IoT Foundations

Angelo Corsaro, PhD

CTO, ADLINK Tech. Inc.

Co-Chair, OMG DDS-SIG

angelo.corsaro@adlinktech.com

Syllabus*

SYLLABUS

Lecture 1: IoT Architectures

15.00-17.00 on 30th Sept 2016

- **Course Outline and Logistics**
- **What is IoT**
- **CIoT vs IIoT (difference and similarities)**
- **Organisations and Standards**
  - Industrie 4.0
  - IIC
  - ETSI
  - OneM2M
  - ...
- **Architectural Style Evolution**
  - Cloud Computing
  - Fog Computing
  - Mist Computing
- **Reference Architectures**
  - I4.0
  - IIRA
- **Architectural Challenges**
  - Scale
  - Security
  - Privacy
  - Heterogeneity
  - Asymmetry

SYLLABUS

Lectuer 2: IoT Connectivity Technologies Part-I

15.00-19.00 on 3 Oct 2016

- **Review of IoT Reference Architectures **
- **Connectivity and Information Sharing in IoT**
- **Connectivity Technologies and Standards**
  - MQTT
  - AMQP
  - REST/HTTP
  - WebSockets
  - CoAP

SYLLABUS

Lectuer 3: IoT Connectivity Technologies Part-II

8.00-10.00 on 5 Oct 2016

- **Connectivity Technologies and Standards**
  - DDS
- **Lab Assignments**
- **Lab Solutions **

SYLLABUS

Lectuer 4: IoT Connectivity Technologies Part-III

15.00-19.00 on 10 Oct 2016

- **Connectivity Standards**
  - OPC/UA
- **Upcoming Standards **
  - DDS-XRCE
  - MQTT-SN
- **Lab Assignments**
- **Lab Solutions **
- **Conclusions & Moving Next **
  - Review of IoT Systems connectivity needs
  - Review and classification of Connectivity standards / protocols
- **Open Problems**

Course Logistics

COURSE MATERIAL

All course material, slides, code examples, assignements, etc. will be available on GitHub at https://github.com/kydos/2016-DRIO-5010C

I'll always upload slides few hours before the lecture

GETTING THE MATERIAL

Ensure **'git'** is installed on your machine, then simply execute the command listed below:

```
$ git clone https://github.com/kydos/2016-DRIO-5010C.git
```

ONLINE DISCUSSIONS

You can ask questions as well as discuss share information among your-selves using the course google group:

https://groups.google.com/forum/#!forum/2016-drio-5010c

Please notice that collaboration does not mean share your labs with others, as I'll catch that anyway when running code analysis tools on your homework ;-)

HW AND SENSORS

Intel Edison: https://software.intel.com/en-us/iot/hardware/edison

Raspberry PI: https://www.raspberrypi.org

Sensors: https://software.intel.com/en-us/iot/hardware/sensors

IDE

Intel IoT IDE: http://intel.ly/2cPa4JP

IntelliJ: http://bit.ly/2dEVOlz

IoT

GARTNER HYPE CYCLE 2015



GARTNER HYPE CYCLE 2016



Source: Gartner (July 2016)

What is

The Internet of Things?

INTERNET OF THINGS FLAVOURS

Internet of Things (IoT) is the term used to describe any kind of application that connected and made “things” interact through the internet

There are at least two kinds of IoT, Consumer IoT (CIoT) and Industrial IoT (IIoT)

The CIoT and IIoT follow the [**Collect | Store | Analyse | Share]** architecture**,** yet they have some key differences that is important to understand



Wikipedia:
Interconnection of uniquely identifiable embedded computing-like devices within the existing Internet infrastructure

IoT is about extracting **value** through the insights derived from the real-time and historical **data** produced by a cyber-physical system

— Data is the currency of IoT —

CONSUMER INTERNET OF THINGS (CIOT)

The Consumer Internet of Things (CIoT) represents the class of consumer-oriented applications where:

Devices are **consumer devices**, such as smart appliances, e.g. refrigerator, washer, dryer, personal gadgets such as, fitness sensors, google glasses, etc.

