Supplementary Material: Epigenomic prediction of cardiovascular risk and interactions with traditional risk metrics

Supplementary Methods

Women's Health Initiative

WHI methylation data came from the BA23 ancillary study, a combined case-control and pseudo case-cohort sampling of 2129 women from the Women's Health Initiative study. WHI is a larger prospective cohort beginning in 1993 that included over 160,000 postmenopausal women from across the United States¹. Included subjects had no self-reported CVD at baseline, and cases were chosen based on incident centrally adjudicated angina, revascularization, or CHD event during follow-up. Inclusion criteria for methylation measurement resulted in an oversampling of African American and Hispanic participants. Blood samples used for measurement of DNA methylation and clinical biochemistry were taken at baseline. Data are available in the dbGaP public repository (accession: phs000200.v11.p3; downloaded on September 27, 2017).

Framingham Heart Study Offspring Cohort

FHS methylation data came from a substudy of the Framingham Heart Study that measured DNA methylation in 2726 subjects from the Offspring Cohort. The Framingham Offspring Cohort was originally established in 1971 to follow 5209 children of the original Framingham Heart Study participants and their spouses². Fasting blood samples for both methylation and clinical biochemistry were collected from participants at Exam 8, which took place from 2005-8. Blood samples were also provided for clinical biochemistry measurements in previous exams, constituting the "past exposures" examined here. Data are available in the dbGaP public repository (accession: phs000007.v29.p10; downloaded on September 27, 2017). Adjudicated cardiovascular event data was collected through 2015, and events were defined here as any of: myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, stroke (approximately 90% being ischemic), or death from CHD (Framingham event codes 1-29). FHS methylation data were collected in two primary batches in two centers - one in subjects from a nested case-control for CVD measured at Johns Hopkins University (FHS-JHU)³, and the other in a larger set of remaining Framingham Offspring participants measured at the University of Minnesota (FHS-UM).

Lothian Birth Cohorts

The Lothian Birth Cohorts consist of two birth cohorts (born in 1921 and 1936) established in the Lothian region of Scotland^{4,5}. Only the 1936 cohort was analyzed here. Blood samples were collected in three waves starting in 2004, with our primary analyses here focusing on samples from Wave 1 (2004-2007). Cardiovascular outcomes were defined as general CVD or stroke determined at each wave, and event times for survival models were approximated based on the time between Wave 1 and the wave at which the event was reported. LBC data are accessible through the European Genome-phenome Archive (accession: EGAD00010000604).

REGICOR

The REGICOR dataset analyzed here consisted of a nested case-control for myocardial infarction within the larger REGICOR (REgistre GIroní del COR) cohort from the Girona Province in Catalonia (Spain). Whole blood samples were collected from 391 total participants, with those from cases generally collected within 24 hours of the event. Characteristics for this population are available in Supp. Table S3.

Supplementary Tables and Figures

Table S1: MRS performance in held-out FHS subset without past CVD events

Model	HR per s.d. MRS	p
$Unadjusted^1$	1.55	1.9e-08
Basic^2	1.27	7.3e-03
Plus risk factors ³	1.30	6.0e-03
$FRS only^4$	1.37	7.7e-05

¹ No covariates

 $^{^2}$ Adjusted for age, sex, and estimated cell type fractions

³ Additionally adjusted for BMI, LDL, HDL, SBP, diabetes status, and current smoking

⁴ Adjusted for Framingham Risk Score only

Table S2: MRS stability as evaluated by using multiple withinsubject measurements. Generic ICC heuristics for reference: 0-0.5 = poor, 0.5-0.75 = moderate, 0.75 - 0.9 = good, 0.9-1 = excellent.

Cohort	Group type	# of pairs/groups	ICC
FHS	Duplicates	26	0.85
LBC36	Samples over multiple visits	758	0.68
LBC36	Samples over subsequent visits (Wave 1 & 2)	758	0.69
LBC36	Samples over longer time frame (Wave 1 & 3)	758	0.61

Table S3: Description of REGICOR myocardial infarction nested case-control population (continuous variables presented as: mean (standard deviation))

Sample size	391
Prior myocardial infarction	50.1%
Ancestry (% European)	100%
Age	63.2(6.9)
Sex (% female)	48.6
Smoking	21.5%
Body mass index	28.5(4.8)
Hypercholesterolemia	53.0%
Hypertension prevalence	57.1%
Diabetes prevalence	24.7%




Figure S1: Comparison of modeling approaches. Performance metrics are shown as a function of the test dataset, either FHS-UM (a) or REGICOR (b), and the covariate adjustment. Performance is quantified by either hazard ratio from Cox models (a) or odds ratio from logistic models (b). Covariate sets used for adjustment for models named here are identical to their descriptions for the regression models presented above. Errors bars represent standard errors for the hazard ratio or odds ratio estimates.

Table S4: Validation of Framingham Risk Score

Study	HR per s.d. MRS	p
WHI	1.50	4.7e-61
FHS-JHU	1.40	9.9e-06
FHS-UM	1.63	8.5e-22
LBC	1.01	9.2e-01




Figure S2: Interactions of MRS with other biomarkers of CVD risk. a) Hazard ratios for the MRS within subsets of 10-year generalized CVD risk according to the Framingham Risk Score. b) Hazard ratios for the MRS within quartiles of a genetic cardiovascular risk score (in white participants only for WHI). Hazard ratios are estimated using the final MRS, which was trained using each of these datasets. Stratum-specific Cox regressions were adjusted for age, sex, and estimated cell subtype fractions. Estimates for strata with less than 25 incident events are not shown. Error bars represent standard errors for the hazard ratio estimates (cut off above in panel (a) for ease of visualization of other points).

Table S5: Risk factor-stratified MRS performance in the REGICOR dataset

Risk factor group	OR per s.d. MRS [95% CI]	N
Q1	4.49 [1.64-12.28]	119
Q2	1.17 [0.67 - 2.04]	90
Q3	2.58 [1-6.68]	60
Q4	1.2 [0.31 - 4.59]	55

Supplementary References

- 1. Anderson GL, Cummings SR, Freedman LS, Furberg C, Henderson MM, Johnson SR, Kuller LH, Manson JE, Oberman A, Prentice RL, Rossouw JE. Design of the Women's Health Initiative Clinical Trial and Observational Study. *Controlled Clinical Trials.* 1998;19:61–109.
- 2. Kannel WB, Feinleib M, Mcnamara PM, Garrison RJ, Castelli WP. An investigation of coronary heart disease in families: The framingham offspring study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1979;110:281–290.
- 3. Joehanes R, Ying S, Huan T, Johnson AD, Raghavachari N, Wang R, Liu P, Woodhouse KA, Sen SK, Tanriverdi K, Courchesne P, Freedman JE, O'Donnell CJ, Levy D, Munson PJ. Gene Expression Signatures of Coronary Heart Disease. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology.* 2013;33:1418–1426.
- 4. Deary IJ, Gow AJ, Pattie A, Starr JM. Cohort Profile: The Lothian Birth Cohorts of 1921 and 1936. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2012;41:1576–1584.
- 5. Taylor AM, Pattie A, Deary IJ. Cohort Profile Update: The Lothian Birth Cohorts of 1921 and 1936. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2018;47:1042–1042r.