


Math 635: Cluster Varieties

Algebra, Topology, Geometry, Duality

Kyler Siegel
USC Spring 2026
https://kylersiegel.xyz/635_spring_2026.html



Disclaimer: These notes are based on handwritten lecture notes which were typeset and lightly edited with AI assistance. This typesetting process is not perfect and could have introduced some errors.

Contents

1 Lecture 1	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Total Positivity	4
1.3 Plücker Coordinates on Grassmannians	5
1.4 Positivity Testing for $\text{Gr}_{2,m}$	5
2 Lecture 2	8
2.1 Flag Positivity	8
2.2 Wiring Diagrams	9

3 Lecture 3	11
3.1 The Flag Variety and Basic Affine Space	11
3.2 Checking Total Positivity for $n \times n$ Matrices	11
3.3 Quivers and Their Mutation	12
3.4 Triangulations and Quivers	13
4 Lecture 4	14
4.1 Review: Triangulations and Quivers	14
4.2 Wiring Diagrams and Quivers	14
4.3 Plabic Graphs	15
4.4 Quivers from Plabic Graphs	16
4.5 Moves on Plabic Graphs	17
4.6 Mutation Equivalence	17
4.7 Finite Mutation Type	18
5 Lecture 5	19
5.1 Extended Exchange Matrices	19
5.2 Matrix Mutation	20
5.3 Skew-Symmetrizable Matrices	21
5.4 Diagrams and Uniqueness	22
5.5 Mutation Equivalence for Matrices	22
5.6 Labeled Seeds	23

1 Lecture 1

Date: January 12, 2026

Main reference: [FWZ21], §1–2.

1.1 Introduction

Roughly speaking:

- A **cluster variety** is a complex algebraic variety obtained by gluing together many copies of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$, where the gluing maps take a very particular form.
- A **cluster algebra** is the algebra of regular functions $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on a cluster variety.

Fomin–Zelevinsky, early 2000s: Introduced cluster algebras. They arise in many parts of mathematics and physics as a kind of “universal model” for mutation/wall-crossing phenomena:

- Quiver representation theory
- Teichmüller theory
- Poisson geometry
- Grassmannians
- Total positivity
- QFT scattering amplitudes (amplituhedron)
- Integrable systems
- String theory (BPS states)
- etc.

Gross–Hacking–Keel–Kontsevich (GHKK) [Gro+18]:

- Constructed canonical bases for cluster algebras.
- Established positivity of the Laurent phenomenon.
- Proof uses mirror symmetry for log Calabi–Yau varieties (which can be thought of as a generalization of toric varieties, related to almost toric fibrations in symplectic geometry).
- Many strong applications in representation theory, e.g., canonical bases for finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 1.1. The canonical bases were originally found independently by Lusztig and Kashiwara in the early 1990s using quantum groups. Amazingly, the construction of GHKK uses only general geometry—no representation theory!

1.2 Total Positivity

Definition 1.2. A matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ is **totally positive** (TP) if all of its minors are positive.

Gantmacher–Krein (1930s): If A is TP, then the eigenvalues of A are real, positive, and distinct.

Binet–Cauchy theorem: The TP matrices are closed under multiplication, and hence form a multiplicative semigroup $G_{>0}$.

Lusztig: Extended the definition of $G_{>0}$ to other semisimple Lie groups G .

More generally: If a given complex algebraic variety Z has a distinguished family Δ of regular functions $Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define the **TP variety** by

$$Z_{>0} := \{z \in Z \mid f(z) > 0 \text{ for all } f \in \Delta\}.$$

Example 1.3. For $Z = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, or $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we recover the above notion of TP, where $\Delta = \{\text{minors}\}$.

Example 1.4. The **Grassmannian** $\text{Gr}_{k,m}(\mathbb{C}) = \{k\text{-dimensional linear subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^m\}$, with $\Delta = \{\text{Plücker coordinates}\}$.

Example 1.5. Partial flag manifolds, homogeneous spaces for semisimple complex Lie groups, etc. (slight scaling ambiguity).

