

## LECTURE NOTE 4

### PANEL DATA

Consider a population of families. Choose one at random. For each family member  $t$  (or for a subset of the family members), there is an outcome variable  $Y_t$  and a predictor variable  $Z_t$ . There are also variables  $W$  and  $A$  whose values are the same for all the family members. We have access to data generated by a random sample of size  $N$  from this population. The data have realized values of the  $Y_t$ ,  $Z_t$ , and  $W$ , but  $A$  is not observed. Our objective is to measure a (predictive) effect of  $Z_t$  on  $Y_t$ , holding constant  $W$  and  $A$ . We shall develop assumptions that, combined with the family structure of the data, make this feasible.

Consider a population of firms. Choose one at random. For each year from  $t = 1, \dots, T$  there is an output variable  $Y_t$  and an input variable  $Z_t$ . There is also an input variable  $A$  that does not vary over time (but does vary across firms). We have access to data generated by a random sample of size  $N$  from this population. The data have realized values of the  $Y_t$  and  $Z_t$ , but  $A$  is not observed. Our objective is to measure a (predictive) effect of  $Z_t$  on  $Y_t$  holding  $A$  constant.

Consider a population of people. Choose a person at random. For each year from  $t = 1, \dots, T$  there is an earnings variable  $Y_t$ . There is also a characteristic  $A$  of the individual that does not vary over time (but does vary across individuals). We have access to data generated by a random sample of size  $N$  from this population. The data have realized values of the  $Y_t$ , but  $A$  is not observed. Our objective is to measure the (predictive) effect of  $Y_{t-1}$  on  $Y_t$  holding  $A$  constant.

These three examples have much in common, and I shall refer to them as panel data.

The last two examples are a special case called longitudinal data.

## 1. REGRESSION SYSTEMS

We shall work with the random variables

$$(Y_1, \dots, Y_T, Z_1, \dots, Z_T, W, A),$$

which have a joint distribution. For example, we could have a randomly drawn family, from a population of families that have  $T$  siblings. The siblings are indexed by  $t = 1, \dots, T$ ;  $Y_t$  is the (adult) earnings of sibling  $t$ ,  $Z_t$  is the education of sibling  $t$ , and  $W$  is parents' income. The unobserved variable  $A$  could be some other measure of family background, such as parents' education.

Consider the regression function for  $Y_t$  given  $Z_1, \dots, Z_T, W, A$ . We shall assume that  $Z_s$  is relevant only for  $s = t$ :

$$E(Y_t | Z_1, \dots, Z_T, W, A) = g_t(Z_t, W, A),$$

so we have exclusion restrictions on  $Z_s$  for  $s \neq t$ . In addition, we shall impose the following functional form restriction:

$$E(Y_t | Z_1, \dots, Z_T, W, A) = \theta_{0t} + \theta_{1t}Z_t + \theta_{2t}W + \theta_{3t}A,$$

so that the variables enter in a simple linear fashion, with no interactions or higher order polynomial terms. We shall begin with the case in which the coefficients do not depend upon  $t$ :

$$E(Y_t | Z_1, \dots, Z_T, W, A) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 Z_t + \theta_2 W + \theta_3 A, \tag{1}$$

and relax that restriction later.

We shall refer to the regression function in equation (1) as a structural regression function. Here “structural” just means that the coefficients in (1) are of direct interest. In particular,  $\theta_1$  is the partial (predictive) effect of education on earnings, holding constant

$W$  and  $A$ . This regression function does not have a sample counterpart, since  $A$  is not observed. So we shall develop linear predictors that do have sample counterparts. Define

$$X' = (Z_1 \quad \dots \quad Z_T \quad 1 \quad W \quad X_{T+2} \quad \dots \quad X_K),$$

where  $X_{T+2}, \dots, X_K$  can include functions (such as polynomials) of the observed variables  $Z_1, \dots, Z_T, W$ . The linear predictor of  $Y_t$  given  $X$  is

$$E^*(Y | X) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 Z_t + \theta_2 W + \theta_3 E^*(A | X).$$

