

ALGO HW3

kwc9ap

October 18, 2021

1 Question 1

For this experiment, we set the input value size, X , to 200. At $X = 200$, the brute force algorithm took an average (out of five trials) of 2645 microseconds (us). At $X = 400$, brute force took an average of 10361 us, and 20502 us at $x = 600$. This is expected, as the time complexity of the brute force algorithm is $O(n^2)$. When the input size X doubles, run-time quadruples. At $3X$, the run-time is roughly $9X$ that of the original input size.

2 Question 2

Again, we set the input value size, X , to 200. At $X = 200$, the divide and conquer algorithm took an average of 1204 us. When $X = 400$ and $X = 600$, the average run-time was 2328 and 3919, respectively. In theory, this D&C algorithm is $\Theta(n \log n)$; the experimental run-times support this. When the input size is doubled from 200 to 400, the run-time doubles ($2 \cdot \log 2 = 2$). When the input size is tripled, the run-time theoretically increases by a factor of 4.75 ($3 \cdot \log 3$). The experimental time quadruples when taken from $X = 200$ to $X = 600$. The difference between experimental and theoretical is acceptable could be chalked up to low-level optimization and caching effecting run-times. $\Theta(n \log n)$ is in $o(n^2)$, showing that the D&C algorithm is a big improvement over the quadratic brute force approach.