

The topic of my thesis is *puzzle generation*. When we talk about complexity problems & algorithms, we mostly focus on *solving* problems (i.e., “given so-and-so setup, find so-and-so satisfying some conditions”). But what does it take to *make* a good puzzle? How hard is it to generate a good Sudoku puzzle? What does *good* even mean? Are there general ways to generate arbitrary, NP-complete/NP-hard “flavored” puzzles?

Annotated bibliography

- Laura A. Sanchis and Mark A. Fulk. “On the Efficient Generation of Language Instances”. In: *SIAM Journal on Computing* 19.2 (1990), pp. 281–296. doi: 10.1137/0219019. url: <https://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/0219019>

This paper introduces the simplest formal model for efficient puzzle generators. A *polynomial time constructor* (PTC) for a language L is a deterministic program that, on input 1^n , runs in polynomial-time and returns a string in L with length n iff one exists. *Polynomial time generators* (PTGs) is the nondeterministic analog of PTCs, with the additional requirement that every string in L of length n must be reachable. PTCs and PTGs could be thought of as programs that produce solvable puzzles of given sizes (e.g., given n , generate a solvable $n^2 \times n^2$ Sudoku board).

The main question explored here is: which classes of languages (puzzles/problems) have PTCs and PTGs? Relevant results include:

- Every language that has a PTG is in NP.
- For any language L in NP, L has a PTC iff it has a PTG.
- Every P language has a PTG iff every NP language has a PTG.

Surprisingly enough, that last result indicates that we don’t know whether every P language has a PTG. This paper goes on to define various special types of PTGs (e.g., categorical, lexicographical, etc.) and establishes various connections between PTG-existence questions and polynomial-hierarchy relations.

- Laura A. Sanchis. “On the complexity of test case generation for NP-hard problems”. In: *Information Processing Letters* 36 (3 Nov. 1, 1990), pp. 135–140. doi: 10.1016/0020-0190(90)90082-9. url: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0020019090900829>

In this paper, Sanchis generalizes the notion of puzzle generators introduced in Sanchis and Fulk (“On the Efficient Generation of Language Instances”). Define a *Test Instance Construction Method* (TICM) with respect to some fixed problem Π as a non-deterministic, polynomial-time program that, given a *desired* answer α (along with some desired parameters on the input, e.g., length), attempts to return an instance/input of Π that has answer α and which meets the target parameters.

The key result from this paper is that, unless $\text{NP} = \text{co-NP}$, most NP-hard problems do *not* have efficient TICMs that can generate all input instances (with given known answers). This establishes theoretical bounds on how comprehensive we can reasonably expect a puzzle generator to be in its coverage of available/possible inputs.

- Laura A. Sanchis. “Generating hard and diverse test sets for NP-hard graph problems”. In: *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 58 (1 Mar. 10, 1995), pp. 35–66. doi: 10.1016/0166-218X(93)E0140-T. url: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0166218X93E0140T>

In this paper, Sanchis

- Yuki Matsuyama and Shuichi Miyazaki. “Hardness of Instance Generation with Optimal Solutions for the Stable Marriage Problem”. In: *Journal of Information Processing* 29 (2021), pp. 166–173. doi: 10.2197/ipsjjip.29.166. url: https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/ipsjjip/29/0/29_166/_article
- Roberto Fontana. “Random Latin squares and Sudoku designs generation”. In: *Electronic Journal of Statistics* 8 (1 2014), pp. 883–893. doi: 10.1214/14-EJS913. url: <https://projecteuclid.org/journals/electronic-journal-of-statistics/volume-8/issue-1/Random-Latin-squares-and-Sudoku-designs-generation/10.1214/14-EJS913.full>
- Kohei Nishikawa and Takahisa Toda. “Exact Method for Generating Strategy-Solvable Sudoku Clues”. In:

Algorithms 13 (7 July 16, 2020). doi: 10.3390/a13070171. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1999-4893/13/7/171>

- Ryan Evans, Brett Lindner, and Yixun Shi. “Generating Sudoku puzzles and its applications in teaching mathematics”. In: *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology* 42 (5 Apr. 18, 2011). doi: 10.1080/0020739X.2011.562316. URL: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/0020739X.2011.562316>
- Kazuo Iwama and Shuichi Miyazaki. “Approximation of coNP sets by NP-complete sets”. In: *Computing and Combinatorics*. Ed. by Ding-Zhu Du and Ming Li. 1995. ISBN: 978-3-540-44733-7. doi: 10.1007/BFb0030815. URL: <https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2FBFb0030815>