Financial Mathematics 32000

Lecture 7

Roger Lee

2023 May 10

MC for American options

Can MC be used for Americans?

The 1993 edition of a leading derivatives textbook:

"One limitation of the Monte Carlo simulation approach is that it can be used only for European-style derivative securities"

In later editions, this statement was removed.

Francis Longstaff and Eduardo Schwartz





Approximate American by Bermudan

Consider an American option exercisable at any time in [0, T].

- Let Y_t be the discounted (to time 0) payoff for a time-t exercise. Assume Y is some given function of a Markov state variable (or vector) X. Define Y_T to incorporate the correct time-T decision. Example: for American put on X, let $Y_T := e^{-rT}(K - X_T)^+$ and let $Y_t = e^{-rt}(K - X_t)$ for t < T.
- Approximate the American put by allowing exercise only at a finite number of times:

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = T$$

So we approximate the American by a Bermudan.

Bermudan facts

1. The time-0 value of the Bermudan is

$$\max_{\tau} \mathbb{E} Y_{\tau}$$

where τ ranges over stopping times taking values in $\{t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_N\}$. So τ is a random exercise time that can depend on X, but only in a non-anticipating way.

2. Define $\tau_N := T$. Idea is that τ_n is the optimal exercise time given no exercise prior to t_n ; thus τ_n is a stopping time taking values in $\{t_n, t_{n+1}, \dots, t_N\}$. Given τ_{n+1} , define τ_n by

$$\tau_n := t_n \mathbf{1} \Big(Y_{t_n} \ge \mathbb{E}(Y_{\tau_{n+1}} | X_{t_n}) \Big) + \tau_{n+1} \mathbf{1} \Big(Y_{t_n} < \mathbb{E}(Y_{\tau_{n+1}} | X_{t_n}) \Big).$$

Then τ_0 attains the max in 1.

Continuation value function

But we need to estimate the continuation value function

$$f_n(x) := \mathbb{E}(Y_{\tau_{n+1}}|X_{t_n} = x)$$

Longstaff-Schwartz: Use simulation and least-squares regression.

- ▶ Simulate M paths of X. Each path includes t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_N .
- ▶ Define $\hat{\tau}_N := T$. On each path m = 1, ..., M, given $\hat{\tau}_{n+1}^m$, define

$$\hat{\tau}_n^m := t_n \mathbf{1} \Big(Y_{t_n}^m \ge \hat{f}_n(X_{t_n}^m) \Big) + \hat{\tau}_{n+1}^m \mathbf{1} \Big(Y_{t_n}^m < \hat{f}_n(X_{t_n}^m) \Big)$$

where \hat{f}_n is a "least-squares" estimate of continuation value.

If f_n known to be ≥ 0 , can use $\hat{f}_n(X_{t_n}^m)^+$ instead of $\hat{f}_n(X_{t_n}^m)$.

▶ Estimate of the time-0 option price is

$$\hat{C}_M^{\mathrm{LS}} := \frac{1}{M} \sum Y_{\hat{\tau}_0^m}^m$$

Estimated continuation value function

How to obtain the estimated continuation value function \hat{f}_n :

Each of the M paths of X generates a pair $(X_{t_n}^m, Y_{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}^m}^m)$.

Intuition: Consider a plot of all M pairs.

We want to extract the conditional expectation from the cloud.

Estimated continuation value function

- Choose $\hat{f}_n(x)$ as a linear combination of some set of B basis functions. (Example: with B=3 and basis functions 1, x, x^2 and coefficients $\hat{\beta}$, we have $\hat{f}_n(x) = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x + \hat{\beta}_2 x^2$)
- \triangleright Choose coefficients $\hat{\beta}$ to minimize the sum of squared residuals

$$\sum_{m} \left(\hat{f}_n(X_{t_n}^m) - Y_{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}^m}^m \right)^2.$$

To implement this, run an ordinary least squares linear regression of the simulated $Y_{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}}$ on the basis functions (evaluated at the simulated X_{t_n}).

For n = 0, regression unnecessary; $\hat{f}_0(X_{t_0}^m)$ is just $\frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M Y_{\hat{\tau}_m}^m$.

▶ (Open questions: Optimal choice of basis? Alternatives to OLS?)

Implementation

In Python: sklearn.linear_model.LinearRegression or statsmodels.api.OLS or scipy.linalg.lstsq(A, y) where A is the $M \times B$ matrix of regressors, and y is the length-M

vector of simulations of continuation payoffs $Y_{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}^m}^m$. Example:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{t_n}^{(1)} & \left[X_{t_n}^{(1)}\right]^2 \\ 1 & X_{t_n}^{(2)} & \left[X_{t_n}^{(2)}\right]^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{t_n}^{(M)} & \left[X_{t_n}^{(M)}\right]^2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}}^{(1)} \\ Y_{\hat{\tau}_{n+1}}^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{\hat{\tau}^{(M)}}^{(M)} \end{pmatrix}$$

In Excel: LINEST(y, A) but omit constant column from A

Also note that Excel outputs the coefficients in the opposite order.

Ignore at each time step the OTM data?

L-S: at each time step, include in the regression only the paths which are ITM at that time.

- We don't care about the continuation value function f_n at points X which are OTM, because we won't exercise there anyway.
- \blacktriangleright We care about getting a good estimate of f_n at points ITM.
- Discarding OTM points allows us to fit better the ITM points.

 This can help especially if our parametric form does not capture well the behavior of f_n across the whole range of X.
 - But throwing away OTM observations also reduces sample size, magnifying the effect of the randomness in the ITM observations.

Bias

Let \hat{C}^{LS} be the MC estimate. Bias is by definition

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{C}^{\mathrm{LS}} - C$$

where C be the true value of the American.

- ▶ Bias due to pricing Bermudan instead of American:
- ▶ Bias in Bermudan price due to using the same paths to estimate optimal exercise policy and to price the Bermudan:
 Can eliminate by segregating paths: f̂-estimation and pricing.
 (Similar idea as out-of-sample testing and cross-validation)
- ▶ Bias in Bermudan price due to failure of basis functions to span the true continuation value function, and due to randomness in the \hat{f} -estimation simulations: