Assignment 3-Solutions

a.
$$S = \{ \pm 15, \pm 30, \pm 45, ... \}$$

Q2.

a. i. Statement.

7: There does not exist a pair of irrational numbers

a, b such that a.b is rational.

 $\overline{\omega}$

(preform version) For every pair of irrational number a, 5,

a.b is irrational.

ii. Not a statement.

iii. Statement

7: The sum of interior angles of a triangle is not 180°.

iv. I decided this one was too ambiguously worded as I did not count it.

6. non-quantified: The square root of 16 is 4.

The square root of 16 is not 4.

quantified: For any two points P+Q in the xy-plane, there is a unique line passing through P and Q.

7: I two points P≠Q in the xy-plane such that there is multiple lines pass through P and Q.

van-statements: X+Y=10.

Find the critical points of f(x) = e2x.

Q3.

a. The proof aims to be a proof by contradiction, meaning it wonts to arrive at a logical impossibility (e.o. p^2 is both even and odd). We know by the statement that ab > cd. If the proof was in fact by contradiction, then the logical impossibility should involve ab > cd, namely the steps of the proof should have led to something like $ab \leq cd$. Instead, ChatGPT supposts that ab < b(ctal) is the contradictory statement to ab > cd, which it in fact is not.

- b. The prompted proof is (likely to be) exactly the same as the proof we saw in class.
- c. ChatGPT might mention that it is a "classic proof by contradiction". ChatGPT is able reproduce simple well-human proofs, but strusples to prove (or disprove) lesser human or more complicated statements.

d. Answers may vory.

ex. Chat GPT would be very useful for petting easy access to proofs of "classic Mecrems".