Lemma 1.6. A matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}$ has $\binom{2n}{n} - 1$ minors.

Proof. The number of minors is

$$\# = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k}^2.$$

By Vandermonde's identity:

$$\binom{m+w}{r} = \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{m}{k} \binom{w}{r-k}.$$

Setting $m = w = r = n$ gives

$$\binom{2n}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2,$$

from which the result follows. \square

Remark 1.7. To verify Vandermonde's identity, note that both sides count the number of subcommittees with r members, given a committee with m men and w women.

Question 1.8. Can we check that $A \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}$ is TP by only testing a subset of the $\binom{2n}{n} - 1$ minors? How many tests are needed?

Example 1.9. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}$. Define $\delta := ad - bc$, so $d = \frac{\delta+bc}{a}$. Thus, if $a, b, c, \delta > 0$, then d is automatically positive. This reduces $\binom{4}{2} - 1 = 5$ checks to 4 checks.

The goal is “efficient TP testing.”

1.3 Plücker Coordinates on Grassmannians

Given $A \in \text{Mat}_{k \times m}$ of rank k , we have $\text{rowspan}(A) =: [A] \in \text{Gr}_{k,m}$.

For $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|J| = k$, the **Plücker coordinate** is

$$P_J(A) := k \times k \text{ minor of } A \text{ corresponding to columns } J.$$

Note 1.10. For $A, B \in \text{Mat}_{k \times m}$ with $[A] = [B]$ (i.e., same row spans), the tuples $(P_J(A))_{|J|=k}$ and $(P_J(B))_{|J|=k}$ agree up to common rescaling. We thus get a map

$$\text{Gr}_{k,m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^{N-1}, \quad N = \binom{m}{k}.$$

In fact, this is an embedding, called the **Plücker embedding**.

Let $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_{k \times m}]$ denote the coordinate ring of $\text{Mat}_{k \times m}$, i.e., the polynomial algebra in variables x_{ij} for $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq m$.

Definition 1.11. The **Plücker ring** $R_{k,m}$ is the subring of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_{k \times m}]$ generated by P_J over all $J \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $|J| = k$.

Claim 1.12. *The ideal of relations in $R_{k,m}$ is generated by certain quadratic relations called the Grassmann–Plücker relations.*

Definition 1.13. The **totally positive Grassmannian** $\text{Gr}_{k,m}^+$ is the subset of $\text{Gr}_{k,m}$ consisting of those points whose Plücker coordinates are all positive (up to common scaling).

Note 1.14. For $A \in \text{Mat}_{k \times m}(\mathbb{R})$, we have $[A] \in \text{Gr}_{k,m}^+$ if and only if all $k \times k$ minors of A have the same sign.

Question 1.15. For $A \in \text{Mat}_{k \times m}(\mathbb{R})$, can we verify that all $k \times k$ minors are positive by only checking a subset of the $\binom{m}{k}$ minors? How many tests are needed?

(We may assume positive WLOG by rescaling.)

1.4 Positivity Testing for $\text{Gr}_{2,m}$

Claim 1.16. *Given $A \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times m}$, put $P_{ij} := P_{\{i,j\}}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. To check that all 2×2 minors $P_{ij}(A) > 0$, it suffices to check only the $2m - 3$ special ones.*

Note 1.17. $2m - 3 = \dim \text{Gr}_{2,m} + 1$.

Lemma 1.18. *For $1 \leq i < j < k < \ell \leq m$, we have the three-term Grassmann–Plücker relation:*

$$P_{ik}P_{j\ell} = P_{ij}P_{k\ell} + P_{i\ell}P_{jk}.$$

Remark 1.19. For an inscribed quadrilateral, Ptolemy's theorem (2nd century) gives

$$AC \cdot BD = AB \cdot CD + BC \cdot AD.$$

Example 1.20. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ e & f & g & h \end{pmatrix}$. We verify $P_{13}P_{24} = P_{12}P_{34} + P_{14}P_{23}$, i.e.,

$$(ag - ce)(bh - df) = (af - be)(ch - dg) + (ah - de)(bg - cf). \quad \checkmark$$




Figure 1: Inscribed quadrilateral for Ptolemy's theorem.




