Annual LAO Compliance Report for FY 2019-2020 Police Department (POL)

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE CHANGES

1.FY 2019-2020

1. Please provide a summary of all language access changes in your Department since FY 2019-2020.

SUMMARY OF COMPLIANCE REPORT CHANGES & KEY BARRIERS

A.Explain changes in strategies and procedures, and indicate whether these changes have improved the Departments language access services from the previous year.

Description of Change	Improved Language Access Services?
The SFPD added new policies to identify	Yes
calls for service which required	
interpretations or translations; thus to	
expand the pool of language certifications	
offered by CCSF DHR.	
Worked with oversight departments to	Yes
address and improve services provided to	
LEP individuals.	
Policy implementation to better assist and	Yes
serve to the deaf and hard of hearing.	
SFPD, with the assistance of OCEIA,	Yes
expanded professional vendor relationship	
to assist with translation requests.	

B. Indicate any key barriers that have prevented your Department from achieving your LAO goals and any proposed solutions.

Barriers	Proposed Solutions
COVID-19; Pandemic created limitations on resources as well as ability to conduct or create the expansion of planned training.	Transition to a digital platform.
DHR test continuation during	Explore virtual platform.

Recognition and acceptance of
certifications from agencies in addition to
DHR (OCA approved).

II. DEPARTMENTAL GOALS

2. Assessment of Progress in Meeting Previous Year's Goals

Please provide an update on how your department is meeting your current goals. These are the goals that your department indicated in last year's report.

SFPD has dedicated personnel to focus on improving and strengthening Language Access for its Limited English Proficiency recipients. Implemented a new mobile application on officers' mobile devices to overcome language barriers. Conducted roll call training at the 10 district stations, including Investigations Bureau and Tactical Unit.

3. Goals for Fiscal Year 2020-2021

Please provide a description of your department's Language Access Ordinance goals for Fiscal Year 2020-2021 (bullet points).

Work with the SFPD Regional Police academy to create and implement Language Access training for all members. Through the state of California, POST certify the Language Access course and making it accessible to public service agencies statewide. Collaborate with other city agencies to strengthen language access.

III.CLIENT INFORMATION

4. Primary/Preferred Language Information

Do you collect and record primary/preferred language data on clients as part of your intake or application process?

Yes

5. Data Collection Method

What method did you use to determine the number and percentage of limited English proficient (LEP) persons who actually used your department's services citywide during FY 2019-2020?

See OCEIA Guidance, Section I

* You may measure this information by: 1) analyzing information collected during the Department's intake process for all clients; or 2) conducting an annual survey of all contacts with the public made by the Department during a period of at least two weeks; or 3) analyzing and calculating the annual total number of requests for telephonic translation (interpretation) services.

If you use the survey method, please pro-rate your results to produce estimated totals for the full year. For example: data from a two-week survey should be multiplied by 26; data from a one-month survey should be multiplied by 12.

Please	provide the method	you used to	determine the	number LEP	persons actually	y served
--------	--------------------	-------------	---------------	------------	------------------	----------

a. Intake b. Annual Survey c. Number of telephonic interpretation requests

Description (Optional)

Documented on police reports, calls of service, CAD (dispatch) and other intake forms submitted to the police department.

6. Number of LEP Persons who Used Department's Services During FY 2019-2020

a. Please indicate the number and percentage of LEP persons who actually used your department's services city wide during FY 2019-2020:

See Guidance, Section I

* You may measure this information by: 1) analyzing information collected during the Department's intake process for all clients; or 2) conducting an annual survey of all contacts with the public made by the Department during a period of at least two weeks; or 3) analyzing and calculating the annual total number of requests for telephonic translation (interpretation) services.

If you use the survey method, please pro-rate your results to produce estimated totals for the full year. For example: data from a two-week survey should be multiplied by 26; data from a one-month survey should be multiplied by 12.

