Project 3 Report

Name: Kyle Fiori

Class: CMSC-430

Assignment: Project 4

Date 2024-08-06

Approach

I followed the recommended approach to a 'T' with this project. I started with the skeleton and added all additional tokens and semantic rules from Project2. Once completing these, I tested the "valid" tests that were included with the materials. There were some warnings at compilation but they had no affect on the output so I ignored them for the time being. I began to tackle the new rules 1 by 1. At first I felt a little "in the dark" about how the given functions worked in types.cc. After trial and error I began to get the rules 1 by 1. The biggest issue was figuring out when a function needed to return a type and be void. The next holdup was figuring out how to catch duplicate variables all the way at the end. This simply involved adding a new method at the end of parsery to pass the correct information to a function in types.cc. Once implemented I cleared up some of the extra warnings with the compile.

Testing

I ran every test case given with the project that added additional features. The test results are as seen below:

Test# Description Screenshot Pass/Fail

• Single semantic error expected
• Features tested:

1 List with mismatching element types

1 of 4 8/6/24, 7:11 PM

• Single semantic error expected • Features tested: **Pass** 2 • List initialization with different element types • single semantic error expected • Features tested: 3 **Pass** • List reference with non integer subscript • Single semantic error expected • Features tested: • Relational operator where 4 **Pass** character is compared to numeric type • Two semantic errors expected • Features tested: o Arithmetic negation used on a **Pass** 5 character Character used with exponentiation operator • Single semantic error expected • Features tested: 6 **Pass** modulo operator used with real type • Single semantic error expected • Features tested: 7 **Pass** • If statement return types mismatching

2 of 4 8/6/24, 7:11 PM

8

9

11

12

- Successful compilation expected
- Features tested:
- Non numeric list used on fold statement
 - Single semantic error expected
 - Features tested:
 - Narrowing on variable initialization
 - Single semantic error expected
- Features tested:
 - Narrowing on function return
 - Two semantic errors expected
 - Features tested:
 - Duplicate initialization on variables
 - Seven semantic errors expected
 - Features tested:
 - Narrowing on variable initialization
 - List initialization type doesn't match list
 - type mismatch on variable initialization
 - Fold with non numeric list
 - o referencing undeclared scalar
 - list with differing element types
 - type mismatch on when statement return





3 of 4

Lessons Learned

This project gave me a better understanding of error checking and reporting within a compiler (or at least how to implement it with bison). As previous projects I think the most valuable skill gained was the ability to analyze a given code base, determine how it process information, and implementing new features to it. Overall I think this class did an okay job of introducing compilers. Enough hold a conversation and use the correct jargon about them. If I was not using Flex and Bison I think I would be pretty lost on implementing one myself. I'm not sure if these tools are industry standard or not for creating new compilers.

4 of 4 8/6/24, 7:11 PM