

## 1. Problem 11.5.17 of the text.

Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  be a rational number. We say that  $L \in \mathbf{PP}_\epsilon$  if there is a nondeterministic Turing machine  $M$  such that  $x \in L$  if and only if at least an  $\epsilon$  fraction of the computations are accepting. Show that  $\mathbf{PP}_\epsilon = \mathbf{PP}$ .

---

## 2. Problem 11.5.18 of the text.

Show that, if  $\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{BPP}$ , then  $\mathbf{RP} = \mathbf{NP}$ . (That is, if  $\mathbf{SAT}$  can be solved by randomized machines, then it can be solved by randomized machines with no false positives, presumably by computing a satisfying truth assignment as in Example 10.3.)

---

## 3. Problem 11.5.25 of the text.

We know that most languages do not have polynomial circuits (Theorem 4.3), but that certain undecidable ones do (Proposition 11.2). We suspect that  $\mathbf{NP}$ -complete languages have no polynomial circuits (Conjecture B in Section 11.4). How high do we have to go in complexity to find languages that *provably* do not have polynomial circuits?

---