# 70: Discrete Math and Probability Theory

Programming + Microprocessors  $\equiv$  Superpower!

What are your super powerful programs/processors doing?

Logic and Proofs!

Induction = Recursion

What can computers do?

Work with discrete objects.

Discrete Math ⇒ immense application.

Computers learn and interact with the world? E.g. machine learning, data analysis, robotics, ... Probability!

See note 1, for more discussion.

#### Instructors

Instructor: Sanjit Seshia.

Professor of EECS (office: 566 Cory)

Starting 12th year at Berkeley.

PhD: in Computer Science, from Carnegie Mellon University.

Research: Formal Methods (a.k.a. Computational Proof

Methods)

applied to cyber-physical systems (e.g. "self-driving" cars), computer security, ...

Taught: 149, 172, 144/244, 219C, EECS149.1x on edX, ...

### Instructors

Jean Walrand – Prof. of EECS – UCB 257 Cory Hall – walrand@berkeley.edu

I was born in Belgium<sup>(1)</sup> and came to Berkeley for my PhD. I have been teaching at UCB since 1982.

My wife and I live in Berkeley. We have two daughters (UC alumni – Go Bears!). We like to ski and play tennis (both poorly). We enjoy classical music and jazz.

My research interests include stochastic systems, networks and game theory.





### **Admin**

Course Webpage: http://www.eecs70.org/

Explains policies, has office hours, homework, midterm dates, etc.

Two midterms, final.
midterm 1 before drop date.
midterm 2 before grade option change.

Questions/Announcements ⇒ piazza: piazza.com/berkeley/fall2016/cs70

## CS70: Lecture 1. Outline.

Today: Note 1. (Note 0 is background. Do read/skim it.) The language of proofs!

- 1. Propositions.
- 2. Propositional Forms.
- 3. Implication.
- 4. Truth Tables
- Quantifiers
- 6. More De Morgan's Laws

## Propositions: Statements that are true or false.

```
\sqrt{2} is irrational
                                                   Proposition
                                                                   True
2+2=4
                                                   Proposition
                                                                   True
2+2=3
                                                   Proposition
                                                                  False
826th digit of pi is 4
                                                   Proposition
                                                                  False
Jon Stewart is a good comedian
                                             Not a Proposition
All evens > 2 are unique sums of 2 primes
                                                   Proposition
                                                                  False
4 + 5
                                            Not a Proposition.
                                            Not a Proposition.
X + X
```

Again: "value" of a proposition is ... True or False

## Propositional Forms.

Put propositions together to make another...

Conjunction ("and"):  $P \wedge Q$ 

" $P \wedge Q$ " is True when both P and Q are True. Else False.

Disjunction ("or"):  $P \lor Q$ 

" $P \lor Q$ " is True when at least one P or Q is True . Else False .

Negation ("not"):  $\neg P$ 

" $\neg P$ " is True when P is False. Else False.

### Examples:

$$\neg$$
 " $(2+2=4)$ " — a proposition that is ... False " $2+2=3$ "  $\wedge$  " $2+2=4$ " — a proposition that is ... False " $2+2=3$ "  $\vee$  " $2+2=4$ " — a proposition that is ... True

# Propositional Forms: quick check!

```
P= "\sqrt{2} is rational"

Q= "826th digit of pi is 2"

P is ...False .

Q is ...True .

P \wedge Q ... False

P \vee Q ... True
```

¬P ... True

# Put them together...

#### Propositions:

 $P_1$  - Person 1 rides the bus.

 $P_2$  - Person 2 rides the bus.

....

Suppose we can't have either of the following happen; That either person 1 or person 2 ride the bus and person 3 or 4 ride the bus. Or that person 2 or person 3 ride the bus and that either person 4 ride the bus or person 5 doesn't.

### Propositional Form:

$$\neg(((P_1\vee P_2)\wedge (P_3\vee P_4))\vee ((P_2\vee P_3)\wedge (P_4\vee \neg P_5)))$$

Who can ride the bus?

What combinations of people can ride the bus?

This seems ...complicated.