Figure 2: A triangulated polygon \mathbb{P}_m with vertices labeled $1, \dots, m$.

Put $\mathbb{P}_m =$ regular m -gon, and let T be a triangulation.

To each side or diagonal, associate P_{ij} , where i, j are the endpoints.

- **Cluster variables:** P_{ij} ranging over diagonals.
- **Frozen variables:** P_{ij} ranging over sides.
- **Extended cluster:** $\{\text{cluster vars}\} \cup \{\text{frozen vars}\} =: \tilde{x}(T)$.

Note 1.21. The extended cluster has $2m - 3$ variables, and we claim that these are algebraically independent.

Example 1.22. In the above picture, we have cluster variables $P_{17}, P_{27}, P_{47}, P_{24}$ and frozen variables $P_{12}, P_{23}, \dots, P_{78}, P_{18}$.

Theorem 1.23. *Each P_{ij} for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ can be written as a subtraction-free rational expression in the elements of a given extended cluster $\tilde{x}(T)$.*

Corollary 1.24. *If each $P_{ij} \in \tilde{x}(T)$ evaluates positively on a given $A \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times m}$, then all of the $2m - 3$ of the $\binom{m}{2}$ minors of A are positive.*

Proof of Theorem. Follows by combining:

- (1) Each P_{ij} appears as an element of an extended cluster $\tilde{x}(T)$ for some triangulation T of \mathbb{P}_m .
- (2) Any two triangulations of \mathbb{P}_m are related by a sequence of **flips**.
- (3) For a flip, replace P_{ik} with $P_{j\ell}$. Using the three-term GP relation, we have

$$P_{ik} = \frac{P_{ij}P_{k\ell} + P_{i\ell}P_{jk}}{P_{j\ell}}.$$




Figure 3: A flip replaces one diagonal with another in a quadrilateral.

Remark 1.25. In fact, each Plücker coordinate P_{ij} can be written as a Laurent polynomial with positive coefficients in the Plücker coordinates from $\tilde{x}(T)$. This is an example of the **positive Laurent phenomenon**.

The combinatorics of flips is encoded by a graph:

- Vertices are triangulations.
- Edges are flips.

Each vertex has degree $m - 3$. In fact, this is the 1-skeleton of an $(m - 3)$ -dimensional convex polytope called the **associahedron** (discovered by Stasheff).




Figure 4: The associahedron for $m = 5$ (a pentagon).

Definition 1.26. A **cluster monomial** is a monomial in the variables of a given extended cluster $\tilde{x}(T)$.

Theorem 1.27 (19th century invariant theory). *The set of all cluster monomials gives a linear basis for the Plücker ring $R_{2,m}$.*




Figure 5: The associahedron for $m = 6$ (a 3-dimensional polytope).

2 Lecture 2

Date: January 14, 2026

Main reference: [FWZ21], §2–3.

2.1 Flag Positivity

Before moving to TP for $n \times n$ matrices, we discuss an intermediate notion called “flag positivity.” Put $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$.

Definition 2.1. Given $J \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$ nonempty, the **flag minor** P_J is the function $P_J: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$P_J(z) := z(\vec{e}_J) \mapsto \det(z_{\alpha\beta} \mid \alpha \leq |J|, \beta \in J),$$

i.e., the $|J| \times |J|$ minor which is “top-justified.”

Note 2.2. There are $2^n - 2$ flag minors.

Definition 2.3. An element $z \in G$ is **flag totally positive** (FTP) if all flag minors $P_J(z)$ are positive.

Question 2.4. Can we check FTP by only checking a subset of the $2^n - 2$ flag minors?

Claim 2.5. It suffices to check only $\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$ special flag minors.




Figure 6: A wiring diagram for $n = 3$: each pair of lines intersects exactly once.

2.2 Wiring Diagrams

Each pair of lines intersects exactly once.

We label each **chamber** by a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ indicating which lines pass below that chamber.




Figure 7: A wiring diagram with chamber labels.

