to MRI machines. The promises of quantum mechanics in the future include new paradigms of computing and sensing. The goal of this introductory chapter is to gain an appreciation for the key conceptual ideas of quantum mechanics and its applicability in the everyday world.

1.1 Waves as Particles: The photoelectric effect . . . . .	1
1.2 Particles as Waves: Interference of individual electrons . . . . .	2
1.3 Line Spectra in Atoms . . . . .	3
1.4 Everyday Quantum Mechanics . . . . .	4
1.5 Spin and Measurement . . . . .	5
1.6 Summary . . . . .	7

## 1.1 Waves as Particles: The photoelectric effect

In a classical wave (think of water or the string of a violin), the energy of the wave is related to its amplitude. A higher wave carries more energy, and if a violin is plucked harder, it'll sound louder. This holds true, even for electromagnetic waves which have energy density given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{EM}} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_0|\mathbf{E}|^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu_0}|\mathbf{B}|^2 \quad (1.1)$$

However, experiments performed in the late 19th century began to change this perception. In 1887, Hertz discovered that ultraviolet light, whose distinguishing feature is its high *frequency* not amplitude, could create vigorous sparks between metal electrodes. The ejection of electrons from a material due to light was dubbed the **photoelectric effect**, and was a beautiful confirmation of the relationship between light and electromagnetism, a cornerstone of Maxwell's theory.

In 1902, Lenard set up an ingenious experiment to measure both the **number** and **energy** of the ejected electrons from a metal due to a source of light. The ejected electrons from the metal were guided to a collector and the current was measured with a precision ammeter. The energy of the electrons was measured by applying a potential  $V_{\text{stop}}$  to the collector to repel the electrons. What Lenard found was that by increasing the brightness (amplitude) of the light source, the current increased, but  $V_{\text{stop}}$  was unaffected! In fact, the frequency of light impacted  $V_{\text{stop}}$ , where higher frequencies required larger stopping potentials. This confirmed Hertz's earlier observation of the potency of ultraviolet light.

These observations were finally explained by Einstein in 1905<sup>1</sup>. He postulated that the energy from light must come from *packets* or *quanta*. Each quantum of light has energy that is proportional to frequency

$$E = h\nu \quad (1.2)$$

where  $h$  is the Planck constant<sup>2</sup>. Ultraviolet light, which is at a higher frequency, imparted more energy on each ejected electron, while the

1: This year was known as Einstein's *annus mirabilis* (or miracle year). Einstein published 4 papers: an explanation of the photoelectric effect, Brownian motion, special relativity, and the mass-energy equivalence. He won the Nobel Prize in 1921 for the photoelectric effect. Let us all have our miracle years!

2: Max Planck introduced this constant to explain the blackbody radiation spectrum and solve the ultraviolet catastrophe in 1900. This experiment is another key result in the beginnings of quantum mechanics.

amplitude increased the *number* of quanta, and hence the number of ejected electrons (the current in Lenard's experiments). It is worth noting here that an increased amplitude *does* increase the energy (there is no conflict with classical thinking), but it increases it via the *number* of quanta. Each quanta's energy is related to its frequency.

With the introduction of energy quanta, it became clear that light, which was classically thought of as a wave, *also has particle like behavior*. These particles of light became known as **photons**. Each photon also has a momentum given by

$$p = \frac{E}{c} = \frac{h\nu}{c} = \frac{h}{\lambda} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $E = pc$  is the energy of a massless relativistic particle,  $c$  is the speed of light and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength.

## 1.2 Particles as Waves: Interference of individual electrons

Now, we discuss a situation where particles can exhibit wave-like behavior, which is at the heart of the description of quantum mechanics. Another classical wave phenomenon is interference. Wave amplitudes can add together constructively to create larger waves, but also cancel each other destructively. Applying this principle to waves sent through multiple slits give rise to interference patterns. Thomas Young, in 1803, demonstrated that light was a wave by experimentally verifying these interference patterns.

