Canonical Correlation Analysis

Canonical Correlation analysis (CCA) aims to quantify the associations between two sets of variables, each set consists of two or more variables. The association is measured by correlation, thus is on linear relationships of the two groups of variables.

Examples

Do last year's labor data relate or explain this year's labor situation?

Are the math science performances of the students related to their reading performances?

Are there associations between government policies and economic variables?

For a decathlon athlete, is his track event performance predictive of his performance in field events?

CCA data and objectives

The data for canonical correlation analysis are of n observations, each observation is a vector with p+q components. The vector components form two groups of interest.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1p} & y_{11} & y_{12} & \cdots & y_{1q} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2p} & y_{21} & y_{22} & \cdots & y_{2q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{j1} & x_{j2} & \cdots & x_{jp} & y_{j1} & y_{j2} & \cdots & y_{jq} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{np} & y_{n1} & y_{n2} & \cdots & y_{nq} \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ p \text{ variables} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \text{1st observation}$$

In the data matrix, each row can be viewed as the measurement of a sample point in \mathbb{R}^{p+q} from a random vector

$$[X_1 \cdots X_p \ Y_1 \cdots Y_q]$$

We can think of the data as two data matrices of dimensions $n \times p$ and $n \times q$, observed together.

The objective of canonical correlation analysis is to quantify the association between two variable groups $\{X_1, \dots, X_p\}$ and $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_q\}$, in terms of linear correlation.

1 Population canonical variates and canonical correlations

Notations

In theoretical development of the method, we will consider the corresponding population random vectors $\left[egin{array}{c} X \\ Y \end{array}
ight]$ where

$$oldsymbol{X} = \left[egin{array}{c} X_1 \ X_2 \ dots \ X_p \end{array}
ight] = \left[X_1 \ X_2 \ \cdots \ X_p
ight]', \qquad oldsymbol{Y} = \left[egin{array}{c} Y_1 \ Y_2 \ dots \ Y_q \end{array}
ight] = \left[Y_1 \ Y_2 \ \cdots \ Y_q
ight]'$$

Remarks on notations

Another common notation for $\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix}$ is $\begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{bmatrix}$, as in Johnson and Wichern, using double subscripts indexing component variables,

 $\boldsymbol{X}_1 = (X_{11}, \cdots, X_{1p})', p$ -variate random vector,

 $X_2 = (X_{21}, \cdots, X_{2q})', q$ -variate random vector

The formulation of CCA

We investgate the canonical correlation structure on the two population vectors $\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_p \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_q \end{bmatrix}$.

Goal of CCA:

The first and foremost objective for CCA is to find a pair of variables, a'X and b'Y, each a linear combination of the original variables in each group,

$$(a'X, b'Y) = (a_1X_1 + \dots + a_pX_p, b_1Y_1 + \dots + b_qY_q),$$

so that the correlation of the new pair of variables represent the maximum correlation between the two groups of original variables. (Notes: Here a_i , b_i simply denote the scalar components of arbitrary vectors a and b.)

In other words, find

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}} Corr(\boldsymbol{a}'\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{b}'\boldsymbol{Y})$$
 among all $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p, \ \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^q.$

After finding such a pair, the derivation process may continue to find the next maximum-correlated pair of linear combinations among all pairs uncorrelated with the first selected pair, and so on.

Conventions

These successively chosen pairs of linear combinations are called **canonical variables** or **canonical variates**, the correlations between canonical variate pairs are termed **canonical correlations**.

Without loss of generality, p < q is often used as a convenient assumption on the sizes of the two sets of variables.

Treating the two sets of random variables jointly as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \ \mathbf{Y}' \end{bmatrix}' = [X_1 \ \cdots \ X_p \ Y_1 \ \cdots \ Y_q]'$$

Their expectation vector and covariance matrix are formulated as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{X} \\ \boldsymbol{Y} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{array}\right]_{(p+q)\times 1}, \qquad Cov\left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{X} \\ \boldsymbol{Y} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{array}\right]_{(p+q)\times (p+q)}$$

Note that Σ_{12} is a p-by-q matrix, Σ_{21} is a q-by-p matrix, $\Sigma_{21}' = \Sigma_{12}$.