Data volumes and rates are relatively low

Applications are **not mission or safety critical**, e.g., the failure of fitness gadget will make you, at worse, upset, but won't cause any harm

CIoT applications tend to be "**consumer centric**"

smart collar

f0rk
connected



smart socks

SMART LIGHTBULBS

INDUSTRIAL INTERNET OF THINGS (IIOT)

The Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) represents industry-oriented applications where:

Devices are machines **operating in industrial, transportation, energy** or **medical environment**[1]

Data volumes and rates tend to be from **sustained to relatively high**

Applications are **mission and or safety critical**, e.g. the failure of a smart grid has severe impact on our life and economy, the misbehaving of a smart traffic system can threaten drivers

IIoT applications tend to be "**system centric**"



1 The list of application domains is supposed to give examples and is not exhaustive

Copyright PrismTech, 2015

Smart Factory

AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES

SMART-GRID

CONNECTED MEDICAL DEVICES

CURRENT CONDITIONS

10:35 AM

64° TEMP

53% HUMIDITY

PARTLY CLOUDY

SMART CITIES

TOTAL DAILY VISITS (1 MONTH) — HUMIDITY — TEMPERATURE

TOTAL VISITS TODAY
622 ↑

CURRENT CHAIR OCCUPANCY
65% ↑

OCCUPANCY @ TABLES
43% ↓

OCCUPANCY @ BENCHES
23% ↑

CONNECTED AIRCRAFTS

IIoT is concerned with **reactive cyber-physical systems**

IIoT is about interacting with the physical world

CIOT/IIOT DATA SHARING REQUIREMENTS

Efficient and scalable Data Sharing is a **key requirement** of practically any **IoT** system
The degree of **performance and fault-tolerance** required by the data sharing platform **varies** across **Consumer and Industrial Internet on Things** applications
Fog Computing support is key for **IIoT**



[Ref: A Comparative Study of Data-Sharing Standards for the Internet of Things, Cutter Journal, Dec 2014
]

IoT Architectures' Evolution

Cloud-Centric Architectures

CLOUD-CENTRIC ARCHITECTURES

The majority of IoT systems are today cloud-centric

These systems are characterised by **device-to-cloud** communication and **in-cloud analytics**



CLOUD-CENTRIC IOT PLATFORMS

The large majority of IoT platform have been built with Cloud-Centric architectures in mind

Digi Device Cloud

Azure

ThingSpeak

SensorCloud™
Powered by LORD Sensing

EDC
Everyware Device Cloud

Axēda®

IBM Bluemix

Telit

xively™
by LogMeIn

AWS IoT

ThingWorx™
A PTC® Business

Challenges

TOO MANY DEVICES

CISCO estimates an average of 6.6 devices per person leading to **50B** devices in 2020.

IDC estimates 27.9 devices per person leading to **212B**



INDUSTRIAL IOT

Industrial IoT applications such as Smart Grids , Smart Factories, Smart Farming, Connected Vehicles and Smart Cities are **not compatible with** the assumptions of **Cloud Centric Architectures**

CLOUD-CENTRIC ARCHITECTURES

ASSUMPTION #1

There is sufficient **bandwidth** to push data to the Cloud.



Smart Factory

0.5 TB of data produced per day

CLOUD-CENTRIC ARCHITECTURES

ASSUMPTION #2

Connectivity is not an issue. A device will (almost) always be connected to the cloud.



AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES

dynamic pairing of devices

intermittent connectivity

coordination of fast moving autonomous vehicles

CLOUD-CENTRIC ARCHITECTURES

ASSUMPTION #3

The **latency** induced by cloud-centralised analytics and control is compatible with the dynamic of the IoT system




Latency
Constraints

CLOUD-CENTRIC ARCHITECTURES

ASSUMPTION #4

The connectivity **cost** is negligible



Cost of connectivity is an issue in Smart Grids as the operator has to pay for the 2G/3G/4G data-link



CLOUD-CENTRIC ARCHITECTURES

ASSUMPTION #5

Industrial companies are **comfortable** in **exposing** their **data** to the **cloud**.




