cheg325 homework7 SIS 13.20

AUTHOR PUBLISHED kyle wodehouse April 19, 2025

we need to find allII the ΔH and ΔG for the reactions

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{CaCO_3} + \operatorname{SiO_2} \leftrightharpoons \operatorname{CaO} \cdot \operatorname{SiO_2} + \operatorname{CO_2} \\ &3\operatorname{FeO} \cdot \operatorname{SiO_2} + \operatorname{CO_2} \leftrightharpoons 3\operatorname{SiO_2} + \operatorname{Fe_3O_4} + \operatorname{CO} \\ &3\operatorname{FeO} \cdot \operatorname{SiO_2} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{O_2} \leftrightharpoons \operatorname{Fe_3O_4} + 3\operatorname{SiO_2} \end{aligned}$$

from an earlier homework i have the appendix with all the formation energies as a csv file and will import it as a dataframe to make menial math easy

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from scipy.constants import R
a4 = pd.read_csv('appendix_a4.csv', index_col=1)
a4.head(3)
```

	chemical_name	state	delta_h_form	delta <u>g</u> form		
chemical_formula						
CH4	Methane	g	-74.5	-50.5		
C2H6	Ethane	g	-83.8	-31.9		
C3H8	Propane	g	-104.7	-24.3		
thankfully sandler says 'go look in the chemical engineering handbook' to find the ones not in his table,						

so after some digging i was able to get the numbers needed from the 8th edition of the chemical engineering handbook. I also took the ${
m SiO}_2$ heat and free energy of formation from the handbook as it was giving me wildly unphysical results in calculations. values i found from handbook and also the SiO2 replacement value (note that 1 kcal = 4.184 kJ)

 ΔH_f (kJ/mol)

 ΔG_f (kJ/mol)

-1116.7096 -266.9 -242.3 Fe₃O₄ -1013.7832

 ΔG_f (kcal/mol)

CaO∙SiO₂	-377.9	-357.5	-1581.1336	-1495.78			
CaCO₃	-289.5	-270.8	-1211.268	-1133.0272			
SiO ₂	-203.35	-190.4	-850.8164	-796.6336			
now we (i) can easily calculate all the K_a values at standard state							
$K_a^\circ = \exp\left(rac{-\Delta_{ ext{rxn}}G^\circ}{RT} ight)$							

Compound ΔH_f (kcal/mol)

