ECON 3535 Math Practice 2

Tradable Permits

1. Two firms can control emissions at the following marginal costs: $MC_x = 80a_x$ and $MC_y = 40a_y$ where a_x and a_y are, respectively, the amount of emissions reduced by firm x and firm y. Assume that with no control at all, each firm would be emitting 50 units of emissions or a total of 100 units for both firms.

- (a) Which firm is better at abating pollution?
- (b) If the goal is to reduce total emissions to 60 units. How many units must be abated? Write out the abatement constraint in mathematical terms
- (c) Consider a uniform standard. How many units must be abated by both firms? How much did each firm have to pay to abate their marginal unit of pollution?
- (d) Consider a cap-and-trade system that aims for a total 60 units of emissions.
 - i. In words, describe why the marginal abatement costs for each firm must be equal to eachother in order to be at equilibrium (the optimality condition).
 - ii. Using the optimality condition and the abatement constraint, solve for the equilibrium allocation of permits to each firm?
 - iii. At what price would these permits sell for at an auction?
- (e) Assume that the control authority wanted to reach its objective by using an emissions charge system instead.
 - i. What tax amount should them impose to reach this equilibrium?
 - ii. How much revenue would the government collect?
- (f) Why is cap-and-trade more cost-effective than a uniform standard where each firm reduces pollution by the same amount?
- 2. Two firms can control emissions at the following marginal costs: $MC_x = 200a_x$ and $MC_y = 100a_y$ where a_x and a_y are, respectively, the amount of emissions reduced by firm x and firm y. Assume that with no control at all, each firm would be emitting 20 units of emissions or a total of 40 units for both firms.
 - (a) Consider a cap-and-trade system that aims for a total reduction of 21 units of emissions is necessary.
 - i. What is the equilibrium allocation of permits to each firm?
 - ii. At what price would these permits sell for at an auction
 - (b) Assume that the control authority wanted to reach its objective by using an emissions charge system instead.
 - i. What tax amount should them impose to reach this equilibrium?
 - ii. How much revenue would the government collect?
 - (c) Why is cap-and-trade more cost-effective than a uniform standard where each firm reduces pollution by 10.5 units?
- 3. Two firms can control emissions at the following marginal costs: $MC_x = 5 + 10a_x$ and $MC_y = 11a_y$ where a_x and a_y are, respectively, the amount of emissions reduced by firm x and firm y. Assume that with no control at all, each firm would be emitting 10 units of emissions or a total of 20 units for both firms.

ECON 3535 Math Practice 2

(a) Consider a cap-and-trade system that aims for a total reduction of 10 units of emissions.

- i. What is the equilibrium allocation of permits to each firm?
- ii. At what price would these permits sell for at an auction
- (b) Assume that the control authority wanted to reach its objective by using an emissions charge system instead.
 - i. What tax amount should them impose to reach this equilibrium?
 - ii. How much revenue would the government collect?