Population canonical variates

Consider a pair of linear combinations of the variables:

$$U = \mathbf{a}' \mathbf{X}, \quad V = \mathbf{b}' \mathbf{Y}, \qquad \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p, \quad \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^q.$$

Then U and V are univariate random variables, with

$$cov(U, V) = \mathbf{a}'Cov(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}'\Sigma_{12}\mathbf{b}$$

 $var(U) = \mathbf{a}'Cov(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}'\Sigma_{11}\mathbf{a}$
 $var(V) = \mathbf{b}'Cov(\mathbf{Y})\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}'\Sigma_{22}\mathbf{b}$

Canonical correlation analysis seeks a and b to maximize the correlation

$$corr(U,V) = \frac{cov(U,V)}{\sqrt{var(U)}\sqrt{var(V)}} = \frac{a'\Sigma_{12}b}{\sqrt{a'\Sigma_{11}a}\sqrt{b'\Sigma_{22}b}}$$
(1)

Note that replacing a and b by their constant multiples will not change the correlation (1). Hence for convenience of this derivation, we normalize a and b by the constraints

$$var(U) = \mathbf{a}' \Sigma_{11} \mathbf{a} = 1, \quad var(V) = \mathbf{b}' \Sigma_{22} \mathbf{b} = 1.$$

Maximizing correlation in (1) can be described as

Maximize
$$\rho = \rho_{a,b} = a' \Sigma_{12} b$$
 under constraints $a' \Sigma_{11} a = 1$, $b' \Sigma_{22} b = 1$.

Just like the way we derived principal components, Lagrange Multiplier method can be used to find the solutions.

In the following we will go through the procedure to find <u>canonical variates</u> a and b which achieve the maximization of <u>canonical correlation</u> in (1). The steps utilize the Lagrange Multiplier method, properties of symmetric and positive semidefinite matrices, and the singular value decomposition of matrices.

Proof. Derivation of canonical variates (The leading pair)

The Lagrange multiplier setup

The optimization problem of CCA can be formulated by the method of Lagrange Multipliers by setting the Lagrangian function

$$L = \boldsymbol{a}' \Sigma_{12} \boldsymbol{b} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda (\boldsymbol{a}' \Sigma_{11} \boldsymbol{a} - 1) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma (\boldsymbol{b}' \Sigma_{22} \boldsymbol{b} - 1).$$

Optimal ${\pmb a}$ and ${\pmb b}$ that maximize $\rho = {\pmb a}' \Sigma_{12} {\pmb b}$ must occur at a critical point at which $\frac{\partial L}{\partial {\pmb a}} = {\pmb 0}_p, \frac{\partial L}{\partial {\pmb b}} = {\pmb 0}_q.$

Differentiating L and equating the derivatives to zero vectors,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial a} &= \Sigma_{12} \boldsymbol{b} - \lambda \Sigma_{11} \boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{0}_{p} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{b}} &= \Sigma_{21} \boldsymbol{a} - \gamma \Sigma_{22} \boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{0}_{q} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In the second equation, we used $\Sigma_{21} = \Sigma'_{12}$.

