KNOCKING IT DOWN AND MIXING IT UP: THE IMPACT OF PUBLIC HOUSING REGENERATIONS

(by Hector Blanco and Lorenzo Neri)

Kwok-Hao Lee

Created: 2022-05-27 Fri 19:48

1. Housing regeneration matters



2. My reading of the paper

How does **regenerating public housing** into mixed-income communities affect **local housing markets**?

- Context: Public housing regenerations in London, 2004-2018
- Methods: Spatial difference-in-differences by varying proximity to public housing estates
- Identification:
 - Absent regenerated estate, prices in neighboring/control areas would evolve similarly
 - (Aux.) Plausibly random timing of estate regenerations

2.1. Findings:

- † house prices/rents nearby
- Attract richer households and positive amenities; reduce negative amenities
- Changes in socioeconomic composition could explain price effects

<u>Main conclusion</u>: Building mixed-income housing can revitalize neighborhoods **but** "prices out" the poor

3. Contribution

- Novel data from London covering regenerations, housing transactions and measures of amenities
- Employs **cutting-edge quasi-experimental techniques** (stacked event study; non-parametric pricing surface estimation by distance)
- Attempts to explain demand effects and heterogeneity by SES

4. Feedback/Extensions

I find the main results in Sections 3 – 4 convincing. My comments mostly pertain to the last two sections.

4.1. Would a model be useful to analyze cost effectiveness (pp. 37 - 38)?

My reading: Does the appreciation in house prices near a regenerated housing unit "justify" the public costs?

- Why is home price appreciation the quantity of interest?
 - My reading:

$$Gov \xrightarrow{Transfer} Local residents$$

- Captured by commuters/consumers from elsewhere (Miyauchi, Nakajima and Redding 2022; Lee and Tan 2022)?
- Suggestion: Local wages? A more direct measure of tax revenues?
- This analysis omits benefits/costs to households in regenerated buildings.
 - Housing authority: these effects are first order?
- Estimated price effects "do not have a direct welfare interpretation because they conflate demand and supply responses" (p. 37).
 - Suggests structural analysis (e.g. Almagro and Dominguez-Iino 2021)?

4.2. Other substantive comments

- External validity: Estates chosen for regeneration are quite possibly not randomly assigned (p. 9)
 - PHAs could target richer neighborhoods...
 - Or neighborhoods with the oldest (lowest-quality?) developments
- By how much do amenities change in response to regeneration?
 - The authors scrape rental listings for mentions of "cafes, restaurants and parks" (p. 31) is this the "right" measure?
 - Yelp/proprietary data may be helpful.

4.3. Technical/Miscellaneous comments

- I prefer stating the identification assumptions more formally.
- (p. 18) With general equilibrium effects, relative comparisons across rings may be confounded, depending the geography of the city.
- (p. 19) What is the effect on house prices/rents by **travel time** to the regenerated estate?
- (pp. 22-23) Instead of the inverse hyperbolic sine transformation, why not estimate (1) and (2) using Poisson regressions?