

Exact tensor closures for the three dimensional Jeffery's Equation

Stephen Montgomery-Smith^a, Wei He^a, David Jack^b, Douglas E. Smith^c

^a*Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia MO 65211, U.S.A.*

^b*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798, U.S.A.*

^c*Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of Missouri, Columbia MO 65211, U.S.A.*

Abstract

This paper presents an exact formula for calculating the fourth moment tensor from the second moment tensor for the three dimensional Jeffery's equation. Although this approach falls within the category of a moment tensor closure, it does not rely upon an approximation, either analytic or curve fit, of the fourth moment tensor as do the quadratic, hybrid or current orthotropic closures. This closure is orthotropic in the sense of Cintra and Tucker, or equivalently, a natural closure in the sense of Verleye and Dupret. The existence of these explicit formulae has been asserted by previous authors, but as far as the authors know, the explicit forms have yet to be published. The formulae involve elliptic integrals, and are valid whenever the fiber orientation tensor was isotropic at some point in time. Finally, this paper presents the *Fast Exact Closure* (FEC), a fast and in principle exact method for solving Jeffery's equation, which does not require approximate closures, nor the computation of the elliptic integrals.

Keywords: Jeffery's equation, moment tensors, elliptic integral

PACS: 47.57.E-, 83.80.Hj

1. Introduction

Jeffery's equation [1] concerns the motion of the direction of an axi-symmetric fiber under the influence of a Stokesian fluid whose velocity field is $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$. If \mathbf{p} is the unit vector along the axis of a fiber which is following the fluid, then it obeys the equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{2}\Omega \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\Gamma \cdot \mathbf{p} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\Gamma : \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p} \quad (1)$$

Here Ω is the vorticity, that is, the anti-symmetric part $\nabla\mathbf{u} - (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T$ of the Jacobian of the velocity field $\nabla\mathbf{u} = (\partial u_i / \partial x_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3}$, and Γ is the rate of strain tensor, that is, the symmetric part $\nabla\mathbf{u} + (\nabla\mathbf{u})^T$ of the Jacobean of the velocity field.

This is commonly extended to a formula for the fiber orientation distribution $\psi = \psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, where \mathbf{p} is an element of the 2-dimensional sphere S . Thus given

a subset E of S , the proportion of fibers whose direction is in E is given by $\int_E \psi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) d\mathbf{p}$, where $d\mathbf{p}$ represents the usual integration over S . In particular, isotropic distribution is represented by $\psi = 1/4\pi$. Then Jeffery's equation for the fiber orientation distribution is

$$\frac{D}{Dt}\psi = -\nabla_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot (\dot{\mathbf{p}}\psi) \quad (2)$$

Here D/Dt represents the material derivative $\partial/\partial t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla$.

Since this is, in effect, a partial differential equation in 5-spacial dimensions, calculating this numerically can be rather daunting. Hence Hinch and Leal [2] suggested to recast the equation in terms of the higher order moment tensors. For example, the second and fourth moment tensors are given by

$$A = \int_S \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\psi d\mathbf{p}, \quad \mathbb{A} = \int_S \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\psi d\mathbf{p} \quad (3)$$

Then Jeffery's equation for the second order moment tensor is

$$\frac{D}{Dt}A = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega \cdot A - A \cdot \Omega + \lambda(\Gamma \cdot A + A \cdot \Gamma) - 2\lambda\mathbb{A} : \Gamma) \quad (4)$$

The difficulty with this equation is that it involves the fourth order moment tensor. To circumvent this problem, various authors (for example, [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 2, 8, 9, 10]) have proposed *closures*, that is, formulae to calculate the fourth order moment tensor \mathbb{A} from the second order moment tensor A . Many of the approximate closures involve coefficients obtained by fitting numerical data found by solving equation (2) using a finite element method (for example, Bay [11]).

Verleye and Dupret [9] proposed what they called the *natural closure*. If there was an exact formula for the closure, then it must be the case that the formula is of the form

$$\mathbb{A} = \sum_{0 \leq m \leq n \leq 2} \beta_{m,n} \mathcal{S}(A^m \otimes A^n) \quad (5)$$

Here \mathcal{S} represents the symmetrization of a rank 4 tensor, that is

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{B})_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4} = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{\sigma} \mathbb{B}_{i_{\sigma_1} i_{\sigma_2} i_{\sigma_3} i_{\sigma_4}} \quad (6)$$

where the sum is over all permutations $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4)$ of $(1, 2, 3, 4)$. Furthermore, $\beta_{m,n}$ are symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of A , or equivalently, functions of the three invariants of A , that is, the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of A , which are the trace of A , the trace of the adjoint of A , and the determinant of A . That m and n need only sum up to 2 follows from the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem.

Cintra and Tucker [6] proposed what they called the *orthotropic closure*. If there was an exact formula for the closure, then it must be the case that if A is

diagonal, then $\mathbb{A}_{i,j,k,l}$ must be zero if any index is repeated an odd number of times, and otherwise depend only on the diagonal entries of A in such a manner that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}_{1111}(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) &= \mathbb{A}_{1111}(A_{11}, A_{33}, A_{22}) \\
\mathbb{A}_{1122}(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) &= \mathbb{A}_{1122}(A_{22}, A_{11}, A_{33}) \\
\mathbb{A}_{2222}(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) &= \mathbb{A}_{1111}(A_{22}, A_{11}, A_{33}) \\
\mathbb{A}_{3333}(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) &= \mathbb{A}_{1111}(A_{33}, A_{11}, A_{22}) \\
\mathbb{A}_{1133}(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) &= \mathbb{A}_{1122}(A_{11}, A_{33}, A_{22}) \\
\mathbb{A}_{2233}(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) &= \mathbb{A}_{1122}(A_{22}, A_{33}, A_{11})
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

They set

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}_{1111}(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) &= f(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) \\
\mathbb{A}_{2222}(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) &= g(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) \\
\mathbb{A}_{3333}(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) &= h(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33})
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

when $A_{11} \geq A_{22} \geq A_{33}$, and then searched for the three functions $f(x, y, z)$, $g(x, y, z)$ and $h(x, y, z)$. They were then able to compute \mathbb{A}_{1122} , etc., using the relationship $\sum_i \mathbb{A}_{iijk} = A_{jk}$.