However, would particles, such as electrons, exhibit such behavior? In 1927, Clinton Davisson and Lester Germer showed that when electrons are diffracted off a crystal of Nickel, an interference pattern due to its crystal structure was visible in the locations of the diffracted electrons. A double-slit experiment was finally performed by Claus Jonsson in 1961 further confirming that electron beams did exhibit wave-like behavior. Perhaps most surprisingly, Pier Giorgio Merli, Giulio Pozzi and GianFranco Missiroli in 1976 performed a double-slit experiment with *single* electrons. Although one might conceive that beams of electrons can contain individual electrons which interfere with others, Merli, Pozzi and Missiroli's experiment showed that single electrons also *interfere*, and they interfere with themselves<sup>3</sup>! In fact, this is in agreement with quantum mechanics where individual particles are treated as having **wavefunctions** and being waves themselves.

3: Akira Tonomura and colleagues at Hitachi performed a single-electron double-slit experiment in 1989. A video is available on YouTube, where you can see the electrons accumulating one at a time, yet together they eventually display an interference pattern. I encourage you to look it up!

Just as light can have a momentum which is related to its wavelength, massive particles have a wavelength that is associated with its momentum. Letting  $p = mv$  and using equation 1.3, we can solve for the **de Broglie wavelength**

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{mv} \quad (1.4)$$

For massive particles, like electrons, to diffract, the size of the slits must be on the same order as the de Broglie wavelength. The idea that particles can behave like waves and waves can behave like particles is known as **wave-particle duality**<sup>4</sup>. This surprising experimentally based discovery required a new understanding of physics and led to the theory of quantum mechanics.

As we begin to think of particles like waves and waves like particles, it is important to stop briefly for a philosophical interlude. It is easy to get caught up in what is truly physical reality or what is actually happening, which is often rooted in one's daily experiences. Unfortunately, daily experiences are heavily influenced by the laws of classical mechanics, which is precisely why theories like electromagnetism and quantum mechanics<sup>5</sup> were difficult to accept and required paradigm shifts. To avoid these hairy issues, I advise you to always remember that quantum mechanics is indeed *backed up by real physical experiments*. Regardless of the exact interpretations (which might be held back by classical conceptions), our goal is to develop a predictive and explanatory framework for physical phenomenon. This goal is not impeded, no matter how one might want to interpret the results, as long as the same results are acquired. With that prelude, we now turn to gain more intuition on how quantum phenomenon *are* actually present in everyday phenomenon, and can start forming the basis for some intuition.

4: As we will see in this book, quantum mechanics places an emphasis on treating particles like waves. In this sense, particles have a very special place, as wavefunctions are written for particles or collections of particles. However, in many high energy processes, particles can be created or destroyed. The key insight in **quantum field theory** is that the fundamental objects are actually quantum fields that pervade all space. Particles are merely excitations in the quantum field. This is a topic for another course.

5: For more information, I recommend Peter Dear's book, *The Inteligibility of Nature*.

## 1.3 Line Spectra in Atoms

Another one of the first areas in nature where features of quantum mechanics were elucidated was in the spectra of atoms. The concept of an atom, from the Greek *atomon*, meaning indivisible, was first introduced by Democritus in ancient Greece. He proposed that atoms made up all matter, and this theory gained steam in the 19th century, where a collection of scientists including Antoine Lavoisier verified the composition of water being two parts hydrogen and one part oxygen. Through explorations of cathode rays, J.J. Thompson in 1897 concluded that atoms were divisible after all and included subatomic particles, like negatively charged electrons. The discovery of the electron was awarded the Nobel Prize in 1906. Attention then turned to the structure of the atom. These electrons were initially thought to be evenly distributed across a positively charged background that composed the atom, and was coined the *plum pudding model*. In 1911, Ernest Rutherford bombarded gold foil with alpha particles, and found that most particles passed straight through. This experiment confirmed that the atom was mostly empty space, and he posited that a positively charged nucleus was concentrated in the center of the atom. Around the same time and earlier, scientists discovered that light is composed of different colors, and that gases of certain chemical elements emitted light of particular colors or frequencies. Using the insight from Einstein, these frequencies correspond to a discrete set of energies. In order for atoms to emit only a discrete spectrum, Niels Bohr postulated that electrons orbited the nucleus at fixed distances, which corresponded to a discrete set of possible energies.

