Question 1

For each set S given below, describe the set using other two notations: sentence notation, roster notation, or set-builder notation. (Hint: to find a suitable sentence for b. and c, think about what the set is describing. The set-builder form for a and b is not unique.) (Note: When describing the set in roster notation, you need to provide enough elements to make the set in roster notation, you need to provide enough elements to make the set clear.) [6 Marks]

a. The set S of integers divisible by 3 and 5.

Roster Notation: $S = \{15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90\}$

The mistake that I made was I forgot to include the negative numbers.

Roster Notation: $S = \{\pm 15, \pm 30, \pm 45, \pm 60, \pm 75, \pm 90\}$ — This is the correct answer.

Set-Builder Notation: $S = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n = 3k \land n = 5p\}$

	k	p	3k	5p
	1	1	1	1
Proof.	1	0	0	0
	0	1	0	0
	0	0	0	0

In order to satisfy the condition for S, n must be a multiple of both 3 and 5. The statement would be false any other way.

b.
$$S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}$$

Sentence Notation: The set of all positive integers that are factors of 12.

Set-Builder Notation:

$$\begin{split} S &= \{1,2,3,4,6,12\} \\ P &= \{12,6,4,3,2,1\} \\ S &= \{\forall n \in S(n) \forall p \in P(p) : n = \frac{12}{n}\} \end{split}$$

- I thought this was an interesting question, and I couldn't figure out how to properly write out an answer for it what I find interesting is as the number n increases then number for p decreases. I'm not sure how to properly write this out in set-builder notation. So, I just started throwing math at it.

c.
$$S = \{4^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Sentence Notation: The set of integers that raise 4 to the power of k.

Set-Builder Notation: $S = \{1, 4, 16, 64\}$

The mistake that I made was I forgot to include the negative numbers for k.

Set-Builder Notation: $S = \{..., \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{4}, 1, 4, 16, ...\}$

Question 2

If you revisit our proof that sqrt(2) is irrational, you will see that negation played an important role. There are in fact two methods of proof for which negation is the necessary first step: proof by contradiction and proof by contraposition (we will explore these both later in the course). It is then important that we understand how to find the negation of a statement.

- a. Identify if each of the following sentences are statements. For each statement, write a negation.
- i. There exists a pair of irrational number a and b such that $a \times b$ is a rational number.

Statement: True

Proof. Let
$$a = b$$
, $a = \sqrt{2}$, $b = \sqrt{2}$

$$a = \sqrt{2}$$

$$b = \sqrt{2}$$

$$a \times b = \sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$$

$$a \times b = 2$$

Negation: There does not exist a pair of irrational numbers a and b such that $a \times b$ is a rational number.

Proof. Let
$$a = b$$
, $a = \pi$, $b = \pi$

$$a = \pi$$

$$b = \pi$$

$$a \times b = \pi \times \pi$$

$$a \times b = \pi^{2}$$

What I forgot: I forgot to include the negation

Negation: $a \times b$ is rational.

ii. The set $\{3n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Statement: True

Proof. Let
$$n = 1, n = 2, n = 3$$

$$3 \times 1 = 3$$

 $3 \times 2 = 6$
 $3 \times 3 = 9$

Negation: The set $\{3n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}^C$

Proof. Let n = 1, n = 2, n = 3

$$3 \times 1 = 3$$
$$3 \times 2 = 6$$
$$\{3n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}^C$$
$$= (3 \cup 6)$$

iii. The sum of interior angles of a triangle is $180 \ \mathrm{degrees}.$

Statement: True

Proof.

Let
$$a = 60^{\circ}$$

Let $b = 60^{\circ}$
Let $c = 60^{\circ}$
 $a + b + c = 180^{\circ}$

Negation: The sum of interior angles of a triangle is not 180 degrees.

Proof.

$$a + b + c \neq 180^{\circ}$$

b. Compose two mathematical statements (one quantified and on non-quantified), and two mathematical non-statements. For each statement, write a negation.

What I did wrong: I didn't even see this problem... Here's my answer.

- i. Mathematical Statement
- Statement 1 Quantified Statement: For all n that is a positive integer, n is divisible by 2.

Negation: There exists a positive integer n that is not divisible by 2.

Statement 2 Non-Quantified Statement: If n is greater than m the statement is true. Negation: If n is less than or equal to m the statement is false.

