

# Homework #4: Machine Learning

Kyler Little

March 25, 2018

## Problem #1

Exercise 8.5

Show that the matrix  $Q$  described in the linear hard-margin SVM algorithm above is positive semi-definite (that is  $u^T Q u \geq 0$  for any  $u$ ).

From the problem statement,  $Q$  is defined to be:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0}_d^T \\ \mathbf{0}_d & I_d \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $u = \{u_0\} \times x \in R^{d+1}$ , where I augment an arbitrary element  $u \in R$  to  $x \in R^d$ . This simplifies notation a little bit. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} u^T Q u &= [u_0 \ x_1 \cdots x_d] Q [u_0 \ x_1 \cdots x_d]^T \\ &= [0 \ x_1 \cdots x_d] [u_0 \ x_1 \cdots x_d]^T \\ &= \|x\|^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $Q$  is positive semi-definite.

## Problem #2

Exercise 8.11

(a) Show that the problem in (8.21) is a standard QP-problem:

$$\begin{aligned} &\underset{\alpha \in R^N}{\text{minimize}} && \frac{1}{2} \alpha^T Q_D \alpha - 1_N^T \alpha \\ &\text{subject to} && A_D \alpha \geq 0_{N+2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_D$  and  $A_D$  ( $D$  for the dual) are given by:

$$Q_D = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 y_1 x_1^T x_1 & \cdots & y_1 y_N x_1^T x_N \\ y_2 y_1 x_2^T x_1 & \cdots & y_2 y_N x_2^T x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_N y_1 x_N^T x_1 & \cdots & y_N y_N x_N^T x_N \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A_D = \begin{bmatrix} y^T \\ -y^T \\ I_{NxN} \end{bmatrix}$$

Starting from the standard QP-problem, I will derive the original problem (8.21) to show equivalence.

Firstly, it is obvious that  $-\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = [-1 \ \cdots \ -1][\alpha_1 \ \cdots \ \alpha_N]^T$ . Next, we will show equivalence of the first terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N y_i y_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j &= \alpha^T Q_D \alpha \\
&= [\alpha_1 \ \cdots \ \alpha_N] Q_D [\alpha_1 \ \cdots \ \alpha_N]^T \\
&= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i y_1 x_i^T x_1 \ \cdots \ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i y_N x_i^T x_N \right] [\alpha_1 \ \cdots \ \alpha_N]^T \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N y_i y_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, I'll show equivalence of the constraints.

$$A_D \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} y^T \\ -y^T \\ I_{N \times N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \alpha_i \\ -\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \alpha_i \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_N \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

This directly implies that  $\alpha_i \geq 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ . And then only way for  $\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \alpha_i \geq 0$  and  $-\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \alpha_i \geq 0$  is if  $\sum_{i=1}^N y_i \alpha_i = 0$ . Thus, the problems are equivalent.

(b) The matrix  $Q_d$  of quadratic coefficients is  $[Q_d]_{mn} = y_m y_n x_m^T x_n$ . Show that  $Q_d = X_s X_s^T$ , where  $X_s$  is the 'signed data matrix',

$$X_s = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 x_1^T \\ y_2 x_2^T \\ \vdots \\ y_N x_N^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence, show that  $Q_D$  is positive semi-definite.

First, note that  $X_s^T = [y_1 x_1 \ \cdots \ y_N x_N]$ . From there, it is pretty easy to see that

$$X_s X_s^T = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 x_1^T \\ y_2 x_2^T \\ \vdots \\ y_N x_N^T \end{bmatrix} [y_1 x_1 \ \cdots \ y_N x_N] = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 y_1 x_1^T x_1 & \cdots & y_1 y_N x_1^T x_N \\ y_2 y_1 x_2^T x_1 & \cdots & y_2 y_N x_2^T x_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_N y_1 x_N^T x_1 & \cdots & y_N y_N x_N^T x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

using basic matrix multiplication. To show  $Q_D$  is positive semidefinite, we first define arbitrary vector  $y \in R^N$ . Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
y^T Q_D y &= y^T X_s X_s^T y \\
&= (X_s^T y)^T X_s^T y \\
&= \|X_s^T y\|^2 \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $Q$  is positive semi-definite.

