SMM634 Answers - Model for Binary Data

- (a) install.packages("AER")
 library(AER)
 data(SwissLabor)
 - (b) The variable participation must be converted to a numeric variable using as.numeric() as glm() does not accept the dependent variable to be of class factor. This will turn participation = no into participation = 1 and participation = yes into participation = 2, so using -1 we obtain the values 0 and 1.

 - (d) All coefficients are statistically significant, except for that associated with education which is marginally significant. As for the interpretation, the probability of participation decreases by 0.18 when income increase by 1% (keeping constant all other regressors); the probability of participation decreases by 0.05 when age increase by one unit (which in this case is a decade); finally, the probability of participation decreases by 0.01 if education increases by one year.

Residual standard error: 0.4888 on 868 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.04232, Adjusted R-squared: 0.03901 F-statistic: 12.79 on 3 and 868 DF, p-value: 3.507e-08

```
(e) swiss_probit <- glm(participation.b ~ income + age + education,
                     family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = SwissLabor)
  > summary(swiss_probit)
  Call:
  glm(formula = participation.b ~ income + age + education,
     family = binomial(link = "probit"), data = SwissLabor)
  Deviance Residuals:
     Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
  -1.6995 -1.1041 -0.8071 1.1810 1.9059
  Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
  (Intercept) 5.96528 1.22159 4.883 1.04e-06 ***
  income -0.49631
                     0.11731 -4.231 2.33e-05 ***
            age
```

```
education -0.02855 0.01533 -1.862 0.0625.
  Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
   (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
      Null deviance: 1203.2 on 871 degrees of freedom
  Residual deviance: 1164.8 on 868 degrees of freedom
  AIC: 1172.8
  Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
  > swiss_logit <- glm(participation.b ~ income + age + education,
                      family = binomial, data = SwissLabor)
  > summary(swiss_logit)
  Call:
  glm(formula = participation.b ~ income + age + education, family = binomial,
      data = SwissLabor)
  Deviance Residuals:
            1Q Median
      Min
                                 3Q
                                        Max
  -1.6918 -1.1044 -0.8097 1.1800 1.8826
  Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
   (Intercept) 9.61688 2.02506 4.749 2.04e-06 ***
                         0.19405 -4.123 3.73e-05 ***
  income
             -0.80014
             -0.20171 0.06731 -2.997 0.00273 **
  age
  education -0.04636 0.02477 -1.871 0.06130 .
  Signif. codes: 0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1
   (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
      Null deviance: 1203.2 on 871 degrees of freedom
  Residual deviance: 1165.0 on 868 degrees of freedom
  ATC: 1173
  Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
(f) They are not comparable because the effects are measured on a different scale.
(g) predictions_p <- predict(swiss_probit,</pre>
                           newdata = data.frame("income" = c(10.70, 10.70),
   "age" = c(4,4), "education" = c(11, 12)),
                           type = "response")
  diff(predictions_p)
  -0.01121074
  predictions_l <- predict(swiss_logit,</pre>
                           newdata = data.frame("income" = c(10.70, 10.70),
                           "age" = c(4,4),
```

The effects on the probability are the same for the logit and probit model; that is when education increases from 11 to 12 years, the probability of participation decreases by 0.01.

```
(h) predictions_p <- predict(swiss_probit,</pre>
                               newdata = data.frame("income" = c(10.70, 10.70),
                               "age" = c(4,4), "education" = c(1, 2)),
   +
                               type = "response")
   > diff(predictions_p)
             2
   -0.01132117
   > predictions_l <- predict(swiss_logit,
                               newdata = data.frame("income" = c(10.70, 10.70),
   +
                               "age" = c(4,4),
                               "education" = c(1, 2),
                               type = "response")
   +
   > diff(predictions_l)
   -0.01149688
```

The effects do not change. They seem to be constant.

(i) The effect of education on the probability of participation is -0.011283 in the linear probability model which is the same as those obtained using a logit and a probit.