The values of Lagrange multipliers

To find out the values of λ and γ satisfying (2), left multiply a' and b' to the two equations in (2) respectively. Notice that, the scalar

$$\rho = \mathbf{a}' \Sigma_{12} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}' \Sigma_{21} \mathbf{a},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{array}{lll} \pmb{a}' \Sigma_{12} \pmb{b} - \lambda \pmb{a}' \Sigma_{11} \pmb{a} = \rho - \lambda = 0 & \Rightarrow & \lambda = \rho & (since \ \pmb{a}' \Sigma_{11} \pmb{a} = 1) \\ \pmb{b}' \Sigma_{21} \pmb{a} - \gamma \pmb{b}' \Sigma_{22} \pmb{b} = \rho - \gamma = 0 & \Rightarrow & \gamma = \rho & (since \ \pmb{b}' \Sigma_{22} \pmb{b} = 1) \end{array}$$

3

So (2) is simplified as

$$\Sigma_{12}b - \rho \Sigma_{11}a = \mathbf{0}_p$$

$$\Sigma_{21}a - \rho \Sigma_{22}b = \mathbf{0}_q$$
(3)

Next we need to solve for a and b. Note that the two equations above are in different dimensions.

Vectors a and b as eigenvectors of matrices

First, we solve for a in (3). To cancel out the b terms (then simplify the a term in the first equation), left multiplying the first equation in (3) by $\rho \Sigma_{11}^{-1}$, then left multiply the second equation by $\Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1}$,

$$\rho \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \boldsymbol{b} - \rho^2 \boldsymbol{a} = \mathbf{0}_p$$

$$\Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \boldsymbol{a} - \rho \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \boldsymbol{b} = \mathbf{0}_p$$

Now the two equations are of the same dimensions, we can add the two equations to cancel out the b terms.

$$\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}a - \rho^2a = \mathbf{0}_p$$

Write the equation as

$$(\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21} - \rho^2 \mathbf{I}_p)\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}_p$$

If there are ρ^2 and $a \neq \mathbf{0}_p$ satisfying the equation, then ρ^2 is an eigenvalue of $p \times p$ matrix $\mathbf{A} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21}$, and \mathbf{a} is an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} with eigenvalue ρ^2 . Analogous derivation will yield

$$(\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12} - \rho^2 \mathbf{I}_q)\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}_q$$

Then ${\pmb b}$ is an eigenvector of the $q\times q$ matrix ${\pmb B}=\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}\Sigma_{11}^{-1}\Sigma_{12}$ with eigenvalue ρ^2 , if there are ρ^2 and ${\pmb b}\neq {\pmb 0}_p$ satisfying the equation.

To obtain exact expressions for our objective vectors a, b, we need to obtain properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrices A and B.

Eigenvalues of matrices A and B

We have obtained

$$(\mathbf{A} - \rho^2 \mathbf{I}_p)\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}_p$$

 $(\mathbf{B} - \rho^2 \mathbf{I}_a)\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}_a$

What are possible eigenvalues of the matrices A and B, and what are their relationships?

Not that matrices A and B are not necessarily symmetric. There existence of real eigenvalues and their ranges are not readily established.

In the above, the eigenvalue is of the form ρ^2 , hinting the non-negativeness of the eigenvalues, which is a property of positive semidefinite matrices. To relate A and B with symmetric, positive semidefinite matrices, first recall that any symmetric positive (semi)definite matrix can be written as the square of a symmetric positive (semi)definite matrix, which can be denoted as the "square root" matrix of the original one. Assume that Σ_{11}, Σ_{22} are positive definite, consequently so are their inverse matrices. We may write

$$\Sigma_{11} = \Sigma_{11}^{1/2} \Sigma_{11}^{1/2}, \qquad \Sigma_{22} = \Sigma_{22}^{1/2} \Sigma_{22}^{1/2}, \qquad \Sigma_{11}^{-1} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}, \qquad \Sigma_{22}^{-1} = \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2}$$

where $\Sigma_{ii}^{1/2}$ is the symbol for a symmetric positive definite that its square is Σ_{ii} , etc. Noe that such matrices decompositions are not necessarily unique. Now rewrite

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} (\Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2}) (\Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}) \Sigma_{11}^{1/2} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} (\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{C}') \Sigma_{11}^{1/2}$$
(4)

with

$$\pmb{C} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2}$$

a p-by-q matrix. Similarly

$$\boldsymbol{B} = \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} = \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} (\Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}) (\Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2}) \Sigma_{22}^{1/2} = \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} (\boldsymbol{C}' \boldsymbol{C}) \Sigma_{22}^{1/2}$$
 (5)

Recall from matrix algebra that if a matrix $M_1=Q^{-1}M_2Q$ for some invertible matrix Q, then matrices M_1 and M_2 are "similar", often denoted as $M_1\sim M_2$. Similar matrices share the same eigenvalues.

Expression (4) shows that $A \sim CC'$, thus A has the same eigenvalues as CC'

Similarly, (5) implies that $B \sim C'C$, thus B has the same eigenvalues as C'C.

It is efficient and convenient to focus on the properties of CC' and C'C and their eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The eigenvectors of CC' and C'C will lead to eigenvectors of A and B, which are the objectives of CCA.

Common non-zero eigenvalues of CC' and C'C: ρ_i^{*2}

Matrices CC' and C'C have several nice algebraic properties:

- They are symmetric matrices (while A, B are not necessarily symmetric). Therefore all of their eigenvalues
 are real, and the eigenvectors can be chosen to be mutually orthogonal.
- They are positive semi-definite matrices. Therefore the eigenvalues are non-negative.
- \bullet In addition, C'C and CC' share the same nonzero eigenvalues.

Label and sort the p eigenvalues of the $p \times p$ matrix CC' in descending order as

$$\rho_1^{*2} \ge \rho_2^{*2} \ge \dots \ge \rho_r^{*2} \ge 0, \qquad r = \min\{p, q\} \qquad (\rho_i^* \ge 0)$$

The top r eigenvalues $\rho_i^{*2}, i=1,\cdots,r$, equal to the r largest eigenvalues of the $q\times q$ matrix C'C. Other eigenvalues of C'C or CC' must be zero.

By the similarity relationship in (4) and (5), eigenvalues $\rho_1^{*2}, \cdots, \rho_r^{*2}$, are ordered r largest eigenvalues of A and B as well. All other eigenvalues of A and B must be zero.

Orthonormal eigenvectors of CC' and C'C: e_i and f_i

Below we obtain related eigenvectors of CC' and C'C, which will lead to eigenvectors of A and B.

Let e_i be the p-variate unit eigenvector of CC' corresponding to eigenvalue ρ_i^{*2} , $i=1,\cdots,r$.

$$CC'e_i = \rho_i^{*2}e_i$$
.

Then

$$C'C(C'e_i) = C'(CC'e_i) = C'(\rho_i^{*2}e_i) = \rho_i^{*2}(C'e_i)$$

which means the q-variate vector $C'e_i$ and its multiples are eigenvectors of C'C with eigenvalue ρ_i^{*2} . This also shows that CC' and C'C share the same non-zero eigenvalues.

In particular, we choose f_i to be the q-variate unit eigenvector of CC' corresponding to eigenvalue ρ_i^{*2} . Since f_i and $C'e_i$ are eigenvectors of the same eigenvalue, we may let $f_i = c_iC'e_i$ for some constant c_i , and $f_i'f_i = 1$.

By the symmetric, positive semi-definiteness of CC', $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^p$ can be obtained and can be chosen to be orthonormal:

$$e'_i e_j = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & when \ i = j, \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
 $i, j = 1, \cdots, p.$

To determine the constatn c_i in f_i , use the desired orthogonal condition

$$f'_i f_j = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & when \ i = j, \\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

That is.

$$f'_i f_j = (c_i C' e_i)' (c_j C' e_j) = c_i c_j e'_i C C' e_j = c_i c_j e'_i \rho_i^{*2} e_j = c_i c_j \rho_i^{*2} \delta_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \iff c_i = 1/\rho_i^{*}$$

which leads to

$$\mathbf{f}_i = (1/\rho_i^*)\mathbf{C}'\mathbf{e}_i$$

Orthnormal eigenvectors can be established for zero eigenvalues

Now both $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^p \{f_i\}_{i=1}^q$ are chosen to be orthonormal:

$$\boldsymbol{e}_i'\boldsymbol{e}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{when } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, p; \qquad \boldsymbol{f}_i'\boldsymbol{f}_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{when } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad i, j = 1, \cdots, q.$$

