



# The SAGE-Spec *Spitzer* Legacy program: the life-cycle of dust and gas in the Large Magellanic Cloud. Point source classification – III

O. C. Jones,<sup>1</sup>★ P. M. Woods,<sup>2</sup> F. Kemper,<sup>3</sup> K. E. Kraemer,<sup>4</sup> G. C. Sloan,<sup>1,5,6</sup>  
 S. Srinivasan,<sup>3</sup> J. M. Oliveira,<sup>7</sup> J. Th. van Loon,<sup>7</sup> M. L. Boyer,<sup>1</sup> B. A. Sargent,<sup>1,8</sup>  
 I. McDonald,<sup>9</sup> M. Meixner,<sup>1</sup> A. A. Zijlstra,<sup>9,10</sup> P. M. E. Ruffle,<sup>3,9†</sup> E. Lagadec,<sup>11</sup>  
 T. Pauly,<sup>5</sup> M. Sewiło,<sup>12</sup> G. C. Clayton<sup>13</sup> and K. Volk<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

<sup>2</sup>Astrophysics Research Centre, Department of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK

<sup>3</sup>Academia Sinica, Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

<sup>4</sup>Institute for Scientific Research, Boston College, 140 Commonwealth Avenue, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA

<sup>5</sup>Cornell Center for Astrophysics and Planetary Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-6801, USA

<sup>6</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255, USA

<sup>7</sup>School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Lennard-Jones Laboratories, Keele University, Staffordshire ST5 5BG, UK

<sup>8</sup>Center for Imaging Science and Laboratory for Multiwavelength Astrophysics, Rochester Institute of Technology, 54 Lomb Memorial Drive, Rochester, NY 14623, USA

<sup>9</sup>Jodrell Bank Centre for Astrophysics, Alan Turing Building, School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

<sup>10</sup>Department of Physics, Chong Yuet Ming Physics Building, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong

<sup>11</sup>Laboratoire Lagrange, Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, boulevard de l'Observatoire, CS 34229, 06304 Nice Cedex 4, France

<sup>12</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Rd, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

<sup>13</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

Accepted 2017 May 4. Received 2017 May 4; in original form 2017 March 2

## ABSTRACT

The Infrared Spectrograph (IRS) on the *Spitzer Space Telescope* observed nearly 800 point sources in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), taking over 1000 spectra. 197 of these targets were observed as part of the SAGE-Spec *Spitzer* Legacy program; the remainder are from a variety of different calibration, guaranteed time and open time projects. We classify these point sources into types according to their infrared spectral features, continuum and spectral energy distribution shape, bolometric luminosity, cluster membership and variability information, using a decision-tree classification method. We then refine the classification using supplementary information from the astrophysical literature. We find that our IRS sample is comprised substantially of YSO and H II regions, post-main-sequence low-mass stars: (post-)asymptotic giant branch stars and planetary nebulae and massive stars including several rare evolutionary types. Two supernova remnants, a nova and several background galaxies were also observed. We use these classifications to improve our understanding of the stellar populations in the LMC, study the composition and characteristics of dust species in a variety of LMC objects, and to verify the photometric classification methods used by mid-IR surveys. We discover that some widely used catalogues of objects contain considerable contamination and others are missing sources in our sample.

**Key words:** techniques: spectroscopic – surveys – galaxies: individual: (LMC) – Magellanic Clouds – infrared: galaxies – infrared: stars.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

There are many ways to probe the properties of a galaxy. One of the most important is to characterize its stellar content. Particularly revealing is to identify (stellar) sources that are bright in the infrared: these sources are generally dust rich, and form an important step in

\* E-mail: [ojones@stsci.edu](mailto:ojones@stsci.edu)

† Paul M. E. Ruffle passed away on 2013 November 21.

the cycle of baryonic matter throughout a galaxy, for which dust is an important tracer. IR-bright stellar sources cover a wide range of stellar masses, at both ends of stellar evolution.

The Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) is a nearby galaxy (50 kpc away; Pietrzyński et al. 2013) that has been the subject of, or included in, a plethora of past and on-going surveys at all wavelengths. As such, a wealth of information exists about its stellar sources, which can be compiled and understood in terms of different stellar populations. Within the LMC, stars can be assumed to be all at approximately the same distance from the Earth and to have a narrow range of metallicity,  $[Fe/H] \sim -0.3$  dex (Westerlund 1997).

The Surveying the Agents of Galaxy Evolution (SAGE) project (Meixner et al. 2006) performed a uniform, unbiased photometric survey of the LMC with the *Spitzer Space Telescope* (Werner et al. 2004), using all bands of the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; 3.6, 4.5, 5.8 and 8.0  $\mu\text{m}$ ; Fazio et al. 2004) and the Multi-Band Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS; 24, 70 and 160  $\mu\text{m}$ ; Rieke et al. 2004). This complements the optical Magellanic Clouds Photometric Survey (MCPS; Zaritsky et al. 2004) and the near-infrared surveys: Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) and the VISTA Survey of the Magellanic Clouds (Cioni et al. 2011). From the SAGE imaging, a point-source catalogue (PSC) has been constructed, containing 8.5 million sources with detections in at least one of the *Spitzer* photometric bands (Meixner et al. 2006). The *Herschel Space Observatory* (Pilbratt 2010) with the Herschel Inventory of the Agents of Galaxy Evolution survey (HERITAGE; Meixner et al. 2013) has also targeted the Magellanic Clouds, probing the far-infrared (FIR) and submillimetre (submm) regime, detecting the emission from the coldest dust grains.

Some of the initial spectroscopic surveys in the LMC with the Infrared Spectrograph (IRS; Houck et al. 2004) on *Spitzer* were conducted by Buchanan et al. (2006, 2009) who targeted 60 IR bright sources in the LMC with an 8  $\mu\text{m}$  midcourse space experiment (MSX) detection (Egan, Van Dyk & Price 2001). A larger spectroscopic follow-up to the SAGE photometric survey, SAGE-Spec (Kemper et al. 2010), charts the life cycle of gas and dust in galaxies by observing a variety of circumstellar and interstellar environments. These observations of 197 sources covered the full luminosity and colour range found in the SAGE photometric survey. Woods et al. (2011a, hereafter Paper I) developed a comprehensive classification scheme for point sources based on the mid-IR spectra, and applied this to the initial 197 LMC point sources in the SAGE-Spec sample. The second paper, which applied this scheme to sources from the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC), was published by Ruffle et al. (2015, hereafter Paper II). In the current paper (Paper III), we extend the spectroscopic inventory to all IRS staring-mode observations within the SAGE footprint. These classifications improve our understanding of the stellar populations of the Magellanic Clouds and ultimately, allow us to relate mid-IR photometry to the spectral characteristics of different types of objects within the LMC. These results provide a global view of the dust content at different stages of the evolutionary cycle in the LMC and thereby trace the life cycle of baryonic matter that drives galactic evolution.

In Section 2, we describe the entire IRS sample of over 1000 spectra and the data reduction process we used to process them. In Section 3, we describe the classification method using the different spectral features in individual sources, and in Section 4 we present the results of the spectral classification and discuss the different object classes contained in the catalogue. We compare these results with classifications that are commonly used in the literature in Section 5. Finally, we end with a discussion and conclusions in Section 6.

## 2 THE *Spitzer* DATA AND DATA REDUCTION

We used the spectra from the SAGE-Spec data base at the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive.<sup>1</sup> This data base includes all staring-mode observations with the *Spitzer* IRS taken in the area of the sky covered by the SAGE survey (Meixner et al. 2006), which fully encompasses the LMC. Most of the spectra cover the full  $\sim 5$ – $37 \mu\text{m}$  range of the low-resolution modules of the IRS, although some spectra are limited to the  $\sim 5$ – $14 \mu\text{m}$  range and others use the high-resolution modules. Included in this selection of 1080 high- and low-resolution spectra were the 197 spectra obtained under the SAGE-Spec program (discussed in Paper I). The remainder comprised other science projects led by a variety of different principal investigators (PIs; see the list in Table 1), and were obtained with a diverse range of observing parameters. Additionally, 61 objects were observed with MIPS in SED mode, as part of the SAGE-Spec project. This gives a very low resolution spectrum in the wavelength range 52–93  $\mu\text{m}$ . Those observations and objects are described fully by van Loon et al. (2010).

Kemper et al. (2010) describe how the IRS spectra were processed and calibrated. We reduced all the spectra using this method. In outline, the low-resolution spectra were extracted from spectral images using the tapered-column algorithm.<sup>2</sup> High-resolution spectra used full-slit extraction. All spectra were flux-calibrated using assumed truth spectra for the K giants HR 6348, HD 166780 and HD 173511, as described by Sloan et al. (2015). The scientific rationale for the observations varied considerably amongst the programs; in some cases, the point sources we consider here were not even the primary target. Woods et al. (2011b) provide more detailed comments in the documentation accompanying the data.

The  $\sim 300$  spectra from program 40650 (PI: Looney) required special treatment, as they included low-resolution spectra from 5 to 14  $\mu\text{m}$  and high-resolution spectra from 10 to 37  $\mu\text{m}$ . These segments were extracted with the tapered-column and full-slit algorithms, respectively, as for most of the spectra. Due to possible background contamination in the high-resolution data, the spectra were normalized to the 5–14  $\mu\text{m}$  segments. For sources in this program that were not spatially extended, we also used optimally extracted spectra from the CASSIS data base (Combined Atlas of Spitzer IRS Sources; Lebouteiller et al. 2015). These spectra generally have higher signal/noise ratios than those produced by the other algorithms, because the optimal extractions exclude off-source data dominated by the sky and background noise. They also have better background removal, which reduces the contributions of nebular emission lines to the final spectra.

In total, 1080 spectra were obtained from 947 locations on the sky (i.e. there were 133 repeated observations, usually at a different resolution). In order to select spectra of point sources only, we matched the position of each extraction from the raw data (RA\_FOV, DEC\_FOV) to one of the SAGE PSCs (IRAC 3.6–8.0  $\mu\text{m}$  and MIPS 24–160  $\mu\text{m}$ ; see the SAGE documentation<sup>3</sup>) or the catalogue of Gruendl & Chu (2009) which is based on aperture photometry. This recovers sources that are slightly extended in the IRAC bands (but are point sources at 24  $\mu\text{m}$ ) that were missed by the SAGE PSCs.

<sup>1</sup> <http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/cgi-bin/Gator/nph-dd?catalog=ssid2>

<sup>2</sup> Available in both the SMART (Spectroscopy Modeling Analysis and Reduction Tool; Higdon et al. 2004) and SPICE (*Spitzer* IRS Custom Extraction) data reduction packages.

<sup>3</sup> [http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/SAGE/doc/SAGEDataProductsDescription\\_Sep09.pdf](http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/SAGE/doc/SAGEDataProductsDescription_Sep09.pdf)

**Table 1.** *Spitzer* IRS Staring mode data and their sources.

Cycle	PID	Program PI	Primary target	Spectra count <sup>a</sup>
Calsfx	M – 28	Various	Various calibrators	14 hi, 43 lo
1(GTO)	18	Houck/Uchida	H II regions	10 hi
1(GTO)	63	Houck/Brandl	H II regions	19 hi, 17 lo
1(GTO)	103	Houck/Bernard-Salas	Planetary nebulae	18 hi, 18 lo
1(GTO)	124, 129	Gehrz/Polomski	YSOs/LBVs	6 slhi, 2 lo
1(GTO)	200	Houck/Sloan	Evolved stars	29 lo
1(GTO)	249	Indebetouw	Star-forming regions	6 lo
1(GTO)	263	Woodward	Novaе	1 lo
1(GTO)	464, 472	Cohen	Stars	14 lo
1(GTO)	485	Ardila	Stars	6 lo
1	1094	Kemper	O-rich evolved stars	9 hi, 2 slhi, 9 lo
1	2333	Woodward	Novaе	4 slhi
1	3426	Kastner	Evolved stars	60 lo
1	3470	Bouwman	Herbig AeBe stars	1 hi
1	3483	Rho	Supernova remnants	1 lo
1	3505	Wood	Evolved stars	31 lo
1	3578	Misselt	Interstellar medium	13 lo
1	3583	Onaka	O-rich evolved stars	12 lo
1	3591	Kemper	O-rich evolved stars	53 lo
2	20080	Polomski	SN 1987A	1 lo
2	20443	Stanghellini	Planetary nebulae	20 lo
2	20752	Reynolds	Supernova remnants	1 hi
3	30067	Dwek	SN 1987A	4 lo
3	30077	Evans	Evolved stars	1 lo
3	30180	Fazio/Patten	Unknown object	1 lo
3	30332	Houck/Sloan	O-rich evolved stars	1 lo
3	30345	Houck/Sloan	O-rich evolved stars	4 hi
3	30372	Tappe	Supernova remnants	7 lo
3	30380	Clayton	R Coronae Borealis stars	1 lo
3	30544	Morris	Wolf–Rayet stars, supergiants	1 lo
3	30788	Sahai	Post-AGB stars	24 lo
3	30869	Kastner	B[e] stars	1 slhi, 8 lo
4	40031	Fazio/Reach	Interstellar medium	2 lo
4	40149	Dwek	SN 1987A	4 lo
4	40159	Kemper/Tielens	Various (SAGE-Spec )	197 lo
4	40604	Reynolds	Supernova remnants	2 lo
4	40650	Looney	Massive YSOs	8 hi, 331 slhi
5	50092	Gielen	Post-AGB stars	10 lo
5	50147	Sloan	Evolved stars	6 hi
5	50167	Clayton	Red supergiants	7 lo
5	50338	Matsuura	Post-AGB stars	23 lo, 1 slhi, 11 hi
5	50444	Dwek	SN 1987A	3 hi, 2 lo

*Note.* <sup>a</sup>‘hi’ indicates a spectrum taken in high-resolution mode (Short-High and/or Long-High), and ‘lo’ in low-resolution mode (Short-Low and/or Long-Low). ‘slhi’ indicates a combination of data from the *Spitzer* Short-Low, Short-High and Long-High modules.

We discarded any spectra without a 3 arcsec radius photometric match to an object in one of these catalogues.

Using the IRAC coordinates, the *Spitzer* IRS spectra were matched by position to photometry from point sources in complementary LMC surveys: the *UBVI* photometry comes from the MCPS (Zaritsky et al. 2004); *JHK<sub>s</sub>* photometry comes from both the 2MASS catalogue (Skrutskie et al. 2006) and the Infrared Survey Facility (IRSF) survey (Kato et al. 2007), and the photodetector array camera and spectrometer (PACS) and spectral and photometric imaging receiver (SPIRE) data from the HERITAGE band-matched catalogue (Seale et al. 2014). In general, positional matches were chosen to be the closest point source in each of these catalogues within 3 arcsec of the observed target. Exceptions to this were the MIPS, PACS and SPIRE catalogues, where 5, 10 and 20 arcsec radii were used, respectively. This is due to the difference in spatial resolution between the surveys. This supplementary data enabled us

to construct a spectral energy distribution (SED) for each object, to aid in the classification process. The SEDs were checked to ensure a good match between the spectral data and the photometric data. Only in a few cases was the next-nearest photometric match a better fit to the spectrum. Using this SED we also estimated a bolometric luminosity, which was useful in source classification.

In order to be as general as possible in our classification, we classify the object shown in each point-source spectrum. Where multiple spectra have been taken of one object, this redundancy gives an extra check, since all the classifications should agree, even if variations between spectra could exist, due to variability. We have identified 816 point-source objects with spectra within the footprint of SAGE, although 27 objects were not detected by the IRS (the spectrum is without signal), resulting in a final count of 789 unique point-source objects with IRS spectra. We numbered each of these LMC objects with an identifier (OBJID), from 1–789.

**Table 2.** Numbering, names and description of the columns present in the classification table that is available online only.

Column	Name	Description
1	OBJID	Object identification number of the target
2	SSID	Unique spectral identification number
3	NAME	Name of point source targeted
4	SAGE_SPEC_CLASS	Source classification determined in this paper
5–6	RA_SPEC, DEC_SPEC	Position of the extracted spectrum
7–8	AOR, PID	<i>Spitzer</i> Astronomical Observation Request and observing program identification numbers
9	PI	Last name of the PI of the <i>Spitzer</i> program
10–17	MAGU, DMAGU, MAGB, DMAGB, MAGV, DMAGV, MAGI, DMAGI	Matching MCPS $U, B, V, I$ magnitudes and errors
18–22	OGLE3ID, OGLE3MEAN_V, OGLE3MEAN_I, OGLE3AMP_I, OGLE3PERIOD	Source ID and variability information from the OGLE survey
23–29	TMASS_DESIGNATION, MAGJ, DMAGJ, MAGH, DMAGH, MAGK, DMAGK	Designation of 2MASS(6X) counterpart and its $J, H,$ and $K_s$ magnitudes and errors
30–36	IRSF_DESIGNATION, IRSF_JM, IRSF_JME, IRSF_HM, IRSF_HME, IRSF_KM, IRSF_KME	Designation of IRSF counterpart and its $J, H,$ $K_s$ magnitudes and errors
37–53	IRAC DES, MAG3_6, DMAG3_6, MAG4_5, DMAG4_5, MAG5_8, DMAG5_8, MAG8_0, DMAG8_0, FLUX3_6, DFLUX3_6, FLUX4_5, DFLUX4_5, FLUX5_8, DFLUX5_8, FLUX8_0, DFLUX8_0	SAGE-LMC IRAC Archive point-source designation <sup>a</sup> matching the extracted spectrum, and the corresponding IRAC mean photometry <sup>b</sup> with errors.
54–58	MIPS24 DES, MAG24, DMAG24, FLUX24, DFLUX24	SAGE-LMC MIPS24 catalogue designation and the corresponding mean photometry with errors
59–63	MIPS70 DES, MAG70, DMAG70, FLUX70, DFLUX70	SAGE-LMC MIPS70 catalogue designation and the corresponding photometry with errors
64–68	MIPS160 DES, MAG160, DMAG160, FLUX160, DFLUX160	SAGE-LMC MIPS160 catalogue designation and the corresponding photometry with errors
69–81	HERSCHEL DES, HERSCHEL_RA, HERSCHEL_DEC, PACS_F100, PACS_DF100, PACS_F160, PACS_DF160 SPIRE_F250, SPIRE_DF250, SPIRE_F350, SPIRE_DF350, SPIRE_F500, SPIRE_DF500	HERITAGE designation, positions, fluxes and errors
82	MBOL_PHOT	$M_{\text{bol}}$ calculated by interpolation of $JHK_s$ , IRAC and MIPS24 photometry
83–84	MBOL_MCD, TEFF_MCD	$M_{\text{bol}}$ calculated using the SED fitting code from McDonald et al. (2009, 2012) <sup>c</sup>
85	FGFLAG	Foreground flag, set to 1 if foreground source

*Notes.* <sup>a</sup>By default, IRAC information is provided for the Mosaic Archive match. If no such match was available, the Epoch 1 (or, failing that, Epoch 2) information is provided instead.

<sup>b</sup>All flux densities in this table are in Jy.

<sup>c</sup>Only good fits are included.

Each individual IRS spectrum was also numbered with an identifier (SSID) from 1–197 for spectra discussed in Paper I, and from 4000–4817 for all other spectra. This numbering corresponds to that used in the SAGE-Spec data base at IPAC.