Note 2.6. There are always $\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$ chambers.

Associated to each chamber is its **chamber minor** P_J , the flag minor corresponding to its subset $J \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Extended cluster: All chamber minors of a wiring diagram.

- **Cluster variables:** the chamber minors for bounded chambers.
- **Frozen variables:** the chamber minors for unbounded chambers.

There are $\frac{(n-1)n}{2}$ of these (the bounded chambers).

Theorem 2.7. Every flag minor can be written as a subtraction-free rational expression in the chamber minors of a given wiring diagram.

Corollary 2.8. If the $\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$ chamber minors evaluate positively at a matrix $z \in \mathrm{SL}_n$, then z is **FTP**.

Proof outline. Follows by:

- (1) Each flag minor appears as a chamber minor in some wiring diagram.
- (2) Any two wiring diagrams can be transformed into each other by a sequence of local **braid moves**.
- (3) Under each braid move, the collection of chamber minors changes by exchanging $Y \leftrightarrow Z$, and we have

$$YZ = AC + BD.$$




Figure 8: A braid move exchanges two adjacent crossings.

□

Remark 2.9. In fact, each flag minor can be written as a Laurent polynomial with positive coefficients in the chamber minors of a given wiring diagram.

3 Lecture 3

Date: January 23, 2026

Main reference: [FWZ21], §1.3, §1.4, §2.1.

3.1 The Flag Variety and Basic Affine Space

Put $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $B \subset G$ denote the subgroup of upper triangular matrices, and let $U \subset G$ denote the subgroup of unipotent lower triangular matrices, i.e., lower triangular matrices with 1's on the diagonal:

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Note 3.1. As a variety, $U \cong \mathbb{C}^{n(n-1)/2}$.

Similarly, let U^+ denote the subgroup of unipotent upper triangular matrices.

Definition 3.2. The (complete) **flag variety** is

$$\mathcal{F}\ell = B \backslash G = \{V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n \mid \dim V_i = i\}.$$

This is identified with the homogeneous space $B \backslash G$, where B acts on G by left multiplication.

Definition 3.3. The **basic affine space** is $U \backslash G$, where U acts on G by left multiplication.

Note 3.4. There is a natural projection $U \backslash G \rightarrow B \backslash G$, which is a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ -bundle (a torus bundle) over the flag variety.

Let $\mathbb{C}[G]$ denote the coordinate ring of $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and let $\mathbb{C}[G]^U$ denote the ring of U -invariant polynomials, where U acts by left multiplication on matrix entries.

Claim 3.5 (First and Second Fundamental Theorems of Invariant Theory).

(1) $\mathbb{C}[G]^U$ is generated by flag minors.

(2) The ideal of relations among flag minors in $\mathbb{C}[G]^U$ is generated by the **generalized Plücker relations**.

3.2 Checking Total Positivity for $n \times n$ Matrices

Given $I, J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of some cardinality, let Δ_J^I denote the minor of an $n \times n$ matrix determined by rows in I and columns in J . This extends to flag minors when $|I| = |J|$.

Double wiring diagrams: These are a generalization of the wiring diagrams from Lecture 2, used to study total positivity for $n \times n$ matrices.

Claim 3.6. Every minor Δ_J^I of a chamber can be written as a subtraction-free rational expression in the chamber minors of a given double wiring diagram.

Claim 3.7. Every minor is a chamber minor for some double wiring diagram.

The proof follows from:




Figure 9: A double wiring diagram for $n = 3$.

- (1) Any two double wiring diagrams can be linked by local moves.
- (2) Each local move relates chamber minors of different diagrams.
- (3) Each local double move satisfies a relation of the form $YZ = AC + BD$.

Remark 3.8. The graph with vertices given by double wiring diagrams and edges given by local moves is related to the theory of cluster algebras.

Remark 3.9. In fact, each minor can be written as a Laurent polynomial with positive coefficients in the chamber minors.

3.3 Quivers and Their Mutation

Definition 3.10. A **quiver** Q is a finite directed graph with:

- No loops (no arrows $i \rightarrow i$).
- No 2-cycles (no pairs of arrows $i \Rightarrow j$ going both directions).