Eigenvectors of A and B: a_i and b_i

۱۵

$$a_i = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} e_i, \quad b_i = \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} f_i.$$

The

$$\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{a}_i = \boldsymbol{A}(\Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{e}_i) = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{C}'\Sigma_{11}^{1/2}(\Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{e}_i) = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{C}'\boldsymbol{e}_i = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}(\rho_i^{*2}\boldsymbol{e}_i) = \rho_i^{*2}(\Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{e}_i) = \rho_i^{*2}\boldsymbol{a}_i$$

Therefore $a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{-1/2} e_i$ is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue ρ_i^{*2} for $i = 1, \dots, p$.

Similarly we can show that $b_i = \sum_{22}^{-1/2} f_i$ is an eigenvector of B with eigenvalue ρ_i^{*2} for $i = 1, \dots, q$.

Optimal a and b maximizing canonical correlation

The canonical variate pairs a_i, b_i are eigenvectors of matrices A, B with the same eigenvalue ${\rho_i^*}^2$. The pair of linear combinations $a_i'X$ and $b_i'Y$ has correlation

$$\begin{split} \rho &= corr(\boldsymbol{a}_i'\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{b}_i'\boldsymbol{Y}) = cov(\boldsymbol{a}_i'\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{b}_i'\boldsymbol{Y}) = \boldsymbol{a}_i'\Sigma_{12}b_i = (\Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{e}_i)'\Sigma_{12}(\Sigma_{22}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{f}_i) \\ &= \boldsymbol{e}_i'(\Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1/2})(\boldsymbol{f}_i) \\ &= \boldsymbol{e}_i'\boldsymbol{C}f_i \\ &= \boldsymbol{e}_i'\boldsymbol{C}(1/\rho_i^*)\boldsymbol{C}'\boldsymbol{e}_i \\ &= (1/\rho_i^*)\boldsymbol{e}_i'\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{C}'\boldsymbol{e}_i \\ &= (1/\rho_i^*)\boldsymbol{e}_i'\boldsymbol{C}'\boldsymbol{e}_i' \\ &= \rho_i^*\boldsymbol{e}_i'\boldsymbol{e}_i'^*\boldsymbol{e}_i = \rho_i^*\boldsymbol{e}_i'\boldsymbol{e}_i \\ &= \rho_i^* \end{split}$$

There are at most $r = \min\{p, q\}$ such pairs, up to a factor of (-1) of a_i and b_i . Conventionally $\rho \geq 0$.

Choose a_1, b_1 , the first pair of canonical variables. Now we can achieve $\rho = \rho_1^*$, the common largest eigenvalue of A and B, which maximizes (1), by a pair of linear combinations $U = a_1'X$ and $V = b_1'Y$.

This concludes the derivation of the leading pair of canonical variates.

The above procedure produces

$$U_1 = \boldsymbol{a}_1' \boldsymbol{X}, \qquad V_1 = \boldsymbol{b}_1' \boldsymbol{Y}$$

capturing maximum correlation

$$corr(U_1, V_1) = \rho_1^*$$

among all possible linear combinations a'X and b'Y (under constraints $a'\Sigma_{11}a=1,\ b'\Sigma_{22}b=1$).

32950-24620 S23

6 32950-24620 S23

If one wants to explore the rest correlations between X and Y, the procedure can go on to find the second pair $U_2 = a_2'X$ and $V_2 = b_2'Y$ with maximum $corr(U_2, V_2)$. We may define the Lagrangian function

$$L_2 = a_2' \Sigma_{12} b_2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda (a_2' \Sigma_{11} a_2 - 1) - \frac{1}{2} \gamma (b_2' \Sigma_{22} b_2 - 1) - \delta a_2' \Sigma_{11} a_1 - \nu b_2' \Sigma_{22} b_1 - \beta a_1' \Sigma_{12} b_2 - \alpha b_1' \Sigma_{21} a_2$$

Setting

$$\frac{\partial L_2}{\partial \boldsymbol{a}_2} = \boldsymbol{0}_p$$

$$\frac{\partial L_2}{\partial L} = \boldsymbol{0}_q$$

we can obtain the second pair of canonical covariates (U_2,V_2) under the normalization $var(U_2)=var(V_2)=1$, achieving the strongest correlation $Cov(U_2,V_2)=\rho_2^*$, and are uncorrelated to the first canonical pair (U_1,V_1) by satisfying the constraints

$$cov(U_1, U_2) = 0$$
, $cov(V_1, V_2) = 0$, $cov(U_1, V_2) = 0$, $cov(U_2, V_1) = 0$

The process may continue, if desired, to obtain all pairs of canonical variates.

• The first pair is the most desirable one. In all normalized (variance = 1) linear combination variables

$$U_1 = a_1' X$$
, $V_1 = b_1' Y$, $var(U_1) = 1$, $var(V_1) = 1$.

the (U_1,V_1) pair that maximizes $Corr(U_1,V_1)$ in (1) among all a_1,b_1 are attained at

$$a_1 = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} e_1, \quad b_1 = \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} f_1,$$

SO

$$U_1 = e'_1 \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} X$$
, $V_1 = f'_1 \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} Y$, $Cov(U_1, V_1) = \rho_1^*$

It verifies that

$$var(U_1) = e_1' \sum_{11}^{-1/2} var(\mathbf{X}) \sum_{11}^{-1/2} e_1 = e_1' e_1 = 1, \quad var(V_1) = \cdots = f_1' f_1 = 1.$$

• The second pair of normalized canonical variables are

$$U_2 = a_2' X$$
, $V_2 = b_2' Y$, $var(U_2) = var(V_2) = 1$, $Cov(U_2, V_2) = \rho_2^*$

Additional constraints are

$$a_2'\Sigma_{11}a_1 = 0$$
, $b_2'\Sigma_{22}b_1 = 0$, $a_1'\Sigma_{12}b_2 = 0$, $a_2'\Sigma_{12}b_1 = 0$,

which imply that

$$cov(U_1, U_2) = 0,$$
 $cov(V_1, V_2) = 0,$ $cov(U_1, V_2) = 0,$ $cov(U_2, V_1) = 0.$

• The kth pair of canonical variables are

$$U_k = \boldsymbol{e}_k' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{X}, \quad V_k = \boldsymbol{f}_k' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{Y} \qquad with \quad var(U_k) = var(V_k) = 1, \quad Cov(U_k, V_k) = \boldsymbol{\rho}_k^*.$$

All satisfies the condition that, for $\ell < k$.

$$\mathbf{a}'_{k}\Sigma_{11}\mathbf{a}_{\ell} = 0$$
, $\mathbf{b}'_{k}\Sigma_{22}\mathbf{b}_{\ell} = 0$, $\mathbf{a}_{\ell}\Sigma_{12}\mathbf{b}_{k} = 0$, $\mathbf{a}'_{k}\Sigma_{12}\mathbf{b}_{\ell} = 0$.

