

Project Proposal

Katie Winkle

March 7, 2016

Abstract

1 Aims and Objectives

1.1 Aims

The overall aim of this project is to investigate emotional expression in robot to human communication. Specifically of interest is the potential for robot to human emotion transfer and the potential benefits this might have. This can be expressed as two key project aims:

1. Demonstrate whether robot to human emotion transfer can occur
2. Demonstrate the consequences of affective robot communication

'robot effectiveness' - for example the purpose of a social robot is to provide companionship and hence if people like an emotional robot more then it has better effectiveness.

1.2 Objectives

In order to achieve the project aims, a list of specific objectives is given below:

1. Study affective communication
2. Design and implement a parameterised model for creating emotional expression on the chosen robot platform
3. Create a parameterised model for creating emotional robot expression
 - Conduct a model evaluation experiment for refinement
4. Conduct a HRI experiment to test the effects of affective robot communication
 - Consider human/robot task performance and human emotional state

2 Motivation

The psychological phenomenon of interpersonal emotion transfer (IET) between humans is still not fully understood; however it is believed to include social appraisal and emotion contagion effects [1]. In this context social appraisal describes a person’s judgement of something being affected by the emotional response of another (i.e. affecting what they think), whereas emotion contagion describes a change in their emotional state (i.e. affecting how they feel). For example, it has been demonstrated that listening to a neutral text spoken happily or sadly can induce similar feelings in the listener [2] and that the same household object will be rated differently if it is presented alongside a picture of a smiling or disgusted face [3]. In addition, there is growing evidence that emotional expression is an important part of human communication and in sharing information about the environment, for example... (see [4] for a review).

Based on this there are at least two major reasons for wanting to design a robot with IET capabilities. Firstly, if emotional expression is an important part of human communication, then a robot with such capabilities might be more lifelike, more likeable and more natural to interact with. Secondly, the effects of IET described above, e.g shaping people’s judgement or decision making and impacting on how they feel might be beneficial in a range of HRI applications just as it is in HHI. In fact, example HRI scenarios where emotional expression might be useful follow directly from those we can imagine in HHI, e.g. in encouraging children or care of the unwell. As a speculative example, endowing an assisted living robot with IET capabilities might offer the following functionality benefits:

- the robot could provide more realistic and enjoyable social companionship
- the robot could ‘cheer up’ the user by being cheerful itself
- the robot could give the user a positive impression of potentially undesirable tasks, e.g. taking medication or doing exercise
- the robot could provide more realistic and meaningful encouragement during activities like those above
- the robot could appear empathetic and caring, leading to a better human-robot relationship

However, this reasoning assumes that IET occurs equally well in HRI as HHI and that the effects on the human partner would be the same as if it was a human rather than a robot they were interacting with. Arguably, given the well known effect of the ‘uncanny valley’; this assumption might not be valid and hence warrants further study. Research done so far suggest demonstrates that recognisable emotional expression is certainly possible in a range of robots (e.g. X X X), however the impact of this on a human’s own emotional state, HRI and task performance is less well documented.

In summary, the potential benefits of robot emotional expression and robot to human IET are clear. However, there is still significant uncertainty and a lack of evidence surrounding whether IET from a robot to a human can occur and, if so, what impact this might have on the robot's effectiveness and/or the human's task performance. Addressing this uncertainty and lack of evidence in order to evaluate the real-world potential of robot-human IET forms the motivation for undertaking this project.

3 Literature Review

What is emotional expression? -¿ what is its purpose for humans/ what impact can it have on human social interaction - general conclusion that bodily expression of emotion can either be done by performing a specific movement behaviour or by characterising any movement such that the emotion is recognisable from that; analogous to voice where emotion can be expressed via specific words or by how a neutral sentence is spoken. Not clear which is better but reflected in different model types eg continuous based on parameters e.g 'energetic movement' (Lim, Xu) vs discrete state e.g. the Korean work -¿ how should we do it on a robot, so look at work already done, different models etc - Voice - Facial expression: Facial Action Coding System - Movement: Laban movement analysis and derived effort-shape analysis, Body Action and Posture Coding System. Want my system to gesture independent so as does not disrupt functional movement, and to avoid cross cultural issues (highlighted reference in Handbook Pg 11) -¿ Expression emotion through specific gestures also has the issue of being culture dependent rather than universally applicable; for example a 'hand purse' gesture which represents fear in France and Belgium, a query in Italy and is not used at all in North America (D. McNeill 1992 ref in Handbook). [could just leave sentence at ...rather than universally applicable]. - Demonstrated on robots: Lim, Xu

What is emotion contagion? - fearful bodily expression motion produces higher activity in the emotion-related areas of the brain, happy expressions only in vision-related ones. Fearful expression also generated activity in action representation and motor areas [de Gelder 2004]

What is the hypothesis for this study - i.e. are we expecting emotional expression to make a difference on task performance and if so what difference etc? Reference literature discussed above plus others.

