

STAT 2509B4

Assignment 4

Krystian Wojcicki, 101001444

Winter 2020

Christmas week is a critical period for most ski resorts. Because many students and adults are free, they are able to spend several days indulging in their favorite pastime, skiing. A ski resort in Vermont wanted to determine the effect that weather had on their sales of lift tickets. The manager of the resort collected the number of lift tickets sold during the Christmas week (y), the total snowfall (x_1) and the average temperature (x_2) for the past 20 years (x_3). The TSS for the full model

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \epsilon \text{ is : TSS} = 56601012$$

We decided to screen the independent variables to determine the best set for predicting the lift tickets sales. The sums of squares for all possible regression models were found to be as follows:

- (a) **Determine the subset of variables that is selected as best by the Forward Selection Procedure using $F_0^* = 4.2$ (to-add-variable). Show your steps.**

- (1) Fit all one term models: $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_j + \epsilon$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$

$$\text{SSR}(X_1) = 6215561$$

$$\text{SSR}(X_2) = 665121$$

$$\text{SSR}(X_3) = 35788320 \Rightarrow \max$$

$$\text{Therefore } F_3 = \frac{\text{MSR}(X_3)}{\text{MSE}(X_3)} = \frac{\text{SSR}(X_3)/1}{\text{SSE}(X_3)/18} = \frac{35788320/1}{20812692/18} = 30.952$$

Since $F_3 = 30.952 > F_0 = 4.2$ we keep X_3

- (2) Fit all two term models $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_3 + \beta_2 x_j + \epsilon$ for $j = 1, 2$

Calculate $\text{SSR}(X_j|X_3)$

$$\text{SSR}(X_1|X_3) = \text{SSR}(X_1, X_3) - \text{SSR}(X_3) = 41296990 - 35788320 = 5508670 \Rightarrow \max$$

$$\text{SSR}(X_2|X_3) = \text{SSR}(X_2, X_3) - \text{SSR}(X_3) = 36518115 - 35788320 = 729795$$

$$\text{Therefore } F_1 = \frac{\text{MSR}(X_1|X_3)}{\text{MSE}(X_1, X_3)} = \frac{[\text{SSR}(X_1, X_3) - \text{SSR}(X_3)]/[df_{\text{SSR}(X_1, X_3)} - df_{\text{SSR}(X_3)}]}{\text{SSE}(X_1, X_3)/df_{\text{SSE}(X_1, X_3)}} = \frac{5508670/(2-1)}{15304022/17} = 6.1191358716$$

Since $F_1 = 6.1191358716 > 4.2$ we keep X_1, X_3

- (3) Fit the full model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_3 + \beta_2 x_1 + \beta_3 x_3 + \epsilon$

$$\text{Calculate } \text{SSR}(X_2|X_1, X_3) = \text{SSR}(X_1, X_2, X_3) - \text{SSR}(X_1, X_3) = 41940217 - 41296990 = 643227$$

$$\text{Therefore } F_2 = \frac{\text{MSR}(X_2|X_1, X_3)}{\text{MSE}(X_1, X_2, X_3)} = \frac{[\text{SSR}(X_1, X_2, X_3) - \text{SSR}(X_1, X_3)]/[df_{\text{SSR}(X_1, X_2, X_3)} - df_{\text{SSR}(X_1, X_3)}]}{\text{SSE}(X_1, X_2, X_3)/df_{\text{SSE}(X_1, X_2, X_3)}} = \frac{643227/(3-2)}{14660795/16} = 0.701983214416$$

Since $F_2 \leq F_0^*$ we kept X_1, X_3

Therefore the best set is $\{X_1, X_3\}$

- (b) **Determine the subset of variables that is selected as best by the Backward Elimination Procedure using $F_0^{**} = 4.1$ (to-delete-variable). Show your steps. NOTE: $(t_0^{**})^2 = F_0^{**}$**

Fit the full model $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \epsilon$ and check whether model is significant or not at $\alpha = 5\%$.

$$F = \frac{\text{MSR}_f}{\text{MSE}_f} = \frac{\text{SSR}_f/3}{\text{SSE}_f/16} = \frac{41940217/3}{14660795/16} = 15.2570960397$$

Since $F = 15.2570960397 > F_{(3,16);0.05} = 3.24$, we can conclude that at 5% level of significance the full model is significant and can be used

$$(1) \text{ Calculate } F_j = (t_j)^2 = \frac{MSR(X_j | \text{all } X\text{'s except } X_j)}{MSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)} = \frac{[SSR_f - SSR(\text{all } X\text{'s except } X_j)]/df}{MSE_f} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3$$

$$F_1 = \frac{MSR(X_1 | X_2, X_3)}{MSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)} = \frac{[SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3) - SSR(X_2, X_3)]/[df_{SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)} - df_{SSR(X_2, X_3)}]}{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)/df_{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)}} = \frac{[41940217 - 36518115]/[3-2]}{14660795/16} = 5.91738933666$$

$$F_2 = \frac{MSR(X_2 | X_1, X_3)}{MSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)} = \frac{[SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3) - SSR(X_1, X_3)]/[df_{SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)} - df_{SSR(X_1, X_3)}]}{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)/df_{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)}} = \frac{[41940217 - 41296990]/[3-2]}{14660795/16} = 0.701983214416 \leftarrow \min$$

$$F_3 = \frac{MSR(X_3 | X_1, X_2)}{MSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)} = \frac{[SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3) - SSR(X_1, X_2)]/[df_{SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)} - df_{SSR(X_1, X_2)}]}{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)/df_{SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)}} = \frac{[41940217 - 6793798]/[3-2]}{14660795/16} = 38.3569038378$$