• The process stops at $k = \min\{p, q\}$

Summary of properties of canonical variables $U_i = a'_i X$, $V = b'_i Y$

- $var(U_k) = var(V_k) = 1$
- $cov(U_k, U_\ell) = 0$, $cov(V_k, V_\ell) = 0$ for $k \neq \ell$.
- $cov(U_k, V_\ell) = 0$ for $k \neq \ell$
- $cov(U_i, V_i) = \rho_i^*$,

where ρ_i^{*2} is the common *i*th largest eigenvalue of the following matrices:

$$-p \times p$$
 matrix $CC' = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}$

$$-q \times q$$
 matrix $C'C = \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2}$

$$-\ p \times p \ \text{matrix} \ \boldsymbol{A} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{C}' \Sigma_{11}^{1/2}$$

$$-q \times q$$
 matrix ${m B} = \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} = \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} {m C}' {m C} \Sigma_{22}^{1/2}$

and

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{a}_i = \rho_i^{*2}\mathbf{a}, \qquad \mathbf{B}\mathbf{b}_i = \rho_i^{*2}\mathbf{b}$$

The proofs are a repeated use of extended Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and its corollary the maximization lemma.

Covariance matrix of canonical variables

The covariance matrix of the canonical variables has neat form.

$$Cov(U_1, \dots, U_r, V_1, \dots, V_r) = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & R_r \\ R_r & I_r \end{bmatrix}, \qquad R_r = diag[\rho_1^*, \dots, \rho_r^*]$$

Sort in canonical variate pairs,

$$Cov(U_1, V_1, \cdots, U_r, V_r) = diag[D_1, \cdots, D_r], \qquad D_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_k^* \\ \rho_k^* & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

To scale or not to scale

If there are large variations in the magnitudes of component variable in multivariate data, we know that principal component analysis on the original variables and that on scaled data with $var(X_i) = 1$ may yield very different results.

Does scaling matter in canonical correlation analysis?

Recall the relationship between covariance matrix Σ and correlation matrix R,

$$\Sigma = DRD$$
, $R = D^{-1}\Sigma D^{-1}$

where D is the diagonal matrix with ith diagonal element $\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}}$. We may write

$$D = [diag(\Sigma)]^{1/2}$$

8

Let (X, Y) be the pair of the original random vectors, (X^*, Y^*) be the scaled pair with each component variable with variance = 1. The relationship can be expressed as

$$(X^*, Y^*) = (D_1^{-1}X, D_2^{-1}Y)$$

with

$$D_1 = [diag(\Sigma_{11})]^{1/2}, \qquad D_2 = [diag(\Sigma_{22})]^{1/2}.$$

If $(U_k, V_k) = (a'_k X, b'_k Y)$ is the kth pair of canonical correlation variates of the original variables, with

$$cov(U_k, V_k) = cov(\boldsymbol{a}'_k \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{b}'_k \boldsymbol{Y}) = \rho_k^*$$

and if $(U_k^*, V_k^*) = (a_k^* X^*, b_k^* Y^*)$ is the kth pair of canonical correlation variates of the scaled variance-one variables, then from the derivation process, we have

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{k}^{*} = D_{1}\boldsymbol{a}_{k}, \quad \boldsymbol{b}_{k}^{*} = D_{2}\boldsymbol{b}_{k}$$

and

$$\begin{split} cov(U_k^*, V_k^*) &= cov(\boldsymbol{a}_k^{*'}\boldsymbol{X}^*, \boldsymbol{b}_k^{*'}\boldsymbol{Y}^*) \\ &= cov\left((D_1\boldsymbol{a}_k)'D_1^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}, (D_2\boldsymbol{b}_k)'D_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{Y}\right) \\ &= cov(\boldsymbol{a}_k'D_1'D_1^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{b}_k'D_2'D_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{Y}) \\ &= cov(\boldsymbol{a}_k'\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{b}_k'\boldsymbol{Y}) \\ &= cov(U_k, V_k) = \rho_k^* \end{split}$$

Therefore, the canonical coefficients for the scaled variance-one variables are simply related to the canonical coefficients attached to the original variables, by component-wise scaling.

The canonical correlations, the ρ_k^* 's, are <u>unchanged by the scaling</u>. If the strength of the correlation between the two groups of variables is the main focus (as it often is), the scaling does not matter.