10
9
7

Fog-Centric Architectures

FOG-CENTRIC ARCHITECTURES

Fog Computing Architectures **extend elastic compute, networking and storage** across the cloud **through to the edge** of the network

FOG/MEC-CENTRIC IOT PLATFORMS

IoT Platforms support for Fog /MEC computing is rapidly emerging

LOCALGRID

CISCO IOx

Saguna

nebbiolotechnologies
pioneers of fog computing

WI-NEXT

ADLINK

PRISMTECH

OPENFOG CONSORTIUM

The recently established OpenFog is accelerating and facilitating the expansion, convergence and interoperability of Fog computing infrastructures



[source: OpenFog Whitepaper http://bit.ly/openfog-wp]

Challenges

WHAT ABOUT THE THINGS?

[most of] **Fog** centric infrastructures rely on **edge servers** to provide elastic compute, store and communicate abstractions. Yet, are incapable of exploiting resources available on the **Things**

Mist-Centric Architectures

MIST-CENTRIC ARCHITECTURES

Mist Computing Architectures **extend elastic compute, networking and storage** across the Fog **through to the Things**

cloudy…foggy…misty…

The Answer is…



42

maybe...

but that doesn't help

Let’s do some more analysis

Technology Fragmentation

Cloud



Cloud Servers

Fog

Fog Nodes

Mist

Things

PROVISIONING, DEPLOYMENT & MANAGEMENT

The unit of provisioning and deployment supported by Cloud, Fog and Mist infrastructure are different

DATA ACCESS

Data Stores

Data at Rest

cassandra

MySQL

APACHE HBASE

RethinkDB

Data Streams

Data in Movement

MQTT.ORG

VORTEX

AMQP

DDS The Proven Data Connectivity Standard for the IoT



MEMORY HIERARCHY

Everyone gives for granted that the **memory hierarchy** present in computing systems should be transparent

Why shouldn't the same be true for data access in IoT



ANALYTICS

Different analytics technologies are applicable for Cloud, Fog and Mist Computing

As a result there is **no decoupling between** the **algorithm and** the **deployment**!

MAP/REDUCE APPLICABILITY

Most analytics framework built for cloud are based on Map/Reduce

Map/Reduce is applicable to **embarrassing parallel** computational problems. These are a small subset of analytics required in IoT!



What's the Answer?

ARCHITECTURAL CONSISTENCY

Architectural consistency and composability is key to scale

A unifying architectural principle should be the reference for IoT Platforms

FLUID IOT ARCHITECTURE

The **Fluid IoT** Architecture **eliminates** the **technological segregation** created by Cloud, Fog and Mist technologies and **abstracts compute, storage and networking end-to-end**

Reference Architectures

CIOT AND IIOT

The Industrial Internet Consortium (IIC) and the Industrie 4.0 (I4.0) have defined reference models and architectures for IIoT systems.

As IIoT requirements are a superset of CIoT's we'll investigate the need of the former

Industrie 4.0 / RAMI 4.0

THE INTERNET OF THINGS AND SERVICES



Image curtesy of Bosch Rexroth AG

INDUSTRIE 4.0 GOALS

I4.0 links production systems with information and communication technology

Customer and machine data are networked.
Machines mutually communicate to control and achieve flexible, efficient, production.

Copyright PrismTech, 2015

INDUSTRIE 4.0
DESIGN PRINCIPLES

Plattform INDUSTRIE 4.0

Interoperability. Machines, devices, sensors, and people can freely communicate with each other

Information Transparency. A **virtual representation** of the **physical world** is made available by enriching digital plant models with sensor data

Technical assistance. Leverage information to make more informed decisions and solving urgent problems on short notice. Physically support humans by conducting a range of tasks that are unpleasant, too exhausting, or unsafe for humans.

Decentralised Decisions. Autonomous decisions are the norm. Higher level delegation happens only in presence of interferences or conflicting goals

RAMI 4.0 GOALS

Group and coherently capture three extremely diverse perspective/aspects into a single model.