Our notation for the linear predictor of  $A$  given  $X$  is

$$E^*(A | X) = \gamma_1 X_1 + \dots + \gamma_K X_K.$$

To see how this works, suppose that  $T = 2$ :

$$E^*(Y_1 | X) = (\theta_1 + \theta_3 \gamma_1) Z_1 + \theta_3 \gamma_2 Z_2 + R, \tag{2}$$

$$E^*(Y_2 | X) = \theta_3 \gamma_1 Z_1 + (\theta_1 + \theta_3 \gamma_2) Z_2 + R, \tag{3}$$

with

$$R = (\theta_0 + \theta_3 \gamma_3) + (\theta_2 + \theta_3 \gamma_4) W + \theta_3 \gamma_5 X_5 + \dots + \theta_3 \gamma_K X_K.$$

If we look only at the coefficients in the  $Y_1$  predictor, then we cannot identify  $\theta_1$ . But if we use the  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  predictors together, then can obtain  $\theta_1$  by subtracting the  $Z_1$  coefficient in predicting  $Y_2$  from the  $Z_1$  coefficient in predicting  $Y_1$ . We can also obtain  $\theta_1$  by subtracting the  $Z_2$  coefficient in predicting  $Y_1$  from the  $Z_2$  coefficient in predicting  $Y_2$ . This is the key to our approach: work with the system of linear predictors for  $Y_t$ . (If these were conditional expectation functions, they would be a regression system or multivariate regression.)

The coefficient  $\theta_2$  on  $W$  in the structural regression function only appears in (2) and (3) in the term  $(\theta_2 + \theta_3 \gamma_4)$ . Since  $\gamma_4$  does not appear anywhere else, we cannot identify  $\theta_2$ .

Likewise, we cannot identify  $\theta_0$ . Also,  $\gamma_t$  only appears multiplied by  $\theta_3$ . So we can only identify  $\theta_3\gamma_1$ ,  $\theta_3\gamma_2$ , and  $\theta_3\gamma_5, \dots, \theta_3\gamma_K$ .

With general  $T$ , we can simplify notation by defining

$$\lambda' \equiv (\theta_3\gamma_1 \quad \dots \quad \theta_3\gamma_T \quad (\theta_0 + \theta_3\gamma_{T+1}) \quad (\theta_2 + \theta_3\gamma_{T+2}) \quad \theta_3\gamma_{T+3} \quad \dots \quad \theta_3\gamma_K).$$

Define

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_T \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

( $1_T$  is a  $T \times 1$  matrix of ones.) Then

$$E^*(Y | X) = \begin{pmatrix} E^*(Y_1 | X) \\ \vdots \\ E^*(Y_T | X) \end{pmatrix} = \Pi X,$$

with

$$\Pi = (\theta_1 I_T \quad 0) + 1_T \lambda'. \quad (4)$$

$E^*(Y | X)$  is our notation for the system of linear predictors (or multivariate linear predictor). The predictor coefficients are arranged in the  $T \times K$  matrix  $\Pi$ . ( $I_T$  is our notation for the  $T \times T$  identity matrix.) Define

$$\alpha' \equiv (\theta_1 \quad \lambda_1 \quad \dots \quad \lambda_T).$$

Note that  $\alpha$  is unrestricted; there are no restrictions connecting  $\theta_1$  and the  $\lambda_t$ . Equation (4) expresses the  $T \cdot K$  elements of  $\Pi$  in terms of the  $K + 1$  elements of  $\alpha' = (\theta_1 \quad \lambda')$ .