Attempting to match experimentally measured spectra, Bohr proposed that these orbits were quantized such that the angular momentum of the

electron were integer multiples of  $\hbar = h/(2\pi)$

$$mvr = n\hbar \quad (1.5)$$

where  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Here, Bohr indeed finds that the same Planck constant that had been the key to understanding photons and blackbody radiation should be used here! The circular orbit requires that the centripetal force equal the electrostatic force between the electron of charge  $-e$  and nucleus (for Hydrogen) of charge  $e$ :

$$\frac{mv^2}{r} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \quad (1.6)$$

Combining equations 1.5 and 1.6, we can solve for the unknowns,  $v$  and  $r$  of the orbits:

$$v = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 n\hbar}, r = \frac{n^2 \hbar^2 (4\pi\epsilon_0)}{me^2}. \quad (1.7)$$

The energy of the orbit is given by the kinetic plus the potential energy:

$$E = \frac{mv^2}{2} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \quad (1.8)$$

$$= -\frac{me^4}{2(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2 n^2 \hbar^2} = -\frac{\text{Ry}}{n^2} \quad (1.9)$$

where the Rydberg constant is defined from this formula. Remarkably, this simple model, along with this phenomenological quantization that depends on  $h$ , does in fact agree with experiment and agrees with a more sophisticated model that we will develop later in this book. This quantization of energy, first seen in atoms is key to understanding many physical phenomena as well as designing technologies that are used everyday.

## 1.4 Everyday Quantum Mechanics

The idea that energy levels in atoms and molecules are discretized is leveraged in many modern technologies. Discretization leads to atoms and molecules having particular absorption and emission transition frequencies. In contrast to incandescent light bulbs, which produce a continuous (white) light spectrum from heat<sup>6</sup>, neon lights use neon gas to give off a distinctive orange glow. Other so called "neon lights" use hydrogen for purple-red light or mercury for blue light. In all these cases, the gas is ionized via *electricity*, and then they relax to lower energy states emitting particular wavelengths. A more controlled emitter and the basis for many modern lasers are based on semiconductors. Even in the solid state, quantum mechanics predicts a "band-gap", or a gap in the energy spectrum, preferentially allowing for emission at a particular frequency. LED (light-emitting diode) lights use a combination of laser diodes at different frequencies to produce white (or apparently white) light of

6: A hot light bulb can be approximated as a blackbody



different "temperatures". The reason LED lights are far more efficient than incandescent ones is precisely the ability to concentrate the energy into particular frequencies combined with the human eye's lack of need for a continuous spectrum. We see white just fine, even if it's actually only a few wavelengths put together<sup>7</sup>.

Concentration of energy at a particular frequency is a simplified definition for a laser<sup>8</sup>. Lasers have seen use as barcode scanners where the reflection is measured to determine the pattern of black and white that form a barcode. The same principle is also used in security systems where a blocked laser beam indicates an obstruction, which could signal a thief in your home. When you make a phone call with your cellphone, a *proximity sensor* uses an infrared laser to sense that you are close to your phone and therefore locks your screen to prevent "ear touches". This concentration of energy in a laser can also be used to heat up objects. Have you ever tried to kill an ant with a magnifying glass in the sun? In this case, you are concentrating the energy from the sun. High power lasers are used in laser cutting, which can even cut through steel.

High powers are required when an object does not absorb very much in the frequency range of your source. However, as we have discussed atoms and molecules have very particular frequencies. Water is known to have transitions in the microwave region, which is how the modern microwave works. It targets the frequency of a transition in water around 2.45 GHz, causing the water molecules to heat up and warm up your food. It is dangerous to microwave metal, precisely since it does not have a transition and this frequency and will reflect the powerful waves that your microwave emits, causing other areas of the microwave to be hit by the radiation.

The selectivity of radiation also allows for non-invasive imaging that is essential in modern medicine. Our skin reflects visible light<sup>9</sup>, but it allows radio frequencies through just fine. This transparency to radio frequencies allows this radiation to probe structures *inside* our bodies. It turns out the nuclei of hydrogen (protons) have transitions in these radio frequencies. Since hydrogen is prevalent in the body in many compounds, radio frequencies can be used to measure water and fat content in the body<sup>10</sup>. This imaging technique is known as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and is a key diagnostic tool for soft-tissue, which does not have the type of crystalline solid structure to diffract x-rays. MRI imaging has been used in joints to identify tears in ligaments, but also in the brain to identify the onset of Alzheimer's disease.