Since they come from identical assumptions, these two closures must be identical, in that the $\beta_{m,n}$ must be uniquely determinable from the \mathbb{A}_{iijj} and vice versa. This can be seen explicitly by assuming that A is diagonal in equation (5), and seeing that this creates six equations. The transformation from the natural closure to the orthotropic closure is immediate. The converse transformation is seen by solving the six equations for the six unknowns $\beta_{m,n}$. Calculation shows that the resulting six by six matrix is invertible if and only if the diagonal entries of A are distinct. If any of them are repeated, then the $\beta_{m,n}$ can be obtained by a limiting process. This suggests that while the natural and orthotropic closures are mathematically equivalent, that numerically the orthotropic closure will be more stable when any of the eigenvalues of A are repeated, and indeed numerical experiments seem to bare this out.

Verleye and Dupret [9] noted that indeed there is an exact closure, *in the particular case that the fiber orientation distribution is at some time isotropic*. Throughout this paper, this will be the standing assumption, unless otherwise stated.

Their exact closure followed from an exact solution to Jeffery's equation in this particular case provided by Dinh and Armstrong [12] and Lipscomb et al [13]. Verleye and Dupret gave explicit formulae in the two dimensional case (see also Altan and Tang [14] who independently performed the same calculations), but cited an 'in preparation' article for the three dimensional case, merely noting that the calculations involved elliptic integrals.

Verwey in his Ph.D. thesis [15], indicated similar exact calculations, for which he cited a 'personal communication' from Wetzel. Wetzel in his Ph.D. thesis [16] provides calculations for a different but related problem, and gives

his solution in terms of elliptic integrals. Therefore we are somewhat certain that he also obtained the formulae of Verleye and Dupret.

However, neither Dupret, Verleye, Verweyst, nor Wetzel seem to have published their calculations. The traditional approach is to perform these sorts of calculations using spherical coordinates and the Legendre form of elliptic integrals. However we prefer to use Cartesian coordinates on the sphere (which we think of as embedded in three dimensional Euclidean space) and the Carlson form of the elliptic integrals. As such, it is quite possible that our formulae are more compact than the form they may have obtained. Also, the approach taken here will easily generalize to other spacial dimensions, should the need arise.

Our analysis also yields what we shall call the *Fast Exact Closure* (FEC), a rather fast method of solving Jeffery's equation. This method calculates \mathbb{A} by neither using approximate closures, nor computing elliptic integrals, but rather by computing an ancillary quantity B , which is easily computed via an ordinary differential equation.

Finally we note that earlier publications asserted these formulae only in the case $\lambda = 1$. However following the work of Lipscomb et al [13], it was realized that this restriction is unnecessary.

2. The Exact Formulae

Dinh and Armstrong [12] (for the case $\lambda = 1$) and Lipscomb et al [13] noted that equation (1) can be solved by $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}/|\mathbf{q}|$, where \mathbf{q} satisfies the equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega + \lambda\Gamma) \cdot \mathbf{q} \quad (9)$$

From this they deduced that if the fiber orientation distribution is initially isotropic, that is, $\psi = 1/4\pi$ at $t = 0$, then the solution to equation (2) is

$$\psi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{4\pi(B : \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p})^{3/2}} \quad (10)$$

Here $B = C^T C$ where C satisfies the equation

$$\frac{D}{Dt}C = -\frac{1}{2}C \cdot (\Omega + \lambda\Gamma), \quad C = I \text{ at } t = 0 \quad (11)$$

or equivalently that B satisfies

$$\frac{D}{Dt}B = -\frac{1}{2}(B \cdot (\Omega + \lambda\Gamma) + (-\Omega + \lambda\Gamma) \cdot B), \quad B = I \text{ at } t = 0 \quad (12)$$

We note parenthetically that equation (10) generalizes as follows: if $\psi = \psi_0$ at $t = 0$, then

$$\psi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\psi_0(C \cdot \mathbf{p}/|C \cdot \mathbf{p}|)}{(B : \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p})^{3/2}} \quad (13)$$

For the purposes of the exact closure, the precise nature of B is not required. All that is necessary to know is that B is a positive definite matrix with determinant one.

From the definition, the formula for the second order moment tensor is

$$A = \int_S \frac{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}}{4\pi(B : \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p})^{3/2}} d\mathbf{p} \quad (14)$$

Verleye and Dupret assert, without proof but somewhat reasonably, that this provides a one-one correspondence between positive definite matrices B with determinant one, and positive definite matrices A with trace one. Thus by inverting this formula, one obtains a formula for B in terms of A .