With  $T = 3$ , we have

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 + \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \lambda_4 & \dots & \lambda_K \\ \lambda_1 & \theta_1 + \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \lambda_4 & \dots & \lambda_K \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \theta_1 + \lambda_3 & \lambda_4 & \dots & \lambda_K \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

## 2. DIFFERENCING TRANSFORMATIONS

We can obtain a simpler system of linear predictors by applying a differencing transformation. The key is a matrix  $D$  such that

$$D1_T = 0.$$

Then

$$E^*(DY | X) = DE^*(Y | X) = D\Pi X = \theta_1 DZ, \quad (6)$$

where

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ \vdots \\ Z_T \end{pmatrix}.$$

For example, let  $D$  be the  $(T-1) \times T$  matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $DY$  and  $DZ$  give first differences:

$$DY = \begin{pmatrix} Y_2 - Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_T - Y_{T-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad DZ = \begin{pmatrix} Z_2 - Z_1 \\ \vdots \\ Z_T - Z_{T-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and (6) gives

$$E^*(Y_t - Y_{t-1} | X) = \theta_1(Z_t - Z_{t-1}) \quad (t = 2, \dots, T).$$

For a second example, let  $D$  be the  $T \times T$  matrix

$$D = I_T - \frac{1}{T}1_T1_T'.$$

Then  $DY$  and  $DZ$  give deviations from the means:

$$DY = Y - \bar{Y}1_T = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 - \bar{Y} \\ \vdots \\ Y_T - \bar{Y} \end{pmatrix}, \quad DZ = Z - \bar{Z}1_T = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 - \bar{Z} \\ \vdots \\ Z_T - \bar{Z} \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\bar{Y} = \sum_{t=1}^T Y_t/T$  and  $\bar{Z} = \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t/T$ . Equation (6) gives

$$E^*(Y_t - \bar{Y} | X) = \theta_1(Z_t - \bar{Z}) \quad (t = 1, \dots, T).$$

### 3. IMPOSING RESTRICTIONS

Go back to equation (4). This expresses the  $T \cdot K$  elements of  $\Pi$  in terms of the  $K + 1$  elements of  $\alpha' = (\theta_1 \quad \lambda')$ . So there are restrictions on  $\Pi$ , as we can see in the display in (5). We are going to express the elements of  $\Pi$  as a linear function of  $\alpha$ . This leads in the next section to a minimum distance estimator for imposing the restrictions on sample data.

The transpose of  $\Pi$  is the  $K \times T$  matrix

$$\Pi' = \theta_1 \begin{pmatrix} I_T \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda 1'_T = \theta_1 (e_1 \quad \dots \quad e_T) + \lambda 1'_T,$$

where  $e_t$  is a  $K \times 1$  matrix of zeros except for a one in row  $t$ . Let  $\pi$  be the  $K \cdot T \times 1$  matrix formed by stacking the columns of  $\Pi'$ :

$$\pi = \text{stack}(\Pi') = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 e_1 + \lambda \\ \vdots \\ \theta_1 e_T + \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we can express  $\pi$  as a linear function of  $\alpha$ :

$$\pi = G \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = G\alpha,$$

where  $G$  is the  $K \cdot T \times (K + 1)$  matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & I_K \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ e_T & I_K \end{pmatrix}.$$

The restriction on  $\pi$  is that it is a linear combination of the columns of the known matrix  $G$ . So  $\pi$  is restricted to lie in the linear subspace generated by the columns of  $G$ ; this is a known (given) subspace since  $G$  is known (given).

#### 4. MINIMUM DISTANCE ESTIMATION AND GENERALIZED LEAST SQUARES

The data from a sample of  $i = 1, \dots, N$  families can be put in the matrices

$$y_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1t} \\ \vdots \\ y_{Nt} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z_t = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1t} \\ \vdots \\ z_{Nt} \end{pmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (t = 1, \dots, T).$$

We use least squares to form an estimate  $\hat{\Pi}$ . A least-squares fit of  $y_t$  on  $z_1, \dots, z_T, 1, w$  gives the coefficients in row  $t$  of  $\Pi$ . (We could also construct data matrices  $x_{T+3}, \dots, x_K$ , based on functions of  $z$  and  $w$ ; then these would be included in the least-squares fit.)