These particular examples just scratch the surface of how quantum phenomenon *are* present in our everyday lives. As we progress in this book, other relevant examples will be brought up when necessary. Since quantum mechanics is a very non-intuitive subject, I believe that real world examples are especially important to grasp the concepts. Plus, they are just really cool.

## 1.5 Spin and Measurement

We have discussed many of the salient features of quantum mechanics that are leveraged in modern technologies, namely the concept of quantization

7: In many cases, it's only 3! The so-called RGB color encoding comes from this idea.

8: A laser is an acronym for light amplification by stimulated emission of radiation. The stimulated here, in some sense, refers to a transition frequency being favored. Lasers are said to emit *coherent* light, compared to a light bulb's *incoherent* light. Higher quality lasers often have a smaller *linewidth*, which describes exactly how narrow their spectrum is. Typical semiconductor lasers for research use have linewidths of 1 MHz or lower. Laser pointers can have linewidths of a few nanometers. Visible light has frequencies of 100s of THz (or wavelengths of 100s of nanometers), so it is quite remarkable how concentrated coherent light from a laser is! It is worth noting that another requirement typical for a laser is a good spatial *mode*. In other words, lasers should propagate in a straight line, and not diverge too much. LEDs for lighting do not have this requirement, since it would be hard to light up a room with individual laser beams.

9: Though not the same can be said about our friend *C. elegans*.

10: If you've ever taken a MRI, you may have realized that you need to be placed into a big magnet. This giant magnet is required to create the discrete spectrum needed for spectral selectivity. It is worth noting that the chemical environment of the protons (what molecule the protons are a part of) also impacts these very precise frequencies. Chemists use this fact all the time in a technique called nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) to fingerprint their compounds.

of energy. However, experiments uncovered another key area where quantization appears that will need to be captured in any quantum theory, and also served as a verification of the powerful framework of quantum mechanics that began in the early 20th century. This area is the quantization of *intrinsic angular momentum*, typically called **spin**.

Otto Stern and Walther Gerlach in 1922 performed their famous **Stern-Gerlach experiment**, where a beam of hot silver atoms was sent through an inhomogeneous magnetic field. If these silver atoms have a magnetic moment,  $\mu$ , then their energy in this magnetic field is given by

$$U = -\mu \cdot \mathbf{B} \quad (1.10)$$

and subsequent force is given by:

$$\mathbf{F} = \mu \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} \quad (1.11)$$

The inhomogeneous magnetic field in the Stern-Gerlach experiment created a gradient in the  $z$ -direction, which then deflects the atoms in the  $z$  direction. After this magnetic field region, the silver atoms would be detected on a screen. One would expect a distribution of silver atoms at different  $z$  positions on the screen arising from different  $z$  components of the angular momentum. Surprisingly<sup>11</sup>, the experiment showed two distinct spots of atoms. This observation suggests:

- ▶ Atoms can only have two discretized values of the magnetic moment in the  $z$  direction.
- ▶ Even though the silver atoms were initially in a thermal distribution of magnetic moments that can point in any direction, the apparatus itself has *forced* the atoms to have a value for the magnetic moment in the  $z$  direction.

The first consequence is simply a verification of the quantization of angular momentum. However, the second consequence has a deeper mysterious meaning in quantum mechanics, which has been the subject of much debate and many interpretations of quantum mechanics<sup>12</sup>. The idea that the atoms have been *forced* to have a value in the  $z$  direction when it could have conceivably not is known as **measurement**. The apparatus could be said to be a *detector* for the magnetic moment in the  $z$  direction. Upon detection, the particle will only take on its allowed values<sup>13</sup>. Furthermore, if a second Stern-Gerlach apparatus is placed after just one of these beams, the particles will deflect in the *same* direction as in the first apparatus. This phenomenon has come to be known as **collapse of the wavefunction**, which captures the idea that after measurement, a quantum system will then be in the state that the measurement suggests. Despite initially being in a thermal distribution, the silver atoms have taken a stand, so to speak, and are now firmly in this state, even upon subsequent measurement. Measurement has been one of the most puzzling features of quantum mechanics and will need to be dealt with carefully. However, it is once again apt to mention that we are developing mathematical frameworks of conceptual models to understand and predict experimental results! If it is non-intuitive, stick to the guns of the framework and don't get too caught up on what it actually means!