The integral (14) may be transformed to the formula

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{(B + sI)^{-1} ds}{\sqrt{\det(B + sI)}} \quad (15)$$

To perform this calculation numerically, diagonalize B with an orthogonal matrix. Then A is transformed to a diagonal matrix via exactly the same similarity matrix, and equation (15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{(b_1 + s)^{3/2} \sqrt{b_2 + s} \sqrt{b_3 + s}} \\ A_{22} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{b_1 + s} (b_2 + s)^{3/2} \sqrt{b_3 + s}} \\ A_{33} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{b_1 + s} \sqrt{b_2 + s} (b_3 + s)^{3/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where b_1, b_2, b_3 are the diagonal entries of B . These integrals are one third the r_D Carlson form of the elliptic integrals [17]. The Carlson elliptic integrals have good numerical algorithms to compute them, for example, they are part of the suite of special functions provided by the GNU Scientific Library [18], and are also described in the book [19].

The fourth order moment tensor is, by definition

$$\mathbb{A} = \int_S \frac{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}}{4\pi(B : \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p})^{3/2}} d\mathbf{p} \quad (17)$$

which may be transformed to

$$\mathbb{A} = \frac{3}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{s \mathcal{S}((B + sI)^{-1} \otimes (B + sI)^{-1}) ds}{\sqrt{\det(B + sI)}} \quad (18)$$

or in the special case that B is diagonal (or equivalently when A is diagonal)

can be computed via the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}_{1111} &= \frac{3}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{s \, ds}{(b_1 + s)^{5/2} \sqrt{b_2 + s} \sqrt{b_3 + s}} \\
\mathbb{A}_{2222} &= \frac{3}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{s \, ds}{\sqrt{b_1 + s} (b_2 + s)^{5/2} \sqrt{b_3 + s}} \\
\mathbb{A}_{3333} &= \frac{3}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{s \, ds}{\sqrt{b_1 + s} \sqrt{b_2 + s} (b_3 + s)^{5/2}} \\
\mathbb{A}_{1122} &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{s \, ds}{(b_1 + s)^{3/2} (b_2 + s)^{3/2} \sqrt{b_3 + s}} \\
\mathbb{A}_{1133} &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{s \, ds}{(b_1 + s)^{3/2} \sqrt{b_2 + s} (b_3 + s)^{3/2}} \\
\mathbb{A}_{2233} &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{s \, ds}{\sqrt{b_1 + s} (b_2 + s)^{3/2} (b_3 + s)^{3/2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

all the other coefficients of \mathbb{A} being zero. In the case that the eigenvalues of B are distinct, these can be computed by the easily derived formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}_{1122} &= \frac{b_1 A_{11} - b_2 A_{22}}{2(b_1 - b_2)} \\
\mathbb{A}_{1133} &= \frac{b_1 A_{11} - b_3 A_{33}}{2(b_1 - b_3)} \\
\mathbb{A}_{2233} &= \frac{b_2 A_{22} - b_3 A_{33}}{2(b_2 - b_3)}
\end{aligned} \tag{20a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}_{1111} &= A_{11} - \mathbb{A}_{1122} - \mathbb{A}_{1133} \\
\mathbb{A}_{2222} &= A_{22} - \mathbb{A}_{1122} - \mathbb{A}_{2233} \\
\mathbb{A}_{3333} &= A_{33} - \mathbb{A}_{1133} - \mathbb{A}_{2233}
\end{aligned}$$

If two of the eigenvalues are equal, for example $b_1 = b_2 \neq b_3$ and $A_{11} = A_{22} \neq A_{33}$, we see from equation (19) that $A_{1111} = A_{2222} = 3A_{1122}$, and hence those parts of equation (20a) that don't involve division by zero give the formulae

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}_{1133} &= A_{2233} = \frac{b_1 A_{11} - b_3 A_{33}}{2(b_1 - b_3)} \\
\mathbb{A}_{1122} &= \frac{1}{4}(A_{11} - \mathbb{A}_{1133}) \\
\mathbb{A}_{1111} &= A_{2222} = A_{11} - \mathbb{A}_{1122} - \mathbb{A}_{1133} \\
\mathbb{A}_{3333} &= A_{33} - 2\mathbb{A}_{1133}
\end{aligned} \tag{20b}$$

and if all three of the eigenvalues are equal, that is $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 1$ and $A_{11} = A_{22} = A_{33} = \frac{1}{3}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}_{1111} &= \mathbb{A}_{2222} = \mathbb{A}_{3333} = \frac{1}{5} \\
\mathbb{A}_{1122} &= \mathbb{A}_{1133} = \mathbb{A}_{2233} = \frac{1}{15}
\end{aligned} \tag{20c}$$

(that is, if $B = I$, then $A = \frac{1}{3}I$ and $\mathbb{A} = \frac{1}{5}\mathcal{S}(II)$).

The exact closure is to first diagonalize A , then compute B by inverting the formulae (16), then computing \mathbb{A} using (19), and then using the inverse of the similarity matrix from the diagonalization of A to transform \mathbb{A} . To compute the formulae (19), use (20a), (20b), or (20c), as appropriate.

These numerical calculations will necessarily take some time to perform (particularly the inversion process which requires some kind of multidimensional root finding). Verweyst [15] described a different process where these formulae were used to generate values which were then fitted to polynomial approximations to the functions f , g and h as in equation (8).

3. The Fast Exact Closure (FEC)

Our analysis yields another method for solving Jeffery's equation, as long as it is known that the probability distribution was isotropic at some time in its history. The goal is to solve equation (4). The difficulty of finding B can be solved by simultaneously solving equation (12). During this process, \mathbb{A} can be calculated using (20a)-(20c). In this way, an exact solution is obtained, but no elliptic integrals need to be computed.