Form  $\hat{\pi}$  by stacking the columns of  $\hat{\Pi}'$ :

$$\hat{\pi} = \text{stack}(\hat{\Pi}').$$

Recall that  $\alpha' = (\theta_1 \quad \lambda')$  and  $\pi = G\alpha$ . The minimum distance estimate of  $\alpha$  is

$$\hat{\alpha} = \arg \min_{\alpha} \|\hat{\pi} - G\alpha\|^2.$$

The motivation for this estimator is that the least-squares estimate  $\hat{\pi}$  corresponds to the population  $\pi$  but does not impose the restriction that  $\pi$  is a linear combination of the columns of  $G$ . So we find the linear combination of the columns of  $G$  that gives the best fit to  $\hat{\pi}$ . The norm in the distance criterion corresponds to the inner product

$$\langle a, b \rangle = a'Cb,$$

where  $C$  is a positive definite, symmetric matrix. (A positive definite matrix  $C$  is a square matrix, say  $J \times J$ , such that if  $a$  is any nonzero  $J \times 1$  matrix, then  $a'Ca > 0$ ;  $C$  symmetric means that  $C' = C$ .) So

$$\|\hat{\pi} - G\alpha\|^2 = (\hat{\pi} - G\alpha)'C(\hat{\pi} - G\alpha).$$

There is a positive definite, symmetric matrix  $C^{1/2}$  that provides a square root of  $C$ :

$$C^{1/2}C^{1/2} = C$$

(based on the spectral decomposition of  $C$ , from linear algebra). Define

$$\hat{\pi}^* = C^{1/2}\hat{\pi}, \quad G^* = C^{1/2}G.$$

Then  $\hat{\alpha}$  can be obtained from a least-squares fit of  $\hat{\pi}^*$  on  $G^*$  (and we use the matrix version from Section 3 of Note 3):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha} &= \arg \min_{\alpha} (\hat{\pi}^* - G^* \alpha)' (\hat{\pi}^* - G^* \alpha) \\ &= (G^{*'} G^*)^{-1} G^{*'} \hat{\pi}^* \\ &= (G' C G)^{-1} G' C \hat{\pi}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The expression for  $\hat{\alpha}$  in (7) is known as *generalized least squares*.

We are free to choose the weight matrix  $C$ , and this makes the minimum distance estimator more flexible. If some components of  $\hat{\pi}$  are estimated more precisely than others, then we may want to give more weight to those components. We will discuss an optimal choice for  $C$  in the inference part of the course, where we work out the distribution of  $\hat{\pi}$  in repeated samples. For now, we can just set  $C$  equal to an identity matrix.

## 5. STACKING

There is another way to impose restrictions, in which the data matrices are stacked and then used in a least-squares fit. I'll use the difference transformations from Section 2 to illustrate. Define

$$\tilde{Y}_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1}, \quad \tilde{Z}_t = Z_t - Z_{t-1} \quad (t = 2, \dots, T).$$

Set up the corresponding data matrices

$$\tilde{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1t} - y_{1,t-1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{Nt} - y_{N,t-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{z}_t = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1t} - z_{1,t-1} \\ \vdots \\ z_{Nt} - z_{N,t-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (t = 2, \dots, T).$$

We have

$$E^*(\tilde{Y}_t | \tilde{Z}_t) = \pi_t \tilde{Z}_t,$$



with  $\pi_t = \theta_1$  ( $t = 2, \dots, T$ ). The sample counterpart is to obtain an estimate  $\hat{\pi}_t$  from the least-squares fit of  $\tilde{y}_t$  on  $\tilde{z}_t$ . Define

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \pi_T \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\pi}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\pi}_T \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\pi = G\theta_1 \quad \text{with} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{1}_{T-1},$$

and we can obtain a minimum distance estimate of  $\theta_1$  from

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \arg \min_{\theta_1} \|\hat{\pi} - G\theta_1\|^2 = (G'CG)^{-1}G'C\hat{\pi}. \quad (8)$$

Now stack up the data matrices as follows:

$$\tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{y}_T \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{z}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{z}_T \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can obtain an estimate of  $\theta_1$  from a least-squares fit of  $\tilde{y}$  on  $\tilde{z}$ :

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = (\tilde{z}'\tilde{z})^{-1}\tilde{z}'\tilde{y} = \left( \sum_{t=2}^T \tilde{z}'_t \tilde{z}_t \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=2}^T \tilde{z}'_t \tilde{y}_t. \quad (9)$$