We need a way to keep track!

Truth Tables for Propositional Forms.

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$
T	Т	T
T	F	F
F	Т	F
F	F	F

Р	Q	$P \lor Q$
Т	Т	T
T	F	Т
F	Τ	Т
F	F	F

One use for truth tables: Logical Equivalence of propositional forms!

Example:  $\neg (P \land Q)$  logically equivalent to  $\neg P \lor \neg Q$ 

...because the two propositional forms have the same...

....Truth Table!

Р	Q	$\neg (P \land Q)$	$\neg P \lor \neg Q$
Т	Т	F	F
Т	F	F	F
F	Т	F	F
F	F	T	Т

DeMorgan's Law's for Negation: distribute and flip!

$$\neg (P \land Q) \quad \equiv \quad \neg P \lor \neg Q \qquad \qquad \neg (P \lor Q) \quad \equiv \quad \neg P \land \neg Q$$

# Implication.

 $P \Longrightarrow Q$  interpreted as If P, then Q.

True Statements:  $P, P \Longrightarrow Q$ .

Conclude: Q is true.

Example: Statement: If you stand in the rain, then you'll get wet.

P = "you stand in the rain" Q = "you will get wet"

Statement: "Stand in the rain"

Can conclude: "you'll get wet."

## Non-Consequences/consequences of Implication

The statement " $P \implies Q$ "

only is False if P is True and Q is False.

False implies nothing

P False means Q can be True or False

Anything implies true.

P can be True or False when Q is True

If chemical plant pollutes river, fish die.

If fish die, did chemical plant polluted river?

Not necessarily.

 $P \Longrightarrow Q$  and Q are True does not mean P is True

Instead we have:

 $P \Longrightarrow Q$  and P are True does mean Q is True.

Be careful out there!

Some Fun: use propositional formulas to describe implication?

$$((P \Longrightarrow Q) \land P) \Longrightarrow Q.$$

# Implication and English.

### $P \Longrightarrow Q$

- ▶ If *P*, then *Q*.
- ▶ *Q* if *P*.
- ▶ P only if Q.
- P is sufficient for Q.
- Q is necessary for P.

# Truth Table: implication.

Р	Q	$P \Longrightarrow Q$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F
F	Т	Т
F	F	Т

Р	Q	$\neg P \lor Q$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F
F	Т	Т
F	F	T

$$\neg P \lor Q \equiv P \Longrightarrow Q.$$

These two propositional forms are logically equivalent!

## Contrapositive, Converse

- ▶ Contrapositive of  $P \implies Q$  is  $\neg Q \implies \neg P$ .
  - If the plant pollutes, fish die.
  - If the fish don't die, the plant does not pollute. (contrapositive)
  - If you stand in the rain, you get wet.
  - If you did not stand in the rain, you did not get wet. (not contrapositive!) converse!
  - If you did not get wet, you did not stand in the rain. (contrapositive.)

Logically equivalent! Notation:  $\equiv$ .

$$P \implies Q \equiv \neg P \lor Q \equiv \neg (\neg Q) \lor \neg P \equiv \neg Q \implies \neg P.$$

- Converse of P ⇒ Q is Q ⇒ P.
  If fish die the plant pollutes.
  Not logically equivalent!
- ▶ Definition: If P ⇒ Q and Q ⇒ P is P if and only if Q or P ⇔ Q. (Logically Equivalent: ⇔.)

## Variables.

### Propositions?

- $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$
- $\rightarrow x > 2$
- n is even and the sum of two primes

No. They have a free variable.

We call them predicates, e.g., Q(x) = x is even

Same as boolean valued functions from 61A or 61AS!

- $P(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ ."
- ► R(x) = "x > 2"
- ► G(n) = "n is even and the sum of two primes"

Next: Statements about boolean valued functions!!

## Quantifiers...

### There exists quantifier:

 $(\exists x \in S)(P(x))$  means "P(x) is true for some x in S"

### Wait! What is S?

S is the **universe**: "the type of x".

Universe examples include..