The only difficulty will be with the initial data. If it is isotropic, then one simply sets $A = \frac{1}{3}I$ and $B = I$ at $t = 0$. If the initial data is not isotropic, then the initial data for B can be calculated by inverting equation (15). This can be performed by diagonalizing A , and then computing B by inverting equation (16), and then reversing the change of basis that was used to diagonalize A . While this calculation could conceivably be quite slow, as the inversion of equation (16) will require a three-dimensional root finding method as well as computing elliptic integrals, this needs to be performed only at the initial step.

This method should run at about the same speed as computing the orthotropic closure algorithms of Cintra and Tucker, or Verweyst and Tucker [6, 10]. The work load of this algorithm involves solving an ordinary differential equation in about eleven variables, where the main computational effort is diagonalizing matrices, and performing changes of bases for rank two and rank four tensors. (Note that A and B will be simultaneously diagonalizable, that is, the orthogonal matrix used to diagonalize one of them can and should be used to diagonalize the other.)

A downside to this algorithm is that the computation (20a) will become numerically problematic if any of the eigenvalues of B are close to each other. The solution is to use formulae similar to (20b) or (20c) if any of the eigenvalues are close to each other. If two of the eigenvalues are close to each other, for example $b_1 = b_2 + \epsilon$, we see from equation (19) that $A_{1111} + A_{2222} = 6A_{1122} +$

$O(\epsilon^2)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}_{1133} &= \frac{b_1 A_{11} - b_3 A_{33}}{2(b_1 - b_3)} \\
\mathbb{A}_{2233} &= \frac{b_2 A_{22} - b_3 A_{33}}{2(b_2 - b_3)} \\
\mathbb{A}_{1122} &= \frac{1}{8}(A_{11} + A_{22} - \mathbb{A}_{1133} - \mathbb{A}_{2233}) + O(\epsilon^2) \\
\mathbb{A}_{1111} &= A_{11} - \mathbb{A}_{1122} - \mathbb{A}_{1133} \\
\mathbb{A}_{2222} &= A_{22} - \mathbb{A}_{1122} - \mathbb{A}_{2233} \\
\mathbb{A}_{3333} &= A_{33} - \mathbb{A}_{1133} - \mathbb{A}_{2233}
\end{aligned} \tag{21b}$$

If all three of the eigenvalues are almost equal, that is $b_1 = 1 + c_1$, $b_2 = 1 + c_2$, $b_3 = 1 + c_3$ with $c_1, c_2, c_3 = O(\epsilon)$ (so necessarily $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = O(\epsilon^2)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{A}_{1111} &= \frac{1}{5} - \frac{3}{14}c_1 - \frac{3}{70}c_2 - \frac{3}{70}c_3 + O(\epsilon^2) \\
\mathbb{A}_{2222} &= \frac{1}{5} - \frac{3}{70}c_1 - \frac{3}{14}c_2 - \frac{3}{70}c_3 + O(\epsilon^2) \\
\mathbb{A}_{3333} &= \frac{1}{5} - \frac{3}{70}c_1 - \frac{3}{70}c_2 - \frac{3}{14}c_3 + O(\epsilon^2) \\
\mathbb{A}_{1122} &= \frac{1}{15} - \frac{3}{70}c_1 - \frac{3}{70}c_2 - \frac{1}{70}c_3 + O(\epsilon^2) \\
\mathbb{A}_{1133} &= \frac{1}{15} - \frac{3}{70}c_1 - \frac{1}{70}c_2 - \frac{3}{70}c_3 + O(\epsilon^2) \\
\mathbb{A}_{2233} &= \frac{1}{15} - \frac{1}{70}c_1 - \frac{3}{70}c_2 - \frac{3}{70}c_3 + O(\epsilon^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{21c}$$

For example, if you believe that your ODE solver is accurate to n digits, it is appropriate to use (21b) or (21c) if any of the eigenvalues of B have a relative difference bounded by about $10^{-n/3}$. The resulting \mathbb{A} should then be accurate to about $2n/3$ digits. The hope is that this loss of precision is unlikely to have a large effect on the final solution, because the event that B has eigenvalues close to each other should happen somewhat rarely, and these events will largely be integrated out by equation (12).

4. Proof of the Formulae

Proof that equation (9) solves equation (1)

This follows by simply substituting $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}/|\mathbf{q}|$ into equation (1).

Proof of equations (10) and (13)

If E is a subset of S , then the proportion of fibers whose direction is contained in E is

$$\int_E \psi(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p} = 4\pi^{-1/2} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{p} \in E} \psi(\mathbf{p}) r^2 e^{-r^2} d\mathbf{p} dr = \pi^{-3/2} \int_{\mathbf{q} \in \bar{E}} \psi\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{|\mathbf{q}|}\right) e^{-|\mathbf{q}|^2} d\mathbf{q} \tag{22}$$

Here \bar{E} denotes the set of elements $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$ such that $\mathbf{q}/|\mathbf{q}| \in E$. The first equality follows because $\int_0^{\infty} r^2 e^{-r^2} dr = \pi^{1/2}/4$. The second equality is

just conversion from spherical coordinates to Cartesian coordinates, noting that $4\pi r^2 dr d\mathbf{p} = d\mathbf{q}$.