Table 2 describes the columns of the online table that lists all the IRS staring-mode targets in the LMC, the field-of-view (FOV) position, their spectral classification, bolometric magnitude, variability and other relevant information. The matching photometry for the IRS spectra is also given in the online table.

### 3 THE CLASSIFICATION METHOD

We have classified the objects associated with the 1080 IRS staring mode spectra in the footprint of the SAGE project, which covers the entirety of the LMC. The primary basis for this classification is the *Spitzer* spectrum itself, but we use the additional information provided by the SED, and also an estimate of the bolometric luminosity, and furthermore, we confirm our classification with a literature study when possible.

To add rigor to our classification method, we use a slightly modified version of the classification decision tree, as presented in Papers I and II. One proceeds through the tree, responding to the yes or no questions in order to reach a terminal classification. Where questions cannot be answered, e.g. when some part of the required data is not available (for instance, longer wavelength data from the IRS Long Low modules), one may be left with a number of potential classifications, which can be constrained with a literature search, or comparison with similar spectra.

The final classification groups, with a tally of each class of object are listed in Table 3. An explanation of these groups follows:

**YSO-1.** These objects are embedded young stellar objects (YSOs), which display spectral features due to ices: molecules adsorbed on to the surface of dust grains, which indicate a cold, shielded and relatively dense environment. A detailed description of the ice features found in the IRS spectral range can be found in Oliveira et al. (2011) and references therein; we summarize the main features here. A relatively narrow absorption feature close to 15.2  $\mu\text{m}$  is attributed to CO<sub>2</sub> ice; the structure and width of the feature is determined by the composition and

**Table 3.** Spectral classification groups and source counts.

Main class	Subclass	Object type	#
YSO-1		Young stellar object	53
YSO-2		Young stellar object	14
YSO-3		Young stellar object	80
H II/YSO-3		Probable evolved YSO	35
YSO-4		Herbig AeBe object	21
H II		H II region (including compact)	134
STAR		Stellar photosphere	30
RSG		Red supergiant	71
C-AGB		C-rich AGB star	148
O-AGB		O-rich AGB star	77
C-PAGB		C-rich post-AGB star	19
O-PAGB		O-rich post-AGB star	23
C-PN		C-rich planetary nebula	13
O-PN		O-rich planetary nebula	28
RCrB		R Coronae Borealis star	6
GAL		Galaxy	8
UNK		Object of unknown type	5
OTHER		Object of known type	24
-	B[e]	B[e] star	8
-	BSG	Blue Supergiant Star	2
-	LBV	Luminous blue variable	3
-	YSG	Yellow Supergiant Star	1
-	WR	Wolf-Rayet star	7
-	Nova	Nova	1
-	SNR	Supernova remnant	2

physical conditions in the ice mantles. The broad and substructured feature between 5–7  $\mu\text{m}$  is dominated by the contribution of water [the most abundant ice species in YSO environments and the quiescent interstellar medium (ISM)] at 6  $\mu\text{m}$ . Other molecular species that contribute to this broad complex are ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ , possibly the main contributor to the absorption at 6.8  $\mu\text{m}$ ), formic acid ( $\text{HCOOH}$ ), formaldehyde ( $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$ ) and ammonia ( $\text{NH}_3$ ). Objects in this class may also show refractory dust features in their spectra.

*YSO-2.* These YSOs are more evolved than YSO-1s, either having removed some of their surrounding circumstellar envelopes or heated it up sufficiently to destroy icy mantles. Dust features are present, typically silicate absorption seen as a characteristic broad dip in the spectrum around 10  $\mu\text{m}$ .

*YSO-3.* YSO-3s are the most evolved stage of massive YSO, where the envelope may have largely dispersed, and the YSO is beginning to ionize its surrounding region. This evolutionary stage manifests itself in strong polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission (at 3.3, 6.2, 7.7, 8.6, 11.2, 12.7 and 16.4  $\mu\text{m}$ ), but with no other features such as atomic emission lines, usually superposed on a rising dust continuum (in  $F_\nu$  versus  $\lambda$  space) over the whole IRS range.

*H II region.* H II regions are characterized by atomic emission lines in their spectra, which may also contain PAH emission features. The class of H II region includes both compact H II regions (dense regions of size  $\sim 1$  pc surrounding emerging massive stars) and more classical H II regions (more diffuse regions of size of the order of several parsecs, ionized by single or multiple massive stars in the near vicinity). Even though a rising continuum, indicative of the presence of a significant amount of dust, could hint at a compact younger source, these two types cannot easily be distinguished based on IRS spectra alone. For classification purposes, the distinction between sources classed as YSO-3 and (PAH-rich) H II is the

presence of atomic emission lines. (H II sources are distinguished from PNe by the shape of the continuum or the presence of higher excitation emission lines.) For more diffuse H II regions the continuum may be due to free–free emission not dust, making them strong radio emitters.

*H II /YSO-3.* As mentioned above the distinction between the YSO-3 and H II region classes is not straightforward. Furthermore, telescope resolution and sensitivity limitations make it very difficult to separate the contributions to the spectrum of a point source and the wider energetic environment, especially in complex regions of high background. Thus, sources in this intermediate class share properties with both the YSO-3s and ultracompact H II regions classes, and could not be categorically be placed in either class.

*YSO-4.* This class is composed of sources with a 10  $\mu\text{m}$  silicate emission feature usually superposed on a moderately rising continuum over the IRS range. These objects are expected to be analogues of Galactic Herbig Ae/Be (HAeBe) objects.

*Star.* The STAR classification contains dustless objects that show approximately blackbody spectra, with SEDs that peak shortwards of 2  $\mu\text{m}$ . The class contains both hot massive stars and low-mass dustless (red giant branch) stars. Low-mass stars on the main sequence are not bright enough in the IR to be detected by *Spitzer* at the distance of the LMC.

*O-AGB, O-PAGB and O-PN.* These categories contain evolved stars showing the presence of oxygen-rich dust in their spectra. The O-AGB category contains objects that in general have a blackbody-like SED, with superimposed dust or molecular features: either an absorption feature due to SiO close to 8  $\mu\text{m}$  (O-EAGB; Paper II), or the more common 10 and 20  $\mu\text{m}$  features due to silicate dust. Stars that are less luminous than the traditional asymptotic giant branch (AGB) luminosity limit of  $M_{\text{bol}} = -7.1$  are put into this category, whilst similar but more luminous SEDs are classified as red supergiant (RSG) (see below).

O-PAGB objects show oxygen-rich dust spectral features, but their SED features two distinct peaks, one due to the stellar emission, and one due to the cooler dust contained in a disc or detached shell. RV Tau stars are a subcategory of O-PAGB stars that are identified by the distinct variability pattern of their light curves and chemical depletions in the photospheres of these objects (see e.g. Gielen et al. 2009). Due to the limits of RV Tauri studies, there may be unidentified RV Tauri stars included in our O-PAGB category.

O-PN objects exhibit a rising continuum up to  $\sim 30 \mu\text{m}$ , but then a decreasing continuum. They show atomic emission lines, particularly the [O IV] line at 25.913  $\mu\text{m}$ , and an absence of PAH features. Their spectra may include 10 and 20  $\mu\text{m}$  silicate features. O-PNe are distinguished from H II regions by the presence of high-excitation lines (e.g. [Ne V], [Ne VI]) in the spectrum of the former, but not the latter.

*RSG.* The spectra of RSGs exhibit oxygen-rich dust features similar to O-AGB stars. Due to their large mass ( $> 8 M_\odot$ ), they are generally more luminous than AGB stars (Wood, Bessell & Fox 1983) and for the purposes of our classification have either a bolometric luminosity of  $M_{\text{bol}} < -7.1$ , reside in a young cluster ( $< 55$  Myr; Schaller et al. 1992), or show no large-amplitude variability.

*C-AGB, C-PAGB and C-PN.* These three categories encompass carbon-rich evolved stars, usually identified by molecular absorption features, most notably  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  (acetylene) at 7.5 and 13.7  $\mu\text{m}$ , and dust features at 11.3  $\mu\text{m}$  (SiC), 21  $\mu\text{m}$  (unknown carrier) and 30  $\mu\text{m}$  (MgS). In addition, these sources may exhibit PAH or related molecular emission lines in their spectra, which in planetary nebulae seem to indicate a carbon-rich (Stanghellini et al. 2007) or carbon-enhanced (Guzman-Ramirez et al. 2014) nebula. C-AGBs often

have a broad and red SED, characterized by acetylene absorption features and/or an SiC feature. C-PAGBs exhibit a double-peaked SED, with a strong 30  $\mu\text{m}$  feature or a 21  $\mu\text{m}$  feature (Volk et al. 2011). C-PNe show atomic emission lines and the presence of PAH features; a 30  $\mu\text{m}$  feature may also be seen.

**RCrB.** R Coronae Borealis stars are a class of carbon-rich supergiant stars, which are rare in the Galaxy (over 100 are now known), but which may be more common in the LMC (about 20 have been discovered; Clayton 2012; Tisserand et al. 2013). These objects have unusual infrared spectra, showing few features apart from a very cool continuum (due to very *hot* dust), with an SED that peaks at wavelengths longer than 2  $\mu\text{m}$  (e.g. Kraemer et al. 2005). Candidates are confirmed through other means, including analysis of light curves, which show irregular and fast declines in brightness (up to nine visible magnitudes; Clayton 1996) thought to be caused by episodic ejections of carbon dust that occlude the starlight.

**GAL.** Galaxies show a variety of redshifted features in their spectra, including atomic emission lines and oxygen-rich dust features.

**Other.** OTHER is a catch-all class which incorporates objects we have been able to classify, but which are rare in the present sample. We find a small number of luminous blue variable (LBV) stars, B[e] stars, Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars, supernova remnants (SNRs), blue supergiants, a yellow supergiant (YSG) and a nova. In many cases, these spectra are not satisfactorily classified by the decision tree, and instead are classified with reference to the literature.

The SEDs of LBVs and blue supergiants generally show the short wavelength characteristics of a hot star (hence the ‘blue’), coupled with a dramatic rise at longer wavelengths, with a minimum at  $\sim 10 \mu\text{m}$ . They exhibit erratic variability. WR stars present an infrared spectrum that shows the presence of a hot star, as well as atomic emission lines and in some cases, a large amount of warm dust. B[e] stars are young supergiants characterized by forbidden line emission and an infrared dust excess (Lamers et al. 1998; Kastner et al. 2010). They often show oxygen-rich dust features, with signs of crystallinity, and weak emission lines, and in the infrared, may be confused with oxygen-rich giant stars.

**UNK.** Point-source objects that we are not able to classify are placed into the UNK (unknown) category. There are many reasons that an object may not be reliably classified, including incomplete data, unusual (and therefore unrecognised) object, data issues. We say a few words about these individual objects in Section 4.10.

### 3.1 Bolometric luminosities

Bolometric luminosities ( $M_{\text{bol}}$ ) were calculated via a trapezoidal integration of the SED over the range of available photometric points (typically  $U$  band to IRAC 8  $\mu\text{m}$  or MIPS 24  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and compared with published values (e.g. Groenewegen et al. 2009; Srinivasan et al. 2009; Jones et al. 2012; Riebel et al. 2012). For stellar photospheres and oxygen-rich evolved sources with little infrared excess, bolometric magnitudes were also calculated using the SED-fitting code of McDonald et al. (2009, 2012). This code performs a  $\chi^2$ -minimization between the observed SED (corrected for interstellar reddening) and a grid of BT-SETTL stellar atmosphere models (Allard, Homeier & Freytag 2011) which are scaled in flux to derive a bolometric luminosity. This provides a better  $\chi^2$  fit to the optical and near-IR photometry than fitting a Planck function. For the most enshrouded stars, fitting the SED with ‘naked’ stellar photosphere models leads to an underestimation of the temperature and luminosity due to circumstellar reddening and hence this method is not preferred for very dusty sources. For the redder




Figure 1. Bolometric magnitudes for the LMC spectral sample.

sources, the values calculated by trapezoidal integration provide a lower limit estimate of  $M_{\text{bol}}$ .

Fig. 1 shows luminosity functions for AGB stars, RSGs, post-AGB stars, massive stars and YSOs for which IRS spectra are available. The oxygen-rich AGB distribution is double peaked; this is due to low-mass O-AGB stars at the faint end of the distribution, and massive O-AGBs ( $M > 5 M_{\odot}$ ) currently undergoing hot bottom burning at the brighter end. A luminosity cut at  $M_{\text{bol}} = -7.1$  is used to distinguish between the RSG and massive O-AGB stars. Stars brighter than  $M_{\text{bol}} = -12.0$  are classified as foreground sources. In

the LMC, carbon stars form in the mass range 1.5–4.0  $M_{\odot}$ , and this effect can be seen in their narrow luminosity distribution, which peaks at the minima ( $M_{\text{bol}} = -5$ ) of the O-AGB stars' distribution. The YSOs in our sample have a broad spread in luminosity. This can be used as a proxy for the YSOs' mass distribution, with the brightest sources corresponding to high-mass YSOs, whilst the fainter sources are less massive. Background galaxies, PNe and objects of unknown spectral type are the faintest classes of objects within our sample. The bolometric luminosities for background galaxies have not been corrected for distance; if this were accounted for, they would become by far the most luminous objects in our sample.

### 3.2 Foreground sources

To check for foreground sources, we performed a SIMBAD search using a 3 arcsec radius for each source in our sample. We tag as foreground candidates any sources that have a SIMBAD match with a radial velocity outside the range 150–450 km s<sup>-1</sup> determined by Neugent et al. (2012) for LMC stars, and include sources for which our bolometric luminosities are above −12.0 mag (see previous section). There are 19 foreground objects in our sample; these sources have been flagged as foreground in the online table and have been classified by us as stellar photospheres or O-AGB stars.

### 3.3 Variability

To aid in the classification, a literature search was conducted to compile variability information. The IRS sample was matched to the Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment (OGLE-III) catalogue of variable stars (Soszyński et al. 2008) and the catalogues of Vijh et al. (2009) and Groenewegen et al. (2009).

Vijh et al. (2009) identified IR variable sources using two epochs of data from the SAGE catalogue, including 99 AGB stars, six massive stars and four variable YSOs from the SAGE-Spec sample. The sample of Groenewegen et al. (2009) has 130 matches to our catalogue, all of which are AGB stars. They determine pulsation periods for 105 of these sources.

## 4 PRESENTATION OF THE CLASSIFICATION

The 1080 spectra in our IRS sample are comprised substantially of YSO and H II regions (see Table 3): there are nearly 300 spectra of these types of object. A significant proportion of the remainder is made up of various evolutionary stages of post-main-sequence low-mass stars: (post-)AGB stars and planetary nebulae are represented by 327 spectra between them. Massive stars contribute largely to the remnants: 71 RSGs and a proportion of the STAR class. Of course, this sample is heavily biased by the science goals of the projects listed in Table 1. The YSO spectra are predominantly a product of program 40650 (PI: Looney), a catalogue of YSO spectra in the LMC published initially by Seale et al. (2009). This was a targeted program, drawing heavily from the catalogues of YSO candidates produced by Gruendl & Chu (2009). Various evolved low- and intermediate-mass stars and RSGs appeared in program 3426 (PI: Kastner), which targeted the most luminous 8 μm sources in the LMC, presented in a series of papers (Buchanan et al. 2006; Kastner et al. 2008; Buchanan et al. 2009). Other programs with a high contingent of AGB stars include PIDs 3505 (PI: Wood) and 3591 (PI: Kemper). Post-AGB spectra come from PIDs 30788 (PI: Sahai) and 50338 (PI: Matsuura, Matsuura et al. 2014), whilst spectra of planetary nebulae are the result of PIDs 103 (PI: Bernard-Salas) and 20443 (PI: Stanghellini). The 197 SAGE-Spec spectra of various targeted objects resulting from PID 40159 (PI: Kemper/Tielens) are

presented by Kemper et al. (2010), in Paper I and in various other publications.

Fig. 2 shows that sources are distributed widely over the area of sky covering the LMC, with only a slight increase in density in the region of the stellar bar of the LMC. The star-forming regions of 30 Dor, N11 and N79 are well sampled. A loose cluster of stars is located towards the north-east of the LMC, the majority of which are foreground objects.


In the subsections below, we analyse the prevalent shapes and features of the spectra in different classification groups. Some classification groups are large enough such that subclasses can be identified, based on nuances of the spectra. For each class or subclass both a weighted mean and median spectra were determined. This accounts for the varying signal to noise in the spectra and the non-uniform resolution and wavelength coverage of each spectrum in that class. Each general class of object is discussed in detail below.

### 4.1 The YSO sample and H II regions

The classification scheme described in Section 3 can be understood in terms of an evolutionary sequence for massive YSOs, with the YSO-1 and YSO-2 classes representing the earlier, more embedded stages. As the young massive objects evolve, they start to interact with and shape their environments, creating ultracompact or compact H II regions; such objects populate the YSO-3 class. However, several factors make a classification based on IRS spectra alone complicated for YSOs (see also the discussion in Oliveira et al. 2013 and Seale et al. 2009). The IRS angular resolution varies between 3 and 10 arcsec; at the distance of the LMC, this corresponds to 0.6–2.4 pc. As shown recently by Ward et al. (2016, 2017) for a small sample of YSOs in the SMC, *Spitzer*-identified YSOs can be further resolved into multiple sources of different masses (and inferred evolutionary stages) when observed at higher spatial resolution. Furthermore, in these complex environments often there is a strong environmental contribution to PAH and fine-structure emission that cannot be disentangled, and the IRS spectra sample different spatial scales at different wavelengths. As a result, objects with similar IRS classifications can reveal different spectral properties when studied in more detail.

Another potential complication arises from the intrinsic phenomenological overlap between the YSO-3 and H II classes. Since compact H II regions are powered by young massive YSOs, the separation between the classes is somewhat artificial. Indeed Seale et al. (2009) include emission line objects in their sample of LMC YSOs (their classes PE and E, see discussion below), and so do Oliveira et al. (2013) for a sample of SMC YSOs. There are a number of sources that we could not unambiguously classify as YSO-3 or H II and are thus placed in an intermediate class YSO-3/H II. Therefore, both the YSO and H II classes are discussed in this section.

Galactic HAeBe objects exhibit a great variety of spectral and SED properties, reflecting effects like disc geometry, evolutionary stage and mineralogy (see e.g. Acke & van den Ancker 2004 and Keller et al. 2008, for studies using ISO and IRS spectra, respectively). Most sources exhibit silicate in emission at 10 μm, but occasionally this feature is seen in absorption or it is absent altogether. Many sources exhibit PAH emission but not all. The continuum can either rise over the IRS range or be somewhat flatter. Based on the sources analysed by Keller et al. (2008, their fig.1), our decision tree would classify sources with silicate emission as YSO-4; however, sources with a flatter/falling SED could also be placed in the O-AGB class. Sources dominated by PAH emission




**Figure 2.** The distribution of *Spitzer* staring-mode observations plotted over the SAGE 8  $\mu\text{m}$  sky map. Different groups are given different colours: YSO (YSO-1, YSO-2, YSO-3, YSO-4, H II), red; C-evolved (C-AGB, C-PAGB, C-PN), green; O-evolved (O-AGB, O-PAGB, O-PN), navy; RSG, cyan; STAR, orange; GAL, pink; and Other, magenta. Only observations of point sources are shown.

would be classified as YSO-3, whilst sources with essentially a featureless continuum over the IRS range would be difficult to classify. Only abundant ancillary data would be able to refine these classifications. Therefore, the sources classified as YSO-4 are Magellanic analogues of Galactic HAeBes, but constitute a somewhat restricted sample.

