

0. Introduction

0.1. Automata, Complexity and Computability

Complexity theory - What makes problems computationally hard?

Computability theory - What problems are computable?

Automata theory - definitions and properties of mathematical models of computation

0.2. Strings and Languages

alphabet - nonempty finite set of symbols (Σ, Γ)

string over alphabet - finite sequence of symbols from alphabet

$w \Rightarrow |w|$ (length) - number of symbols

ϵ - empty string $\Rightarrow |\epsilon| = 0$

w^R (reverse) - reverse order of symbols of w

z is substring of w - z appears consecutively in w

$|x| = u, |y| = v \Rightarrow xy$ - concatenation, $|xy| = u + v$

Lexicographic order - alphabet/dictionary order

shortlex/string order - alphabet order but mainly length order

x - prefix of y if $\exists z$ st. $xz = y$, x - proper prefix, $x \neq y$

language - set of strings

prefix-free language - no member is proper prefix of another

1. Deterministic Finite Automaton (DFA)

finite automaton - simplest model of computation (limited memory)

States = Nodes, Starting/Initial state (\rightarrow), Accepting/Final state (\odot)

Transitions = Edges, Alphabet of Symbols (Σ)

FSM \rightarrow generate string = traverse graph

\hookrightarrow accept/recognize string = does graph traversal by string end up in node? accepting

Formal Definition of DFA, $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F) \rightarrow 5$ -tuple

$\rightarrow Q$ - set of states \hookrightarrow finite

$\rightarrow \Sigma$ - Alphabet, set of Symbols

$\rightarrow \delta$ - transition function; $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$

$\rightarrow q_0$ - starting/initial state; $q_0 \in Q$

$\rightarrow F$ - Set of accepting/final states; $F \subseteq Q$

2. Nondeterministic Finite Automaton (NFA)

Given the current state, there may be multiple next states.

If there is any way to run the machine that ends with ACCEPT, then NFA accepts

Formal Definition of NFA, $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, $\Sigma_\epsilon = \Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}$, $\delta: Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \rightarrow P(Q)$

Power set - set of all subsets (incl. \emptyset and full set) $\Rightarrow |\Sigma| = n, |P(\Sigma)| = 2^n$

Th For every NFA there is equivalent DFA and vice versa.

Proof by construction

Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$, NFA.

Construct $M' = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q_0', F')$, DFA s.t. $Q' = P(Q)$; $F' = \{R \in Q' \mid R \text{ contains an accept state from NFA}\}$

$\delta'(R, a) = \{q \in Q \mid q \in E(\delta(r, a))\}$, $q_0' = E(q_0)$

$E(R) = \{q \in Q \mid q \text{ can be reached from state in } R \text{ following } \epsilon\text{-edges}\}$

3. Operations on Regular Languages

Def. Regular language - language recognized by some finite automaton

\rightarrow Union: $A \cup B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$

\rightarrow Concatenation: $A \circ B = \{xy \mid x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}$

\rightarrow Star: $A^* = \{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \mid n \geq 0 \text{ and each } x_i \in A\}$

Th Class of Regular Languages is closed under union.

L_1, L_2 - regular languages $\Rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2$ is regular language.

Proof by construction (DFA)

Assume $L_1 = L(M_1)$, $L_2 = L(M_2)$, $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$, $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$

Build M to recognize $L_1 \cup L_2$.

Simulate M_1 and M_2 simultaneously \Rightarrow state in M corresponds to 2 states

$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ s.t. $Q = Q_1 \times Q_2 = \{(r_1, r_2) \mid r_1 \in Q_1 \text{ and } r_2 \in Q_2\}$; $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$;

$\delta((r_1, r_2), a) = \{\delta_1(r_1, a), \delta_2(r_2, a)\}$, $q_0 = (q_1, q_2)$; $F = \{(r_1, r_2) \mid r_1 \in F_1 \text{ or } r_2 \in F_2\}$

Proof by construction (NFA)

Assume $L_1 = L(M_1)$, $L_2 = L(M_2)$, $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$, $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$

Construct $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ s.t. $Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup \{q_0\}$; $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$; $F = F_1 \cup F_2$;

$\delta(q, a) = \begin{cases} \delta_1(q, a) & \text{if } q \in Q_1 \\ \delta_2(q, a) & \text{if } q \in Q_2 \\ \{q_1, q_2\} & \text{if } q = q_0 \text{ and } a = \epsilon \\ \emptyset & \text{if } q = q_0 \text{ and } a \neq \epsilon \end{cases}$

Th Class of Regular Languages is closed under concatenation
 L_1, L_2 - regular languages $\Rightarrow L_1 \circ L_2$ is regular language.