11: Surprising to us, but Stern and Gerlach had expected this result! The experiment was designed specifically to test the quantization of angular momentum (and thus magnetic moment) that was used by Bohr to explain the line spectra of atoms.

12: For a discussion of this, check out Steven Weinberg's *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*

13: Precisely, the eigenvalues of the observable, but that will be discussed later!

## 1.6 Summary

Through many beautiful experiments starting from the 19th century and continuing to the modern day, quantum mechanics has been verified time and time again. Grossly non-intuitive, quantum mechanics posits that light, classically thought of as waves, requires a particle like description and likewise, electrons, classically thought of as particles, requires a wave like description. To understand the line spectra of atoms, a heuristic quantization condition was introduced by Bohr. Although we did not elaborate here, quantization can be seen as a consequence of the wave-like behavior of particles analogous to how a string can only support a discrete set of frequencies, corresponding to the supported standing waves<sup>14</sup>. Discretization of frequencies enables technologies like efficient lighting, lasers and medical imaging. Equating all of quantum mechanics to a discretization of energy is a gross oversimplification, but it is worth noting all the consequences that such a discretization of energy results in. With this prelude, I hope I have made you excited about the journey we are about to embark on. To really appreciate, understand and make use of quantum mechanics, we will need to engage in mathematical framework that will require concepts from linear algebra to calculus. However, I hope you always keep this introductory chapter in mind, and not get lost in the throws of mathematical calculation. The mathematics is describing a *beautiful* set of experimental results and useful technologies. Enough prelude, let's go.

14: We will elaborate on this in the next chapter!



# Time-Independent Quantum Dynamics

# 2

We begin our journey into quantum mechanics by providing a wave-description of particles, called the **wavefunction**, and its interpretation. Central to any discussion of physics is the dynamics of such physical states, which is given by the **Schrödinger equation**. Dynamics is hardly a simple matter and a subject of intense study in modern research. However, we delve specifically into a special class of problems, namely *time-independent* ones, where dynamics can be described precisely and in some cases solved for exactly and analytically. We then proceed through a few instructive examples of these problems to begin developing intuition for quantum mechanics.

2.1 The Wavefunction . . . . . 9

2.2 Operators and Expectation Values . . . . . 12

## 2.1 The Wavefunction

In classical mechanics, particles are described by their position,  $\mathbf{r}$  and velocity  $\mathbf{v}$ . These properties then evolve under the influence of **forces** by Newton's Second Law:

$$\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a} = m \frac{d^2\mathbf{r}}{dt^2} \quad (2.1)$$

Solving classical mechanics problems then involves solving this second order differential equation.

In quantum mechanics, particles are no longer in definite positions, nor are they moving in particular directions. To describe a particle, we use a **wavefunction**, which is a complex valued function of space,  $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ <sup>1</sup>. Now, what does this wavefunction actually *mean* or predict? The square of the wavefunction<sup>2</sup> value at a particular location is the probability of finding the wavefunction at that location:

$$P(\mathbf{r}) = \psi(\mathbf{r})^* \psi(\mathbf{r}) = |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2. \quad (2.2)$$

This interpretation is known as the **Born interpretation of the wavefunction** or the **Born rule**. To find the probability of finding the particle in a particular volume,  $\mathcal{V}$ , we integrate over the volume:

$$P(\text{particle in } \mathcal{V}) = \int_{\mathcal{V}} d^3\mathbf{r} |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2 \quad (2.3)$$

Since the particle must be found somewhere, it must be **normalized**, meaning the integral over all space must be 1:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2 = 1. \quad (2.4)$$

1: This is actually quite a restrictive description. As we'll see in the next chapter, the quantum formalism can be used to describe degrees of freedom that are intrinsic to particles, like **spin**, which have nothing to do with space. This description is coming, but for now, let's just work in position space, and for these situations, it is perfectly fine to think of the wavefunction as a complex valued function of space.