There are over 300 spectroscopically confirmed YSOs in the LMC, selected from large photometric samples (Whitney et al. 2008; Gruendl & Chu 2009). The first massive YSO spectroscopically identified in any extragalactic environment was a serendipitous discovery by van Loon et al. (2005b) using IRS and very large telescope (VLT)-infrared spectrometer and array camera (ISAAC) spectra. The overwhelming majority of spectroscopic YSOs have been studied using IRS by Seale et al. (2009, 2011), Oliveira et al. (2009, 2011) and by us in Paper I. A smaller sample using AKARI spectra was analysed by Shimonishi et al. (2010, and references therein).

The objects analysed by Oliveira et al. (2009, 2011) were classified in Paper I, following the same classification scheme described here. The sample of Seale et al. (2009) includes 294 sources, of which 277 objects were classified as YSOs. Their classification scheme made use of spectral features (PAH and fine-structure emission, and silicate absorption) to classify the objects using principal component analysis (PCA). Accordingly they classified YSOs as follows: S/SE (silicate absorption dominated, without/with fine-structure emission), respectively, 12 and 5 sources; P/PE (PAH dominated without/with fine-structure emission), respectively, 100 and 142 sources; 2 E sources (emission-line dominated); 11 F sources (weak features only) and 5 U sources (incomplete spectral range that does not allow PCA classification). Their sample also includes 8 type O sources (silicate in emission) that could be HAeBe analogues (not included in the 277-strong YSO sample). We reclassify all 277 sources according to our scheme.




**Figure 3.** Mean (red line) and median (blue line) spectra for the YSO-1 (top) and YSO-2 (bottom) classes. The distinctive 15  $\mu\text{m}$  (YSO-1) and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  (YSO-2) absorption features can be seen. The grey lines show the spectral diversity within each class.

In total, 168 sources are classified as YSOs, respectively, 53, 14, 80 and 21 in classes YSO-1, YSO-2, YSO-3, YSO-4. Respectively, 134 and 35 sources are classified as H II and H II/YSO-3. Figs 3–5 show the average spectra for each of these YSO and H II classes.

The Seale et al. (2009) classification does not make use of the ice features to classify YSOs (the 41 ice-rich sources from this sample are described in more detail by Seale et al. 2011). As a result the 53 most embedded and colder YSO-1 sources ended up in a variety of Seale’s classes mentioned above.

Without detailed spectral modelling it can be difficult to identify weak silicate absorption in a spectrum with PAH emission. Most YSO-2 sources were previously classified by Seale et al. (2009) in the S (or SE) and P (or PE) groups; one additional source was




**Figure 4.** Averaged spectra for the YSO-3 (top) and YSO-4 (bottom) classes. Characteristic UIR/PAH features are seen in the YSO-3 spectrum, whilst YSO-4s show significant 10  $\mu\text{m}$  features on a rising spectrum at longer wavelengths.

classified in the F group (weak features); another source was left unclassified.

Most YSO-3, H II/YSO-3 and H II sources from Seale’s sample belong to either the P (or PE) group, with a few sources belonging to E (emission line) or F (weak features) groups. This reinforces the idea of how difficult it is to classify more evolved YSOs and distinguish them from compact H II regions. Any user of the spectral classification discussed in this paper that is interested in YSOs should thus consider H II/YSO-3 and H II sources as well.


Finally, those YSO-4 sources originating from Seale’s sample were either classified in group O (silicate emission) or P. It should be noted that group O sources in Seale’s classification are not necessarily HAeBe analogues, they may be oxygen-rich AGB stars as discussed elsewhere in this paper.



**Figure 5.** Averaged spectra for the H II (top) and H II/YSO-3 (bottom) classes. The spectra have UV-pumped PAHs and fine-structure atomic emission lines.

#### 4.2 The massive evolved-star sample

The RSG spectra that show strong 10 and 18  $\mu\text{m}$  emission (Fig. 6) in many cases also show the presence of an 11.3  $\mu\text{m}$  PAH feature. This feature has previously been observed in the spectra of five Galactic RSGs (Sylvester, Skinner & Barlow 1998; Verhoelst et al. 2009), in LMC supergiant Massey SMC 59803 (Sloan et al. 2008) and in four RSGs in the SMC (Kraemer et al. 2017). It arises in a manner akin to the Pleiades effect, with the supergiants embedded in a thin cloud of diffuse material (Adams et al. 2013; Sheets et al. 2013). At distances of 50–60 kpc this emission cannot be resolved, but there is sufficient surrounding material so that PAH features are visible. Once PAH molecules are liberated from their matrix (requiring UV irradiation), the free-floating molecules themselves can be excited with optical radiation (cf. Li & Draine 2002; Sloan



**Figure 6.** Averaged spectra for the RSG class. The sample has been subdivided into three classes: sources with little IR excess that show an inflection at 8  $\mu\text{m}$  due to SiO, or have a weak 10  $\mu\text{m}$  emission feature (top); sources with strong 10 and 18  $\mu\text{m}$  silicate emission (middle); and sources that have silicate features in absorption (bottom).


et al. 2007), although Verhoelst et al. (2009) argued that the PAH molecules are part of the RSG circumstellar environment. Other spectral features occurring in some of the RSG spectra include crystalline silicates (Jones et al. 2012), most notably at 23  $\mu\text{m}$ , and atomic emission lines. Whilst most spectra are of hot dusty objects, showing strong silicate features on top of an infrared excess, in some cases, a steeply rising continuum is visible, indicating the presence of colder detached dust shells, or ambient interstellar dust.

As with the YSOs, some of the *Spitzer* spectroscopy presented in this paper have already been published previously, for instance in the studies by Buchanan et al. (2006, 2009). In their sample of 60 objects, the oxygen-rich objects are dominated by RSGs, and they arrived at a total count of 22 RSGs. Four objects have the physical appearance of an RSG, but their apparent brightness is such that if they were placed at the LMC distance, they would have unrealistic luminosities. Hence, the authors concluded that these objects (MSX LMC 412, 1150, 1677 and 1686) are foreground objects. Without distinguishing between AGB stars and RSGs, Sloan et al. (2008) presented 15 IRS spectra of oxygen-rich evolved stars in the LMC, and describe the mineralogical spectral features in their spectra. They specifically mention the presence of crystalline silicates, and the currently unidentified 13 and 14  $\mu\text{m}$  features. Groenewegen et al. (2009) compiled a sample of several observing programs targeting RSGs and AGB stars in the LMC. They found that LMC RSGs have mass-loss rates very similar to Galactic RSGs (as determined by Verhoelst et al. 2009), a finding backed up recently by Goldman et al. (2017). Note, though, that Mauron & Josselin (2011) found that LMC mass-loss rates do not show the same behaviour as Galactic rates for RSGs. Jones et al. (2012) compiled all 71 IRS spectra of LMC RSGs as part of their larger sample to study the emergence of crystalline silicates in oxygen-rich dust shells. They found that 24 per cent of their sample of RSGs in the LMC, SMC and Milky Way show crystalline silicates, versus 56 per cent for O-rich AGB stars.

The more deeply embedded RSGs have very red SEDs, which made them excellent targets for FIR follow-up. Indeed, the MIPS-SED sample of the SAGE-Spec program included two of the most extreme RSGs: WOH G64 and IRAS 05280–6910 (van Loon et al. 2010). IRAS 05280–6910 was also detected as a point source in the first data release of the HERITAGE *Herschel* survey of the LMC (Boyer et al. 2010), with a radiative transfer model reproducing the 10  $\mu\text{m}$  silicate feature in absorption. A further two extreme RSGs were detected with *Herschel*, the aforementioned WOH G64 and IRAS 05346–6949 (Jones et al. 2015), with WOH G64 considered to be possibly the largest star known (Levesque et al. 2009), having an extremely dense circumstellar dust shell (Ohnaka et al. 2008). For further background on these extremely embedded RSGs, Jones et al. (2015) provide a detailed literature study.

Three LBVs were observed with the IRS in the LMC: S Dor, R 71 and R 110. This category typifies how ancillary information from the literature was used to classify the sources. All were placed in the OTHER category, and none of their IRS spectra look alike. S Dor, the prototype LBV, shows a largely featureless, cool dust spectrum with a few weak forbidden lines. It also has (blue) continuum emission for  $<8 \mu\text{m}$  either from warm dust or perhaps the star itself. R 71 also has a cool dust spectrum, but has prominent crystalline silicate features (Voors et al. 1999), as well as PAH emission (Guha Niyogi et al. 2014). R 110 has weak blue continuum emission with a rich set of PAH features and a sharply rising continuum beyond 20  $\mu\text{m}$  indicative of cold dust.

Several WR stars were observed with the IRS in the LMC, and they are grouped together here based on their known optical clas-




**Figure 7.** Averaged spectra for the B[e] class. Emission from amorphous and crystalline silicates are seen in the spectra.

sifications. All show emission lines in their IRS spectra, either fine-structure lines or hydrogen recombination lines. At least two have emission from crystalline silicates at 23 and 33  $\mu\text{m}$ . All have rising spectra at longer wavelengths ( $>14 \mu\text{m}$ ), indicative of cool dust. One, Brey 13, also has a falling continuum in the 5–14  $\mu\text{m}$  regime from either warmer dust or a stellar continuum; this star also shows the strongest hydrogen recombination lines.

B[e] stars are young supergiants characterized by strong Balmer line emission, low excitation permitted lines (e.g. Fe II), forbidden [Fe II] and [O I] optical lines, and a strong infrared excess from dust emission. Supergiant B[e] stars have a luminosity  $\geq 10^4 L_\odot$  (Lamers et al. 1998). The mid-infrared spectra of B[e] supergiant stars (Fig. 7) show emission from silicate dust and occasionally PAHs (see Kastner et al. 2010). This circumstellar dust emission originates in flattened structures that were originally thought to be expanding along the equatorial plane (e.g. Zickgraf et al. 1986) but are instead, at least in some cases, circumstellar discs orbiting the B[e] supergiants. Such a disc might be the result of mass that was ejected by the B[e] star during a prior RSG phase and subsequently trapped into circumbinary orbit by a lower mass companion star (Kastner et al. 2010; Kraus, Borges Fernandes & de Araújo 2010).

Our sample includes nine B[e] stars. Optical spectroscopy indicates that eight are supergiant B[e] stars (Gummersbach, Zickgraf & Wolf 1995; Lamers et al. 1998; Kastner et al. 2010), and the ninth, IRAS 04530–6916 (OBJID 239), is a star with B[e] emission characteristics (van Loon et al. 2005a). However, as van Loon et al. (2010) note, this object also has the characteristics of an H II region (red continuum with strong PAH emission, amorphous silicate absorption and low-excitation atomic emission lines), so its classification is less certain (see also the discussion in Sloan et al. 2008, on this source).

Kastner et al. (2006) find the infrared excess luminosities of RMC 126 and RMC 66 to be about 5 per cent and 18 per cent of their measured bolometric luminosities, such that the stellar flux contributes negligibly to the total emission at IRS wavelengths. Though many permitted and forbidden emission lines are seen in optical spectra of B[e] stars, almost no such lines are seen in the



**Figure 8.** Example IRS spectra of objects in the massive star category. Shown here from bottom to top are the spectra of a BSG, an LBV, a WR star and a YSG, labelled with their SSID number. The WR and the YSG stars have atomic lines in their spectrum. Silicates are seen in the LBV spectra. The infrared excess in the WR star and BSG objects are caused by dust emission.

mid-infrared. However, Kastner et al. (2006) note the IRS spectrum of RMC 126 shows a 7.46  $\mu\text{m}$  Pfund-alpha emission line, whilst HD 38489 (OBJID 715) exhibits some low-excitation atomic emission lines (e.g. [Ne III] and [S III]).

Our sample also includes two B supergiants (BSGs) and a YSG. The BSGs (OBJID 50 and 69) were discussed in Paper I; these stars have spectra which rise longward of 15  $\mu\text{m}$  due to dust emission and their SEDs are double-peaked falling to a minimum at  $\sim 8 \mu\text{m}$ . Surprisingly, FIR dust emission from BSDL 923 (OBJID 69) was detected by Jones et al. (2015); this could again be the Pleiades effect (Adams et al. 2013; Sheets et al. 2013) or cold dust emission due to a circumstellar disc or torus surrounding the central star.

HD 269953 (OBJID 713) is a well-studied YSG. The IRS spectra is shown in Fig. 8. It is a confirmed post-RSG object (Oksala et al. 2013) and is amongst the brightest ( $M_{\text{bol}} \sim -9.4$ ) stars in the LMC. Its circumstellar dust emission, first characterized by Roche, Aitken & Smith (1993), displays prominent emission bands at 10 and 18  $\mu\text{m}$  due to amorphous silicate dust that may arise from a circumstellar

disc. Also present in its spectrum are crystalline silicate features at 11.3 and 23  $\mu\text{m}$ , although these are difficult to characterize at longer wavelengths due to fringing effects in the spectrum.

### 4.3 The oxygen-rich AGB sample

77 unique O-AGB stars have been observed with the IRS in the LMC field; we have separated these sources into three groups based on the opacity of their circumstellar envelope at 10  $\mu\text{m}$  as shown in Fig. 9.


There are 16 early-type O-rich AGB stars (O-EAGB) in the LMC sample which do not show any evidence of dust features in their infrared spectra. O-EAGB stars have just recently initiated the thermally pulsing AGB phase of their evolution and exhibit long-period variability. The variable early-type O-rich AGB stars are presumably more evolved than genuine early-AGB (E-AGB) stars, which do not exhibit long-period variability and have not yet started helium shell burning. The onset of pulsations in an AGB star coincides with the beginning of significant dust formation and a slight infrared excess may be visible in the SED. O-EAGB stars can be identified from oxygen-rich molecular absorption features in their IR spectra, notably the absorption feature at 8  $\mu\text{m}$  due to the SiO fundamental vibrational mode. Five of these sources have been characterized by Sloan et al. (2008) who find that the strength of the 8  $\mu\text{m}$  absorption band from SiO decreases with lower metallicity.

55 sources have amorphous silicate emission features at 10 and 18  $\mu\text{m}$ , although there is a considerable spread in the peak strength, shape and width of these features. These AGB stars span a wide range in mass-loss rate ( $10^{-7}\text{--}10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ ; Groenewegen et al. 2009; Jones et al. 2012; Riebel et al. 2012) and the variations in the IRS spectra can be attributed to the amount of dust in the circumstellar envelope and the presence of other dust components, such as simple metal oxides. The dust composition of these sources is best fitted by amorphous silicates (with an olivine stoichiometric ratio), with appreciable amounts of amorphous alumina and additional, small contributions from metallic iron (Jones et al. 2014). Alumina makes up less than 50 per cent of the dust composition and metallic iron is present at approximately the 4 per cent level with the exception of OBJID 182; it remains unclear why this source lacks metallic iron.

As the mass-loss rate increases and the dust shell becomes optically thick, the 10  $\mu\text{m}$  feature goes into absorption. There are no spectra of LMC sources where the 10  $\mu\text{m}$  is completely in absorption, although six sources (OBJID 121, 204, 253, 599, 603, 694) have self-absorbed silicate features at 10  $\mu\text{m}$ . A number of other O-AGB spectra have 10  $\mu\text{m}$  features which might be starting to demonstrate signs of self-absorption. In these sources, the 10  $\mu\text{m}$  feature has a relatively flat profile at the peak of the 10  $\mu\text{m}$  dust feature. All sources with a 10  $\mu\text{m}$  feature in self-absorption have crystalline silicate features at 23, 28 and 33  $\mu\text{m}$  (Jones et al. 2012).

In total, crystalline silicate features are apparent in 20 of the O-rich AGB star spectra. These sources have dust production rates  $\gtrsim 10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ . Like Galactic O-AGB stars (cf. Sylvester et al. 1999; Kemper et al. 2001), crystalline silicates are more prevalent in O-AGB stars with higher opacity dust shells. However, the composition of the crystalline silicate dust grains in the LMC sources is different from Galactic O-AGB stars, with Mg-rich pyroxenes (e.g. enstatite:  $\text{MgSiO}_3$ ) more prevalent than olivines (e.g. forsterite:  $\text{Mg}_2\text{SiO}_4$ ; Jones et al. 2012).

A narrow 13  $\mu\text{m}$  feature is conclusively seen in OBJID 265, 326 and 407 and may also be present in a number of other sources. Definitively detecting this dust feature is challenging as such



**Figure 9.** Averaged spectra for the O-AGB class, split into subclasses showing SiO molecular features (top), amorphous silicate emission (middle) and self-absorption (bottom). Crystalline silicates are present in the second and third subclasses.

low-contrast emission features are difficult to separate from the noise. The 13  $\mu\text{m}$  feature has also been reported in Galactic O-AGB stars (Sloan, Levan & Little-Marenin 1996). This feature is caused by an Al-O stretching mode from corundum ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ) or spinel ( $\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4$ ) grains (Posch et al. 1999; Fabian et al. 2001; Sloan et al. 2003; Lebzelter et al. 2006).

There are two particularly intriguing O-rich AGB stars in the sample, corresponding to OBJID 6 and 390. Both of these sources have a prominent 10  $\mu\text{m}$  amorphous silicate feature that arises from the Si–O stretching mode. However, the 18  $\mu\text{m}$  silicate feature due to the Si–O–Si bending mode in the  $\text{SiO}_4$  tetrahedral is absent from the spectra. If the silicate dust has recently formed then the apparent absence of an 18  $\mu\text{m}$  amorphous silicate feature may be attributed to a lack of contrast with the local continuum (Jones et al. 2014). Alternatively, Gielen et al. (2011) propose that dust composed of only small 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  Mg-rich olivine and alumina grains can result in the suppression of the 18  $\mu\text{m}$  feature.

The unusual nature of SSTISAGEMC J051333.74–663419.1 (OBJID 6) is also evident in its optical spectrum. Although indicative of an F8–G0 giant, the optical spectrum also shows very strong H $\alpha$  (6563 Å) and He I (5876 Å) emission, and broad Ca II absorption lines (van Arle et al. 2011), suggesting that the star resides in a binary system with a hot companion.


#### 4.4 The carbon-rich AGB sample

There are 148 carbon-rich AGB stars (C-AGB) in our sample. We divided the spectra into four smaller groups, based on their overall shape, from blue to red. This approach is equivalent to classifying by most mid-infrared colours, because they all tend to increase smoothly as the mass-loss rate increases. Our classifications correspond closely to the carbon emission, or ‘CE’ classes introduced by Sloan et al. (2016), which should not be surprising because their CE classes are based on the [6.4]–[9.3] colours derived from the IRS spectra. Similarly, our classifications align with other colour-based classifications for the same reason, as discussed in Section 5.2.

Fig. 10 (top) presents spectra from the first and second groups from the C-AGB sample. These correspond to CE0–2 and CE3–4 in the scheme by Sloan et al. (2016), respectively. The majority of the C-AGB sample fall into the first group, which is relatively blue and dominated by emission in the 5–12  $\mu\text{m}$  region. In the first group, the  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  absorption bands at 7.5 and 13.7  $\mu\text{m}$  are both strong, whilst the 30  $\mu\text{m}$  emission feature is weak or absent. In the second, the 13.7  $\mu\text{m}$  band is even stronger, and the 30  $\mu\text{m}$  emission is growing stronger as well.