Note that equation (9) is solved by setting $\mathbf{q} = C^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{p}(0)$ where C satisfies equation (11), because C^{-1} satisfies the equation

$$\frac{D}{Dt}C^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(\Omega + \lambda\Gamma) \cdot C^{-1}, \quad C^{-1} = I \text{ at } t = 0 \quad (23)$$

Thus a fiber which at time t is in a direction \mathbf{p} will have come from a fiber which at time $t = 0$ was in the direction $\mathbf{p}_0 = C \cdot \mathbf{p}/|C \cdot \mathbf{p}|$. The set E will have come from the set $E_0 = \{C \cdot \mathbf{p}/|C \cdot \mathbf{p}| : \mathbf{p} \in E\}$, and the set \bar{E} will have come from the set $\bar{E}_0 = C \cdot E := \{C \cdot \mathbf{q} : \mathbf{q} \in \bar{E}\}$. Thus

$$\int_E \psi(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p} = \int_{E_0} \psi_0(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p} \quad (24)$$

Rewriting equation (22) for the time $t = 0$, we obtain

$$\int_{E_0} \psi_0(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p} = \pi^{-3/2} \int_{\mathbf{q} \in \bar{E}_0} \psi_0\left(\frac{\mathbf{q}}{|\mathbf{q}|}\right) e^{-|\mathbf{q}|^2} d\mathbf{q} \quad (25)$$

Performing the change of variables $\mathbf{q} \mapsto C \cdot \mathbf{q}$, and noting that $d\mathbf{q} = d(C \cdot \mathbf{q})$ (because the determinant of C is one), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_0} \psi_0(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p} &= \pi^{-3/2} \int_{\mathbf{q} \in E_0} \psi_0\left(\frac{C \cdot \mathbf{q}}{|C \cdot \mathbf{q}|}\right) e^{-|C \cdot \mathbf{q}|^2} d\mathbf{q} \\ &= \pi^{-3/2} \int_{\mathbf{p} \in E} \int_{r=0}^{\infty} \psi_0\left(\frac{C \cdot \mathbf{p}}{|C \cdot \mathbf{p}|}\right) r^2 e^{-|C \cdot \mathbf{p}|^2 r^2} dr d\mathbf{p} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where the last equality follows by converting Cartesian coordinates to spherical coordinates. Combining this with equation (22), and noting that these equations work for any subset E of S , we obtain

$$\psi(\mathbf{p}) = 4\pi^{-1/2} \psi_0\left(\frac{C \cdot \mathbf{p}}{|C \cdot \mathbf{p}|}\right) \int_{r=0}^{\infty} r^2 e^{-|C \cdot \mathbf{p}|^2 r^2} dr = \frac{\psi_0(C \cdot \mathbf{p}/|C \cdot \mathbf{p}|)}{|C \cdot \mathbf{p}|^3} \quad (27)$$

as required. Equation (10) is an immediate corollary of equation (13).

Proof of equations (15) and (16)

Note that if B is diagonal with diagonal entries b_1, b_2, b_3 , then from equation (27)

$$\psi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_0^{\infty} r^2 \exp(-r^2(b_1 p_1^2 + b_2 p_2^2 + b_3 p_3^2)) dr \quad (28)$$

By symmetry we can see that the off diagonal entries of A are zero, and that the diagonal entries are computed, for example, by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_S \int_{r=0}^{\infty} r^2 p_1^2 \exp(-r^2(b_1 p_1^2 + b_2 p_2^2 + b_3 p_3^2)) dr d\mathbf{p} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q_1^2}{|\mathbf{q}|^2} \exp(-(b_1 q_1^2 + b_2 q_2^2 + b_3 q_3^2)) d\mathbf{q} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Now, if we set

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q_1^2}{|\mathbf{q}|^2} \exp(-((b_1 + s)q_1^2 + (b_2 + s)q_2^2 + (b_3 + s)q_3^2)) d\mathbf{q} \quad (30)$$

then we see that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(s) &= -\frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q_1^2 \exp(-((b_1 + s)q_1^2 + (b_2 + s)q_2^2 + (b_3 + s)q_3^2)) d\mathbf{q} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_1^2 e^{-(b_1+s)q_1^2} dq_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(b_2+s)q_2^2} dq_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(b_3+s)q_3^2} dq_3 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2(b_1 + s)^{3/2} \sqrt{b_2 + s} \sqrt{b_3 + s}} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Since it is evident that $f(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$A_{11} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{(b_1 + s)^{3/2} \sqrt{b_2 + s} \sqrt{b_3 + s}} \quad (32)$$

To show equation (15), it is only necessary to consider the case when B is diagonal, and in this case the formula follows immediately from (16).

Proof of equations (18) and (19)

We illustrate the proof of equation (19) with the example

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_{1111} &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_S \int_{r=0}^\infty r^2 p_1^4 \exp(-r^2(b_1 p_1^2 + b_2 p_2^2 + b_3 p_3^2)) dr d\mathbf{p} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q_1^4}{|\mathbf{q}|^4} \exp(-(b_1 q_1^2 + b_2 q_2^2 + b_3 q_3^2)) d\mathbf{q} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

We set

$$g(s) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q_1^4}{|\mathbf{q}|^4} \exp(-((b_1 + s)q_1^2 + (b_2 + s)q_2^2 + (b_3 + s)q_3^2)) d\mathbf{q} \quad (34)$$

and we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g''(s) &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q_1^4 \exp(-((b_1 + s)q_1^2 + (b_2 + s)q_2^2 + (b_3 + s)q_3^2)) d\mathbf{q} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_1^4 e^{-(b_1+s)q_1^2} dq_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(b_2+s)q_2^2} dq_2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(b_3+s)q_3^2} dq_3 \\ &= \frac{3}{4(b_1 + s)^{5/2} \sqrt{b_2 + s} \sqrt{b_3 + s}} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Since

$$g(s) = \int g'(s) ds = s g'(s) - \int s g''(s) ds \quad (36)$$

it follows that

$$\mathbb{A}_{1111} = \frac{3}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{s ds}{(b_1 + s)^{5/2} \sqrt{b_2 + s} \sqrt{b_3 + s}} \quad (37)$$

To show equation (18), again it is only necessary to prove this in the case when B is diagonal. The following identities are useful. If U and V are diagonal matrices, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(UV)_{iii} &= U_{ii} V_{ii} \\ \mathcal{S}(UV)_{ii jj} &= \frac{1}{6} (U_{ii} V_{jj} + U_{jj} V_{ii}) \quad \text{if } i \neq j \\ \mathcal{S}(UV)_{ii jk} &= 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } j \neq k \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

where no summation is implied for repeated indices.