TOTAL CLIENTS (#)	LEP CLIENTS (#)	LEP CLI	ENTS F	BY LANGUAO MDRN	GE (#) ? RUS	SPN	VIET	Other
	30,738	5,354	115	1,581	712	18,699	367	3910 Other

Key: CAN = Cantonese FIL = Filipino (Tagalog) MDRN = Mandarin RUS = Russian SPN = Spanish VIET = Vietnamese

Please indicate the number of clients served in other languages:

LANGUAGE	LEP CLIENTS (#)
Amharic	11
Arabic	171
Burmese	12
Cambodian	8
Cantonese	5,354
Farsi	30

Greek Hindi Indonesian Italian Japanese Korean Laotian Mandarin Mongolian Nepali Other Pashto	40 15 43 17 31 143 276 13 1,581 77 44 3,910
Hindi Indonesian Italian Japanese Korean Laotian Mandarin Mongolian Nepali Other Pashto	43 17 31 143 276 13 1,581 77 44 3,910
Indonesian Italian Japanese Korean Laotian Mandarin Mongolian Nepali Other Pashto	17 31 143 276 13 1,581 77 44 3,910
Italian Japanese Korean Laotian Mandarin Mongolian Nepali Other Pashto	31 143 276 13 1,581 77 44 3,910
Japanese Korean Laotian Mandarin Mongolian Nepali Other Pashto	143 276 13 1,581 77 44 3,910
Korean Laotian Mandarin Mongolian Nepali Other Pashto	276 13 1,581 77 44 3,910
Laotian Mandarin Mongolian Nepali Other Pashto	13 1,581 77 44 3,910
Mandarin Mongolian Nepali Other Pashto	1,581 77 44 3,910
Mongolian Nepali Other Pashto	77 44 3,910
Nepali Other Pashto	3,910
Other Pashto	3,910
Pashto	·
	1
Portuguese	
	477
Punjabi	22
Romanian	13
Russian	712
Spanish	18,699
Tagalog	114
Taiwanese	4
Thai	51
Tibetan	2
Tigrinya	11
Taishanese	142
Turkish	36
Ukranian	3
Urdu	7
Vietnamese	367
Brazilian Portuguese	208
Serbian	7
Farsi (Persian)	12
Armenian	6
Czeh	1
Hiitian Creole	1
Polish	3
Egyptian Arabic	2
Yemeni Arabic	1
Lingala	1
Lithuanian	1

Dutch	2
Uzbek	2
Kirundi	1
Fante	1
Tongan	1
Karen	1
Hmong	1
Dari	5
Hebrew	1
Bulgarian	1
Samoan	3
Filipino	2
Somali	1
Bengali	1
Latvian	3
Shanghainese	3
American Sign Language	35

IV. DATA ON TRANSLATION AND INTERPRETATION DURING FY 2019-2020

7. Translated Written Materials

a) Please indicate how many of the Department's materials (e.g. applications, forms, notices of rights, program material, etc.) have been translated into each of the following languages.

See Guidance, Section II (a)

Language	Total Materials	CHN	FIL	RUS	SPN	VIET	Other #1 (specify)	Description
All Translated Materials	65	10	10	10	10		25	Nepali, Arabic & Myan
Number of Vital Documents	60	15	15	15	15			

b) Please list all of the Department's written materials(e.g. applications, forms, notices of rights, program material, etc.) that have been translated, the language(s) into which they have been translated, and the persons who have reviewed the translated materials for accuracy and appropriateness.

See Guidance, Section II (a)



8. Telephone-Based Interpretation Services

Describe any <u>telephone-based interpretation services</u> used for FY 2019-2020 (July 1 through June 30). Please include information on call volumes and language use. If your department uses multiple telephone-based interpretation services, which may include LanguageLine Solutions, other vendors, or internal staff, please indicate each on a separate line.

See Guidance, Section II (b)

What	Total	Total	Call V	olume by	y Langı	ıage					
telephonic interpretation	Call Volume										
services were used?	(LEP Clients)	CAN	FIL	MDRN	RUS	SPN	VIE	Γ	Other #1 (Specify)		Other #2 (Specify)
LanguageLine Solutions	5,497	1,105	27	494	118	2,861	138	7:	54	Au Ca Fr Gu In Ja La Ne Po Ro Th	rabic, Armenian, mharic, Burmese, ambodian, Farsi, ench, German, reek. hindi, donesian, Italian, panese, Korean, notian, Mongolian, epali, Pashto, ortuguese, Brazilian ortuguese, Punjabi, omanian, Taiwanese, nai, Tibetan, grinya, Turkish

9. In-Person Interpretation Services

How many times did multilingual employees provide in-person interpretation services in FY 2019-2020(July 1 through June 30)? Please include information on the number of times in-person interpretation was provided in each language .