Definition 3.11. Let Q be a quiver with vertices $\{1, \dots, n\}$. The **mutation** $\mu_k(Q) = Q'$ at vertex k is defined by:

- (1) Reverse the direction of all arrows incident to k .
- (2) For each path $i \rightarrow k \rightarrow j$, add an arrow $i \rightarrow j$.
- (3) Remove any 2-cycles that were created.




Figure 10: Illustration of quiver mutation at a vertex.

Exercise 3.12. Mutation is an involution, i.e., $\mu_k(\mu_k(Q)) = Q$.

Remark 3.13. If k, ℓ are vertices with no arrows between them, then mutations commute:

$$\mu_k(\mu_\ell(Q)) = \mu_\ell(\mu_k(Q)).$$

Exercise 3.14. For any quiver Q that is a tree with no triangles, show that one can get from any orientation to any other orientation by a sequence of mutations at sources and sinks.

3.4 Triangulations and Quivers

We can assign to each triangulation T of the polygon \mathbb{P}_m a quiver $Q(T)$.




Figure 11: A triangulation T of \mathbb{P}_m and its associated quiver $Q(T)$.

Exercise 3.15. If T' is obtained from T by a flip along diagonal γ , then

$$Q(T') = \mu_\gamma(Q(T)).$$

4 Lecture 4

Date: January 26, 2026

Main reference: [FWZ21], §2.2, §2.3, §2.4, §2.5, §2.6.

4.1 Review: Triangulations and Quivers

Example 4.1. Let T be a triangulation of \mathbb{P}_4 . Then a flip along a diagonal gives a new triangulation T' :



Figure 12: A flip between triangulations T and T' of \mathbb{P}_4 , and the corresponding quivers $Q(T)$ and $Q(T')$ related by mutation.

4.2 Wiring Diagrams and Quivers

Given a wiring diagram D , we can associate a quiver $Q(D)$.




Figure 13: A wiring diagram D and its associated quiver $Q(D)$.

Vertices: The vertices of $Q(D)$ are the chambers of D . A vertex is mutable if the corresponding chamber is bounded, and frozen otherwise.

Arrows: For chambers c, c' , we have an arrow $c \rightarrow c'$ in $Q(D)$ if one of the following holds:

- (i) The right end of c equals the left end of c' .
- (ii) The left end of c is directly above c' , and the right end of c' is directly below c .
- (iii) The left end of c is directly below c' , and the right end of c' is directly above c .




Figure 14: The arrow rules for chambers in a wiring diagram.

Exercise 4.2. If D, D' are wiring diagrams related by a braid move at chamber Y , then

$$Q(D') = \mu_Y(Q(D)).$$

Example 4.3. Figure 15 shows two wiring diagrams related by a braid move, and the corresponding quivers related by mutation at the central chamber.




Figure 15: A braid move on wiring diagrams and the corresponding quiver mutation.

4.3 Plabic Graphs

Remark 4.4. We also have an assignment

$$\text{double wiring diagram } D \rightsquigarrow \text{quiver } Q(D).$$

The description is more complicated, but it is a special case of the quiver associated to a planar bipartite graph.

Definition 4.5. A **plabic graph** G is a connected planar bipartite graph embedded in a disk, where:

- Each vertex is colored black or white and lies either in the interior of the disk or on its boundary.
- Each edge connects vertices of different colors and is a simple curve whose interior is disjoint from the other edges and the disk boundary.
- For each face (connected component of complement), the closure is simply connected.
- Each interior vertex has degree ≥ 2 .
- Each boundary vertex has degree 1.

Note 4.6. We consider plabic graphs up to isotopy.




Figure 16: An example of a plabic graph.

4.4 Quivers from Plabic Graphs

Given a plabic graph G , we can associate a quiver $Q(G)$:

Vertices: The vertices of $Q(G)$ are the faces of G . A vertex is frozen if the corresponding face is incident to the disk boundary, and mutable otherwise.