This stacked estimate equals the minimum distance estimate for a particular choice of the weight matrix  $C$ . Let

$$C = \text{diag}(\tilde{z}'_2 \tilde{z}_2, \dots, \tilde{z}'_T \tilde{z}_T) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{z}'_2 \tilde{z}_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \tilde{z}'_T \tilde{z}_T \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because  $\hat{\pi}_t = (\tilde{z}'_t \tilde{z}_t)^{-1} \tilde{z}'_t \tilde{y}_t$ , we have

$$(G'CG)^{-1}G'C\hat{\pi} = \left( \sum_{t=2}^T \tilde{z}'_t \tilde{z}_t \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=2}^T \tilde{z}'_t \tilde{y}_t.$$

So for this choice of the weight matrix  $C$ , the minimum distance estimate in (8) equals the stacked estimate in (9).

Similar points apply with the deviations from means transformation. Now let

$$\tilde{Y}_t = Y_t - \bar{Y}, \quad \tilde{Z}_t = Z_t - \bar{Z} \quad (t = 1, \dots, T),$$

and set up the corresponding data matrices

$$\tilde{y}_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1t} - \bar{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{Nt} - \bar{y}_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{z}_t = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1t} - \bar{z}_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_{Nt} - \bar{z}_N \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\bar{y}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{it}, \quad \bar{z}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T z_{it} \quad (i = 1, \dots, N).$$

We have

$$E^*(\tilde{Y}_t | \tilde{Z}_t) = \pi_t \tilde{Z}_t,$$

with  $\pi_t = \theta_1$  ( $t = 1, \dots, T$ ). The sample counterpart is to obtain an estimate  $\hat{\pi}_t$  from the least-squares fit of  $\tilde{y}_t$  on  $\tilde{z}_t$ . Define

$$\hat{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\pi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\pi}_T \end{pmatrix}.$$

Stack up the data matrices:

$$\tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{y}_T \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{z}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{z}_T \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can obtain an estimate of  $\theta_1$  from a least-squares fit of  $\tilde{y}$  on  $\tilde{z}$ :

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = (\tilde{z}'\tilde{z})^{-1} \tilde{z}'\tilde{y} = \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{z}_t' \tilde{z}_t \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{z}_t' \tilde{y}_t. \quad (10)$$

This stacked estimate equals the minimum distance estimate for a particular choice of the weight matrix  $C$ . Let

$$C = \text{diag}(\tilde{z}'_1 \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}'_T \tilde{z}_T) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{z}'_1 \tilde{z}_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \tilde{z}'_T \tilde{z}_T \end{pmatrix}.$$

Because  $\hat{\pi}_t = (\tilde{z}'_t \tilde{z}_t)^{-1} \tilde{z}'_t \tilde{y}_t$ , we have

$$(G'CG)^{-1}G'C\hat{\pi} = \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{z}'_t \tilde{z}_t \right)^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{z}'_t \tilde{y}_t.$$

So for this choice of the weight matrix  $C$ , the minimum distance estimate equals the stacked estimate in (10).

In general, the stacked estimate of  $\theta_1$  based on the first difference transformation is not equal to the stacked estimate based on the deviations from means transformation. (They are equal if  $T = 2$ .) The choice between these estimates depends on their sampling distributions and will be discussed in the inference part of the course.

## 6. PRODUCTION FUNCTION

For a randomly chosen farm,  $Q_t$  is output in year  $t$ ,  $L_t$  is labor input in year  $t$ ,  $F$  is a measure of soil quality and other location aspects that are not changing over time, and  $V_t$  is a measure of rainfall and other weather conditions in year  $t$ . The production function is

$$Q_t = L_t^\theta F V_t \quad (t = 1, \dots, T)$$

with  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Data are available on output and labor input for  $N$  of these farms over  $T$  years; data on soil quality and weather conditions are not available. The farmer's objective is to choose the labor input to maximize the conditional expectation of profit, conditional on the information  $\mathcal{J}_t$  available to him when the labor choice is made:

$$\max_L E[P_t Q_t - W_t L \mid \mathcal{J}_t].$$

The price of output ( $P_t$ ) and the price of labor ( $W_t$ ) are not affected by the farmer's choice. The first-order condition for

$$\max_L P_t [L^\theta F E(V_t | \mathcal{J}_t)] - W_t L$$

gives

$$\theta P_t L^{\theta-1} F E(V_t | \mathcal{J}_t) = W_t.$$

The derived demand for labor is

$$\log L_t = \frac{1}{1-\theta} [\log \theta - \log \frac{W_t}{P_t} + \log F + \log E(V_t | \mathcal{J}_t)].$$

We can write the production function as

$$\log Q_t = \theta \log L_t + \log F + \log V_t,$$

or

$$Y_t = \theta Z_t + A + \log V_t,$$

with  $Y_t = \log Q_t$ ,  $Z_t = \log L_t$ , and  $A = \log F$ . Note that  $A$  is correlated with  $Z_t$  through the derived demand for labor, and so a regression function for  $Y_t$  that does not include  $A$  will not have the production function elasticity  $\theta$  as the coefficient on  $Z_t$ . This is the omitted variable bias motivation for the use of panel data.

Note that

$$E(Y_t | Z_1, \dots, Z_T, A) = \theta Z_t + A + E(\log V_t | Z_1, \dots, Z_T, A).$$

Our structural regression function in equation (1) in Section 1 has the form

$$E(Y_t | Z_1, \dots, Z_T, A) = \theta Z_t + A + \text{constant}.$$

So to apply the results from Section 1, with  $\theta$  interpreted as the production function elasticity, we need

$$E(\log V_t | Z_1, \dots, Z_T, A) = \text{constant}.$$

This could fail to hold if there is correlation over time in the weather conditions  $V_t$ . For then lagged values of  $\log V_t$  will enter the demand for labor, and so  $Z_{t+1}$  will be correlated with  $\log V_t$ .

## 7. TIME-VARYING COEFFICIENTS

Return to the structural regression function in equation (1) of Section 1, and allow for time-varying coefficients:

$$E(Y_t | Z_1, \dots, Z_T, W, A) = \theta_{0t} + \theta_{1t}Z_t + \theta_{2t}W + \theta_{3t}A.$$

As before, let

$$X' = (Z_1 \quad \dots \quad Z_T \quad 1 \quad W \quad X_{T+3} \quad \dots \quad X_K),$$

$$E^*(A | X) = \gamma_1 X_1 + \dots + \gamma_K X_K = \gamma' X.$$

Then the linear predictor of  $Y_t$  given  $X$  is

$$E^*(Y_t | X) = \theta_{0t} + \theta_{1t}Z_t + \theta_{2t}W + \theta_{3t}\gamma' X.$$

The multivariate linear predictor is

$$E^*(Y | X) = \Pi X \quad \text{with} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_T \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix  $\Pi$  of linear predictor coefficients is  $T \times K$ . With  $T = 3$ ,

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} + \theta_{31}\gamma_1 & \theta_{31}\gamma_2 & \theta_{31}\gamma_3 & \dots \\ \theta_{32}\gamma_1 & \theta_{12} + \theta_{32}\gamma_2 & \theta_{32}\gamma_3 & \dots \\ \theta_{33}\gamma_1 & \theta_{33}\gamma_2 & \theta_{13} + \theta_{33}\gamma_3 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

(where we are displaying only the first three columns of  $\Pi$ ). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi_{12}}{\pi_{32}} &= \frac{\theta_{31}\gamma_2}{\theta_{33}\gamma_2} = \frac{\theta_{31}}{\theta_{33}}, \\ \pi_{31} &= \theta_{33}\gamma_1, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\pi_{11} - \frac{\pi_{12}}{\pi_{32}}\pi_{31} = \theta_{11}.$$

So  $\theta_{11}$  is identified (provided that  $\pi_{32} \neq 0$ ), and a similar argument shows that  $\theta_{1t}$  is identified for  $t = 1, 2, 3$ .