See Guidance, Section II (c)

	Number of Times Interpretation Provided, by Language										
Total	CAN	FIL	MDRN	RUS	SPN	VIET	Other #1 (Specify)	Other #2 (Specify)			
948	51	31	15	21	501	61	268				

Description (Option	

10. Oral Interpretation at Public Meetings

How often did your department provide oral interpretation at public meetings or hearings during FY 2019-2020? Please indicate the number of meetings/hearings and languages provided and whether vendors or multilingual employees were used.

See Guidance, Section II (d)

Number of Interpreted hearings/meetings	15
Total Number of LEP Attendees	
Interpretation provided by	✓ Vendors ✓ multilingual Employees Other
Interpretation provided in (languages)	✓ Cantonese ✓ Filipino ✓ Mandarin Russian ✓ Spanish Vietnamese Other

V.MULTILINGUAL STAFFING AND TRAINING

11. Multilingual Employees

a) How many multilingual public contact employees does your department have, and how many have had their multilingual skills tested and certified by the Department of Human Resources (DHR)? Indicate the language(s) spoken by certified multilingual employees and all multilingual employees.

See Guidance, Section III (a)

	Total	Number	or Mul	ltilingual Staf	f, by Lan	guages		
	Number	CAN	FIL	MDRN	RUS	SPN	VIET	Other
Certified								
Multilingual								
Public	311	108	19	21	15	148		

Contact Employee					
Total Multilingual Public Contact Employee	120			6	114
All Public Contact	2,909				

Description (Optional)	

Please provide a roster of your department's Multilingual Employees (excluding those employees who are self-designated as competent in a language other than English). Use additional pages as needed. See Roster of Multilingual Employees from OCEIA.

ROSTER OF MULTILINGUAL EMPLOYEES				
Name	Title	Office Location	Languages (other than English)	DHR Certified? (Y/N)

Please upload your Roster of Multilingual Employees as an Excel file	Your file is uploaded Download Blank Excel Template
---	--

b) Assess the number of additional multilingual employees needed in FY 2019-2020 and beyond to meet the requirements of the Language Access Ordinance.

See Guidance, Section III (b)

Is the current number of multilingual employees adequate to meet LAO requirements?	If no, indicate the number of additional multilingual employees needed and corresponding language(s)
meet LAO requirements?	

If you have indicated that the number of multilingual employees in your Department is inadequate to meet the requirements of the Language Access Ordinance, please state your Department's plans to meet those requirements

Collaborate with DHR and OCEIA to expand certifications beyond the core 5 languages based on LEP needs; data backed research.

12. Employee Development and Training

See Guidance, Section III (c)

a) Which of the following methods does your Department use to verify the quality of multilingual employees' language proficiency skills?

✓	DHR multilingual certification
✓	External certification process
	Other method (describe):
	Our Department does not have a method to verify the quality of multilingual employees' language proficiency skills

b) Does your Department offer training for public contact staff on how to provide language assistance services to LEP individuals?

Yes	
-----	--

A 10 hours course for DHR qualified members, as well a two hour course for new hired police officers as well other public contact employees.

VI.LANGUAGE SERVICE AND COMMUNICATION PROTOCOLS

13. Language Access Policies

Please provide a brief summary of your department's procedures for providing services to LEP persons, using the boxes below.

LANGUAGE ACCESS POLICIES AND PROTOCOLS

a) Does your department have a written Language Access Policy?	Yes	
b) Please provide a brief summary of the		

policy.	Attached
c) Please upload your department's full Language Access Policy.	Your file is uploaded
d) Does your department work with clients in crisis or emergency situations?	Yes
If yes, please describe the nature of crisis or emergency situations (e.g., fire, natural disaster, domestic violence, other).	domestic violence
e) Does your department have a protocol for serving LEP persons in crisis or emergency situations?	Yes
If yes, please provide a brief summary of your Department's protocol for serving LEP persons in crisis or emergency situations, including the use of multilingual staff for assisting LEP persons and the translation of any warning signs.	SFPD Department General Order 5.20, "Language Access Services for Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons" policy outlines duties and protocols and procedures when encountering individuals who have Limited English Proficiency (LEP). SFPD qualified bilingual members receive training regarding the various role and procedures which cover topics including but not limited to legal requirements, court procedures, roles, definitions, preferred methods of communication, types of contacts and investigations. Additionally, SFPD supplements policies to ensure best practices or legal updates. In crisis or emergency situations, the SFPD utilizes its qualified members in addition to telephonic vendor services, who can be reached on members mobile devices and have the capability to assist with audio or video interpretations. CCSF / SFPD vendors as well as OCEIA facilitates with translations as well a database of translated documents of recurring incidents. Collaboration with Department of Emergency Management (DEM) to assist with calls for service for response to emergency situations.

