## cheg325 homework 5 SIS 11.2-4

**AUTHOR** k. wodehouse **PUBLISHED** March 28, 2025

(a)

starting off with our most useful equation for liquid liquid equlibrium

$$x_1^I\gamma_1^I=x_1^{II}\gamma_1^{II}$$

now if we apply the data given in the problem statement

$$0.48\gamma_1(x_1=0.48)=0.94\gamma_1(x_1=0.94)$$

we know the one constant margules is as follows

$$rac{G^{
m ex}}{RT} = Ax_1x_2 \ \ln \gamma_1 = Ax_2^2 \$$

 $|x_1^I \exp[A(1-x_1^I)^2] = x_1^{II} \exp[A(1-x_1^{II})^2]$ 

$$egin{align} rac{\exp[A(1-x_1^{II})^2]}{\exp[A(1-x_1^{II})^2]} &= rac{x_1^I}{x_1^{II}} \ \exp[A(1-x_1^{II})^2 - A(1-x_1^I)^2] &= rac{x_1^I}{x_1^{II}} \ Aig((1-x_1^{II})^2 - (1-x_1^I)^2ig) &= \lnrac{x_1^I}{x_1^{II}} \ \end{align}$$

which gives

$$A = rac{\lnrac{x_1^I}{x_1^{II}}}{(1-x_1^{II})^2-(1-x_1^I)^2} = 2.52$$

```
import numpy as np
A = np.log(0.48/0.94) / ((1-0.94)**2 - (1-0.48)**2)
print(f'A: {A:.2f}')
```

A: 2.52

being perfectly symmetric which means our activity coefficients are symmetric too. we need to check this to confirm or reject the 1-constant margules as modeling the system well.

which is fine and all, but for our 1-constant margules we know that the excess gibbs is modeled as

```
A = np.log((1-0.48)/(1-0.94)) / ((0.94)**2 - (0.48)**2)
print(f'A: {A:.2f}')
```

A: 3.31

values at infinite dilution and cannot be accurately modeled with the one-constant margules model.

(b)

regular solution theory  $RT \ln \gamma_i = V_i (\delta_i - \bar{\delta})^2$ 

this part is a little annoying since i already arbitrarily defined benzene as component 1. looking at our

(9.6-11)

 $\gamma_i = \exp\left(rac{ar{V}_i(\delta_i - \delta)^2}{RT}
ight)$ 

 $\bar{\delta} = (1 - \Phi_2)\delta_1 + (\Phi_2)\delta_2 = \Phi_2(\delta_2 - \delta_1) + \delta_1$ which makes

and like... the  $\overline{\delta}$  is (for each phase)

$$(\delta_1-ar{\delta})^I=(\delta_1-\Phi_2(\delta_2-\delta_1)-\delta_1)=-\Phi_2(\delta_2-\delta_1)$$

 $((\delta_1 - \bar{\delta})^2)^I = \Phi_2^2 (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2$ 

so our activity coefficient is

and, somewhat obviously

$$\gamma_i = \exp\Bigl(rac{ar{V}_i\Phi_j^2(\delta_1-\delta_2)^2}{RT}\Bigr)$$

now we just have the same problem as before but this time i'll be even more lazy and let mathematica

 $V1 = 89.0 * 1e-3# cm^3 / mol --> m3/mol$ 

phi21 = (1-x11) \* V2 / (x11\*V1 + (1-x11)\*V2)phi22 = (1-x12) \* V2 / (x12\*V1 + (1-x12)\*V2)

V2 = 0.226

x11 = 0.48x12 = 0.94

do the algebra for me because its 11:19pm on a tuesday during spring break. 
$$x_1^I\gamma_1^I=x_1^{II}\gamma_1^{II}$$

 $x_1^I \exp \left( \frac{\underline{V}_1(\Phi_2^I)^2 (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2}{RT} \right) = x_1^{II} \exp \left( \frac{\underline{V}_1(\Phi_2^{II})^2 (\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2}{RT} \right)$ 

now we're finally left with n equations and n unkonwns the other equations are

from table 9.6-1 we get V for benzene and the other is given in the problem.

$$egin{align} \Phi_2^I &= rac{(1-x_1^I)\underline{V}_2}{x_1^I\underline{V}_1 + (1-x_1^I)\underline{V}_2} \ \Phi_2^{II} &= rac{(1-x_1^{II})\underline{V}_2}{x_1^{II}\underline{V}_1 + (1-x_1^{II})\underline{V}_2} \ \end{aligned}$$

print(f'Phi\_2 I: {phi21:.4f}') print(f'Phi 2 II: {phi22:.4f}') phi11 = 1 - phi21phi12 = 1 - phi22print(f'Phi\_1 I: {phi11:.4f}') print(f'Phi\_1 II: {phi12:.4f}')

Phi\_2 I: 0.7334 Phi\_2 II: 0.1395 Phi\_1 I: 0.2666 Phi\_1 II: 0.8605

now we can calculate the solubility parameter for perfluoro-n-heptane by isolating  $(\delta_1-\delta_2)$  and then using that to find our  $\delta_2$ . a very similar algebra process as the first part so i'll just cut to the chase  $(\delta_1 - \delta_2)^2 = rac{RT \ln rac{x_i^{II}}{x_i^I}}{rac{V_i ((\Phi_j^I)^2 - (\Phi_j^{II})^2)}}$ 

now we can just plug in the experimental data point given by the problem and find the values for 
$$\delta_2$$
 from scipy constants import R T = 273 15 + 100 0

from scipy.constants import R T = 273.15 + 100.0

```
leftside_1 = R*T * np.log(x12 / x11) / (V1 * (phi21**2 - phi22**2)) / 4184
d1_minus_d2_1 = np.sqrt(leftside_1)
delta_1 = 9.2
delta_2_1 = [delta_1 + d1_minus_d2_1, delta_1 - d1_minus_d2_1]
print(f"set: {np.round(delta_2_1,3)}")
set: [12.487 5.913]
```

the 5.91 value is pretty close to the value of 6 in the table so in some way it lines up with experiment. not sure how (without already knowing it's 6) you'd figure out to use the lower value.

```
# filler text
```