## Problem #3

Exercise 8.13

KKT complementary slackness gives that if  $\alpha_n^* > 0$ , then  $(x_n, y_n)$  is on the boundary of the optimal fat-hyperplane and  $y_n(w^{*T}x_n + b^*) = 1$ . Show that the reverse is not true. Namely, it is possible that  $\alpha_n^* = 0$  and yet  $(x_n, y_n)$  is on the boundary satisfying  $y_n(w^{*T}x_n + b^*) = 1$ . [Hint: Consider a toy data set with two positive examples at  $(0, 0)$  and  $(1, 0)$ , and one negative example at  $(0, 1)$ .]

Assuming we have that  $y_n(w^{*T}x_n + b^*) = 1$ , we need to show that it's possible for  $\alpha_n^* = 0$ . We can do so by using the toy data set described in the hint. In that problem, the optimal hyperplane is  $w = [0 \ -2]^T$  where  $b = 1$ . For this case, the class labels would have to be  $+1$  and  $-1$ . It's easy to see that the point  $(0, 1)$  is not a support vector. If we remove it, the optimal hyperplane wouldn't change. Thus,  $\alpha_n^* = 0$  for that data point. All that's left to show is that  $y_n(w^{*T}x_n + b^*) = 1$  for all three data points. If this is the case, we have all data points lying on the boundary but one point exists with  $\alpha_n^* = 0$ . For  $(0, 0)$ , we have  $+1((0 * 0 + -2 * 0) + 1) = 1$ . For  $(1, 0)$ , we have  $-1((0 * 0 + -2 * 1) + 1) = 1$ . Lastly, for  $(0, 1)$ , we have  $-1((0 * 1 + -2 * 0) + 1) = 1$ . Thus, there exists a counterexample, so the statement cannot be true.

## Problem #4

Problem 8.1

Consider a data set with two data points  $x_{\pm} \in R^d$  having class  $\pm 1$  respectively. Manually solve (8.4) by explicitly minimizing  $\|w\|^2$  subject to the two separation constraints. Compute the optimal (maximum margin) hyperplane  $(b^*, w^*)$  and its margin. Compare with your solution to Exercise 8.1.

The two separation constraints are:

$$\begin{aligned} w^T x_+ + b &\geq 1 \\ -w^T x_- - b &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two constraints yields:  $w^T(x_+ - x_-) \geq 2$ .

## Problem #5

Problem 8.2

Consider a data set with three data points in  $R^2$ :

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } y = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ +1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Manually solve (8.4) to get the optimal hyperplane  $(b^*, w^*)$  and its margin. The three constraints produced are:

$$\begin{aligned} -w^T x_1 - b &\geq 1 \leftrightarrow b \leq -1 \\ -w^T x_2 - b &\geq 1 \leftrightarrow w_2 - b \geq 1 \\ w^T x_3 + b &\geq 1 \leftrightarrow -2w_1 + b \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

At first glance, it seems we need to make  $b$  as small as possible, but this will actually make  $w$  quite large. Instead, we choose  $b = -1$  and sub in accordingly to get  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . This results in the optimal  $w$  and  $b$ . The values are:

$$(b^*, w^*) = (-1, [-1 \ 0]^T)$$

## Problem #6

Problem 8.4

Set up the dual problem for the toy data set in Exercise 8.2. Then, solve the dual problem and compute  $\alpha^*$ , the optimal Lagrange multipliers.

The toy data set is:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, y = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ +1 \end{bmatrix}, w = \begin{bmatrix} 1.2 \\ -3.2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } b = -0.5$$

The dual problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\alpha \geq 0} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 y_i y_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j + \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i y_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since I don't have a QP-solver and solving it by hand seemed extremely difficult, I merely used the facts that  $w = \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i y_i x_i$  and  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i y_i$  to solve for each  $\alpha_i$ . I obtained  $\alpha_1 = -0.6$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 1.6$ , and  $\alpha_3 = 2.2$ .