```
r1\_coeffs = np.array([-1, -1, 1, 1])
temp = a4.loc[reaction1_components]
G1 = (temp['delta_g_form'].astype(float) * r1_coeffs).sum() * 1000
reaction2_components = ['Fe0*Si02', 'C02', 'Si02', 'Fe304', 'C0']
 r2\_coeffs = np.array([-3, -1, 3, 1, 1])
temp = a4.loc[reaction2_components]
G2 = (temp['delta_g_form'].astype(float) * r2_coeffs).sum() * 1000
reaction3_components = ['Fe0*Si02', '02', 'Fe304', 'Si02']
r3\_coeffs = np.array([-3.0, -0.5, 1.0, 3.0])
temp = a4.loc[reaction3_components]
G3 = (temp['delta_g_form'].astype(float) * r3_coeffs).sum() * 1000
print(f'G1: {G1:.1f} J/mol\nG2: {G2:.1f} J/mol\nG3: {G3:.1f} J/mol')
Gs = np.array([G1, G2, G3])
K = np.exp(-Gs / (R*298.15))
print(f'Ko: {K}')
G1: 35253.6 J/mol
G2: 33516.0 J/mol
```

very quickly we can guess that the first two reactions should be farely reactant favored and the 3rd reaction should be heavily product favored. this approach is very similar to (13.1-22b) (and for some reason there are two equations tagged 13.1-22b). here's the outline:

difference in temperature between 298.15 and the ~750K

Ko: [6.66555768e-07 1.34354074e-06 1.54078254e+39]

ullet using $\Delta_{ ext{rxn}}G$ to find K at 298.15 K ullet using enthalpies of formations, reaction stoichiometry, and C_P polynomials to correct K for the

now let's get down to the mathy business. we need to get $\Delta_{
m rxn} H^{\circ}(T)$

ullet using free energies of formations and reaction stoichiometry to get $\Delta_{
m rxn} G$

$$C_p = a + bT + e/T^2$$

 $\Delta_{ ext{rxn}} H(T) = \Delta_{ ext{rxn}} H^{\circ}(298.15) + \int_{ ext{res}}^{T} \Delta_{ ext{rxn}} C_p \ dT$

 $\int_{T_{-}}^{T_{2}} C_{p} = a[T_{2} - T_{1}] + rac{1}{2}b[T_{2} - T_{1}]^{2} + e\left[rac{1}{T_{1}} - rac{1}{T_{2}}
ight]$

thermo_data = a4.loc[species]

then

and then

if the C_p is in the form of

G3: -223684.0 J/mol

$$\ln rac{K_a(747)}{K_a(298.15)} = \int_{208.15}^{747} rac{\Delta_{
m rxn} H(T)}{RT^2} \ dT$$

heat_capacity = pd.read_csv('heat_capacity.csv', index_col=0).fillna(0) # table from ques

delta_H = (thermo_data['delta_h_form'].astype(float) * coeffs).sum() * 1000 # J/mol

def calculate_K_at_T(T, species, coeffs): cp_data = np.array(heat_capacity.loc[species]) coeffs = np.array(coeffs) delta_a, delta_b, delta_c, delta_d, delta_e = (cp_data * coeffs[:, np.newaxis]).sum(a

 $delta_G = (thermo_data['delta_g_form'].astype(float) * coeffs).sum() * 1000 # J/mol$ T1 = 298.15 $K_298 = np.exp(-delta_G / (R * T1))$ $term1 = (delta_a/R) * np.log(T/T1)$ term2 = $(delta_b/(2*R)) * (T - T1)$ term3 = (delta c/(6*R)) * (T**2 - T1**2) $term4 = (delta_d/(12*R)) * (T**3 - T1**3)$ term5 = $(delta_e/(2*R)) * (1/T**2 - 1/T1**2)$ bracket_term = (-delta_H + delta_a*T1 + (delta_b/2)*T1**2 + $(delta_c/3)*T1**3 + (delta_d/4)*T1**4 - delta_e/T1)$ $term6 = (1/R) * bracket_term * (1/T - 1/T1)$ ln_ratio = term1 + term2 + term3 + term4 + term5 + term6 $K_T = K_298 * np.exp(ln_ratio)$ return K_T K1, K2, K3 = [calculate_K_at_T(747, components, coeffs) for components, coeffs in zip([reaction1_components, reaction2_components, reaction3_components], [r1_coeffs, r2_coeffs, r3 print(f'K1: {K1:.3f}') print(f'K2: {K2:.3f}') print(f'K3: {K3:.3e}') K1: 340.891 K2: 0.254

Mole Percent

99.9 -

 1×10^{-6}

 $CaCO_3 + SiO_2 \leftrightharpoons CaO \cdot SiO_2 + CO_2$

trace

H₂O 0.01 CO 0.01 $1 imes 10^{-1}$ HCI

now we/i can use the K values and compare them to the atomsphere

 $3\text{FeO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \leftrightharpoons 3\text{SiO}_2 + \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{CO}$ $3 \text{FeO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 \leftrightharpoons \text{Fe}_3 \text{O}_4 + 3 \text{SiO}_2$ right off the bat it makes sense that there's basically no oxygen in the atmosphere since the only reaction including oxygen gas is so heavily product favored. now for the ratio of CO_2 we can look at the second constant.

generally consistent with the idea that the atmosphere should be mostly $m CO_2$

which doesn't quite line up with the measurements, but at least the measurements show that there should be significantly more
$${
m CO}_2$$
 than ${
m CO}$. if we look at the first reaction (say the pressure is 100 leaves)

 $K_{lpha,2}=rac{lpha_{CO}}{lpha_{CO2}}=0.254$

should be significantly more ${
m CO}_2$ than ${
m CO}$. if we look at the first reaction (say the pressure is 100 bar

$$K_{lpha,1} = lpha_{{
m CO}_2} = 341 = y_{{
m CO}_2} \left(rac{P}{1~{
m bar}}
ight) = y_{{
m CO}_2} \left(rac{100~{
m bar}}{1~{
m bar}}
ight)$$
 $y_{{
m CO}_2} = 3.41$

obviously this is inconsistent with reality (the ${
m CO}_2$ is likely not an ideal gas at 100 bar), but it is

```
# filler
```

or so)

K3: 4.141e+14

Species

CO₂

HF

 O_2