Fig. 10 (bottom row) presents the third and fourth groups, which have been described, respectively, as ‘Very Red Objects’ (VROs) in Paper I and ‘Extremely Red Objects’ (EROs) by Gruendl et al. (2008). Sloan et al. (2016) classify both groups as ‘CE5’, although it is evident here that the two groups can be distinguished meaningfully. The 30  $\mu\text{m}$  emission feature is strong in the VROs and is weaker in the EROs. The SiC feature at 11.3  $\mu\text{m}$  is weak in the VROs, probably because it is in self-absorption, whilst in the EROs, it usually appears as a clear absorption feature. The apparent weakness of the 7.5  $\mu\text{m}$   $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  feature is deceptive; Sloan et al. (2016) show that its equivalent width does not change across the classes from CE0 to CE5.

The primary difference from the first to the fourth group (or from CE0 to CE5) is the amount of carbon-rich dust in the source. However, the last two groups represent a major break in behaviour from the first two. Sloan et al. (2016) showed that the pulsation amplitude of the central star increases from CE0 to CE4, but then falls in CE5



**Figure 10.** Averaged spectra for the C-AGB subclasses. Top: the first, or bluest, (CE0–2; left) and second (CE3–4; right) C-AGB spectral subclasses. The spectra are dominated by the emission in the 5–12  $\mu\text{m}$  region. Both the  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  bands at 7.5 and 13.7  $\mu\text{m}$  are evident. Bottom row: averaged spectra for the third (VROs; right) and fourth, or reddest, (EROs; left) C-AGB spectral subclasses. These spectra can be quite red, with an SiC feature at 11.3  $\mu\text{m}$  in (self-)absorption and a 30  $\mu\text{m}$  emission feature.

as the sources grow progressively redder. In addition, the reddest sources often show surprisingly blue colours at shorter wavelengths (such as  $J - K$  or [3.6]–[4.5]). Combined, these characteristics suggest that the stars are evolving off the AGB and the central star may be becoming visible through an increasingly asymmetric dust shell (Sloan et al. 2016). In that light, the EROs may be transition objects towards the C-PAGB group, and eventually the C-PN group. If these sources have asymmetric envelopes seen ‘edge-on’, it would cause exacerbated absorption without necessarily a larger amount of dust present.

#### 4.5 The post-AGB and planetary nebula sample

We classify this group into post-AGB versus PN, which is an evolutionary sequence, and O rich versus C rich, which depends on the preceding evolution, giving four distinct groups. PNe differ from

post-AGB in having strong ionic emission lines, but these may not always be obvious in the low-resolution IRS spectra, so that some known PNe are classified from the *Spitzer* spectra as post-AGB stars. Dust features in general provide a strong indication for the C-rich versus O-rich separation, but both groups can show PAH emission. PNe without distinguishing dust features are classified as O rich, and this group will include some objects where the gas is carbon rich.

##### 4.5.1 C-rich sources

We have identified 19 C-PAGB stars and 13 C-PNe in our sample. The sample of carbon-rich post-AGB objects includes three optically identified planetary nebulae (SMP LMC 8, 11 and 58) in addition to 16 other post-AGB objects earlier in their evolution off

the AGB. All of the SMP LMC object spectra are discussed by Bernard-Salas et al. (2009) and by Sloan et al. (2014). The other post-AGB objects are discussed by Volk et al. (2011), Sloan et al. (2014) and Matsuura et al. (2014). A few of these have optical spectroscopy and are A-type or F-type stars.


The C-PAGB stars include eight sources with a 21  $\mu\text{m}$  feature (Kwok, Volk & Hrivnak 1989). This feature is only found in carbon-rich post-AGB stars (Hrivnak, Volk & Kwok 2009); the carrier remains unidentified. Most sources also show a prominent, broad feature at 30  $\mu\text{m}$ , seen exclusively in the spectra of AGB stars and PNe. This is commonly attributed to MgS (Goebel & Moseley 1985; Hony, Waters & Tielens 2002; Lombaert et al. 2012), but this is disputed (Zhang, Jiang & Li 2009; Messenger, Speck & Volk 2013) and other carriers have been suggested (e.g. Otsuka et al. 2014). The integrated intensity cannot be well measured from IRS spectra as the band extends beyond the available spectral range. If MgS is the correct identification, it must form a coating on the grains; there is some evidence for this from the fact that it only forms at low dust temperatures (Zijlstra et al. 2006; Leisenring, Kemper & Sloan 2008). Matsuura et al. (2014) note that the composition of the PAHs in the spectra of carbon-rich evolved stars gradually changes as the central stars evolve from the post-AGB phase to a planetary nebula. This effect is more significant than any effects due to metallicity in determining the stars' spectral appearance.

The strengths of the features that are seen in the average spectrum vary widely from source to source (Fig. 11). Only features due to PAHs are seen in all the spectra, whereas the SiC, 21 and 30  $\mu\text{m}$  features are absent in some spectra. As a result, whilst the average spectrum is somewhat representative it none the less shows some differences from any of the individual spectra. In particular, the 21  $\mu\text{m}$  feature objects generally have higher temperature continuum shapes than the average spectrum, due to a number of steeper continuum sources (i.e. generally more evolved sources) in the sample – including most of the optical planetary nebulae.

Three C-PAGB stars are classified in the optical as PNe. The most peculiar object, SMP LMC 11 (OBJID 227), also known as LHA 120-N 78, shows an infrared spectrum that is very atypical of planetary nebulae or post-AGB objects in general (Bernard-Salas et al. 2006). It shows some features normally associated with C-rich AGB stars: strong molecular bands of  $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  and HCN are visible, on a fairly low-temperature continuum, although not as low in temperature as is typical of post-AGB objects and planetary nebulae. But it also shows hydrocarbons up to benzene in absorption (Bernard-Salas et al. 2006), with prominent bands 6.8 and 14.8  $\mu\text{m}$ : these are never seen in AGB stars. SMP LMC 11 has similarity to the Galactic source CRL 618, and likely contains a dense carbon-rich disc, seen edge-on. A comprehensive discussion of its circumstellar chemistry is given by Malek, Cami & Bernard-Salas (2012).

The other two sources optically identified as planetary nebulae (SMP LMC 8 and 58) have similar spectra, showing the 11.3  $\mu\text{m}$  SiC emission feature on a lower temperature continuum and the nebular forbidden lines ([Ne III] 15.55  $\mu\text{m}$ , [Ne II] 12.81  $\mu\text{m}$  and others). The spectral shapes diverge beyond 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . SMP LMC 58 shows a rather weak 30  $\mu\text{m}$  feature, whilst SMP LMC 8 does not show this feature. From the high-resolution spectra SMP LMC 8 and SMP LMC 58 would correctly be classified as planetary nebula due to the relatively strong nebular emission lines that are visible. However, the contrast between the emission lines and the continuum is much less in the low resolution *Spitzer* spectra, and they are instead classified as C-PAGB stars according to the decision tree.

PNe in the LMC are expected to be predominantly carbon rich, because the sub-Solar metallicity moves the stellar mass range over



**Figure 11.** Averaged spectra for the C-PAGB (top) and C-PN (bottom) classes. In the top panel, the 21  $\mu\text{m}$  feature only found in carbon-rich post-AGB stars can be clearly seen.

which AGB stars become carbon rich (e.g. Renzini & Voli 1981; Karakas & Lattanzio 2014) to lower masses and it increases the C/O ratio. The main way to identify whether a PN is carbon rich is from the presence of molecular and dust features. This works best for young, compact PNe since evolved PNe lose their dust features (e.g. Stanghellini et al. 2007). It is more difficult to measure carbon abundances from optical emission lines only, and for PNe without dust, the C/O ratio is not always well known.

The distinguishing carbonaceous features are PAHs, fullerenes, SiC and the 30  $\mu\text{m}$  feature. Molecular bands (apart from PAHs) are rare. PAH bands are common. The features are probably due to a combination of aromatics and aliphatics, rather than pure PAHs (Kwok & Zhang 2011; Sloan et al. 2014). The PNe show evidence for structural evolutionary changes in the PAHs, related to photo-processing (Matsuura et al. 2014) with increasing aliphatic content (Sloan et al. 2014).

PAHs are not confined to carbon-rich objects. In oxygen-rich PN in the Galactic bulge, PAH bands have been seen to arise in dense tori (Guzman-Ramirez et al. 2014), and thus bipolar PNe have stronger PAH features. Stanghellini et al. (2007) find that the presence of a dense torus is less important for carbon-rich PNe than it is for oxygen-rich PNe. PAH formation rates increase strongly for higher C/O (Guzman-Ramirez et al. 2011), leading to stronger PAH bands for LMC PNe. One should not expect LMC PNe to be carbon-copies of their Galactic counterparts.

Fullerenes ( $C_{60}$  and  $C_{70}$ ) are relatively common in LMC PNe (García-Hernández, Rao & Lambert 2011). Their presence is indicated by four narrow emission bands but two of these can be merged with strong nebular lines at the lowest IRS resolution. A fifth band at  $6.49\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  (Sloan et al. 2014) may also be due to fullerenes (Brieva et al. 2016). PNe with fullerene emission tend to show small, photo-processed PAHs (Otsuka et al. 2014), although fullerenes can also be found in PNe without PAH emission (Sloan et al. 2014). Fullerenes are only seen in PNe with cool central stars (up to  $40\,000\text{ K}$ ). At higher stellar temperatures the bands disappear, whilst PAH emission can remain, indicating that fullerenes are more easily destroyed (Sloan et al. 2014).


The  $11.3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  SiC band in LMC PNe is fairly common, and can be extraordinarily strong (dubbed the ‘big-11’ feature by Sloan et al. 2014), sufficient to affect the  $IRAS\,12\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  flux (Bernard-Salas et al. 2009). In contrast, SiC is rarely seen in Galactic PNe, in spite of the higher Si abundance. Sloan et al. (2014) show that there is some PAH emission included in the SiC band, but SiC accounts for 88 per cent of the strength of the ‘big-11’ feature. The extreme strength may be due to photoexcitation, or because at a high C/O ratio SiC forms on the surface of carbon grains, rather than as the first condensate (Sloan et al. 2014). The SiC features disappear when lines with ionization potential above  $55\text{ eV}$  appear (Bernard-Salas et al. 2009), and this seems to be the photodissociation energy for SiC. The ‘big-11’ sources can also show a broad-band at  $16\text{--}22\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ , which is unidentified. It is called the ‘ $18\text{ }\mu\text{m shoulder}$ ’ by Sloan et al. (2014).

#### 4.5.2 O-rich sources

There are 23 O-PAGB and RV Tau-type stars in our sample and 28 O-PNe. The O-PAGB group (Fig. 12) shows strong amorphous and crystalline silicate features superimposed on a low-temperature continuum. The  $10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  amorphous silicate feature is seen in all the spectra with some variation in the width; this feature has a relatively flat-topped peak compared to the O-AGB stars (Fig. 9). In addition weak PAH features are seen, such as the  $6.3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  emission feature. There is a wide variation in the relative feature strengths of both the  $10$  and  $18\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  amorphous silicate features and the various crystalline silicate features.

The majority of the O-PAGB objects are discussed by Gielen et al. (2011). That paper interprets the flat-topped silicate band as due to a combination of amorphous silicate, crystalline fosterite (mainly at  $11.3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) and crystalline enstatite ( $9\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ). The  $11.3\text{ }\mu\text{m}/9.8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  flux ratio indicates the degree of crystallinity, which is high in these sources. The peak-to-continuum ratio is on average around 1.5, which is low and indicates larger grains; a few of our sources show much higher ratios. The degree of crystallinity and grain size can result from dust processing in stable circumstellar discs (Gielen et al. 2009).

The O-PAGB sample includes nine RV Tau-type stars, which were identified from Harvard variable lists, massive compact halo



**Figure 12.** Averaged spectra for the O-PAGB (top, excluding RV Tau objects), the RV Tau stars (middle) and the O-PN (bottom) classes.

object (MACHO) light curves or the OGLE survey (i.e. Leavitt 1908; Payne-Gaposchkin 1971; Alcock et al. 1998; Soszyński et al. 2008). The mean spectrum from the RV Tauri group is rather similar to the mean spectrum from the other O-PAGB objects (Fig. 12), showing strong amorphous and crystalline silicate dust features. The general continuum level in the mean spectrum appears to show a slightly higher temperature than in the case for the O-PAGB objects, hence the 10  $\mu\text{m}$  feature appears stronger with respect to the 18  $\mu\text{m}$  feature in this spectrum. The majority of the crystalline silicate features seen in the RV Tau stars are also seen in the O-PAGB mean spectrum, but the 16.1  $\mu\text{m}$  feature does not appear to be present in the RV Tau stars. The 11.3/9.8  $\mu\text{m}$  ratio is slightly higher in the average RV Tau spectrum, indicating a higher degree of crystallinity, and the peak-to-continuum is a bit lower, which may mean larger grains. In the model of Gielen et al. (2011), this indicates a higher degree of dust processing in the disc, perhaps through a more evolved disc.

Two of the objects in the O-PAGB sample are known optical planetary nebulae. SMP LMC 64 (OBJID 115; Henize 1956; Sanduleak, MacConnell & Philip 1978, Paper I) is one of the youngest known planetary nebulae, and has unusually high densities and temperature (Dopita & Meatheringham 1991). The spectrum of this object only covers the 5–14  $\mu\text{m}$  range. It shows a fairly strong amorphous silicate feature and a weak 12.8  $\mu\text{m}$  [Ne II] nebular emission line. The IRS spectrum of SMP LMC 64 was not classified as a PN spectrum using the flow chart because the nebular lines are weak compared to the continuum, and only the one low excitation line is detected. The second optically detected planetary nebula in the sample, [L63] 31 (Lindsay & Mullan 1963; Egan et al. 2001) shows amorphous silicate features at 10 and 18  $\mu\text{m}$  plus weak PAH emission features (6.2, 7.6, 11.3  $\mu\text{m}$ ) that may be interstellar in origin. There is no sign of nebular emission lines in the IRS spectrum, hence it is classified in the O-PAGB group.

The 28 objects classified as oxygen-rich planetary nebulae (O-PN class) in this spectroscopic sample all have prominent emission lines and a rising continuum towards longer wavelengths. The median of the spectra reveals a number of highly ionized emission lines indicative of the very hard radiation field expected from the central star of a PN, including: [S IV] 10.51  $\mu\text{m}$ , [Ne V] 14.32 and 24.28  $\mu\text{m}$ , [Ne III] 15.55  $\mu\text{m}$ , [S III] 18.71  $\mu\text{m}$ , [O IV] 25.91  $\mu\text{m}$ . The continuum of the median spectrum shows a gentle rise from 6 to 30  $\mu\text{m}$  and no broad-band features are evident. The continuum at these wavelengths is dominated by emission from warm dust; temperatures have been measured to be in the range 60–190 K for a subsample of these PNe (Stanghellini et al. 2007).

The median spectrum, however, oversimplifies the rich diversity of the O-PN spectral features. The relative strengths of the above-listed prominent spectral lines varies significantly over the sample of 28 objects, related to differences in the central star temperatures. In addition, some low-ionization lines that appear in individual spectra are not apparent in the median spectra, including [Ne II] 12.8  $\mu\text{m}$  and [Ar II] 6.98  $\mu\text{m}$ . These two lines seem more prominent in those O-PNe, e.g. OBJID 305 and 732, which have brighter continuum emission in the 6–30  $\mu\text{m}$  wavelength range, consistent with the expectation that cooler central stars have less evolved nebulae.

The continuum level compared to the line strengths varies by over an order of magnitude. There are some O-PNe, e.g. OBJID 363, that have essentially no continuum. In the sources with brighter continua, the silicate emission features are evident at  $\sim$ 10 and 18  $\mu\text{m}$ , such as in the PNe observed in the spectra, SSID 4143 and 4735. The change in the continuum level is caused by the evolution of the PN. As a PN evolves, the circumstellar ejecta expand away from the central

star, cooling the dust and causing the peak of the dust continuum to shift to longer wavelengths. The IRS spectra are sampling the Wien edge of the modified blackbody of the dust continuum, so a small change in temperature creates a large change in the continuum level.

We comment on three particular O-PNe. SMP LMC 21 (OBJID 331), which Stanghellini et al. (2007) notes as having O-rich dust, shows evidence for crystalline silicates at 28–31  $\mu\text{m}$ . It has a quadrupolar morphology and evidence for very high excitation as seen in *Hubble Space Telescope (HST)* observations (Shaw et al. 2001). SMP LMC 27 (OBJID 340), reveals an almost complete ring in the IRAC 4.5  $\mu\text{m}$  image, and a complete ring in 8  $\mu\text{m}$  surrounding the central compact PN (Hora et al. 2008). The IRS spectrum of this central compact PN has a featureless continuum, as do most of our sample, with prominent emission lines. *HST* observations of SMP LMC 27 reveal an intermediate excitation nebula with a quadrupolar morphology for the inner compact structure (Shaw et al. 2001), which is present within the halo detected by IRAC. The IRS spectrum of SMP LMC 9 (OBJID 219) shows PAH features at 7.7, 11.3  $\mu\text{m}$  and a plateau at 12–14  $\mu\text{m}$ , whilst *HST* reveals a morphology with a bipolar core with barrel-shaped structure in very high excitation lines (Shaw et al. 2001). This source may have gas-phase abundances with C/O > 1 (Leisy & Dennefeld 2006).


#### 4.6 R coronae borealis stars

R Coronae Borealis (RCrB) stars are a rare type of carbon-rich, hydrogen-poor evolved star that irregularly undergoes extreme drops in brightness in the visible (e.g. Clayton 2012). Observations of RCrBs with the infrared spectrometers on *ISO* and *Spitzer* have found that their infrared SEDs are often dominated by grey body emission from (roughly) single-temperature dust. This leads to a very distinct shape for their IRS (and previously, SWS) spectra, peaking between about 5 and 10  $\mu\text{m}$  (e.g. Kraemer et al. 2005; García-Hernández et al. 2011). Some RCrBs show emission from cooler dust, instead, often along with strong PAH features (Fig. 13). Of the seven sources classified here as RCrBs or having RCrB-like IRS spectra, six show the roughly grey body shape, with emission peaks around 7–8  $\mu\text{m}$ . The seventh, HV 2671, has a spectrum with strong PAHs and cool dust (Clayton et al. 2011), and would nominally be a YSO-3 according to the decision tree. However, it is also known to be an unusual type of RCrB star with a high effective temperature (Alcock et al. 1996; De Marco et al. 2002), which may also influence its dust properties (Clayton et al. 2011).

Four of the sources were known to be RCrB stars prior to the IRS observations and one, MSX LMC 1795, has since been confirmed as a likely RCrB (Clayton et al., in preparation). The two RCrB candidates, RP 1631 and MSX LMC 527, have spectra that are similar to the known RCrB stars but have some differences, and they both lack supporting observations such as optical light curves or spectra. Based on its infrared photometry, MSX LMC 527 has been classified as either a YSO (e.g. Whitney et al. 2008), and extreme (carbon-)AGB star (e.g. Srinivasan et al. 2009; Boyer et al. 2011; Riebel et al. 2012), or miscellaneous (Seale et al. 2009).