Proof that the mapping from B to A defined by equation (15) is one-one and onto

This is equivalent to showing that the map from $\{(b_1, b_2, b_3) : b_1, b_2, b_3 > 0, b_1 b_2 b_3 = 1\}$ to $\{(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33}) : A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{33} > 0, A_{11} + A_{22} + A_{33} = 1\}$ defined by equation (16) is one-one and onto. To this end, consider the map γ that takes (s, t) to the values of (A_{11}, A_{22}) when $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (e^{s+t}, e^{s-t}, e^{-2s})$. In Figure 1, we show how γ maps a grid in the s - t plane to the A_{11} - A_{22} plane, providing a ‘picture proof’ that the map is one-one and onto.

To show that the map is one-one, it is sufficient to show that $\gamma(s, t)$ gives a family of curves with negative slope if s is fixed, and t is allowed to range freely, and that $\gamma(s, t)$ gives a family of curves with positive slope if the roles of s and t are reversed.

For example, let us prove that $\partial A_{11} / \partial t < 0$. We have that $\partial B / \partial t = G \cdot B$ with $G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, that is, B satisfies equation (12) with $\Gamma = -G$, $\Omega = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\mathbf{u} = 0$. (Since B is diagonal, we have $B \cdot G = G \cdot B$.) Hence A satisfies equation (4) with the same parameters, and so $\partial A_{11} / \partial t = -A_{11} + \mathbb{A}_{1111} - \mathbb{A}_{1122} = -2\mathbb{A}_{1122} - \mathbb{A}_{1133} < 0$. Similarly, $\partial A_{22} / \partial t > 0$, and hence $\gamma(s, t)$ gives a family of curves with negative slope if s is fixed and t is allowed to range freely.

To show that the map is onto, it is sufficient to see that the map γ ‘stakes out’ the appropriate region, which follows because $\gamma(s, t) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\gamma(s, t)$ converges to a point on the line $A_{11} + A_{22} = 1$ as $s \rightarrow -\infty$, $A_{11} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and $A_{22} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$.

For example, as $s \rightarrow -\infty$, we see from equation (10) that the fiber orientation distribution concentrates on the equator $p_3 = 0$. Hence $A_{33} \rightarrow 0$, and so $A_{11} + A_{22} = 1 - A_{33} \rightarrow 1$. Since A_{11} and A_{22} increase as s decreases, they must also individually converge to limits.

5. Behavior of the Fast Exact Closure

Direct solutions of the tensor C of equation (11) will serve as the basis upon which to compare the effectiveness of the FEC. The transient solution of C in

equation (11), assuming constant velocity gradients, is given by

$$C = \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2} (\Omega + \lambda \Gamma) \right) \quad (39)$$

where \exp denotes the matrix exponential. The solution for B readily follows from the definition $B = C^T C$ given above equation (11), and the second-order orientation tensor A is obtained from equation (15). This solution approach, which we term the analytic solution, is only effective for flows originating from an isotropic initial condition with constant velocity gradients, but will serve as the basis upon which to compare results in the following section from various closures which numerically solve the equation of motion for the orientation tensor A of equation (4).

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the FEC, solutions are compared to results from several popular closures, the quadratic [20], the hybrid [21] and the ORT [15, 22], and all results will be compared to the analytic solutions from equation (39). The three comparison closures are selected based on their common employment in industrial simulations of fiber orientation (e.g., the quadratic and the hybrid) and their known accuracy (e.g., the ORT). It is expected the ORT closure's accuracy will be quite high considering it is based off of similar 'exact' calculations [15] to the FEC. The ORT is known to give similar results to the IBOF closure of Chung and Kwon [5] which is an extension of the natural closure discussed by Verleye and Dupret [9]. Solutions for the existing closures (quadratic, hybrid, or ORT) are performed by solving equation (4) and using the respective closure to approximate \mathbb{A} in terms of A .

The simple shear flow considered ($\mathbf{v} = [Gx_3, 0, 0]^T$ where G is the scalar magnitude of the rate of deformation $G = ||\Gamma||$) begins from an initial isotropic orientation (i.e., $\psi = \frac{1}{4\pi}$). The primary reason for choosing a pure shearing flow is twofold. The first, and primary, reason is due to the prevalence of shearing dominated flows in industrial injection molding processes. An alternative, yet more constraining, motivation for choosing a pure shearing flow is to demonstrate the FEC's ability to capture the correct period for the Jeffery orbit. It is this oscillatory nature of the orientation in pure Jeffery's motion that causes significant numerical difficulties for classical approaches that rely on alternative methods such as finite differences [23, 11] or the Spherical Harmonic Expansion approach (see e.g., Bird *et al.* [24] or Montgomery-Smith *et al.* [25]). It is also worthwhile to note that the interior of the eigenspace triangle containing all possible orientations (see e.g., Cintra and Tucker [6] for a full discussion) with an initial isotropic orientation state can only be populated by shearing flows, whereas orientations occurring on the boundaries are caused by pure elongation flows and will have two repeated eigenvalues of A and B .