- ii. Mathematical Non-Statement
- Statement 1 Quantified Non-Statement: $f(0) = \frac{1}{x} = \text{undefined}$

Negation: $f(0) = \frac{1}{x} \neq \text{undefined}$

Statement 2

Non-Quantified Non-Statement: $x^2 + 2x + 1 = 0$

Negation: $x^2 + 2x + 1 \neq 0$

Question 3

Proof. Let's consider two pairs of positive integers: (a, b) and (c, d), where a, b, c, d are positive integers. We are given that the product of the first pair is larger than the product of the second pair, i.e., ab > cd

Now, let's analyze the sum of each pair:

Sum of the first pair: a + b

Sum of the second pair: c + d

We want to prove that if ab > cd, then a+b > c+d. Let's assume, for the sake of contradiction, that $a+b \le c+d$. Now, we can rearrange this inequality to get:

$$a \le c + d - b$$

Now, multiply both sides by b to get:

$$ab \le bc + bd - b^2$$

Since ab > cd (as given in the problem), we have a contradiction because ab cannot be both greater than and less than b(c+d). Therefore, our assumption that $a+b \le c+d$ must be false. This implies that a+b > c+d. So, we have successfully proven that if the product of one pair of positive integers is larger than the product of another pair, then the sum is also larger.

- a. As we saw in Assignment 2 Question 1f., this statement is in fact false. Critique the "proof" given by ChatGPT. (Hint: What statements in the proof are correct? What statements in the proof are false? What statements in the proof are out of place? What statements in the proof make no sense?)
 - 1. ChatGPT's proof was not a proof, it was a statement. In order to prove something you have to prove it against something else. in the example given:

Example: Define odd number as n = 2p + 1 for some integer p.

Explanation This establishes a working definition. From here you can begin to proved something about n.

2. There is an assumption that $a+b \le c+d$ which is not true, because the domain of the problem is not defined. So, there is no telling if $a+b \le c+d$ is true or not.

What I did Wrong: This wasn't exactly what you were looking for.

What you were looking for: This proof aims for contradiction, and it fails to do so.

b. Prompt ChatGPT to prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. How does the proof compare to our proof during class?

ChatGPT: Prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

```
Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that \sqrt{2} is rational. Therefore, it can be
expressed as a fraction in its simplest form: \sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}, where a and b are integers with
no common factors other than 1, and b is not zero.
Now, square both sides of the equation to get rid of the square root:
2b^2 = a^2
This implies that a^2 is an even number (since it is twice another integer, 2b^2). If a^2 is
even, then a must also be even because the square of an odd number is odd.
Now, let's express a as 2k, where k is an integer:
2b^2 = (2k)^2
2b^2=4k^2
b^2=2k^2
This means that b^2 is also even, and consequently, b is also even.
However, if both a and b are even, they have a common factor of 2, which contradicts
our assumption that \frac{a}{b} is in its simplest form. This contradiction arises from assuming
that \sqrt{2} is rational.
Therefore, we conclude that \sqrt{2} is irrational.
```

Figure 1: ChatGPT Proof of $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Answer: It differs slightly, but for the most part it is the same. We chose different variables such as p and q instead of a and b.

c. Why do you think ChatGPT was able to provide a correct proof of that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, but failed in the above example?

I think that ChatGPT gave a correct proof for the irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$ is because ChatGPT is a predictive text modeler not a logic machine. Odds are that there is more information about the proof of $\sqrt{2}$ being irrational then the example in the above example.

The GPT in ChatGPT stands for Generative Pre-trained Transformer. The way it works is, that we give the machine a prompt and it takes publicly available information or whatever is in its data-set and gives you a response based on what would statistically make sense given its parameters.

d. In what ways do you think ChatGPT can be a useful tool regarding proofs in mathematics?

My answer is that ChatGPT isn't built for doing mathematical proofs outside of its data-sets. There are certain areas of math where it works well such as propositional logic, but for the most part its unreliable. That being said, it doesn't mean that there won't exist a machine that can be designed to prove something. In fact there have been many built specifically for that purpose, I'd encourage you to read Problem solved: 90-year-old math problem cracked by AI they built a machine designed specifically to prove a conjecture. All that being said, my personal opinion is relying on a AI to me is like relying on a GPS system to get you around everywhere. it's handy but if you don't know how to read a map or understand directions do you really know where you're going?