#### 4.7 Supernova remnants and novae

There are nearly 80 known SNRs in the LMC (Badenes, Maoz & Draine 2010), and the IRS observed two of them, including several epochs for SN 1987A. The two SNRs do not seem to have any common spectral features, apart from the presence of strong atomic emission lines, including [Ne II], [Ne III] and [Fe II]. Silicate dust



**Figure 13.** Averaged spectra for the GaI, RCrB and Star classes.

features are seen in the spectra of the remnant of SN 1987A (see Fig. 14), which was observed over multiple epochs (OBJID 631; SSID 4577–4580) to study the long-term IR evolution of SN 1987A (Dwek et al. 2010; Arendt et al. 2016). An amorphous carbon or



**Figure 14.** Spectra of the two SNRs and the Nova. The spectra are labelled with their SSID number. At the top, the wavelengths of the silicate features and spectral lines are labelled.

metallic iron component may also be present in the spectra from 5–8  $\mu\text{m}$  (fig. 5 of Dwek et al. 2010). The dust in the equatorial ring was formed by stellar winds during mass-loss episodes from the progenitor’s star, and is now undergoing dust processing due to shocks produced by the SN blast wave (Bouchet et al. 2006). The newly formed SN dust in the ejecta (see e.g. Matsuura et al. 2011) is too cold and therefore too faint to be detected with the *Spitzer* IRS.

The other SNR in our sample is SNR B0540-69.3 (OBJID 711). Williams et al. (2008) published the IRS spectrum of the remnant and they found that the IR excess due to dust rises longward of 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . A similar excess has also been observed in the Crab nebula (Temim et al. 2006). The spectrum contains emission lines which may be slightly broadened, suggesting that they may be produced in the expanding SN ejecta.

The spectrum of Nova LMC 2005-11a (OBJID 361) is unusual. It has H recombination line emission at 7.5, 12.4, 16.2, 16.9 and 27.8  $\mu\text{m}$ , and structure at 16.5  $\mu\text{m}$  which may possibly be due to an artefact in the data reduction. The underlying dust continuum is relatively featureless and likely to be carbonaceous (and warm), similar to what is seen in Galactic dust-producing novae. This is the only nova observed with the IRS in the Magellanic Clouds. The spectrum was taken 16 d after the eruption.

The two Supernovae remnants and Nova LMC 2005-11a were identified based on literature information. Following the decision tree, these spectra were classed as Other.

#### 4.8 Dustless stars

Our spectral catalogue contains 30 sources that resemble a stellar photosphere (class STAR), with no significant excess emission due to dust or gas. These sources have effective stellar temperatures ( $T_{\text{eff}}$ ) ranging from 2673 to 8000 K and have no long-period variability reported. The spectra of the stellar photospheres are shown in Fig. 13.

#### 4.9 The sample of galaxies

The LMC IRS sample includes eight galaxies and one candidate galaxy. Seven of these have positively identified emission features redshifted by  $z = 0.14\text{--}0.43 \pm 0.1$ ; the rest-frame spectra of these galaxies are shown in fig. 11 of Paper I.

For two of these, Kim et al. (2012) estimated photometric redshifts, both of which are much smaller than our spectroscopic estimates from the IRS spectra: SSTISAGEMC J055143.27–684543.0 (OBJID 193) has  $z_{\text{IRS}} = 0.43$  compared to  $z_{\text{phot}} = 0.29$ , and SSSTISAGEMC J053253.36–660727.8 (OBJID 138) has  $z_{\text{IRS}} = 0.27$  compared to  $z_{\text{phot}} = 0.10$ . Two other galaxies, SSTISAGEMC J054524.23–683041.4 (OBJID 184) and SSTISAGEMC J043727.61–675435.1 (OBJID 2) had both already been identified as galaxies, by Gruendl & Chu (2009) and van Loon et al. (2010), respectively. Both spectra are dominated by PAH emission and continuum emission steeply rising towards longer wavelengths.

Three galaxies have silicate emission: SSTISAGEMC J053730.59–674041.6 (OBJID 154;  $z = 0.28$ ) resembles a star-forming galaxy that also contains colder dust, whilst SSTISAGEMC J055143.27–684543.0 (OBJID 193;  $z = 0.43$ ) has a flatter mid-IR spectrum and a featureless  $K$ -band spectrum (2.09–2.36  $\mu\text{m}$ ; Blum et al. 2014). It is SSTISAGEMC J053634.77–722658.6 (OBJID 151), however, which turned out to be the most intriguing. Hony et al. (2011) argued that the lack of FIR emission and the optical and near-IR faintness indicate that the mid-IR emission is dominated by the AGN torus; this galaxy has the largest silicate-to-continuum ratio known. Hony et al. (2011) argued that the lack of FIR emission and the faintness of the optical and near-IR emission indicate that the mid-IR emission is dominated by the AGN torus. Subsequently, van Loon & Sansom (2015) obtained optical spectra with the Southern African Large Telescope, refining the redshift to  $z = 0.1428$  and discovering strong, broad  $H\alpha$  emission from near the AGN and relatively narrow stellar absorption in the spectrum of an early-type galaxy. The  $M_{\text{BH}}/M_{\star}$  mass ratio they derived is extreme, and makes it a modest mass, old galaxy with an overmassive central black hole.

One additional galaxy, SSTISAGE1C J051618.70–715358.8 (OBJID 78) does not exhibit any emission features, which is one of the reasons for it to have been classed as a high probability YSO by Whitney et al. (2008) and as an AGB star by Gruendl & Chu (2009). However, the IRS spectrum unmistakably displays a broad silicate absorption feature centred at 14  $\mu\text{m}$ . This yields a redshift  $z = 0.4$ ; due to the flat bottom of the feature, from 13–15  $\mu\text{m}$  and the well-documented variation in the peak wavelength of the silicate feature this redshift is not more accurate than  $\sim \pm 0.1$  but the galaxy nature is firmly established by this measurement. In fact, this object is IRAS 05170–7156, which de Grijp, Lub & Miley (1987) had already identified as a candidate AGN on the basis of the blue *IRAS* [25]–[60] colour indicating warmer dust than is typically seen in galaxies. It was subsequently listed in the PSCz catalogue of quasars compiled by Saunders et al. (2000), but no optical confirmation of the nature of the object was made, and no redshift had been determined until now. We propose that we are seeing a dusty AGN

torus edge-on, filled in by free–free continuum emission, and we predict that an optical spectrum would resemble a Seyfert 2 (narrow line) as we expect the broad-line region to be obscured from optical view.

The candidate galaxy, OBJID 411 ([WSI2006] 456) is in fact a YSO, of a type we classify as YSO-1, i.e. an early, embedded stage. This source was categorized as a YSO candidate by Whitney et al. (2008) but became the single target of IRS program 30180 ‘An Enigmatic Source Towards The LMC’ (PI: G. Fazio) when it was noted to be very bright at 24  $\mu\text{m}$  whilst faint in the IRAC bands. In their IRS proposal, they proposed that it might be a redshift  $z \sim 2$  starburst galaxy or a dust-enshrouded LBV in a proto-planetary nebula phase. The IRS spectrum, however, shows clear emission at 7.7  $\mu\text{m}$  which may be attributed to PAHs, and possibly silicate dust absorption just shortwards of 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , CO<sub>2</sub> ice absorption at 15  $\mu\text{m}$  and a dust continuum bulging around 20–30  $\mu\text{m}$ . Hence, we classify this as a definitive YSO within the LMC.

#### 4.10 Unknown objects

There are five objects of unknown spectral class in our catalogue, sources we are unable to classify due to low S/N ratio data, or unidentifiable spectral features. There is also little to no information on the nature of the corresponding point sources in the literature. The spectra of the objects of unknown type are shown in Fig. 15. Three of the unknown objects are listed and examined in Paper I.


SSTISAGEMC J054546.32–673239.4 (OBJID 185) was classified as an O-AGB star in Paper I. It is a dual chemistry source with both silicate dust emission and weak C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> absorption at 13.7  $\mu\text{m}$ . The 10–12  $\mu\text{m}$  emission is rather unusual and it may have a weak contribution from both SiC and silicate dust at this wavelength. Furthermore, the 18  $\mu\text{m}$  feature might not be due to silicates, instead the structure may be due to absorption from carbon-rich dust similar to SMP LMC 11 or MSX SMC 049. Due to the unusual dust chemistry this source exhibits we re-classify OBJID 185 to UNK.

The spectrum of IRAS 05413–6934 (OBJID 729) exhibits features at 21 and 30  $\mu\text{m}$ . Whilst it may also contain PAH features at 6–9  $\mu\text{m}$ , its spectrum is too noisy to be certain. If these features are verified then IRAS 05413–6934 is likely to be a carbon-rich post-AGB star.

## 5 COMPARISON WITH PHOTOMETRIC CLASSIFICATIONS

Photometric and spectroscopic classifications each have their own advantages and disadvantages: photometric methods are significantly less time-consuming to apply to large samples, whereas spectroscopic methods are more reliable, especially when combined with a photometric SED over a large wavelength range, as we have in this case. This greater reliability means that we can test photometric classifications with spectroscopic classifications in order to gauge their accuracy. There have been a number of photometric surveys of the LMC, or surveys which have included the LMC, and whilst we do not try to test the results of all these surveys, there have been several in recent years which include a classification of the point sources detected.<sup>4</sup> In the following subsections we look at

<sup>4</sup> A note about catalogue matching: source matching is not a trivial matter, especially in crowded regions of the LMC. Where possible we have used the



**Figure 15.** Spectra of the unidentified objects. The spectra are labelled with their SSID number. At the top, the wavelengths of the silicate features are given.

stages of evolution and classes of object that we encounter, and the seminal photometric classifications for each group.

### 5.1 Young stellar objects

There have been three LMC-wide photometric classification efforts of YSOs in the past decade: Whitney et al. (2008), Gruendl & Chu (2009) and Seale et al. (2014), whilst four detailed studies focused on individual star formation regions: Chen et al. (2009, 2010), Romita et al. (2010) and Carlson et al. (2012). Other studies producing lists of candidate YSOs as a by-product were performed by Kastner et al. (2008) and Buchanan et al. (2009). We discuss these publications below.

SAGE and 2MASS associations to match sources in the papers discussed below. In some cases this has not been possible (e.g. when the authors have decided to use their own identifiers). In these cases, we have matched according to position, using a 3 arcsec radius, and where possible, matching IRAC photometry as an added check.

#### 5.1.1 Whitney et al. (2008)


The work of Whitney et al. (2008) significantly expanded on the number of known YSOs in the LMC. Using *Spitzer* photometry, they identified over 1000 YSO candidates, with a bias towards young high- or intermediate-mass objects. They were less sensitive to the most massive YSOs, though, due to the limitations of the SAGE PSCs upon which their source list was based. An extensive set of colour–magnitude selection rules (based on theoretical modelling) was applied to select candidate YSOs from the SAGE PSC, resulting in a source list of 1197 objects. Further screening with more stringent colour–magnitude criteria and removal of known contaminants led to a list of 458 ‘highly probable’ YSOs (YSO\_hp). Other classes of object were also identified in the process of separating contaminants: evolved stars and PN, and they will be discussed separately below.

The two panels in Fig. 16 show the [8.0] versus [4.5]–[8.0] and [8.0]–[24] CMD, used in the Whitney et al. (2008) classification scheme. The objects from the IRS sample are overplotted on a Hess diagram of the entire SAGE PSC. In this figure, the ‘YSO\_hp’ sources from Whitney et al. (2008) are shown in light blue. Of the 458 YSO\_hp sources, we have matched 92 to IRS objects. Of these 92, 79 per cent have been identified as YSOs or H II regions. The majority of the pollutants are evolved stars (14 per cent) and galaxies (4 per cent). The purpose of the cull that brought the original list of YSO candidates down to the ‘YSO\_hp’ list was to exclude evolved stars; Whitney et al. (2008) reported moderate success with this, but it is clear from Fig. 16 that contaminants still remain. The list of 739 YSO candidates with the more relaxed criteria contains 54 *Spitzer*-IRS matches, of which 63 per cent match our classification of YSO or H II region. Again, the majority of contaminants are AGB and post-AGB stars (35 per cent).

#### 5.1.2 Gruendl & Chu (2009)

Gruendl & Chu (2009) identified approximately 2900 point sources in the LMC using *Spitzer* IRAC and MIPS data. Almost 1200 of these sources are proposed as likely YSOs, whilst  $\sim 1100$  of these are thought to be background galaxies. They adopt relaxed selection criteria for different classes: stars and evolved stars are separated with a colour selection of  $[4.5] - [8.0] > 2.0$ , whilst galaxies are isolated with  $[8.0] > 14 - ([4.5] - [8.0])$ . This source selection procedure is less strictly defined than that of Whitney et al. (2008), which would have eliminated 850 of the  $\sim 1200$  YSO candidates. Contrarily, the Gruendl & Chu (2009) selection misses fainter and more evolved YSOs that are included in the Whitney et al. (2008) sample. Approximately 20–30 per cent of the Whitney et al. (2008) sources would have been classified as background galaxies by the colour cut of Gruendl & Chu (2009).

Based on an analysis of the morphology, SED (optical to mid-IR), and immediate environment of each source, Gruendl & Chu (2009) identified three different qualities of YSO candidates: ‘Definite YSOs’, ‘Probable YSOs’ and ‘Possible YSOs’. We have found 282, 17 and 4 IRS sources in these groups, respectively. An extremely high (99 per cent) percentage of the objects in the ‘Definite YSOs’ group are spectroscopically identified as YSOs. The only two contaminants in the selection are an oxygen-rich AGB star, MSX LMC 610 (OBJID 569), and the source corresponding to spectrum SSID 4732, which has an UNK spectral type. Thus, we can say that the method of ‘Definite YSOs’ selection employed by Gruendl & Chu (2009) is extremely reliable. In the two other groupings with lower confidence, we find 72 per cent of the ‘Probable YSOs’ are



**Figure 16.** The [8.0] versus [4.5]–[8.0] CMD (top) and the [8.0] versus [8.0]–[24] CMD (bottom) used in the Whitney et al. (2008) classification of YSO candidates. The cuts used by Carlson et al. (2012) and Sewilo et al. (2013) to select YSOs are shown by solid black lines. The grey-scale in the background shows a Hess diagram of the SAGE LMC catalogue, with light blue dots representing the photometrically selected YSOs from Whitney et al. (2008). The spectral sample is plotted as coloured points and separated by spectral class according to the legend.

also YSOs in our evaluation. The contaminants in this grouping are mostly evolved stars and PNe. None of the four ‘Possible YSOs’ are YSOs in our classification.

### 5.1.3 Carlson et al. (2012)

Carlson et al. (2012) presented alternative colour-selection criteria for the identification of YSOs in star-forming regions in the LMC. This selection focused on lower mass ( $\lesssim 4 M_{\odot}$ ) YSO candidates that may have been missed by earlier surveys. 1045 low- to high-mass YSO candidates, of which 918 are entirely new, were identified via colour selections and SED fitting in nine active star-forming regions in the LMC; we find 106 matches to our spectral catalogue. The Carlson et al. (2012) catalogue of YSO candidates contains a complete list of YSO candidates for each H II region, including

those identified as YSO candidates in other studies (Chen et al. 2009, 2010; Romita et al. 2010) that do not fulfil all their criteria or are missing from the SAGE PSC.

The colour selection described by Carlson et al. (2012) was very successful at identifying YSOs and compact H II regions in all nine star-forming regions with a 99 per cent accuracy. However, Fig. 16 suggests that this accuracy would be reduced if these colour cuts were applied on a global scale rather than in verified star-forming regions. 25 of the 105 sources spectroscopically identified as YSOs and compact H II regions were included in the list of those ‘well fit’ by YSO models<sup>3</sup>. Nine of these we class as H II regions; we class four as YSO-1, two as YSO-2, seven as YSO-3 and three as H II/YSO-3. All the YSO classes were determined to be Stage I YSOs by Carlson et al. (2012). The final list of ‘well-fit’ YSO candidates has no contamination from other evolutionary classes,

whilst the YSO candidates identified via colour selection include one evolved-star contaminant.

#### 5.1.4 Seale et al. (2014)

Using *Herschel* FIR photometry from the HERITAGE survey, Seale et al. (2014) identified  $\sim 35\,000$  FIR point sources in the SAGE field. These represent the dustiest populations of sources in the LMC. Using colour selections, 3518 sources were thought to be very young, embedded YSOs or dusty ISM clumps, whilst 9745 are background galaxy candidates. Seale et al. (2014) identified *Herschel* YSO candidates by requiring detections in at least three *Herschel* and SAGE MIPS 24  $\mu\text{m}$  bands, and applying the criteria that would ensure that the measured photometry is not contaminated by the emission from neighbouring sources. All sources identified in literature as non-YSOs or as *Herschel* background galaxy candidates were removed. Seale et al. (2014) classified sources brighter than 100 mJy in the 250  $\mu\text{m}$  band as ‘probable YSO candidates’ and those fainter as ‘possible YSO candidates’.

Of the  $\sim 35\,000$  FIR sources, we have matched 246 objects to *Spitzer* spectra. The 246 sources in common contain 228 sources spectroscopically identified as YSOs or H II regions. Of these 228 spectroscopically confirmed YSOs or H II regions, Seale et al. (2014) classified 204 (83 per cent) as either ‘probable’ (191) or ‘possible’ (13) YSOs. The remaining 24 spectroscopically confirmed YSOs were not assigned a class by Seale et al. (2014) as they were too faint.

#### 5.1.5 Kastner et al. (2008) and Buchanan et al. (2009)

There are 46 matches between the YSOs and compact H II regions in our sample and the sample of Kastner et al. (2008) and Buchanan et al. (2009, see Section 5.2.2). Of these, 42 sources (91 per cent) were thought to be H II regions, and we also find that the vast majority (31/42) are indeed compact H II regions or class YSO-3/H II intermediate objects. The other 14 sources in common between the two catalogues were classified by us as YSOs 1–4; according to Kastner et al. (2008) they were thought to be H II regions (11 objects), are not assigned a class (2 sources) and one source was classified as C-AGB.

## 5.2 Red supergiants and asymptotic giant branch stars

Due to their importance in late stages of stellar evolution and their feedback of material into the dust budget of the LMC, several authors have used mid-IR colours to identify RSG and AGB stars in the Magellanic Clouds. Seminal work using *Spitzer* photometry includes the studies by Blum et al. (2006), Kastner et al. (2008), Matsuura et al. (2009), Boyer et al. (2011) and Riebel et al. (2012). We discuss each of these publications below. For completeness, we also discuss works that do not focus on identifying AGB stars, but that nevertheless contain a number of evolved-star candidates in their catalogues.

#### 5.2.1 Blum et al. (2006) and Boyer et al. (2011)

As a first step towards the identification of AGB stars in the LMC, Blum et al. (2006) identified about 32 000 colour-selected evolved stars brighter than the tip of the RGB, which includes 17 500 oxygen-rich, 7000 carbon-rich and 1200 ‘extreme’ AGB (x-AGB) stars. In Paper I, we compared the initial SAGE-Spec sample of 197 sources to the classifications of Blum et al. (2006) and confirmed that the ‘extreme’ AGB stars are predominantly carbon rich.