- $ightharpoonup N = \{0, 1, ...\}$  (natural numbers).
- $ightharpoonup Z = \{..., -1, 0, 1, ...\}$  (integers)
- Z<sup>+</sup> (positive integers)
- See note 0 for more!

### Quantifiers...

#### There exists quantifier:

 $(\exists x \in S)(P(x))$  means "P(x) is true for some x in S" For example:

$$(\exists x \in N)(x = x^2)$$

Equivalent to "
$$(0 = 0) \lor (1 = 1) \lor (2 = 4) \lor ...$$
"

Much shorter to use a quantifier!

### For all quantifier;

$$(\forall x \in S) (P(x))$$
. means "For all x in S P(x) is True."

Examples:

"Adding 1 makes a bigger number."

$$(\forall x \in N) (x+1 > x)$$

"the square of a number is always non-negative"

$$(\forall x \in N)(x^2 \ge 0)$$

# More forall quantifiers examples.

"doubling a number always makes it strictly larger"

$$(\forall x \in N) (2x > x)$$
 False Consider  $x = 0$ 

Can fix statement as follows:

$$(\forall x \in N) (2x \ge x)$$
 True

"Square of any natural number greater than 5 is greater than 25."

$$(\forall x \in N)(x > 5 \implies x^2 > 25).$$

Idea alert: Restrict domain using implication.

Note that we may omit universe if clear from context.

## Quantifiers are not commutative.

Consider this English statement: "there is a natural number that is the square of every natural number", i.e the square of every natural number is the same number!

$$(\exists y \in N) \ (\forall x \in N) \ (y = x^2)$$
 False

Consider this one: "the square of every natural number is a natural number"...

$$(\forall x \in N)(\exists y \in N) (y = x^2)$$
 True

# Quantifiers....negation...DeMorgan again.

Consider

$$\neg(\forall x\in\mathcal{S})(P(x)),$$

By DeMorgan's law,

$$\neg(\forall x \in S)(P(x)) \iff \exists (x \in S)(\neg P(x)).$$

English: there is an x in S where P(x) does not hold. What we do in this course! We consider claims.

**Claim:**  $(\forall x) P(x)$  "For all inputs x the program works."

For False , find x, where  $\neg P(x)$ .

Counterexample.

Bad input.

Case that illustrates bug.

For True: prove claim. Next lectures...

# Negation of exists.

Consider

$$\neg(\exists x \in S)(P(x))$$

Equivalent to:

$$\neg(\exists x \in S)(P(x)) \iff \forall (x \in S) \neg P(x).$$

English: means that for all x in S, P(x) does not hold.

## Which Theorem?

Theorem:  $\forall n \in N \ (n \ge 3 \implies \neg(\exists a, b, c \in N \ a^n + b^n = c^n))$ 

Which Theorem?

Fermat's Last Theorem!

Remember Right-Angled Triangles: for n = 2, we have 3,4,5 and 5,7, 12 and ... (Pythagorean triples)

1637: Proof doesn't fit in the margins.

1993: Wiles ... (based in part on Ribet's Theorem)

DeMorgan Restatement:

Theorem:  $\neg (\exists n \in N \ \exists a, b, c \in N \ (n \geq 3 \land a^n + b^n = c^n))$ 

## Summary.

Propositions are statements that are true or false.

Propositional forms use  $\land, \lor, \lnot$ .

The meaning of a propositional form is given by its truth table.

Logical equivalence of forms means same truth tables.

Implication:  $P \Longrightarrow Q \iff P \lor Q$ .

Contrapositive:  $\neg Q \Longrightarrow \neg P$ 

Converse:  $Q \Longrightarrow P$ 

Predicates: Statements with "free" variables.

Quantifiers:  $\forall x \ P(x), \exists y \ Q(y)$ 

Now can state theorems! And disprove false ones!

DeMorgans Laws: "Flip and Distribute negation"

$$\neg (P \lor Q) \iff (\neg P \land \neg Q)$$
$$\neg \forall x \ P(x) \iff \exists x \ \neg P(x).$$

Next Time: proofs!