[Psychology Background/Results]

There are multiple theories concerning the social function of human emotion at the individual, dyadic, group and cultural level, based on the observed consequences they have for those groups [5]. For example... (fear contagion, information about environment etc references from keltner + others). This demonstrates the importance of emotional expression in human-human interaction and hence justifies its study in HRI.

[insight into the importance of emotional expression in human interaction and hence relevant to hri...or...demonstrates huge potential impact of emotional

expression (e.g. fear contagion) so basically here justify the need to understand and desire to use emotional expression in hri and maybe even more so in assisted living type] applications.

It has been demonstrated that movement alone can express emotion even when static information is minimised, e.g. [6], [7], Atkinson 2004 (affect folder of papers) [...] hence the way in which communication gestures are executed by the robot is likely to be important in emotion expression. lending credibility to this is the result found by XXX that the presence and pose of a robot body significantly increases emotion percievability compared to facial expression alone. ...maybe something about how this allows for emotional expression even in robots which do not have facial features etc.

Something on Laban movement analysis

[Robot Applications/Work]

Lim et al. demonstrated a framework for dynamically mapping the emotion in a speech sample to robot gesturing [8]. Four parameters are identified that can be measured in the speech sample and applied to the robot's gesture; these are speed, intensity, regularity and extent (SIRE). For example, speed is measured by the speech rate of the voice sample and applied to the velocity of the gesture. The use of SIRE means that the emotional communication is pose-independent [contrasting with other research looking at specific gestures like arms up for surprise?]. Additionally, this is relatively simple(?) [compared to emotion generation models] because the robot requires no internal emotional state model. The framework was used to parameterise an example gesture on the NAO [more details on robot?] using actor speech samples and experiments were set up in order to test whether the resulting gesture successfully conveyed the emotional content of the original speech. The results suggest that changing the dynamics of a gesture, according to SIRE, can produce recognisable emotions at an inter-rater agreement of above 60%. However, it was shown that in playing the original speech sample through the robot alongside the gesture had different impacts on different emotion, for example happiness was much easier to understand but anger was much harder. The authors hypothesised that this could be due to the neutral stance of the NAO, suggesting that whilst their method is designed to be independent of pose, the choice of gesture and pose is likely to be of importance in successfully conveying the desired emotion.

Lim et al. demonstrated a framework for mapping the emotion in a speech sample to robot gesturing based on four parameters; speed, intensity, regularity and extent (SIRE) [8]. This is based on the concept that the way a gesture is executed rather than the actual shape of the gesture can convey emotion and is more realistic for natural communication. A major benefit of this approach is that the robot requires no internal state model and can hence produce a continuous emotional spectrum which can be expressed through whatever gestures the robot would already be performing (e.g. pointing) which the authors again suggest might be more natural according to some human emotion studies [could reference some of the psychology papers here e.g. light display].

In contrast, Xu et al. suggest that bodily emotion expression is in fact explicit and hence interrupts functional behaviour; however mood can be ex-

pressed through modification of robot behaviours [9]. Their proposed model is however similar to Lim’s in that it uses parameters concerning things like speed and XXX in order to demonstrate affect. In [9] the authors host an experiment in which participants must set these parameters in order to demonstrate a desired mood when the robot is pointing or waving; therefore evaluating...

Application to empathetic robots? De Carolis et al?

4 Risk Register

5 Timeline

References

- [1] Brian Parkinson. Interpersonal emotion transfer: Contagion and social appraisal. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 5(7):428–439, 2011.
- [2] Roland Neumann and Fritz Strack. ” mood contagion”: the automatic transfer of mood between persons. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 79(2):211, 2000.
- [3] Andrew P Bayliss, Alexandra Frischen, Mark J Fenske, and Steven P Tipper. Affective evaluations of objects are influenced by observed gaze direction and emotional expression. *Cognition*, 104(3):644–653, 2007.
- [4] Jessica L Tracy, Daniel Randles, and Conor M Steckler. The nonverbal communication of emotions. *Current opinion in behavioral sciences*, 3:25–30, 2015.
- [5] Dacher Keltner and Jonathan Haidt. Social functions of emotions at four levels of analysis. *Cognition & Emotion*, 13(5):505–521, 1999.
- [6] Winand H Dittrich, Tom Troscianko, Stephen EG Lea, and Dawn Morgan. Perception of emotion from dynamic point-light displays represented in dance. *Perception*, 25(6):727–738, 1996.
- [7] Frank E Pollick, Helena M Paterson, Armin Bruderlin, and Anthony J Sanford. Perceiving affect from arm movement. *Cognition*, 82(2):B51–B61, 2001.
- [8] Angelica Lim, Tetsuya Ogata, and Hiroshi G Okuno. Converting emotional voice to motion for robot telepresence. In *Humanoid Robots (Humanoids), 2011 11th IEEE-RAS International Conference on*, pages 472–479. IEEE, 2011.
- [9] Junchao Xu, Joost Broekens, Koen Hindriks, and Mark A Neerincx. Mood expression through parameterized functional behavior of robots. In *RO-MAN, 2013 IEEE*, pages 533–540. IEEE, 2013.