In this paper, we compare our classifications to those of Boyer et al. (2011). This classification scheme supersedes that of Blum et al. (2006) for mass-losing evolved stars in the Magellanic Clouds as it incorporates (and improves upon) both the Blum et al. (2006) and Cioni et al. (2006) photometric classifications. This refined classification scheme uses the  $K_s$  versus  $J - K_s$  colours (shown in Fig. 17) to identify evolved stars that are brighter than the tip of the red giant branch, and to separate carbon- and oxygen-rich AGB stars, and RSGs. For sources with a dust excess, the  $J - [8.0]$  and  $[3.6] - [8.0]$  CMDs were used to identify evolved stars that are experiencing a superwind. Finally, objects that have a rising continuum from 8 to 24  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $[8] - [24] > 2.39$  mag) were classified as FIR sources. This class was added as a mechanism to remove potential contamination from YSOs, compact H II regions, PNe and background galaxies from the evolved-star population, though some evolved stars remain in the FIR category.

Most (134/148) of the spectroscopically identified carbon stars were classified as either a C-AGB star (23 objects), an x-AGB star (100 objects) or an FIR source (14 objects) by Boyer et al. (2011). Two IRS sources were misidentified as O-AGB stars by Boyer et al. (2011). 10 carbon stars were not included in the Boyer et al. (2011) catalogue because they lacked either 2MASS magnitudes or 3.6  $\mu\text{m}$  magnitudes or because they fell outside the employed colour boundaries.

Objects that we classify as O-AGB stars fall across the range of the evolved-star photometric classes for the LMC. 25 IRS sources were correctly identified as O-AGB stars by Boyer et al. (2011); all the early-type O-AGB stars (with molecular absorption features in their spectra) lie within this class. 12 of the spectroscopically confirmed O-AGB stars are matched to their aO-AGB photometric class; confirming that this photometric class represents a subset of oxygen-rich AGB stars (Boyer et al. 2015) that are just beginning to form a significant amount of dust, rather than an indication of S-type AGB stars (in which the ratio of carbon to oxygen is close to 1). 4 sources were placed in the x-AGB category and an additional 13 were classified as FIR sources by Boyer et al. (2011). Sources in these latter categories are highly enshrouded by dust and have strong 10 and 18  $\mu\text{m}$  features due to amorphous silicates. Four IRS O-AGB sources fall in the C-AGB region of colour space and five fall in the RSG region. Finally, 12 O-AGB stars (24 per cent of the IRS sample) are not included in the Boyer et al. (2011) sample either because they lack 2MASS magnitudes or because they fall outside the 2MASS colour selection.

Near-IR colours are most successful for identifying O-AGB stars which appear as stellar photospheres with an SiO inflection at 8  $\mu\text{m}$  or with mild dust emission. Dustier O-AGB sources show a wide colour spread that was also seen in the SMC (Paper II), and indicates that once thermally pulsing O-rich sources start to form significant amount of dust they cannot be easily isolated in colour space. Dusty O-rich AGB stars are rare at low metallicity compared to carbon stars, but their numbers are expected to increase in more metal-rich systems. Depending on *Spitzer* colours from studies of the Magellanic Clouds to classify dusty AGB stars would in that case lead to large uncertainties in estimates of the total amount of carbon-rich and oxygen-rich dust production.

One region of colour space where one might expect significant overlap is the O-AGB/RSG boundary. This disagreement between the O-AGB/RSG classification can be in part explained by the overlap in bolometric magnitude between massive O-AGB stars undergoing hot bottom burning and the RSGs. Furthermore, the lowest mass RSGs become RSGs at a bolometric luminosity below the classical AGB limit. They will be a little warmer than O-AGB stars



**Figure 17.** The  $K_s$  versus  $J - K_s$  (top) and  $[8.0]$  versus  $J - [8.0]$  (bottom) CMDs showing the distribution of the O-AGB, RSG and C-AGB classes plotted over the evolved-star sample of Boyer et al. (2011). The lilac and yellow dots represent the RSG and O-AGB object as they are classified via the  $K_s$  versus  $J - K_s$  CMD. For simplicity, the pink points include both C-AGB and x-AGB sources. For clarity, the CMD has been duplicated to show the locations of the various object classes. The IRS spectrum classification symbols are as for Fig. 16.

at the same bolometric luminosity, but that may be hard to tell from infrared photometry alone. Variability may also play a role in the cross-contamination between the two samples.

The 71 RSGs in our spectroscopic sample fall mostly within the RSG (33), O-AGB (7), x-AGB (1) and FIR (12) regions of colour space, giving a  $\sim 75$  per cent agreement. Sources in these regions are thought to have an oxygen-rich chemistry, thus the chemical type is more reliably identified than the stellar types. One RSG (IRAS 05389–6922; OBJID 660) was classified as a C-AGB based on its photometric colours. Like the O-AGB stars, RSGs with high optical depths have red  $[8.0] - [24]$  colours. This is due to the strong amorphous silicate dust feature at  $18 \mu\text{m}$  and (in some instances) silicate self-absorption suppressing the flux at shorter wavelengths. Thus, O-AGB stars and RSGs undergo-

ing significant mass-loss will reside in the FIR photometric class rather than the O-AGB region of colour space. In total, 39 spectroscopically confirmed AGB stars and RSGs fall within the FIR category of Boyer et al. (2011). The other 104 IRS sources in this region are spectroscopically classified as YSOs and compact H II regions (74); post-AGB stars (17); PNe (6); or are rare objects in the OTHER category.

Similar to the O-AGB stars,  $\sim 77$  per cent (54/71) of the RSGs in our IRS sample have a match in the (Boyer et al. 2011) evolved-star photometric catalogue. The missing RSGs either have no 2MASS magnitudes or fall outside the (Boyer et al. 2011) colour cuts, with most (12) falling bluewards of the RSG cut shown in Fig. 17.

Six stellar photospheres (STARS) were matched to the Boyer et al. (2011) catalogue. These are all thought to be O-AGB or

aO-AGB stars according to their  $J - K_s$  colours, raising the possibility that these sources are E-AGB stars which have not yet started to thermally pulsate or are OB stars with an IR excess, which is not thought to be related to the star itself (see Adams et al. 2013; Sheets et al. 2013). None of these objects are found in the Vlijh et al. (2009) catalogue, signifying that they are not mid-IR variables, which would be consistent with a very E-AGB stage.

Pollution of the Boyer et al. (2011) x-AGB sample (145 objects in the IRS sample) is predominantly by YSOs and compact H $\text{II}$  regions (31) along with 3 O-PAGB stars. There is little overlap with the population of dusty O-AGB sources ( $\lesssim 3$  per cent). Five massive evolved stars also reside in the x-AGB region of colour-magnitude space, however four of these are RC $\text{rB}$  stars which have a carbonaceous chemistry. These rare objects are not included in colour selections.

### 5.2.2 Buchanan et al. (2006, 2009) and Kastner et al. (2008)

Buchanan et al. (2006, 2009) and Kastner et al. (2008) used a 2MASS–MSX colour classification system to characterize the most luminous mid-IR point sources in the LMC. This classification scheme has been augmented by IRS spectra of 60 bright 8  $\mu\text{m}$  sources selected from the MSX catalogue (Egan et al. 2001).

132 of the 250 most luminous point sources in the LMC at 8  $\mu\text{m}$  (Kastner et al. 2008) have been observed with the IRS. Unsurprisingly the brighter Kastner et al. (2008) sample (incorporating the updates of Buchanan et al. 2009) contains a large number of matches (33) to the RSGs in our sample. A further eight massive stars (mostly comprised of B[e] supergiants) were also identified. The classifications of RSGs and massive stars have an 85 per cent agreement with our catalogues. The Kastner et al. (2008) sample was also very successful at identifying C-AGB stars. Only one of the 37 matches was incorrectly identified as an H $\text{II}$  region, whilst 9 of the 12 O-AGB stars were classified correctly, the other 3 sources were classified as carbon-rich AGB stars.

### 5.2.3 Matsuura et al. (2009, 2013)

The colour classification scheme proposed by Matsuura et al. (2009) and Matsuura, Woods & Owen (2013) was used to identify mass-losing AGB stars and RSGs and determine their mass-loss rates. We applied the [8.0] versus [3.6]–[8.0] CMD cuts described by Matsuura et al. (2009, 2013) to our sample in Fig. 18 and compare their classifications to our own.

This photometric classification was designed with redder sources in mind and is not intended to recover E-AGB stars and objects with low mass-loss rates (i.e. sources with  $[3.6] - [8.0] < 0.7$  mag). Furthermore, Matsuura et al. (2009, 2013) only make subdivisions according to the chemistry rather than the stellar type and thus do not distinguish between O-AGB stars and RSGs.

Applying the  $[3.6] - [8.0] > 0.7$  mag cut eliminates 358 sources, which are mostly comprised of stars, and oxygen-rich post-main-sequence stars with mild to moderate dust emission. The C-AGB region defined by Matsuura et al. (2009) contains 283 IRS sources, of which 109 are C-AGB stars (38.5 per cent). 19 embedded O-AGB stars also occupy this section of colour space and one must be wary of contamination from these oxygen-rich evolved sources when applying mass-loss relations. This region also has significant pollution from YSOs and compact H $\text{II}$  regions (110 sources), and, at redder colours, post-AGB stars and PNe (31 objects). 11 massive stars are also included in this selection.

The cuts used by Matsuura et al. (2009) to isolate RSGs and O-AGB stars are more successful; 69 sources occupy the RSG/O-AGB region, of which 37 are RSGs and 8 are massive O-AGB stars (65 per cent accuracy). Here, the contaminants are 10 C-AGB stars, 7 massive stars (5 B[e] stars, 1 WR and 1 YSG) and 7 YSOs/compact H $\text{II}$  regions.

A second cut was applied to the SMC sources in Matsuura et al. (2013) using the near-IR  $K_s$  band and the mid-IR [24] magnitudes (Fig. 18, lower panel). This second classification was introduced to remove contamination from YSOs and reduce overlap between carbon- and oxygen-rich AGB stars. The second step takes precedence if the two colour classifications disagree. From Fig. 18, it is clear that this second step provided a vast improvement to the reliability of the colour classifications, although dusty O-AGB stars, and O-PNe are still overlooked in the selection.

### 5.2.4 Riebel et al. (2012)


Unlike the other works discussed thus far, Riebel et al. (2012) used the ‘Grid of Red supergiant and Asymptotic giant branch Models’ (GRAMS; Sargent, Srinivasan & Meixner 2011; Srinivasan, Sargent & Meixner 2011) to identify evolved stars in the LMC and constrain their chemistry. The initial sample is based on a subset of the full SAGE LMC catalogue, and was selected using the evolved-star photometric colour cuts of Blum et al. (2006) and Boyer et al. (2011).

There are 263 sources in common with our spectral sample; the preliminary colour selection and fits with the GRAMS models successfully identified 82 per cent (243/295) of the spectroscopically confirmed evolved stars. Of these, the selection and identification of C-AGB stars were the most successful, excluding only 15 sources and misidentifying another 4 as oxygen rich. The chemical type of all the RSGs was correctly determined to be oxygen rich by the GRAMS models, although 20 of the 71 RSGs are not included in the Riebel et al. (2012) catalogue. Finally, 52 of the 73 (71 per cent) spectroscopically confirmed O-AGB stars were included in the catalogue, with four incorrectly determined to be carbon-rich. Consequently 52 evolved stars that are undergoing mass-loss were not included in the final dust budget estimate of the LMC by Riebel et al. (2012); the excluded sources are predominantly oxygen rich. Thus, we would expect both the global and oxygen-rich dust-production rates for the LMC need to be revised upwards.

Eight stellar photospheres (stars) also fall in the sample, of which all but one were identified as oxygen-rich. In total 12 sources not on the giant branches are included in the Riebel et al. (2012) directory. Five YSOs were all classified by Riebel et al. (2012) as extreme carbon stars. The other seven sources were determined spectroscopically to be RC $\text{rB}$  stars (3), O-PAGB stars (2) and a B[e] star. The final source was classified as UNK.

### 5.2.5 Seale et al. (2014) and Jones et al. (2015)

Seale et al. (2014) proposed that a small population of dusty objects in the late stages of stellar evolution may be present in the *Herschel* PSC for the LMC. Jones et al. (2015) identified all the post-main-sequence stars in this catalogue, including 15 sources with IRS spectra. In the LMC, two are AGB stars, three are RSGs, and five are massive stars (three B[e] stars; a BSG and a WR star). The remainder are post-AGB stars and PNe.



**Figure 18.** The infrared colour–magnitude diagrams (CMDs) used in the Matsuura et al. (2009, 2013) photometric classification method. The solid lines in the [8.0] versus [3.6]–[8.0] CMD (top) are used to separate carbon- and oxygen-rich evolved stars in the LMC. The dashed line shows the position of the YSO limit used by Boyer et al. (2011). The  $K_s - [24]$  versus  $K_s - [8.0]$  colour–colour diagram (CCD; bottom) was an additional step introduced by Matsuura et al. (2013) to provide a cleaner separation between oxygen-rich stars and carbon-rich stars. The symbols and colours have the same meaning as in Fig. 17.


### 5.2.6 Whitney et al. (2008)

Whitney et al. (2008) included a list of evolved stars that were contaminants in their YSO selection process. Many of these had been previously identified as carbon stars (e.g. using the catalogues of van Loon et al. 1999, 2006), and others were separated from the YSO populations using colour–magnitude cuts. Whitney et al. (2008) distinguished several different classes within their ‘evolved’ group: AGB and post-AGB stars, Cepheids, WR stars and emission line objects. 36 of these ‘evolved’ sources were matched to an IRS object, and 83 per cent of these were classified as evolved stars by us. The remaining 17 per cent are composed mainly of YSOs (11 per cent) and objects of unknown spectral type (6 per cent).

### 5.2.7 Gruendl & Chu (2009)

As a by-product of their YSO classification, Gruendl & Chu (2009) identified 117 evolved stars, which they classify into two groups: AGB and ERO. We find 53 of their evolved-star candidates in our spectroscopic sample. We have classified 48 (87 per cent) of these as RSGs, AGB stars or post-AGB stars, which substantiates the Gruendl & Chu (2009) photometric identification. The remaining five sources are evolved YSOs, or, in one case, a star.

The 14 sources designated as EROs by Gruendl & Chu (2009) are thought to be extreme carbon stars. 11 matches to the EROs are found in the IRS sample, 10 of which are classified as C-AGB. The remaining object (IRAS 05346–6949; OBJID 615) is classified as an RSG due to a pronounced absorption feature at 10  $\mu\text{m}$ .




**Figure 19.** Colour–colour diagrams (CCDs) showing the distribution of all the IRS sources in colour space. The  $[5.6] - [8.0]$  versus  $[3.6] - [4.5]$  CCD is composed of the four IRAC bands. This CCD is commonly used in stellar population colour classification schemes (see e.g. Kastner et al. 2008; Whitney et al. 2008; Buchanan et al. 2009; Gruendl & Chu 2009 etc.) as it is distance independent. It can be directly compared to the SMC via fig. 11 of Paper II. In this diagram, there is significant overlap at blue colours between sources that have a modest IR excess, however it is useful for separating populations enshrouded by dust. The  $J - K_s$  versus  $[8.0] - [24]$  CCD is noteworthy as it provided a clean separation between C-AGB stars and the other stellar populations. A similar distribution of sources was seen for the SMC (fig. 12 in Paper II.) The objects with spectroscopic classifications are marked with symbols as in Fig. 16.

Furthermore, the IRS spectrum of IRAS 05346–6949 shows no trace of carbon-rich content, and thus we suggest that this object is misclassified as an extreme carbon star.

#### 5.2.8 Kamath et al. (2015)

Kamath, Wood & Van Winckel (2015) identified 382 optically bright M stars in the LMC based on the presence of strong TiO and VO lines in their optical spectra. 19 of these objects have IRS spectra, and all 19 are classified by us as O-AGB stars (13 objects) or RSGs (6 objects).

Kamath et al. (2015) also compiled a list of carbon stars based on C<sub>2</sub>, CN and CH absorption lines in the optical spectra. Of the 55 carbon stars identified, four have IRS spectra, and two of these are classified as carbon stars by us. The remaining two objects are a YSO and an oxygen-rich AGB star. Interestingly, the latter source SSTISAGEMC J053128.44–701027.1 (OBJID 130) has two clear features at 10 and 20  $\mu\text{m}$  in the mid-IR spectrum, giving strong evidence for a silicate-rich circumstellar dust. This object may be undergoing the transition from oxygen rich to carbon rich, i.e. it is a mixed-chemistry object, such as those surveyed by Smolders et al. (2012).



**Figure 20.** The [3.6] versus  $J - [3.6]$  CMD is very successful at separating RSGs from the other evolved classes. This selection is extremely clean and corroborates the optically selected massive star sample of Bonanos et al. (2009). Symbols are as in Fig. 16.

### 5.2.9 OGLE classifications

125 of the 142 sources with matching OGLE information are classified as long-period variables (LPVs) by Soszyński et al. (2009). The LPVs consist of 67 Mira variables, 34 semiregular variables and 24 OGLE small-amplitude red giants. There is good agreement between the spectroscopic and OGLE chemical classifications: these classifications are based on  $V - I$  versus  $J - K$  colours and the extinction-free Wesenheit indices ( $W_I - W_{JK}$ ) derived from the near-IR and visual magnitudes. This index is able to correctly identify 30 of 42 O-AGBs and 66 of 67 C-AGBs. Most of the remaining 16 LPVs have OGLE class AGB (the other two are classified as RGB stars); these include 6 STARS, 2 YSO-4s, 2 O-PAGBs, 3 C-PAGBs, and 3 massive stars (1 RCeB and 2 RSGs). Of the 17 sources without LPV classifications, 7 RV Tauri stars and 4 RCeB stars have matching OGLE classes.

## 5.3 Red supergiants and massive stars

In this section, we focus on the massive stellar population of the LMC. Nominally we include RSGs in this section, however due to the difficulty involved in separating RSGs from O-AGB stars using only photometry much of the discussion on RSG is included in Section 5.2.

### 5.3.1 Bonanos et al. (2009)

Bonanos et al. (2009) compiled the first major catalogue of spectral types and multiwavelength photometry of massive stars in the LMC. Of the 1 270 massive stars in this catalogue, we have matched 52 to IRS spectra. 26 RSG were recovered, all with spectral type K or M. The one exception was HD 269227 (OBJID 399) which is identified as a WR star or an LBV candidate. This star has a nearby M2 supergiant which is not physically bound to HD 269227 (Schmutz et al. 1991) and likely contributes to (or accounts for all of) the IR flux. The presence of this nearby ( $<0.22$  arcsec) RSG may also result in an incorrect match between our catalogue and that of Bonanos et al. (2009) for this source.

18 massive post-main-sequence stars were matched to this catalogue; these are comprised of 7 WR stars, 7 B[e] stars, 3 LBVs and

a BSG. For the 73 per cent of the sources in common, the agreement is 100 per cent. The 18 stars include two in OB associations and 8 in H II regions. Each of these 10 was assigned an O or early-B spectral type with emission from an optically thin H II region.

As noted by Bonanos et al. (2009), massive stars are amongst the brightest sources at  $3.6\ \mu\text{m}$  and occupy distinct regions in the [3.6] versus  $J - [3.6]$  CMD. Fig. 20 shows that the AGB stars, RCeB, B[e] stars and LBVs can be cleanly separated using the  $J - [3.6]$  colour, which corroborates this finding. Furthermore, in Paper I a cut along  $J = 1$  and  $[3.6] = 12 - 2(J - [3.6])$  was proposed to separate RSGs from the other stellar populations. This selection is extremely clean (as shown by the full spectral sample), and has only a few massive star contaminants.