2: We have to take the square, because it's complex valued and a probability has to be positive and real valued

**Example 2.1.1** Consider a particle in 1D that has a equal probability of being found between  $x = -3$  and  $x = 3$ . Write down its wavefunction.

*Solution:* Since the particle has an uniform probability of being found at any position, it must have a constant value, which we denote as  $C$ . The normalization condition demands that

$$\int_{-3}^3 dx C^2 = 1 \implies C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \quad (2.5)$$

Thus, the wavefunction is given by:

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \text{ for } -3 \leq x \leq 3 \quad (2.6)$$

3: This description may seem unnecessarily terse, but it is important training to be able to work out these factors! Imagine you are in a lab, and you are able to measure 1/2 a peak quite well, and you need to relate this to an analytical form to use in predicting other quantities. This is practical training for that eventuality!

**Example 2.1.2** Consider a particle in 1D with a Gaussian probability distribution, centered at  $x = 0$  with a full width at half maximum<sup>3</sup> of  $w$ . Write down its wavefunction.

*Solution:* A Gaussian probability distribution is one where the probability of finding the particle in space is given by:

$$\psi(x) = Ae^{-x^2/d^2} \quad (2.7)$$

We now need to relate  $d$  to the full width half maximum  $w$ , and also determine the normalization constant  $w$ . We need to find the  $x_0$  such that the *probability* (which is the square of the wavefunction!) reaches half its maximum value at  $x = 0$ . Namely, we solve the equation:

$$|\psi(x = x_0)|^2 = A^2 e^{-2x_0^2/d^2} = A^2/2 \implies w = 2x_0 = d\sqrt{2\ln(2)} \quad (2.8)$$

Expressing equation 2.7 with  $w$  gives us our wavefunction in terms of  $w$ :

$$\psi(x) = Ae^{-2\ln(2)x^2/w^2} \quad (2.9)$$

Now, we need to determine our normalization constant  $A$ . To do this, we need to perform the famous Gaussian integral. You may already know how to do this and can skip to the result, but since it is so important and beautiful, I will reproduce it here.

To make it easier to keep track of constant factors, let's perform our calculation on the Gaussian with a simple  $a^2$  in the denominator<sup>4</sup>. Let the result of our integral be  $C$ :

$$C = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-x^2/a^2} \quad (2.10)$$

As a trick, note that an integral of this quantity in a second dimension will have the same value  $C$ :

4: Note that we have been using  $a^2$  to ensure that the units of  $a$  are the same as the units of  $x$ .

$$C = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-y^2/a^2} \quad (2.11)$$

We can multiply these two one dimensional integrals together and Fubini's theorem tells us that evaluating these two one dimensional integrals is the same as evaluating a double integral:

$$C^2 = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-x^2/a^2} \right) \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{-y^2/a^2} \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx dy e^{-(x^2+y^2)/a^2} \quad (2.12)$$

Now, we reap the fruits of going into the second dimension! We perform a change of variables into polar coordinates<sup>5</sup>:  $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ ,  $dx dy = r dr d\theta$ .

$$C^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^{\infty} dr r e^{-r^2/a^2} = 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} dr r e^{-r^2/a^2} \quad (2.13)$$

Now, we can perform the  $r$  integration, through a simple change of variables. Let  $u = (r/a)^2$ ,  $du = (2r/a^2)dr$ :

$$C^2 = \pi a^2 \int_0^{\infty} du e^{-u} = \pi a^2 \implies C = |a| \sqrt{\pi} \quad (2.14)$$

When  $a = 1$ , we get the celebrated result that the integral of  $e^{-x^2}$  is  $\sqrt{\pi}$ !

Finally, we return to our problem. Normalization requires

$$1 = A^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-4 \ln(2) x^2 / w^2} \quad (2.15)$$

For us,  $a = w/(2\sqrt{\ln(2)})$ , thus we obtain:

$$1 = A^2 \frac{w}{2\sqrt{\ln(2)}} \sqrt{\pi} \implies A = \left( \frac{4 \ln(2)}{\pi w^2} \right)^{1/4} \quad (2.16)$$

Hence, our wavefunction is:

$$\psi(x) = \left( \frac{4 \ln(2)}{\pi w^2} \right)^{1/4} e^{-2 \ln(2) x^2 / w^2} \quad (2.17)$$