Arrows: For each edge of G , we have an arrow joining the two faces it separates, using the following orientation rule:



Figure 17: The orientation rule for arrows: the arrow points so that the white vertex is on the left.

Finally, remove oriented 2-cycles.

Example 4.7. Figure 18 shows a plabic graph G and the construction of its quiver $Q(G)$.




Figure 18: A plabic graph G and its associated quiver $Q(G)$, after removing oriented 2-cycles and arrows between frozen vertices.

4.5 Moves on Plabic Graphs

Definition 4.8. Say a vertex v is **bivalent** if it is adjacent to two interior vertices.

Remark 4.9. Contracting or decontracting a bivalent vertex does not change the associated quiver.

Definition 4.10. Say G has a **quadrilateral** if it has a face whose vertices have degree ≥ 3 .

Exercise 4.11. If G, G' are related by a spider move, then $Q(G), Q(G')$ are related by mutation.

Example 4.12. Figure 21 shows two plabic graphs related by a spider move, and the corresponding quivers.

4.6 Mutation Equivalence

Definition 4.13. Two quivers Q, Q' are **mutation equivalent** if Q becomes isomorphic to Q' after a sequence of mutations.

Definition 4.14. Put

$$[Q] := \{\text{all quivers which are mutation equivalent to } Q\}/\text{isomorphism.}$$

Example 4.15. Let Q be the A_3 quiver (three vertices in a line):

$$\bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$$

Then $[Q]$ has 4 elements:




Figure 19: Contraction and decontraction moves on a bivalent vertex.




Figure 20: The spider move on a quadrilateral face.

Exercise 4.16. Show that $[Q]$ has exactly 4 elements for Q the A_3 quiver.

Example 4.17. Let Q be the “Markov quiver”:

In fact, $[Q]$ is just a single element (the Markov quiver is mutation equivalent only to itself).

4.7 Finite Mutation Type

Definition 4.18. A quiver Q has **finite mutation type** if $[Q]$ is finite.

Remark 4.19. There is a classification theorem for quivers with no frozen vertices and finite mutation type.

Definition 4.20. A quiver Q is **acyclic** if it has no oriented cycles.

Theorem 4.21 (Caldero–Keller '06). *If Q, Q' are acyclic and mutation equivalent, then we can transform Q into Q' by a sequence of mutations at sources and sinks. In particular, Q and Q' have the same underlying undirected graphs.*




Figure 21: Two plabic graphs related by a spider move, and their quivers related by mutation.

5 Lecture 5

Date: January 28, 2026

5.1 Extended Exchange Matrices

Definition 5.1. Let Q be a quiver with vertices labeled by $1, \dots, m$, such that $1, \dots, n$ are the **mutable** vertices (with $n \leq m$). The **extended exchange matrix** is

$$\tilde{B}(Q) = (b_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}, \quad \text{where} \quad b_{ij} = \begin{cases} \ell & \text{if } \ell \text{ arrows } i \rightarrow j \\ -\ell & \text{if } \ell \text{ arrows } j \rightarrow i \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

This is an $m \times n$ matrix. The **exchange matrix** is the submatrix

$$B(Q) := (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

which is an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix.




Figure 22: The mutation equivalence class of the A_3 quiver.



Figure 23: The Markov quiver.

Example 5.2. Consider the quiver Q with mutable vertices 1, 2, 3 and frozen vertices 4, 5: The extended exchange matrix is

$$\tilde{B}(Q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(Q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 5.3. Let Q be the Markov quiver. Figure 25 shows the extended exchange matrices for Q and two of its mutations.

Remark 5.4. Reordering the vertices of Q results in simultaneously reordering the rows $1, \dots, n$ and reordering the columns $1, \dots, m$.