## 5.4 Post-AGB stars

In this section, we consider all galaxy-wide surveys of the LMC which focus on identifying post-AGB stars. Significant works in this field include van Aarle et al. (2011) and the follow-up paper by Kamath et al. (2015).

### 5.4.1 van Aarle et al. (2011)

van Aarle et al. (2011) set out to produce a catalogue of post-AGB stars in the LMC, using the SAGE catalogue as a base, enhanced with optical spectra and photometry. Using the appearance of the SED, and in particular, the near-IR data, van Aarle et al. (2011) identified ‘shell’ and ‘disc’ sources. ‘Shell’ sources have a distinctly double-peaked SED, with the longer wavelength peak corresponding to an expanding, detached shell of cooling dust, whereas the shorter wavelength peak is stellar in origin. ‘Disc’ sources have a broad excess in the near-IR, appearing as a shoulder on top of the stellar emission in the SED rather than a separate peak. This excess is indicative of a hot disc of dust, close to the star (de Ruyter et al. 2006). We would identify van Aarle et al. (2011) ‘shell’ sources as post-AGB stars, whilst their ‘disc’ objects would mostly be classed as AGB stars or RSGs.

By enforcing a colour cut of  $[8] - [24] > 1.384$  mag, van Aarle et al. (2011) selected post-AGB candidates from the SAGE



**Figure 21.** The post-AGB star selection process of van Aarle et al. (2011) is applied to the IRS sample (grey dots), disc sources (red dots) and shell sources (blue dots) are highlighted. Darker grey dots indicate AGB stars that are not deemed to be ‘disc’ sources. The solid horizontal line marks the  $[8.0]-[24] = 1.384$  colour cut made as part of the selection process. The blue dashed line is the lower limit for ‘shell’ sources (post-AGB stars, in our classification), according to van Aarle et al. (2011). The red dashed line is the upper limit for ‘disc’ sources (these are AGB stars with a large dust excess in our classification). The region between the two dashed lines may contain both ‘shell’ and ‘disc’ sources.

catalogue, and refined this list by requiring candidates to be optically bright (in the  $U$ ,  $B$ ,  $V$ ,  $I$ ,  $R$  bands). It was assumed that supergiant and YSO contaminants would be removed by enforcing luminosity restrictions: YSOs have  $L < 1000 L_{\odot}$  and supergiants  $L > 35000 L_{\odot}$ , with post-AGB objects falling in between. Confirmation of some of the remaining post-AGB candidates was sought through low-resolution optical spectra.

Agreement between the post-AGB catalogue of van Aarle et al. (2011) and our spectrum-based classification is poor. Of their  $\sim 1400$  post-AGB candidates, 179 have IRS spectra. 54 of these are ‘disc’ sources and 101 are ‘shell’ sources; the 24 remaining sources are not categorized as either ‘shell’ or ‘disc’ sources. Of the ‘disc’ sources, 38 are AGB stars and 11 are oxygen-rich post-AGB stars. Of the ‘shell’ sources, the majority (68) are YSOs. 17 are planetary nebulae, and 11 are post-AGB stars. At best there is only a 17 per cent agreement between our classifications; from the 179 matches only 31 are post-AGB stars.

To understand the reason for this disparity, we compare the photometry of our LMC objects with the colour selection criteria employed by van Aarle et al. (2011) to identify ‘shell’ and ‘disc’ sources. Furthermore, high- and low-luminosity objects are discarded in an effort to minimize contamination by RSGs and YSOs. Spectroscopically confirmed dusty AGB stars are well constrained by the van Aarle colour limit for ‘disc’ sources (dashed red line in Fig. 21). The limit for ‘shell’ sources (dashed blue line), though, excludes a significant number of confirmed post-AGB stars with blue  $[8.0]-[24]$  colour. In addition, the cut at  $[8.0]-[24] = 1.384$  mag excludes a few confirmed post-AGB stars (not shown in the figure). These selection criteria encompass a large number of sources which are not post-AGB stars (or indeed AGB stars), thus the level of contamination is high. The luminosity cuts made as part of the selection process to remove YSOs and supergiants exclude only a few YSOs at the low-luminosity end (instead, it is mostly planetary nebulae which are excluded with  $L_{\star} < 1000 L_{\odot}$ ). At high luminosities, three ‘disc’ sources are excluded (extreme O-rich AGB stars), as well as a large number of RSGs and evolved YSOs.

van Aarle et al. (2011) also included a list of the  $\sim 90$  sources with optical spectra that they discarded from their catalogue. There are 20 matches to IRS spectra, two of which are classified as post-AGB by us (OBJID 256 and 776). This list is heavily dominated by RSGs and YSOs, whilst none of the matched sources are AGB stars. 7 of these matches are ‘shell’ sources and 13 are undetermined. There are no clear ‘disc’ sources.

#### 5.4.2 Kamath et al. (2015)

The paper by Kamath et al. (2015) extended the analysis of van Aarle et al. (2011). Kamath et al. (2015) performed an optical follow-up, obtaining spectra for a large number of van Aarle et al. (2011) sources, but relaxing the requirement for objects to have  $L > 1000 L_{\odot}$ . They obtained 1546 spectra of suitable quality, and identified 581 post-AGB, post-RGB and YSO candidates in the LMC. By modelling the spectra to obtain a  $\log g$  value and performing a further luminosity cut, the resultant list of post-AGB candidates numbered 35.

Of these 35, 8 objects have IRS spectra, and only 3 of these would we consider post-AGB stars. All three of the post-AGB star matches are oxygen rich. The remaining five objects are comprised of two AGB stars (one carbon-rich, one oxygen-rich), two YSOs (YSO-1, YSO-3) and an RCrB star.

#### 5.4.3 Matsuura et al. (2014)

Matsuura et al. (2014) primarily selected post-AGB stars using a series of colour–colour and colour–magnitude diagrams (particularly the  $K-[8.0]$  and  $K-[24]$  colours; Fig. 18). They also incorporated all spectroscopically known post-AGB stars from Bernard-Salas et al. (2006), Gielen et al. (2009) and Paper I (see their section 4), as well as known RV Tau stars from the light curves of Wood & Cohen (2001). This spectral confirmation was used to revise the colour selections of Matsuura et al. (2009, 2013), consequently there is a high degree of agreement between our samples.

### 5.5 Planetary nebulae

There are over 300 confirmed planetary nebulae in the SAGE FOV. In the following section, we focus on the papers by Reid & Parker (2006) and Leisy et al. (1997) who have either compiled significant data bases of known PNe or used IR colours to isolate these sources from other stellar populations in the LMC.

#### 5.5.1 Reid & Parker (2006)

The ‘Complete PN catalogue’ of Reid & Parker (2006) has long been a resource for planetary nebulae in the LMC. Potential PNe came from previous PN catalogues (169 objects) or a deep, high-resolution H $\alpha$  survey of the LMC with the UK Schmidt Telescope, coupled with a targeted spectroscopic follow-up on the Anglo-Australian Telescope (460 objects). Reid & Parker (2006) selected planetary nebulae based on the presence and strength of high-excitation lines (He II, [O III], [Ar III], [Ne III], often with strong [Ne V] and [Ar V]) and the shape, size and distinct boundary of the H $\alpha$  emission (see their section 4.1). Newly identified PNe were rated in terms of certainty from ‘True’ to ‘Likely’ to ‘Possible’, largely dependent on the presence of obscuring stars.

We have found 54 IRS objects amongst the Reid & Parker (2006) catalogue, which covers the central 25 deg<sup>2</sup> region of the LMC.<sup>5</sup> 33 of these objects are from the ‘previously known’ part of the Reid & Parker (2006) catalogue, and all 33 are considered by us to be post-AGB stars or planetary nebulae: 18 oxygen-rich, 10 carbon-rich and 5 post-AGB stars. The other part of the catalogue is for newly discovered PN candidates. Of the nine ‘True’ PNe matched, only one source (OBJID 153) is classified as a PN by our methods. Of the remaining seven sources, four are YSOs or H II regions (two H II, a YSO-3 and a H II/YSO-3), two carbon-rich AGB stars, one RCrB star and one object with an IRS spectrum too noisy to classify. The H II region (IRAS 05216–6753, OBJID 453) shows no indication of high-excitation lines (only [S IV] and [Ne II] lines are evident), but has an unusually strong 10 μm silicate feature. Previous studies (see van Loon et al. 2010, for a summary) suggest a hot massive star is present. Of the three ‘Likely’ and nine ‘Possible’ PNe, none are considered PNe by us, although one object is a post-AGB star (OBJID 453, 256). The other 11 objects are evolved YSOs or H II regions.

A handful of revisions were made to their PN classifications in a follow-up publication, Reid & Parker (2010), and seven erroneous classifications were removed (two ‘True’ PNe, one ‘Likely’ PN and four ‘Possible’ PNe). Most of these were reclassified as H II regions, in line with our classifications of those objects.

There are a number of missing PNe from the Reid & Parker (2006, 2010) catalogues: of the 42 PN identified in our work, 12 do not appear in either of their catalogues. These well-known PNe fall outside of the initial survey area, and were not included in latter catalogues (e.g. Reid & Parker 2013) as these focus on newly discovered PN candidates.

### 5.5.2 Leisy et al. (1997)

A detailed catalogue of 277 planetary nebulae in the LMC was complied by Leisy et al. (1997). The Whitney et al. (2008) PNe sample was selected from this catalogue of LMC PNe candidates, as were the 56 PNe listed by Gruendl & Chu (2009). From the SAGE survey, Hora et al. (2008) detected 185 of these known LMC PNe in at least two IRAC bands. There are 44 PN candidates in common with our catalogue. 38 are matched to PNe in our sample, and a further 6 matches correspond to post-AGB stars. Of these, four are optically identified PNe (see Section 4), but are classified by the decision tree as post-AGB stars, possibly due to the low spectral resolution of the IRS spectra. Three of our IRS PNe are missing from the Leisy et al. (1997) sample. In total, the Leisy et al. (1997) agreement with the IRS sample is over 86 per cent.

### 5.5.3 Kamath et al. (2015)

Kamath et al. (2015), in their search for optically visible post-AGB objects, also compiled a table of 123 planetary nebulae. These objects were selected based on optical emission lines of Ne, Ar, C, O and N. Of the 123 objects, 37 have IRS spectra. We find that 23 are classified as PNe (14 O rich, 9 C rich). The remaining objects are classified as carbon-rich post-AGB objects (four) and YSOs or H II regions (nine). Finally, one match (OBJID 39) has an UNK classification due to the low quality of its IRS spectrum with only

<sup>5</sup> There is no overlap between the more expansive 64 deg<sup>2</sup> survey of Reid & Parker (2013) and our source list.

H I lines clearly visible. All but one of the seven newly discovered PNe in the list of Kamath et al. (2015) are classified as YSOs by us.

## 5.6 Galaxies

Background galaxies in the same field as the Magellanic Clouds have been studied by Kozłowski et al. (2013), Véron-Cetty & Véron (2010) and Cioni et al. (2013). Follow-up works (e.g. Ivanov et al. 2016) have sought spectroscopic confirmation of the near-IR-selected quasar candidates. These distant galaxies are point-like at the resolution of *Spitzer* and present a significant problem when interpreting faint sources in CMDs. Our IRS sample has no targets in common with either the Cioni et al. (2013) or Véron-Cetty & Véron (2010) catalogues.

### 5.6.1 Kozłowski et al. (2013)

Kozłowski et al. (2013) confirmed 565 quasars in the region behind the LMC from the OGLE survey through studying their optical variability and mid-IR and/or X-ray properties. Two of these candidate quasars have IRS spectra, and both were examined in Paper I. We verify that OBJID 42 is a galaxy, furthermore Kozłowski et al. (2013) gave a spectroscopic redshift for this galaxy of  $z = 0.160$ , which agrees with our estimate. They also indicated that OBJID 71 is a galaxy with a redshift of  $z = 0.324$ . However, we have classified it as an H II region. Neither of these objects have optical variability nor associated X-ray sources (two of the three methods for discerning quasar status), and thus it is likely that both were classified as quasars based only on a colour–magnitude diagram (see their fig. 1).

### 5.6.2 Seale et al. (2014)

Eight of our sources were identified by Seale et al. (2014) to be background galaxies based on *Herschel* data, but only one source (OBJID 2) shows redshifted features in its IRS spectrum. The other seven sources are post-main-sequence stars, which includes three (post-)AGB and three massive stars (see Jones et al. 2015, for more detail on these objects). The only other spectroscopically confirmed galaxy included in the Seale et al. (2014) sample is OBJID 185; we assigned this source an UNK class.

### 5.6.3 Gruendl & Chu (2009)

Gruendl & Chu (2009) identified a significant number ( $>1000$ ) of galaxies located behind the LMC, in the same region of the sky. The vast majority of these are not found amongst the IRS targets; however, five galaxies are matched, all from the targeted part of the SAGE-Spec survey (Paper I). The classifications concur, except for OBJID 78.

## 5.7 Stars and foreground objects

The STAR class contains objects with a stellar photosphere but no variability, whilst the foreground subcategory denotes objects that are known to be Galactic stars. It is difficult to identify and eliminate foreground sources from other stellar populations using only photometry. Stellar photospheres are not typically included in IR selection criteria as they are both bluer and fainter than typical IR stellar populations. Thus, few catalogues exist with which to evaluate our classifications.

### 5.7.1 Gruendl & Chu (2009)

Gruendl & Chu (2009) tabulated 291 stellar sources; although they do not explicitly state their selection criteria, 16 matches are found with the IRS catalogue. Of these 16, 9 are high-certainty stars according to those authors, but none of these are stars in our consideration. We identify these sources as AGB or post-AGB stars. 7 of the 16 Gruendl & Chu (2009) stars are classified with less certainty; of these 7, we find that 4 are post-AGB objects and 3 are YSOs. Again, none are classified as stars by us. In fact the only stellar photosphere matched to our spectroscopic sample is classified by Gruendl & Chu (2009) as an AGB star. The lack of agreement with our IRS classifications may be due to the reliance by Gruendl & Chu (2009) on the IRAC [4.5]–[8.0] colour which is sensitive only to dusty sources, and hence will overlook normal stellar photospheric emission.

### 5.7.2 Kastner et al. (2008)

As foreground AGB stars and RSGs in the LMC are indistinguishable in 2MASS–MSX CCDs, Kastner et al. (2008) used measurements of the stars  $K$ -band amplitude to determine a classification. Two stars in our sample are identified by Kastner et al. (2008) to be Galactic Mira variables, RS Men (OBJID 407) and MSX LMC 1686 (OBJID 787). RS Men saturates the SAGE photometry but appears point-like in the *Spitzer* IRAC image; it has a radial velocity of  $140 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and was assigned a distance of  $4.75 \text{ kpc}$  by Whitelock et al. (1994). Similarly, MSX LMC 1686 has a luminosity that is inconsistent with membership of the LMC (Buchanan et al. 2006; Groenewegen et al. 2009). Both these sources were flagged as foreground in Section 3.2.

### 5.7.3 Groenewegen et al. (2009)

In their Section 5.1, Groenewegen et al. (2009) identified seven likely foreground objects based on their derived luminosities and mass-loss rates. Of these, we identify three (RS Men, MSX LMC 1686 and MSX LMC 946) as foreground objects using their radial velocities in Section 3.2. The remaining four (HD 269788, MSX LMC 1212, HD 271832, and W60 D29) were classified in Groenewegen et al. (2009) as likely foreground objects based solely on their blue colours/low mass-loss rates or a small MACHO amplitude. We confirm that three of these are indeed foreground sources. The fourth HD 269788 has a radial velocity of  $248 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Kunder et al. 2017), we retain an LMC association for this source.

## 6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we present the *Spitzer*-IRS spectra of 789 point sources in the LMC. The spectra were analysed primarily using their spectral features to determine the nature of their gas and dust chemistries and to gain knowledge of their evolutionary state. This was done using a decision-tree method of spectral classification, as previously implemented in Papers I and II. We find that 789 unique point sources within the SAGE footprint were observed with the IRS, within a total of 1080 staring-mode observations. We find that the *Spitzer*-IRS LMC sample contains 225 AGB stars, 42 post-AGB objects, 71 RSGs, 41 PNe, 203 YSOs, 134 H $\alpha$  regions, 30 stars, 7 WR stars, 8 B[e] stars, 8 galaxies and 24 other objects, including 6 RCeB stars, 3 LBVs, 2 BSGs, 1 Nova, 1 YSG and 2 SNR. We identify one mixed-chemistry (carbon/oxygen rich) object, we correct

an erroneous classification of an ERO from Gruendl & Chu (2009) and we identify a new background galaxy.

After verifying our spectral classifications against others in the LMC (e.g. Buchanan et al. 2006; Stanghellini et al. 2007; Seale et al. 2009), we then compared them to a number of seminal photometric classification schemes. In terms of YSOs, we find that the selection methods that target YSOs in star-forming regions are very successful. However, when these methods are applied globally the samples become contaminated by evolved stars. For AGB stars, the photometric selection methods for carbon-rich AGB stars were very reliable, whilst the clean selection of oxygen-rich AGB stars is more arduous. The dustiest O-rich evolved stars can enter carbon star and YSO selection boxes, which means that the total dust production budget of the LMC has been underestimated. Once these stars have been correctly accounted for, we would expect the O-rich AGB dust production rate to be revised upwards with respect to the Riebel et al. (2012) and Matsuura et al. (2009) results. It is notoriously difficult to select massive stars and post-AGB stars photometrically (cf. Kastner et al. 2008; van Aarle et al. 2011). Using our large IRS sample, we can confirm that the colour–magnitude cut we proposed in Paper I based on  $J$  band and  $3.6 \mu\text{m}$  photometry, for the selection of RSGs, creates a very clean sample. These colours may also be useful for identifying B[e] stars.

Finally this large IRS sample and point-source classifications allow us to obtain samples of similar objects for future studies.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank J. D. Long for Python advice and the referee for comments that improved this paper. OCJ and MM acknowledge support from NASA grant, NNX14AN06G, for this work. The authors also acknowledge financial support from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MoST) Taiwan under grant MOST104-2628-M-001-004-MY3. IM and AAZ were funded by the Science and Technology Facilities Council under grant ST/L000768/1. The NASA Astrophysics and Data Analysis Program (Grant NNX13AE66G) supported GCS and the addition of high-resolution IRS spectra to CASSIS, the Combined Atlas of Sources with *Spitzer* IRS Spectra. Readers are referred to ApJS, 196, 8 (2011). BAS acknowledges support from NASA grants NNX13AD54G and NNX15AF15G. The work of MS was supported by the appointment to the NASA Postdoctoral Program at Goddard Space Flight Center. This research has made use of the VizieR catalogue access tool, CDS, Strasbourg, France. The original description of the VizieR service was published in A&AS, 143, 23 (2000).

*Facilities:* *Spitzer* (IRS), *Spitzer* (MIPS), *Spitzer* (IRAC).