Of course there are the usual non-uniqueness of a_k, b_k when $\rho_k^* = \rho_{k+1}^*$ happens.

Remarks on canonical variables

- Matrix $C = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2}$, sometimes called the <u>canonical correlation matrix</u>, can be viewed as a generalization of the correlation coefficient of two univariate random variables to two multivariate random vectors.
- The pair of matrices

$$CC' = \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1/2}$$
 and $C'C = \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{21} \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1/2}$

called the $\underline{\text{matrices of multivariate coefficients of determination}}$, are natural generalizations of population coefficient of determination (square of the correlation between Y and its best linear predictor, may not be covered in this course) in linear regression.

The derivation of canonical variates shows that the $\rho *_i$'s are correlations, thus matrices A, B, positive semi-definite matrices CC' and C'C all have eigenvalues $\in [0,1]$.

Comparisons

Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) can be viewed as a multivariate statistical technique similar in spirit to principal component analysis (PCA).

9

In Principal Component Analysis, from $X = [X_1 \ X_2 \ \cdots \ X_p]'$, we derived principal components $a_i X$, $i = 1, \cdots, p$. The uncorrelated PC variables capture the variation in the data and reduce the dimension of the data effectively. However certain structures of the data can be obscured or lost in the process.

CCA evaluates a particular structure in $[X' \ Y']' = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix}$, which can be described as the correlations between two random vectors X and Y.

While PCA works with a single random vector and maximizes the variance of projections of the data, CCA works with a pair of random vectors (or generalized to a set of m random vectors) and maximizes correlation between sets of projections.

2 Sample CCA

Similar to the practical usage of principal component analysis, in applications, the observed data are often treated as the population to carry out canonical correlation analysis directly, without probability distribution assumptions on the data generating mechanism.

• In the place of population random vectors, we work with sample data

$$[X_{n\times p} Y_{n\times q}]$$

• In the place to Σ_{ij} are sample covariance matrices. The joint sample covariance matrix of all p+q variables can be in terms of sample covariance matrices of x and y variables, as well as their covariance.

$$S = \left[\begin{array}{cc} S_x & S_{xy} \\ S_{yx} & S_y \end{array} \right]$$

• The first pair of sample canonical-variate vectors are given be

$$(\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_1, \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}_1) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \sum_{\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^q} \{ \boldsymbol{a}' S_{xy} \boldsymbol{b} : \boldsymbol{a}' S_x \boldsymbol{a} = 1, \ \boldsymbol{b}' S_y \boldsymbol{b} = 1 \}$$

• The second pair of sample canonical-variate vectors are given be

$$(\hat{a}_2, \hat{b}_2) = \operatorname{argmax}_{a,b} \{ a' S_{xy} b : a' S_x a = b' S_y b = 1, a' S_x a_1 = a' S_{xy} b_1 = b' S_y a_1 = b' S_y b_1 = 0 \}$$

• For $k < \min\{p, q\}$, the kth pair of sample canonical-variate vectors are given be

$$(\hat{a}_k, \hat{b}_k) = \operatorname{argmax}_{a,b} \{ a' S_{xy} b : a' S_x a = b' S_y b = 1, a' S_x a_i = a' S_{xy} b_i = b' S_y a_i = b' S_y b_i = 0, i = 1, \cdots, k-1 \}$$

• The achieved kth sample canonical correlation is

$$\hat{\rho}_k^* = \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_k' S_{xy} \hat{\boldsymbol{b}}_k, \qquad k = 1, \cdots, \min\{p, q\}$$

where

$$\hat{\rho}_1^{*2} \ge \hat{\rho}_2^{*2} \ge \dots \ge \hat{\rho}_r^{*2} \ge 0, \qquad r = \min\{p, q\} \qquad (\hat{\rho}_i^{*2} \ge 0)$$

are common eigenvalues of the matrices of $\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}\hat{C}', \hat{C}, \hat{C}$.