5.2 Matrix Mutation

Lemma 5.5. For a quiver Q with $\tilde{B}(Q) = (b_{ij})$ and $Q' = \mu_k(Q)$ for a mutable vertex k of Q , we have $\tilde{B}(Q') = (b'_{ij})$ with

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k \\ b_{ij} + b_{ik}b_{kj} & \text{if } b_{ik}b_{kj} > 0 \\ b_{ij} - b_{ik}b_{kj} & \text{if } b_{ik}b_{kj} < 0 \\ b_{ij} & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Note 5.6. One can replace the middle two cases with

$$b'_{ij} = b_{ij} + |b_{ik}|b_{kj} \quad \text{if } b_{ik}b_{kj} > 0.$$




Figure 24: A quiver with frozen vertices 4 and 5 (boxed), and its extended and exchange matrices.




Figure 25: The Markov quiver and extended exchange matrices for mutations.

Example 5.7. Figure 26 shows an example of matrix mutation.

5.3 Skew-Symmetrizable Matrices

Definition 5.8. An $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is **skew-symmetrizable** if for some $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we have

$$d_i b_{ij} = -d_j b_{ji}.$$

(I.e., B becomes skew-symmetric after rescaling the rows by positive integers.)

Definition 5.9. An $m \times n$ matrix is **extended skew-symmetrizable** if the top $n \times n$ submatrix is skew-symmetrizable.

Definition 5.10. For $\tilde{B} = (b_{ij})$ an extended skew-symmetrizable $m \times n$ matrix and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we define $\mu_k(\tilde{B}) = (b'_{ij})$ using the same formula (*).

Exercise 5.11. (1) $\mu_k(\tilde{B})$ is again extended skew-symmetrizable, using the same d_1, \dots, d_n .

$$(2) \mu_k(\mu_k(\tilde{B})) = \tilde{B}.$$

$$(3) \mu_k(-\tilde{B}) = -\mu_k(\tilde{B}).$$

$$(4) \text{ If } b_{ij} = b_{ji} = 0, \text{ then } \mu_i \mu_j \tilde{B} = \mu_j \mu_i \tilde{B}.$$




Figure 26: An example of quiver mutation μ_2 and the corresponding matrix mutation.

5.4 Diagrams and Uniqueness

Definition 5.12. For a skew-symmetrizable $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$, its **diagram** is the weighted directed graph $\Gamma(B)$ with vertices $1, \dots, n$ and $i \rightarrow j$ if and only if $b_{ij} > 0$, with weight $|b_{ij}b_{ji}|$.

Lemma 5.13. *If the diagram $\Gamma(B)$ of an $n \times n$ skew-symmetrizable matrix B is connected, then the skew-symmetrizing vector (d_1, \dots, d_n) is unique up to rescaling.*

Proof. By connectedness, there is an ordering l_1, \dots, l_n of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for each $j \geq 2$ we have $b_{l_i l_j} \neq 0$ for some $i < j$.

If (d_1, \dots, d_n) and (d'_1, \dots, d'_n) are skew-symmetrizing vectors, we have $d_i b_{ij} = -d_j b_{ji}$ and $d'_i b_{ij} = -d'_j b_{ji}$ for all i, j .

If $b_{ij} \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{b_{ij}}{b_{ji}} = \frac{-d_j}{d_i} = \frac{-d'_j}{d'_i}.$$

Thus $\frac{d_j}{d'_j} = \frac{d_i}{d'_i}$. □

5.5 Mutation Equivalence for Matrices

Definition 5.14. Two extended skew-symmetrizable matrices \tilde{B}, \tilde{B}' are **mutation equivalent** if one can get from \tilde{B} to \tilde{B}' by a sequence of mutations followed by a reordering of the rows and columns in the sense from before. Put

$$[B] := \text{mutation equivalence class of } B.$$

Proposition 5.15. *For an $n \times n$ skew-symmetrizable matrix, its rank and determinant are preserved by mutations.*

Proof. One can write

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{if } k \in \{i, j\} \\ b_{ij} + \max(0, -b_{ik})b_{kj} + b_{ik} \max(0, b_{kj}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k(B) &= J_{m,k} \tilde{B} J_{n,k} + J_{m,k} \tilde{B} F_k + E_k \tilde{B} J_{n,k} \\ &= (J_{m,k} + E_k) \tilde{B} (J_{n,k} + F_k) \end{aligned}$$

where:

- $J_{m,k}$ (resp. $J_{n,k}$) is a diagonal $m \times m$ (resp. $n \times n$) matrix with 1s on the diagonal except for -1 in the (k,k) entry.
- $E_k = (e_{ij})$ is an $m \times m$ matrix with $e_{ik} = \max(0, -b_{ik})$ and all other entries 0.
- $F_k = (f_{ij})$ is an $n \times n$ matrix with $f_{kj} = \max(0, b_{kj})$ and all other entries 0.