## REFERENCES

- Acke B., van den Ancker M. E., 2004, A&A, 426, 151
- Adams J. J. et al., 2013, ApJ, 771, 112
- Alcock C. et al., 1996, ApJ, 470, 583
- Alcock C. et al., 1998, AJ, 115, 1921
- Allard F., Homeier D., Freytag B., 2011, in Johns-Krull C., Browning M. K., West A. A., eds, ASP Conf. Ser., Vol. 448, Cool Stars, Stellar Systems, and the Sun. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 91
- Arendt R. G., Dwek E., Bouchet P., Danziger I. J., Frank K. A., Gehrzi R. D., Park S., Woodward C. E., 2016, AJ, 151, 62
- Badenes C., Maoz D., Draine B. T., 2010, MNRAS, 407, 1301
- Bernard-Salas J., Peeters E., Sloan G. C., Cami J., Guiles S., Houck J. R., 2006, ApJ, 652, L29

- Bernard-Salas J., Peeters E., Sloan G. C., Gutenkunst S., Matsuura M., Tielens A. G. G. M., Zijlstra A. A., Houck J. R., 2009, *ApJ*, 699, 1541
- Blum R. D. et al., 2006, *AJ*, 132, 2034
- Blum R. D., Srinivasan S., Kemper F., Ling B., Volk K., 2014, *AJ*, 148, 86
- Bonanos A. Z. et al., 2009, *AJ*, 138, 1003
- Bouchet P. et al., 2006, *ApJ*, 650, 212
- Boyer M. L. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 711, L99
- Boyer M. L. et al., 2011, *AJ*, 142, 103
- Boyer M. L., McDonald I., Srinivasan S., Zijlstra A., van Loon J. T., Olsen K. A. G., Sonneborn G., 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 116
- Brieva A. C., Gredel R., Jäger C., Huisken F., Henning T., 2016, *ApJ*, 826, 122
- Buchanan C. L., Kastner J. H., Forrest W. J., Hrivnak B. J., Sahai R., Egan M., Frank A., Barnbaum C., 2006, *AJ*, 132, 1890
- Buchanan C. L., Kastner J. H., Hrivnak B. J., Sahai R., 2009, *AJ*, 138, 1597
- Carlson L. R., Sewilo M., Meixner M., Romita K. A., Lawton B., 2012, *A&A*, 542, A66
- Chen C.-H. R., Chu Y.-H., Gruendl R. A., Gordon K. D., Heitsch F., 2009, *ApJ*, 695, 511
- Chen C.-H. R. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 721, 1206
- Cioni M.-R. L., Girardi L., Marigo P., Habing H. J., 2006, *A&A*, 452, 195
- Cioni M.-R. L. et al., 2011, *A&A*, 527, A116
- Cioni M.-R. L. et al., 2013, *A&A*, 549, A29
- Clayton G. C., 1996, *PASP*, 108, 225
- Clayton G. C., 2012, *J. Am. Assoc. Var. Star Obs.*, 40, 539
- Clayton G. C. et al., 2011, *AJ*, 142, 54
- de Grijs M. H. K., Lub J., Miley G. K., 1987, *A&AS*, 70, 95
- De Marco O., Clayton G. C., Herwig F., Pollacco D. L., Clark J. S., Kilkenney D., 2002, *AJ*, 123, 3387
- de Ruyter S., van Winckel H., Maas T., Lloyd Evans T., Waters L. B. F. M., Dejonghe H., 2006, *A&A*, 448, 641
- Dopita M. A., Meatheringham S. J., 1991, *ApJ*, 367, 115
- Dwek E. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 722, 425
- Egan M. P., Van Dyk S. D., Price S. D., 2001, *AJ*, 122, 1844
- Fabian D., Henning T., Jäger C., Mutschke H., Dorschner J., Wehrhan O., 2001, *A&A*, 378, 228
- Fazio G. G. et al., 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 10
- García-Hernández D. A., Rao N. K., Lambert D. L., 2011, *ApJ*, 739, 37
- Gielen C. et al., 2009, *A&A*, 508, 1391
- Gielen C. et al., 2011, *A&A*, 533, A99
- Goebel J. H., Moseley S. H., 1985, *ApJ*, 290, L35
- Goldman S. R. et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 403
- Groenewegen M. A. T., Sloan G. C., Soszyński I., Petersen E. A., 2009, *A&A*, 506, 1277
- Gruendl R. A., Chu Y.-H., 2009, *ApJS*, 184, 172
- Gruendl R. A., Chu Y.-H., Seale J. P., Matsuura M., Speck A. K., Sloan G. C., Looney L. W., 2008, *ApJ*, 688, L9
- Guha Niyogi S., Min M., Meixner M., Waters L. B. F. M., Seale J., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2014, *A&A*, 569, A80
- Gummersbach C. A., Zickgraf F.-J., Wolf B., 1995, *A&A*, 302, 409
- Guzman-Ramirez L., Zijlstra A. A., Níchuimín R., Gesicki K., Lagadec E., Millar T. J., Woods P. M., 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 1667
- Guzman-Ramirez L., Lagadec E., Jones D., Zijlstra A. A., Gesicki K., 2014, *MNRAS*, 441, 364
- Henize K. G., 1956, *ApJS*, 2, 315
- Higdon S. J. U. et al., 2004, *PASP*, 116, 975
- Hony S., Waters L. B. F. M., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2002, *A&A*, 390, 533
- Hony S. et al., 2011, *A&A*, 531, A137
- Hora J. L. et al., 2008, *AJ*, 135, 726
- Houck J. R. et al., 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 18
- Hrivnak B. J., Volk K., Kwok S., 2009, *ApJ*, 694, 1147
- Ivanov V. D. et al., 2016, *A&A*, 588, A93
- Jones O. C. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 3209
- Jones O. C., Kemper F., Srinivasan S., McDonald I., Sloan G. C., Zijlstra A. A., 2014, *MNRAS*, 440, 631
- Jones O. C., Meixner M., Sargent B. A., Boyer M. L., Sewilo M., Hony S., Roman-Duval J., 2015, *ApJ*, 811, 145
- Kamath D., Wood P. R., Van Winckel H., 2015, *MNRAS*, 454, 1468
- Karakas A. I., Lattanzio J. C., 2014, *PASA*, 31, e030
- Kastner J. H., Buchanan C. L., Sargent B., Forrest W. J., 2006, *ApJ*, 638, L29
- Kastner J. H., Thorndike S. L., Romanczyk P. A., Buchanan C. L., Hrivnak B. J., Sahai R., Egan M., 2008, *AJ*, 136, 1221
- Kastner J. H., Buchanan C., Sahai R., Forrest W. J., Sargent B. A., 2010, *AJ*, 139, 1993
- Kato D. et al., 2007, *PASJ*, 59, 615
- Keller L. D. et al., 2008, *ApJ*, 684, 411
- Kemper F., Waters L. B. F. M., de Koter A., Tielens A. G. G. M., 2001, *A&A*, 369, 132
- Kemper F. et al., 2010, *PASP*, 122, 683
- Kim D.-W., Protopapas P., Trichas M., Rowan-Robinson M., Kharden R., Alcock C., Byun Y.-I., 2012, *ApJ*, 747, 107
- Kozłowski S. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 775, 92
- Kraemer K. E., Sloan G. C., Wood P. R., Price S. D., Egan M. P., 2005, *ApJ*, 631, L147
- Kraemer K. E., Sloan G. C., Wood P. R., Jones O. C., Egan M. P., 2017, *ApJ*, 834, 185
- Kraus M., Borges Fernandes M., de Araújo F. X., 2010, *A&A*, 517, A30
- Kunder A. et al., 2017, *AJ*, 153, 75
- Kwok S., Zhang Y., 2011, *Nature*, 479, 80
- Kwok S., Volk K. M., Hrivnak B. J., 1989, *ApJ*, 345, L51
- Lamers H. J. G. L. M., Zickgraf F.-J., de Winter D., Houziaux L., Zorec J., 1998, *A&A*, 340, 117
- Leavitt H. S., 1908, *Ann. Harv. Coll. Obs.*, 60, 87
- Lebouteiller V., Barry D. J., Goes C., Sloan G. C., Spoon H. W. W., Weedman D. W., Bernard-Salas J., Houck J. R., 2015, *ApJS*, 218, 21
- Lebzelter T., Posch T., Hinkle K., Wood P. R., Bouwman J., 2006, *ApJ*, 653, L145
- Leisenring J. M., Kemper F., Sloan G. C., 2008, *ApJ*, 681, 1557
- Leisy P., Dennefeld M., 2006, *A&A*, 456, 451
- Leisy P., Dennefeld M., Alard C., Guibert J., 1997, *A&AS*, 121
- Levesque E. M., Massey P., Plez B., Olsen K. A. G., 2009, *AJ*, 137, 4744
- Li A., Draine B. T., 2002, *ApJ*, 572, 232
- Lindsay E. M., Mullan D. J., 1963, *Ir. Astron. J.*, 6, 51
- Lombaert R., de Vries B. L., de Koter A., Decin L., Min M., Smolders K., Mutschke H., Waters L. B. F. M., 2012, *A&A*, 544, L18
- McDonald I., van Loon J. T., Decin L., Boyer M. L., Dupree A. K., Evans A., Gehrz R. D., Woodward C. E., 2009, *MNRAS*, 394, 831
- McDonald I., White J. R., Zijlstra A. A., Guzman Ramirez L., Szyszka C., van Loon J. T., Lagadec E., Jones O. C., 2012, *MNRAS*, 427, 2647
- Malek S. E., Cami J., Bernard-Salas J., 2012, *ApJ*, 744, 16
- Matsuura M. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 396, 918
- Matsuura M. et al., 2011, *Science*, 333, 1258
- Matsuura M., Woods P. M., Owen P. J., 2013, *MNRAS*, 429, 2527
- Matsuura M. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 439, 1472
- Mauron N., Josselin E., 2011, *A&A*, 526, A156
- Meixner M. et al., 2006, *AJ*, 132, 2268
- Meixner M. et al., 2013, *AJ*, 146, 62
- Messenger S. J., Speck A., Volk K., 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 142
- Neugent K. F., Massey P., Skiff B., Meynet G., 2012, *ApJ*, 749, 177
- Ohnaka K., Driebe T., Hofmann K.-H., Weigelt G., Wittkowski M., 2008, *A&A*, 484, 371
- Oksala M. E., Kraus M., Cidale L. S., Muratore M. F., Borges Fernandes M., 2013, *A&A*, 558, A17
- Oliveira J. M. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 707, 1269
- Oliveira J. M. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 411, L36
- Oliveira J. M. et al., 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 3001
- Otsuka M., Kemper F., Cami J., Peeters E., Bernard-Salas J., 2014, *MNRAS*, 437, 2577
- Payne-Gaposchkin C. H., 1971, *Smithsonian Contrib. Astrophys.*, 13
- Pietrzyński G. et al., 2013, *Nature*, 495, 76
- Pilbratt G., 2010, *BAAS*, 41, 418
- Posch T., Kerschbaum F., Mutschke H., Fabian D., Dorschner J., Hron J., 1999, *A&A*, 352, 609

- Reid W. A., Parker Q. A., 2006, MNRAS, 373, 521  
 Reid W. A., Parker Q. A., 2010, MNRAS, 405, 1349  
 Reid W. A., Parker Q. A., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 604  
 Renzini A., Voli M., 1981, A&A, 94, 175  
 Riebel D., Srinivasan S., Sargent B., Meixner M., 2012, ApJ, 753, 71  
 Rieke G. H. et al., 2004, ApJS, 154, 25  
 Roche P. F., Aitken D. K., Smith C. H., 1993, MNRAS, 262, 301  
 Romita K. A. et al., 2010, ApJ, 721, 357  
 Ruffle P. M. E. et al., 2015, MNRAS, 451, 3504 (Paper II)  
 Sanduleak N., MacConnell D. J., Philip A. G. D., 1978, PASP, 90, 621  
 Sargent B. A., Srinivasan S., Meixner M., 2011, ApJ, 728, 93  
 Saunders W. et al., 2000, MNRAS, 317, 55  
 Schaller G., Schaefer D., Meynet G., Maeder A., 1992, A&AS, 96, 269  
 Schmutz W., Leitherer C., Hubeny I., Vogel M., Hamann W.-R., Weselowski U., 1991, ApJ, 372, 664  
 Seale J. P., Looney L. W., Chu Y.-H., Gruendl R. A., Brandl B., Chen C.-H. R., Brandner W., Blake G. A., 2009, ApJ, 699, 150  
 Seale J. P., Looney L. W., Chen C.-H. R., Chu Y.-H., Gruendl R. A., 2011, ApJ, 727, 36  
 Seale J. P. et al., 2014, AJ, 148, 124  
 Sewilo M. et al., 2013, ApJ, 778, 15  
 Shaw R. A., Stanghellini L., Mutchler M., Balick B., Blades J. C., 2001, ApJ, 548, 727  
 Sheets H. A., Bolatto A. D., van Loon J. T., Sandstrom K., Simon J. D., Oliveira J. M., Barbá R. H., 2013, ApJ, 771, 111  
 Shimonishi T., Onaka T., Kato D., Sakon I., Ita Y., Kawamura A., Kaneda H., 2010, A&A, 514, A12  
 Skrutskie M. F. et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 1163  
 Sloan G. C., Levan P. D., Little-Marenin I. R., 1996, ApJ, 463, 310  
 Sloan G. C., Kraemer K. E., Goebel J. H., Price S. D., 2003, ApJ, 594, 483  
 Sloan G. C. et al., 2007, ApJ, 664, 1144  
 Sloan G. C., Kraemer K. E., Wood P. R., Zijlstra A. A., Bernard-Salas J., Devost D., Houck J. R., 2008, ApJ, 686, 1056  
 Sloan G. C. et al., 2014, ApJ, 791, 28  
 Sloan G. C., Herter T. L., Charmandaris V., Sheth K., Burgdorf M., Houck J. R., 2015, AJ, 149, 11  
 Sloan G. C. et al., 2016, ApJ, 826, 44  
 Smolders K. et al., 2012, A&A, 540, A72  
 Soszyński I. et al., 2008, Acta Astron., 58, 163  
 Soszyński I. et al., 2009, Acta Astron., 59, 1  
 Srinivasan S. et al., 2009, AJ, 137, 4810  
 Srinivasan S., Sargent B. A., Meixner M., 2011, A&A, 532, A54  
 Stanghellini L., García-Lario P., García-Hernández D. A., Perea-Calderón J. V., Davies J. E., Manchado A., Villaver E., Shaw R. A., 2007, ApJ, 671, 1669  
 Sylvester R. J., Skinner C. J., Barlow M. J., 1998, MNRAS, 301, 1083  
 Sylvester R. J., Kemper F., Barlow M. J., de Jong T., Waters L. B. F. M., Tielens A. G. G. M., Omont A., 1999, A&A, 352, 587  
 Temim T. et al., 2006, AJ, 132, 1610  
 Tisserand P., Clayton G. C., Welch D. L., Pilecki B., Wyrzykowski L., Kilkenny D., 2013, A&A, 551, A77  
 van Arable E., van Winckel H., Lloyd Evans T., Ueta T., Wood P. R., Ginsburg A. G., 2011, A&A, 530, A90  
 van Loon J. T., Sansom A. E., 2015, MNRAS, 453, 2341  
 van Loon J. T., Groenewegen M. A. T., de Koter A., Trams N. R., Waters L. B. F. M., Zijlstra A. A., Whitelock P. A., Loup C., 1999, A&A, 351, 559  
 van Loon J. T., Cioni M., Zijlstra A. A., Loup C., 2005a, A&A, 438, 273  
 van Loon J. T., Marshall J. R., Zijlstra A. A., 2005b, A&A, 442, 597  
 van Loon J. T., McDonald I., Oliveira J. M., Evans A., Boyer M. L., Gehrz R. D., Polomski E., Woodward C. E., 2006, A&A, 450, 339  
 van Loon J. T. et al., 2010, AJ, 139, 68  
 Verhoelst T., van der Zypen N., Hony S., Decin L., Cami J., Eriksson K., 2009, A&A, 498, 127  
 Véron-Cetty M.-P., Véron P., 2010, A&A, 518, A10  
 Vijh U. P. et al., 2009, AJ, 137, 3139  
 Volk K. et al., 2011, ApJ, 735, 127  
 Voors R. H. M., Waters L. B. F. M., Morris P. W., Trams N. R., de Koter A., Bouwman J., 1999, A&A, 341, L67  
 Ward J. L., Oliveira J. M., van Loon J. T., Sewilo M., 2016, MNRAS, 455, 2345  
 Ward J. L., Oliveira J. M., van Loon J. T., Sewilo M., 2017, MNRAS, 464, 1512  
 Werner M. W. et al., 2004, ApJS, 154, 1  
 Westerlund B. E., 1997, Cambridge Astrophysics Series, 29  
 Whitelock P., Menzies J., Feast M., Marang F., Carter B., Roberts G., Catchpole R., Chapman J., 1994, MNRAS, 267, 711  
 Whitney B. A. et al., 2008, AJ, 136, 18  
 Williams B. J. et al., 2008, ApJ, 687, 1054  
 Wood P. R., Cohen M., 2001, in Szczepa R., Górný S. K., eds, Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 265, Post-AGB Objects as a Phase of Stellar Evolution, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, p. 71  
 Wood P. R., Bessell M. S., Fox M. W., 1983, ApJ, 272, 99  
 Woods P. M. et al., 2011a, MNRAS, 411, 1597 (Paper I)  
 Woods P. M., Sloan G. C., Gordon K. D., Shiao B., Kemper F., Indebetouw R., for the SAGE-Spec team, 2011b, preprint ([arXiv:e-prints](https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.5001))  
 Zaritsky D., Harris J., Thompson I. B., Grebel E. K., 2004, AJ, 128, 1606  
 Zhang K., Jiang B. W., Li A., 2009, ApJ, 702, 680  
 Zickgraf F.-I., Wolf B., Leitherer C., Appenzeller I., Stahl O., 1986, A&A, 163, 119  
 Zijlstra A. A. et al., 2006, MNRAS, 370, 1961

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at [MNRAS](https://mnras.oxfordjournals.org) online.

**Table 2.** Numbering, names and description of the columns present in the classification.


Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

## APPENDIX A: CORRECTIONS TO PAPER I.

Source OBJID 126 was previously labelled as ‘KDM 4554’. This was erroneous, since KDM 4554 is nearly 2 arcmin away from the position of OBJID 126. Instead, we use the 2MASS designation for this source, 2MASS J05304499–6821289. We re-classify OBJID 24, 112 and 160 from UNK to No Detection as no infrared point source was located within 3 arcsec of the position where the IRS spectrum was extracted. OBJID 78 SSTISAGE1C J051618.70–715358.8 has been identified as IRAS 05170–7156 consequently its classification has been changed from UNK to GAL. We also re-classify OBJID 185 from O-AGB to UNK.

## APPENDIX B: THE DECISION TREE

To classify each spectra in our IRS sample we use a classification tree, as presented in Papers I and II and reproduced in Fig. B1. One proceeds through the tree, responding to the yes or no questions in order to reach a terminal classification. We have added an additional classification to our paper (H<sub>II</sub>/YSO-3) as it is unclear if the atomic emission lines present in some spectra are the result of poor background subtraction when using cluster mode in complex ISM regions.



**Figure B1.** The classification process used as a basis in this work. Starting from the top of the diagram, yes/no decisions are made until a final classification is arrived at (shown by the circles). Figure reproduced from [Paper II](#).

This paper has been typeset from a **TEX/LAT<sub>E</sub>X** file prepared by the author.