14. Recorded Telephonic Messages

a) Please list any recorded telephonic messages that are available in languages other than English, and describe the content of recorded messages (e.g. office hours and location; information about programs and services; other types of assistance).

	LANGUAGE
CONTENT OF	(Mark all boxes that apply)

RECORDED MESSAGE	CAN	FIL	MDRN	RUS	SPN	VIET	Oth (spec	
Office Hours and Location	✓	Y			Y			
Information about Programs and Services	✓	¥			¥			
Other (please describe)								

b) Assess the availability and quality of your department's recorded telephonic messages in languages other than English .

See Guidance, Section IV (a)

Adequate

Please explain.

DEM provides recorded messages in multiple languages as well as TTY for members calling 9-1-1 and non emergency lines. When contacting any of the 10 district stations, the front counters are staffed 24/7 and have the ability to communicate with LEP callers.

15. Telephonic Requests for Translation or Interpretation Services

a) Describe your Department's procedures for handling telephonic requests for translation or interpretation services.

SFPD utilized qualified department members, telephonic vendors (audio and video capability), and/or contracted vendors for interpretations and translations requests in collaboration with the language access liaison officer. (outlined in section 13).

b) Assess the quality of your department's procedures for handling telephonic requests for translation or interpretation services.

See Guidance, Section IV (b)



Please explain.

In compliance with CCSF Language Access Ordinance and SFPD department policy, members have the capability of contacting the Language Access Liaison to facilitate with translation requests. Interpretation services are available 24/7 via department members and if unavailable, by utilizing a telephonic vendor (outlined in section 13).

16. In-Person Requests for Translation or Interpretation Services

a) Describe your Department's procedures for handling in-person requests for translation or interpretation services.

SFPD utilized qualified department members, telephonic vendors (audio and video capability), and/or contracted vendors for translation and/or interpretation services in collaboration with the language access liaison officer.

b) Assess the quality of your department's procedures for handling in-person requests for translation or interpretation services.

See Guidance, Section IV (c)



Please explain.

In compliance with CCSF Language Access Ordinance and SFPD department policy, members have the capability of contacting the Language Access Liaison to facilitate with translation requests. Interpretation services are available 24/7 via department members and if unavailable, by utilizing a telephonic vendor collaboration with the language access liaison officer.

17. Public Notices of Availability of Language Access Services

a) For in-person or over-the-counter contacts, please indicate whether there is a notice posted in a public place informing LEP persons of their right to request translation or interpretation, and the languages that this notices is printed in.

Public notice posted informing LEP persons of their right to request language access in the following languages:

✓	Filipino
✓	Chinese
✓	Russian
✓	Spanish
✓	Vietnamese
✓	Other Arabic

b) Assess the quality of your department's public notices of availability of language access services.

See Guidance, Section IV (d)

Please explain.

All district stations have displayed signage, which indicates interpretation is avaliable. In addition stations also have a laminated "I Speak" card which has over 250 languages listed to allow an LEP to point and identify their preffered language.

VII. BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES FOR LANGUAGE SERVICES

18. Language Services Expenditures in FY 2019-2020

Please provide the following information on the annual budget and actual expenses for Language Services provisioning.

See Guidance, Section V (a)

Language Access Services	FY 2019-2020 Actual Expenses
Compensatory pay for multilingual employees who perform multilingual services, excluding regular annual salary expenditures.	\$639,600.00
Telephonic interpretation services provided by vendors.	\$59,121.62
3. Document translation services provided by vendors.	\$6,449.73
On-site language interpretation services provided by vendors.	\$4,944.45
5. Other costs associated with providing language access services (e.g., grants, special programs, other.)	\$0.00
6. Total Language Services Budget (add columns 1-5)	\$710,115.80

18 b. Department's Total Operating Budget

\$692,322,319.00

19. Projected Language Services Budget in FY 2020-2021

What is your total projected budget to support progressive implementation of your Department's language service plan in FY 2020-2021 ?

See Guidance, Section V(b)

TOTAL 2000000	
Description (Optional)	