Solutions of pure shear flow were run for the orientation parameter $\lambda = 0.98$, which corresponds to a fiber aspect ratio of $a_r = L/d \simeq 9.95$. The results for the second-order orientation tensor A from each solution approach is given in Figure 2 and compared to direct computations of the analytic solution of equation (39) for the tensor C and then using the elliptic integral relationship

of equation (16) to obtain A . As observed in the figure, the periodic nature of the orientation solution of A_{ij} is captured reasonably well by each of the solution approaches. An ellipsoid experiencing Jeffery motion in pure shear will rotate with a period, τ , of [1]

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\|\Gamma\|\sqrt{1-\lambda^2}} \quad (40)$$

where the solution of equation (40) may be obtained by analyzing the eigenvalues of $\Omega + \lambda\Gamma$ from equation (9). In our example $\lambda = 0.98$ and $\|\Gamma\| = G$ and thus $\tau = 31.574/G$. This period can be observed by noting a particular orientation condition, and tabulating the time it takes to return to the same orientation state. Since there are numerical errors introduced by the numerical solution of a system of ODEs with a periodic solution, returning to the numerically identical orientation state may never occur. Thus, we compare the initial isotropic orientation to that of the orientation occurring near where the three eigenvalues are nearest to each other and can approximate the period of the numerical solution for pure shear flow through the expression

$$\tau^{\text{Closure}} = t \text{ such that } |a_1 - a_3| \text{ is minimized over } Gt \in [30, 33] \quad (41)$$

where a_1 , a_2 and a_3 are the eigenvalues of the second-order orientation tensor A in descending order, $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq 0$. Therefore, the orientation from the numerical solutions employing closures will be closest to isotropic ($a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1/3$) when $a_1 \simeq a_3$. The FEC, ORT, Hybrid and Quadratic closures have observed periods of, respectively, $G\tau^{\text{FEC}} = 31.572$, $G\tau^{\text{ORT}} = 31.708$, $G\tau^{\text{Hybrid}} = 31.081$, and $G\tau^{\text{Quadratic}} = 31.575$. Each of the closures are reasonably accurate, whereas the FEC and Quadratic closures are the most effective in representing the actual period. But by observing the orientation path taken by each of the closures, the quadratic and the hybrid are clearly ineffective at predicting the orientation state during most of the flow history. The FEC and ORT closures follow the analytic solution quite well. To aid in comparing between closures, the error is plotted in Figure 2 where the error is defined as the difference in the eigenvalues from the analytic solution and the numeric solution from the closures as

$$Err^2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 (a_i^{\text{Analytic}} - a_i^{\text{Closure}})^2 \quad (42)$$

The value of Err shows the effectiveness of a closure in conjunction with the solution of the ODEs in Equations (4) and (12) and where it has the greatest deviation in the orientation solution. It is interesting to note that the FEC performs quite well throughout much of the flow history. This characteristic is true, except when the orientation approaches isotropic. This is not unexpected due to the nature of the closure since this is where the eigenvalues of A all approach the same value of $1/3$ and the approximation of equation (21c) must be accepted due to the aforementioned numerical limitations of repeated eigenvalues. It is worthwhile to note that both the ORT and the FEC yield solutions that are a considerable improvement over the commonly employed Quadratic and Hybrid

closures, which for the ORT is not surprising and has been widely discussed in the literature. It is not intended that the reader should infer that the FEC is proposed as an improvement over the quite sufficient ORT closure, where the accuracy to the third decimal place observed by the ORT closure is considered quite acceptable in injection molding predictions (see e.g., [5]), but the FEC serves as a starting point for the development of a new class of closures for fiber systems with colliding particles which add a diffusion term to equation (2) (see e.g., [25] for a listing of existing diffusion models).

The authors have performed similar studies for flows beyond pure shear. It is expected that flows with repeated eigenvalues (i.e., orientations occurring on the boundaries of the eigenspace triangle containing all physically possible orientations discussed in Cintra and Tucker [6]) would cause problems due to the numerical approximation that must be made in equations (21b) or (21c). But for flows that have some non-zero shear coupled with elongation, as would be expected in virtually all industrial flows, the FEC and the ORT closure both perform quite well and the aforementioned conclusions for the accuracy and stability for pure shear were equivalent for all flows investigated with mixed shearing and uniaxial elongation. The primary difference between the pure shear flow and the shear-elongation flows is the absence of any periodic behavior due to the elongation, and thus the numerical issues in the ODE solution are greatly diminished as $t \rightarrow \infty$. It is noted that coupled flows with shearing and biaxial elongation were also performed, and for cases when biaxial elongation dominated the flow, numerical instabilities were observed in the FEC since $a_1 \simeq a_2$ remains true throughout the entire flow history. This case is worthwhile to recognize, but is not of a significant concern as this orientation state will rarely, if ever, occur in actual industrial processes.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented an exact closure, which was at least in part, understood by other authors. We have found that an analysis of the formulae gives rise to the Fast Exact Closure (FEC), which exactly solves Jeffery's equation in a fast manner for any orientation that at one point in time was isotropic. For future work we would like to explore the possibility of providing good approximations to versions of Jeffery's equation with diffusion terms added, for example, the Folgar-Tucker equation [26]. The way this has been performed previously is to add in a term like $C_I \|\Gamma\| (2I - 6A)$ to equation (4), and then presume that the closure that creates \mathbb{A} from A is still valid. However, to add diffusion terms to the FEC method will require adding a similar term to equation (12), or perhaps some other approach.

7. Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge support from N.S.F. via grant C.M.M.I. 0727399.




Figure 1: Diagram illustrating how γ maps a grid in the s - t plane to the A_{11} - A_{22} plane.




Figure 2: Plot of A_{11} for Simple Shear flow with $\lambda = 0.98$ from various closures along with the associated error Err .

- [1] Jeffery, G.B., The Motion of Ellipsoidal Particles Immersed in a Viscous Fluid, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A* **102** (1923) 161–179.
- [2] Hinch, E.J., L. Leal, Time-Dependent Shear Flows of a Suspension of Particles with Weak Brownian Rotations, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* **57** (1973) 753–767.
- [3] Altan, M.C., S. Advani, S. Güçeri, R. Pipes, On the Description of the Orientation State for Fiber Suspensions in Homogeneous Flows, *Jn. of Rheology* **33** (7) (1989) 1129–1155.
- [4] Altan, M.C., S. Subbiah, S. Guceri, R. Pipes, Numerical Prediction of Three-Dimensional Fiber Orientation in Hele-Shaw Flows, *Polymer Engineering and Science* **30** (14) (1990) 848–859.
- [5] Chung, D.H., T. Kwon, Invariant-Based Optimal Fitting Closure Approximation for the Numerical Prediction of Flow-Induced Fiber Orientation, *Jn. of Rheology* **46** (1) (2002) 169–194.
- [6] Cintra, J. S., C. Tucker, Orthotropic Closure Approximations for Flow-Induced Fiber Orientation, *Jn. of Rheology* **39** (6) (1995) 1095–1122.
- [7] Han, K.-H., Y.-T. Im, Numerical Simulation of Three-Dimensional Fiber Orientation in Short-Fiber-Reinforced Injection-Molded Parts, *Jn. of Materials Processing Technology* **124** (2002) 366–371.
- [8] Jack, D.A., D. Smith, An Invariant Based Fitted Closure of the Sixth-order Orientation Tensor for Modeling Short-Fiber Suspensions, *Jn. of Rheology* **49** (5) (2005) 1091–1116.
- [9] Verleye, V., F. Dupret, Prediction of Fiber Orientation in Complex Injection Molded Parts, in: *Developments in Non-Newtonian Flows*, 1993, pp. 139–163.
- [10] VerWeyst, B.E., C. Tucker, P. Foss, J. O’Gara, Fiber Orientation in 3-D Injection Molded Features: Prediction and Experiment, *International Polymer Processing* **14** (1999) 409–420.
- [11] Bay, R.S., Fiber Orientation in Injection Molded Composites: A Comparison of Theory and Experiment, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (August 1991).
- [12] Dinh, S.M., R. Armstrong, A Rheological Equation of State for Semiconcentrated Fiber Suspensions, *Jn. of Rheology* **28** (3) (1984) 207–227.
- [13] Lipscomb, G G II., M. Denn, D. Hur, D. Boger, Flow of Fiber Suspensions in Complex Geometries, *Jn. of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics* **26** (1988) 297–325.

- [14] M. Altan, L. Tang, Orientation tensors in simple flows of dilute suspensions of non-brownian rigid ellipsoids, comparison of analytical and approximate solutions, *Rheologica Acta* **32** (1993) 227–244.
- [15] VerWeyst, B.E., Numerical Predictions of Flow Induced Fiber Orientation in Three-Dimensional Geometries, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign (1998).
- [16] Wetzel, E.D., Modeling Flow-Induced Microstructure of Inhomogeneous Liquid-Liquid Mixtures, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign (1999).
- [17] B. Carlson, Numerical computation of real or complex elliptic integrals, *Journal Numerical Algorithms* **10** (1995) 13–26.
- [18] GNU Scientific Library, <http://www.gnu.org/software/gsl>.
- [19] Press, W.H., S. Teukolsky, W. Vetterling, B. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in Fortran 77: The Art of Scientific Computing, 2nd Edition, Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 2003.
- [20] Doi, M., Molecular Dynamics and Rheological Properties of Concentrated Solutions of Rodlike Polymers in Isotropic and Liquid Crystalline Phases, *Jn. of Polymer Science Part B Polymer Physics* **19** (1981) 229–243.
- [21] Advani, S.G., C. Tucker, The Use of Tensors to Describe and Predict Fiber Orientation in Short Fiber Composites, *Jn. of Rheology* **31** (8) (1987) 751–784.
- [22] VerWeyst, B.E., T. C. III, Fiber Suspensions in Complex Geometries: Flow-Orientation Coupling, *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering* **80** (2002) 1093–1106.
- [23] Bay, R.S., C. Tucker, Fiber Orientation in Simple Injection Moldings: Part 1 - Theory and Numerical Methods, in: *Plastics and Plastic Composites: Material Properties, Part Performance, and Process Simulation*, ASME 1991, Vol. **29**, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 1991, pp. 445–471.
- [24] Bird, R. B., R. C. Armstrong, O. Hassager, *Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids*, 2nd Edition, Vol. 1: Fluid Mechanics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, NY, 1987.
- [25] Montgomery-Smith, S.J., D. Jack, D. Smith, A Systematic Approach to Obtaining Numerical Solutions of Jefferys Type Equations using Spherical Harmonics, Preprint available at <http://www.math.missouri.edu/stephen/preprints/pde-sphere.html> *Article under review*.
- [26] Folgar, F.P., C. Tucker, Orientation Behavior of Fibers in Concentrated Suspensions, *Jn. of Reinforced Plastics and Composites* **3** (1984) 98–119.