ECON 3535 Math Practice 2

## Two period model of a non-renewable resource

Consider extraction of a non-renewable natural resource. The inverse demand function for the depletable resource is P = 12 - Q in both periods 1 and 2 and the marginal cost of supplying it is \$3. The discount rate is 10%. There are 7.5 units total.

- 1. Find the equilibrium allocation in each period for resource extraction
- 2. Describe using the concept of marignal user cost why  $Q_1 = 3$  and  $Q_2 = 4.5$  is not optimal
- 3. What is the marginal user cost? Interpret this number.
- 4. Now assume r = 0. What is the optimal allocation now? Why did it change in the direction that it did?

## Two period model of a non-renewable resource

Consider extraction of a non-renewable natural resource. The inverse demand function for the depletable resource is P = 12 - Q in both periods 1 and 2 and the marginal cost of supplying it is 2 + Q/2. The discount rate is 6%. There are 15\$ units total.

- 1. Find the equilibrium allocation in each period for resource extraction
- 2. What is the marginal user cost? Interpret this number.

## **Tradable Permits**

Two firms can control emissions at the following marginal costs:  $MC_1 = 200a_x$  and  $MC_2 = 100a_y$  where  $a_x$  and  $a_y$  are, respectively, the amount of emissions reduced by the first and second firms. Assume that with no control at all, each firm would be emitting 20 units of emissions or a total of 40 units for both firms.

- 1. Consider a cap-and-trade system that aims for a total reduction of 21 units of emissions is necessary.
  - (a) What is the equilibrium allocation of permits to each firm?
  - (b) At what price would these permits sell for at an auction
- 2. Assume that the control authority wanted to reach its objective by using an emissions charge system instead.
  - (a) What tax amount should them impose to reach this equilibrium?
  - (b) How much revenue would the government collect?
- 3. Why is cap-and-trade more cost-effective than a uniform standard where each firm reduces pollution by 10.5 units?

ECON 3535 Math Practice 2

## **Tradable Permits**

Two firms can control emissions at the following marginal costs:  $MC_1 = 5 + 10a_x$  and  $MC_2 = 11a_y$  where  $a_x$  and  $a_y$  are, respectively, the amount of emissions reduced by the first and second firms. Assume that with no control at all, each firm would be emitting 20 units of emissions or a total of 10 units for both firms.

- 1. Consider a cap-and-trade system that aims for a total reduction of 21 units of emissions is necessary.
  - (a) What is the equilibrium allocation of permits to each firm?
  - (b) At what price would these permits sell for at an auction
- 2. Assume that the control authority wanted to reach its objective by using an emissions charge system instead.
  - (a) What tax amount should them impose to reach this equilibrium?
  - (b) How much revenue would the government collect?