Proof by construction (NFA)

Assume $L_1 = L(M_1)$, $L_2 = L(M_2)$, $M_1 = (Q_1, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_1, F_1)$, $M_2 = (Q_2, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_2, F_2)$

Construct $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ s.t. $Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2$; $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$; $q_0 = q_1$; $F = F_2$;

$$\delta(q, a) = \begin{cases} \delta_1(q, a) & \text{if } q \in Q_1 \text{ and } a \notin F_1 \\ \delta_2(q, a) & \text{if } q \in Q_2 \\ \delta_1(q, a) \cup \{q_2\} & \text{if } q \in F_1 \text{ and } a = \epsilon \\ \delta_1(q, a) & \text{if } q \in F_1 \text{ and } a \neq \epsilon \end{cases}$$

Th Class of Regular Languages is closed under star.

4. Regular Expressions

Def. Regular Expression - $a \in \Sigma$, $R_1 \cup R_2$ ($R_1 | R_2$), $R_1 \circ R_2$ ($R_1 R_2$), R_1^* , ϵ , \emptyset , (R_1)
 where R_1, R_2 are regular expressions as well

Star Binds Tightest: $ab^* = a(b^*)$

Concatenation Binds Tighter Than Union: $a b \cup c = (ab) \cup c$, $a b c = (ab) c$

$a^* = \{a\}^+ = \{a\}^*$; $a^+ = a a^* = \{a\}^+$; $[a] = a \cup \epsilon = (a \cup \epsilon) = a^?$

$L(a) = \{a\}$, $L(R_1 | R_2) = L(R_1) \cup L(R_2)$; $L(R_1 \circ R_2) = L(R_1) \circ L(R_2)$; $L(R_1^*) = L(R_1)^*$;

$L(\epsilon) = \{\epsilon\}$, $L(\emptyset) = \{\}$, $L([R_1]) = L(R_1)$; concatenate $\emptyset = \{\}$; $\emptyset^* = \{\epsilon\}$

Th A language is regular iff some regular expression describes it.

Lemma Language described by regular expression \rightarrow regular

Proof \rightarrow closure of \cup , $*$ and \circ

\rightarrow regular expression \rightarrow NFA

$R = a \rightarrow$

$R = \epsilon \rightarrow$

$R = \emptyset \rightarrow$

$R = R_1 R_2 \rightarrow$

$R = R_1^* \rightarrow$

Lemma Regular Language \rightarrow can be described by regular expression

Proof

Build DFA that recognizes language.

Build GNFA (Generalized NFA) and reduce it.

Def. GNFA is NFA except:

→ edges labeled with regular expressions

→ only 1 accept state

→ exactly one edge between any states

→ no edges going to start state

→ no edges going out of accepting state

DFA → GNFA

add start state → ϵ → DFA

add new accept state

eliminate multiple edges with UNION DFA: a,b,c GNFA: a|b|c

add missing edges with \emptyset

Reduced GNFA: ϵ → regular expressions →

$ER = RE = R = \emptyset R = \{ \} UR, \emptyset R = R\emptyset = \emptyset$

5. Pumping Lemma

A - regular language → $\exists p$ st any string s ($|s| \geq p$) can be divided into $s = xyz$ st.

1) $xy^iz \in A, \forall i \geq 0$ 2) $|y| > 0$ 3) $|xy| \leq p$

$B = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ → non-regular language

Proof by contradiction

Assume B is regular. Let p be the pumping length of B . Let $s = 0^p 1^p$.

Divide s into xyz :

case 1: y in zeros $\Rightarrow xy^iz$ in B , but $\#0 \neq \#1$ (infinite 0's)

case 2: y in ones $\Rightarrow xy^iz$ in B , but $\#0 \neq \#1$ (infinite 1's)

case 3: y has zeros and ones $\Rightarrow xy^iz$ in B , but there are 0's after 1's

also violate $|xy| \leq p$