Note: $E_k \tilde{B} F_k = 0$ since $b_{kk} = 0$.

We have $\det(J_{m,k} + E_k) = \det(J_{n,k} + F_k) = -1$. □

5.6 Labeled Seeds

Definition 5.16. A **labeled seed of geometric type** in $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ (the field of rational functions) is a pair (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B}) where:

- $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is an m -tuple of elements of \mathcal{F} which form a free generating set (i.e., $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and x_1, \dots, x_m are algebraically independent).
- $\tilde{B} = (b_{ij})$ is an $m \times n$ extended skew-symmetrizable matrix.

We say:

- \mathbf{x} is the (labeled) **extended cluster** of (\mathbf{x}, \tilde{B}) .
- (x_1, \dots, x_n) is the (labeled) **cluster**.
- x_1, \dots, x_n are the **cluster variables**.
- x_{n+1}, \dots, x_m are the **frozen variables**.
- \tilde{B} is the **extended exchange matrix**.
- Its top $n \times n$ submatrix B is the **exchange matrix**.

Example 5.17. Figure 27 shows two labeled seeds Σ and Σ' related by mutation, with $m = 3$ and $n = 2$.

For Σ : the extended cluster is $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, the cluster is (x_1, x_2) , the cluster variables are x_1, x_2 , the frozen variable is x_3 , and

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For Σ' : the extended cluster is $\mathbf{x}' = \left(x'_1, \frac{x_1+x_3}{x_2}, x_3\right)$, the cluster variables are $x'_1, \frac{x_1+x_3}{x_2}$, and

$$\tilde{B}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

References

- [FWZ21] Sergey Fomin, Lauren Williams, and Andrei Zelevinsky. *Introduction to Cluster Algebras*. Chapters 1–6, arXiv:1608.05735. 2021.
- [Gro+18] Mark Gross, Paul Hacking, Sean Keel, and Maxim Kontsevich. “Canonical bases for cluster algebras”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 31.2 (2018), pp. 497–608.

$F_{1c} = (f_{ij})$ is the $n \times n$ matrix with $f_{kj} = \max(b_{ij}, b_{kj})$ and all other entries 0.

Note: $E_{1c} \tilde{B} F_{1c}$ since $b_{1i} = 0$

Have $\det(I_{n,k} + E_{1c}) = \det(I_{n,k} + F_{1c}) = -1$.

Def: A labeled seed of geometric type in $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a pair (\mathcal{X}, \tilde{B}) where flat of rational functions over \mathbb{C}

$\mathcal{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is an unfrozen multiple of elts of \mathcal{G} which form a free generating seed

$\tilde{B} = (b_{ij})$ is an max extended matrix i.e. $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and x_1, \dots, x_n alg. indep.

We say:

\mathcal{X} is the labeled cluster of (\mathcal{X}, \tilde{B})

$\mathcal{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the labeled cluster

x_1, \dots, x_n are the cluster variables

x_1, \dots, x_n are the frozen variables

\tilde{B} is the extended exchange matrix

its top $n \times n$ submatrix B is the exchange matrix

<u>ext</u> :	<u>extended cluster</u>	Σ	Σ'
extended cluster	$\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$	$x_1 = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$	$x_1' = (x_1, \frac{x_1+x_2}{x_2}, x_3)$
cluster vars	x_1, x_2	x_2	$x_2' = \frac{x_1+x_2}{x_2}$
frozen vars	x_3	x_3	x_3
extended exchange matrix	$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$B' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$
exchange matrix	$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$B' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Here $n=3$, $m=2$.




Figure 27: Two labeled seeds Σ and Σ' related by mutation at vertex 1.