5: Of course there is a definition of  $\theta$ , but our integrand has no dependence on it. The definition is via  $x = r \cos \theta$ ,  $y = r \sin \theta$

### Arbitrary Global Phase

The astute reader may have noticed that the wavefunctions we wrote in the above example are not unique! Since the wavefunction is complex-valued, any of the above probability distributions are only unique up to a **arbitrary global phase**. In other words, any of the above wavefunctions could be transformed in the following way:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\varphi} \psi(x) \quad (2.18)$$

6: Recall for complex numbers  $|\psi(x)|^2 = \psi^*(x)\psi(x) = \psi(x)\psi^*(x)$

7: We could have also chosen  $\varphi = \pi$  to obtain a real result

This transformation keeps the probability density unchanged<sup>6</sup>, and therefore (as we will see) all physical observables will remain unchanged. Thus, the *physical consequences* of this global phase  $\varphi$  are *unobservable*, which means that any written wavefunction has an arbitrary choice of this phase. In the above examples, we have implicitly assumed that the wavefunctions are real, which means we have chosen  $\varphi = 0$ .<sup>7</sup>

### Orthogonality of Wavefunctions

8: We will formalize this later as an inner product

We have introduced the concept of normalization of wavefunctions, which provides the physical constraint that probabilities must sum to one. It is useful to also introduce a concept of an “overlap” between wavefunctions and with it, orthogonality<sup>8</sup>. The overlap of two wavefunctions,  $\psi_1(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $\psi_2(\mathbf{r})$  can be defined as the integral:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} \psi_1^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_2(\mathbf{r}) \quad (2.19)$$

Two wavefunctions are orthogonal, if the overlap is zero.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} \psi_1^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_2(\mathbf{r}) = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

With concepts of orthogonality and normalization defined, we can already begin to see that the mathematical structure that wavefunctions live in are very much like a vector space. Continuing down this thread, we note that there are bases of wavefunctions,  $\{\phi_i(x)\}$ , where:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{ij} \quad (2.21)$$

We will see that it will be very useful to decompose wavefunctions into certain bases.

## 2.2 Operators, Observables and Expectation Values

Now that we have introduced how quantum states are represented, we would like to extract physical observables from these states as well as evolve them in time. Our description already provides the probability of finding particles at particular positions. Using probability theory, the expectation value of any function of position can be simply calculated as:

$$E(f(\mathbf{r}))_\psi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} f(\mathbf{r}) |\psi(\mathbf{r})|^2 \quad (2.22)$$

where  $E$  denotes the expectation value, and the subscript  $\psi$  indicates the underlying probability distribution (or wavefunction) that is under consideration.



**Example 2.2.1** Mean position of a simple distribution

A particularly useful expectation value is the **uncertainty in position** or standard deviation of the position, which gives a sense of how spread out the wavefunction is. In 1D, the variance (square of the standard deviation),  $(\Delta x)_\psi^2$  can be calculated as:

$$(\Delta x)_\psi^2 = E(x^2)_\psi - (E(x)_\psi)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x^2 |\psi(x)|^2 - \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx x |\psi(x)|^2 \right)^2 \quad (2.23)$$

As we will see in a later chapter, the **uncertainty principle** places bounds on this uncertainty, which has far-reaching consequences that we will discuss in due time.

**Example 2.2.2** Uncertainty in Gaussian**Position Operator**

Up until now, we have simply been using probability theory to calculate the mean position and variance of a particular state. These results can be reasoned through mathematics. However, we'd like to generalize these concepts to physical observables that are not simply direct functions of position. For instance, what is the momentum<sup>9</sup> or kinetic energy of a given state? Are these even the right questions to ask?

To begin addressing the conceptual framework for answering these questions<sup>10</sup>, we will write the mean position of our previous discussion in sort of a funny way:

$$E(x)_\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x) x \psi(x) \quad (2.24)$$

Conceptually, we can think of this expectation value as

9: Classically, the momentum of a particle is a derivative of position:  $p = m \frac{dx}{dt}$ . However, this does not generalize well to our current quantum description. The particle does not have a well defined position, so it is not obvious what this derivative even means. We will see the generalization shortly.

10: This framework is ultimately backed by experiment! Take it as postulate.