Since $F_2 \not\geq 4.1$ we remove x_2 from the model.

$$(2) \text{ Fit model } y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_3 x_3 + \epsilon$$

$$F_1 = \frac{MSR(X_1 | X_3)}{MSE(X_1, X_3)} = \frac{SSR(X_1, X_3) - SSR(X_3)}{SSE(X_1, X_3)/df_{SSE(X_1, X_3)}} = \frac{(41296990 - 35788320)}{(15304022/17)} = 6.1191 \leftarrow \min$$

$$F_3 = \frac{MSR(X_3 | X_1)}{MSE(X_1, X_3)} = \frac{SSR(X_1, X_3) - SSR(X_1)}{SSE(X_1, X_3)/df_{SSE(X_1, X_3)}} = \frac{(41296990 - 6215561)}{(15304022/17)} = 38.9691215159$$

Since $F_1 = 6.1191 > 4.1$ we remove nothing and keep the current model as the final model and terminate the procedure.

Therefore the best set is $\{X_1, X_3\}$.

(c) **Determine the subset of variables that is selected as best by the Stepwise Regression Procedure using $F_0^* = 4.2$ (to-add) and $F_0^{**} = 4.1$ (to-delete). Show your steps.**

- (1) Fit all one term models: $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_j + \epsilon$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ As we saw in forward selection in part (a) we know that we keep X_3
- (2) Fit all two term models $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_3 + \beta_2 x_j + \epsilon$ for $j = 1, 2$ As we saw in forward selection in part (a) we know that we keep X_1

Now check if after adding X_1, X_3 became insignificant.

$$F_3 = \frac{SSR(X_3 | X_1)}{MSE(X_1, X_3)} = \frac{SSR(X_1, X_3) - SSR(X_1)}{SSE(X_1, X_3)/df_{SSE(X_1, X_3)}} = \frac{41296990 - 6215561}{15304022/17} = 38.9691215159$$

Since $F_3 = 38.9691215159 > F_0^{**} = 4.1$ we keep X_3 and keep X_1 .

- (3) Fit the full model: $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_3 + \beta_2 x_1 + \beta_3 x_2 + \epsilon$ As we saw in forward selection in part (a) we know that we don't add X_2 and there is no need to check if adding X_2 makes any variable redundant. Therefore the best set is X_1, X_3 .

A quality engineer in a company manufacturing electronic audio equipment was inspecting a new type of battery that was being considered for use. A batch of 20 batteries was randomly assigned to four groups (so that there were five batteries per group). Each group of batteries was then subjected to a particular pressure level – low, normal, high, very high. The batteries were simultaneously tested under these pressure levels and the times to failure (in hours) were recorded and are given below:

Establish whether the average times to battery failure are the same for the four pressure levels; if not, do a follow-up analysis to determine which are the same and which differ. (Use $\alpha = 0.10$). List all necessary assumptions and indicate which might be suspect. Also perform a nonparametric analysis. Verify your results using SAS.

C.R.D Assume

- 1) 3 independent random samples of patients (given) TODO
- 2) 3 normally distributed patient groups
- 3) with equal variance, σ^2 (potentially)

To check the assumption of equal variance using Hartleys test we need s_i^2 's for $j = 1, 2, 3$ where $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 5, j = 4, \bar{n} = 5, [\bar{n}] = 5, n = 20$

$$s_1^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} y_1^2 - \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} y_{1j})^2}{n_1}}{n_1 - 1} = \frac{439.5 - 46.4^2/5}{4} = 2.227$$

$$s_2^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} y_2^2 - \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{n_2} y_{2j})^2}{n_2}}{n_2 - 1} = \frac{491.14 - 48.8^2/5}{4} = 3.713 \leftarrow \max$$

$$s_3^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_3} y_3^2 - \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{n_3} y_{3j})^2}{n_3}}{n_3 - 1} = \frac{264.6 - 36^2/5}{4} = 1.35$$

$$s_4^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_4} y_{4j}^2 - \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{n_4} y_{4j})^2}{n_4}}{n_4 - 1} = \frac{197.91 - 31.1^2/5}{4} = 1.117 \leftarrow \min$$

$$H_0 : \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \sigma_3^2 = \sigma_4^2$$

H_a : atleast one of the σ^2 's \neq ; $\alpha = 0.10$ *TODO*

$$\text{Test statistic } F_{max} = \frac{s_{max}^2}{s_{min}^2} = 3.713/1.117 = 3.324$$

Rejection Region *TODO*

Conclusion *TODO*

An experiment was conducted by a private research corporation to investigate the toxic effects of three chemicals (I, II and III) used in the tire-manufacturing industry. In this experiment 1-inch squares of skin on rats were treated with the chemicals and then scored from 0 to 10, depending on the degree of irritation. Three adjacent 1-inch squares were marked on the back of each of eight rats, and each of the three chemicals was applied to each rat. The data are as shown in the table.

Is it possible to be 95% certain that the toxic effects of three chemicals are not equal? Conduct the appropriate follow-up analysis (use $\alpha = 0.05$) to establish which means are significantly different. List all necessary assumptions and indicate which might be suspect. Also perform a non-parametric analysis. Verify your results using SAS.

R.B.D Assume

- 1) random samples of 3 different chemicals randomly assigned to 8 different rats (given)
- 2) populations corresponding to each chemical-rat combination are normally distributed
- 3) with equal variance, σ^2 (?)
- 4) no interactions between chemicals and rats

To check the assumption of equal variance using Hartley's test we need s_i^2 's for $i = 1, 2, 3$