1. **Vertical Integration** (within the factory)

2. **End-to-End Engineering** (integrated administrative, commercial, and production processes)

3. **Horizontal Integration** (across factories)

Copyright PrismTech, 2015

REFERENCE ARCHITECTURE

A Reference *Architecture* describes the *structure* of a system with its element types and their structures, as well as their *interaction* types, among each other and with their environment. Describing this, a Reference Architecture defines restrictions for an instantiation (concrete architecture). Through abstraction from individual details, a Reference Architecture is universally valid within a specific domain. Further architectures with the same functional requirements can be constructed based on the reference architecture. Along with *reference* architectures comes a *recommendation*, based on experiences from existing developments as well as from a wide acceptance and recognition by its users or per definition. [ISO/IEC42010]

In other terms, a Reference Architecture it is the specification of which language you should use to describe the system

ght PrismTech, 2015

Intermezzo: Smart Grid Architecture Model (SGAM)

SMART GRID PLANE

This smart grid plane allows to represent the levels at which interactions between power system management take place



SGAM FRAMEWORK

SGAM consists of **five interoperability layers** that allow the **representation of entities and their relationships,** in the context of smart grid domains, and **information management hierarchies**



Copyright PrismTech, 2015

Back to RAMI4.0

RAMI 4.0

The Industrie 4.0 Reference Architecture (RAMI) is three dimensional and organises the **life-cycle/value streams** and the **manufacturing hierarchy levels** across the six layers of the IT representation of Industrie 4.0



Image from: “Reference Architecture Model Industrie 4.0”

RAMI VS. SGAM


Layers
Life Cycle & Value Stream
IEC 62890
Hierarchy Levels
IEC 62264 // IEC 61512
Business
Functional
Information
Communication
Integration
Asset
Connected World
Enterprise
Work Centers
Station
Control Device
Field Device
Product
Development
Maintenance/ usage
Type
Production
Maintenance/ usage
Instance


Interoperability Dimension
Business Objectives
Polit. / Regulat.. Framework
Business Layer
Function Layer
Outline of Usecase
Subfunctions
Information Layer
Data Model
Data Model
Communication Layer
Protocol
Protocol
Component Layer
Market
Enterprise
Operation
Station
Field
Process
Zones
Generation
Transmission
Distribution
DER
Customer Premise
Domains

COMMUNICATION LAYER

Standardisation of communication, using a uniform data format, in the direction of the Information Layer.

Provision of services for control of the Integration Layer.



INFORMATION LAYER

Run time environment for processing of events.

Persistence of the data which represent the models.

Ensuring data integrity.

Consistent integration of different data.

Obtaining new, higher quality data (data, information, knowledge).

Provision of structured data via service interfaces.

Receiving of events and their transformation to match the data which are available for the Functional Layer.



I4.0 COMPONENTS

To allow for **seamless integration** of the “Office Floor” and the “Shop Floor” I4.0 requires connectivity to any end points and a common semantic model.

Components must have certain common properties independently of the levels.

They are specified in the form of the I4.0 components.



Copyright PrismTech, 2015

I4.0 COMPONENTS REQUIREMENTS

A network of I4.0 components must be structured in such a way that connections between any end points (I4.0 components) are possible. The I4.0 components and their contents are to follow a common semantic model.

Copyright PrismTech, 2015

I4.0 COMPONENTS REQUIREMENTS

It must be possible to define the concept of an I4.0 component in such a way that it can meet requirements with different focal areas, i. e. “office floor” or “shop floor”.

Copyright PrismTech, 2015

I4.0 COMPONENTS REQUIREMENTS

The I4.0 compliant communication must be performed in such a way that the data of a virtual representation of an I4.0 component can be kept either in the object itself or in a (higher level) IT system.

Copyright PrismTech, 2015

I4.0 COMPONENT

The ability to virtualise physical entities and make information available is key to RAMI4.0 and captured as part of the I4.0 Component



Image from: “Reference Architecture Model Industrie 4.0”

ADMINISTRATION SHELL

From a logical point of view, an **I4.0 Component** is made by **one or more objects** and an **administration shell**

The **administration shell** contains the **data** for **virtual representation and the functions of the technical functionality**.

The mani fest, as part of the virtual representation, details the necessary administrative details on the I4.0 component.



Copyright PrismTech, 2015

SEPARABILITY OF FLOWS

I4.0 compliant communication does not have to implement deterministic or realtime communication itself, it can delegate to existing technologies.

The Realtime Ethernet protocols which are standard today permit the expectation that it will be possible to effect both forms of communication via the same communications infrastructure.



Copyright PrismTech, 2015

IIRA

THE INTERNET OF THINGS AND SERVICES

IIRA



Image curtesy of Bosch Rexroth AG

IIRA

The industrial internet architectural framework adopts general concepts from the ISO/IEC/IEEE 42010:2011 standard which includes concerns, framework and viewpoints.

IISs are characterised by four viewpoints: Business, Usage, Functional, and Implementation



Copyright PrismTech, 2015

IIRA

The **Business Viewpoint** Identifies the business stakeholders

The **Usage Viewpoint** looks at the expected system usage

The **Functional Viewpoint** concerns the functional components of an IIS, their interrelationships and external interactions,

The **Implementation Viewpoint** concerns the technologies required to implement functional components.



Copyright PrismTech, 2015

IIRA FUNCTIONAL DOMAINS

The IIRA decomposes an Industrial Internet System (IIS) in five **functional domains**: **Control**, **Operation**, **Information**, **Application** and **Business**

Data flows and **control flows** take place in and **between** these **functional domains**.



Image from: “Industrial Internet Consortium Reference Implementation v1.7”

Copyright PrismTech, 2015

CONTROL DOMAIN

The control domain represents the collection of functions that are performed by industrial control systems. The core of these functions comprises fine-grained closed-loops, reading data from sensors, applying rules and logic, and exercising control over the physical system through actuators



OPERATION DOMAIN

The operations domain represents the collection of functions responsible for the provisioning, management, monitoring and optimisation of the systems in the control domain. Existing industrial control systems mostly focus on optimising the assets in a single physical plant. The control systems of the Industrial Internet must move up a level, and optimise operations across asset types, fleets and customers.



INFORMATION DOMAIN

The Information Domain represents the collection of functions for gathering data from various domains, most significantly from the control domain, and transforming, persisting, and modelling or analysing those data to acquire high-level intelligence about the overall system.

Copyright PrismTech, 2015

APPLICATION DOMAIN

The application domain represents the collection of functions implementing application logic that realises specific business functionalities. Functions in this domain apply application logic, rules and models at a coarse-grained, high level for optimisation in a global scope.



Copyright PrismTech, 2015

BUSINESS DOMAIN

The application domain represents the collection of functions implementing application logic that realizes specific business functionalities. Functions in this domain apply application logic, rules and models at a coarse-grained, high level for optimization in a global scope.

Copyright Rishabh Software, 2015

IMPLEMENTATION VIEWPOINT

The implementation viewpoint is concerned with the technical representation of an Industrial Internet System and the technologies and system components required to implement the activities and functions prescribed by the usage and functional viewpoints.

FUNCTIONAL DOMAINS MAPPING



Copyright PrismTech, 2015

IIRA CONNECTIVITY

IIRA connectivity foresees the use of a Connectivity Core Standard (such as DDS) and then Gateways to integrate other connectivity technologies



Image from: “Industrial Internet Reference Architecture v1.7”

RAMI & IIRA

IIRA/I4.0 RELATIONSHIP

Architectural Challenges

SCALE

IoT systems tend to fall in the category of Ultra-Large Scale Systems.

These systems whose connectivity tend to follow a power-law, tend to be characterised by emergent behaviours

Proper care should be taken in the design of algorithm for these systems to ensure self-stabilisation properties



HETHEROGENEITY

IoT systems are characterised by an extreme heterogeneity in computational power of their nodes as well as characteristics of the interconnect.

This poses major challenges in ensuring that system remain stable and don't diverge or oscillate due to asymmetry

SECURITY

Security is key in IoT but often under-estimated.

The right set of technologies for addressing security, exist. The gap is often in the security expertise

PRIVACY

Privacy will be major issue in IoT.

Today people are willing to easily give away their data… But eventually will realise that this is a key value.

Technologies like Homomorphic Encryption can help addressing this problem.

SUMMARY

The IoT can be classified in CIoT and IIoT

IIoT is characterised by more stringent needs

Reference architectures have focused to a great extent on IIoT

RAMI4.0 and IIRA are two example of IIoT Reference Architectures

Data is the key asset that creates value in IoT