Comparative Analysis of Firearm Ownership and Crime Rates Across U.S. States

Ching Sze, Fung – 2313 7788

Introduction

Firearm ownership is always a controversial topic in the United States, where the right to bear arms is protected by the Constitution. However, individual states vary greatly in the regulations they impose on firearm ownership.

This project analyzes the correlation between firearm ownership, regulation strictness, and crime rates across various U.S. states. States like California, Massachusetts and New York have strict regulations, while Texas, Alaska and Wyoming are more lenient. By comparing data from both types of states, we aim to identify patterns and assess how regulation differences impact crime rates, offering insights into the relationship between gun ownership and crime.

Question

How does the level of firearm ownership and the stringency of restrictions correlate with crime rates across different states in the United States, and what differences can be observed between states with stricter vs. more lenient gun laws?

Data Sources

Data Source 1: FBI NICS Firearm	Data Source 2: Firearm Mortality by	Data Source 3: FBI Crime Data
Background Checks	<u>State</u>	
Description: The dataset is	Description: The dataset is sourced	Description: The dataset is sourced
sourced from Kaggle and	from the Centers for Disease Control	from the FBI's Centers for Crime
originally provided by the National	and Prevention (CDC). It provides	Data Explorer. It contains crime
Instant Criminal Background	firearm mortality statistics at the state	statistics across the United States,
Check System (NICS). It contains	level across the United States,	including detailed factors associated
the number of FBI NICS firearm	including annual data on deaths	with the crimes, such as "Type of
background checks by month,	resulting from firearm-related	Weapon Involved by Offense",
state, and type from November	incidents. The data includes	"Offense Linked to Another
1998 to 2023.	information such as the number of	Offense", and others.
This dataset provides insights into	firearm-related deaths, categorized by	This dataset provides valuable
the stringency of firearm	state and year.	insights into crime rates across
restrictions across different states,	This dataset is a crucial source for	different U.S. states and helps to
as it includes mandatory	understanding trends in firearm-	identify the factors contributing to
background checks for citizens	related mortality.	various types of offenses.
wishing to purchase firearms.		
Structure and Quality: The data	Structure and Quality: The data is	Structure and Quality: The data is
is organized in a fixed tabular	organized in a fixed tabular format	divided across 13 separate CSV files
format, with columns representing	with five columns: year, state, rate,	in a fixed tabular format. The quality
different permit types and	deaths, and url.	of the data is incomplete and
activities, collected monthly by	The quality of the data is	inconsistent, particularly in the
state. The quality of the data is	straightforward but includes all the	"Weapon Involved by Offense"
generally consistent and suitable	necessary information for analysis.	category, as it is not recorded on a
for analyzing firearm background		monthly or yearly basis, unlike the
checks.		estimated crime CSV file.
Licenses: Licensed under the MIT	Licenses: Licensed under Section	Licenses: Available under the FBI's
License. Data is from the FBI's	308(d) of the Public Health Service	FOIA Library. Data is used for
FOIA Library. Appropriate credit	Act and CIPSEA. Data is used for	transparency and academic purposes.

and a <u>link</u> to the license will be	statistical purposes. Credit to the	Appropriate credit and <u>link</u> to the			
provided.	CDC and a <u>link</u> to the license will be	privacy policy and terms of use will			
	provided.	be provided.			
Metadata URL: Kaggle - FBI	Metadata URL: CDC - Firearm	Metadata URL: FBI Crime Data			
NICS Firearm Background Checks	Mortality by State	<u>Explorer</u>			
Data URL: FBI NICS Firearm					
Background Checks Raw Data					

Data Pipeline

The ETL(Extract, Transform, Load) pipeline is implemented using python to handle both data sources, each downloaded as a CSV directory within a zip archieve. This process involves extracting the right CSV file, transforming, and saving it as CSV format and SQLite databases. (See Figure 1) **1.Extraction:** The dataset source 1 is downloaded using the Kaggle API. For the dataset source 2, Selenium is used to automate the download of the CSV file from the CDC website. Then, multiple CSV files are downloaded directly for the dataset source 3. After extraction, the loaded data is processed using pandas for further transformation.

2.Transformation: The transformation process varies based on the specific data sources:

Data Source 1: FBI NICS Firearm	Data Source 2: Firearm Mortality by	Data Source 3: FBI Crime Data
Background Checks	<u>State</u>	
Filter Data: The rows are filtered	by 6 specific states, California, Massach	usetts, New York, Texas, Alaska and
Wyoming.		
Date Conversion: The "month"	Dropping Irrelevant Columns: The	Combined Dataset: The "Offense
column was converted to extract	"URL" column was dropped as it was	Linked to Another Offense Data"
only the year using	deemed irrelevant to the analysis.	and "Weapon Type Involved by
<pre>pd.to_datetime().</pre>		Offense Data" datasets both contain
	Data Type Conversion: The	columns named "Key" and "Value."
Grouping and Summarizing	"DEATHS" column was initially in a	First, data from both datasets is
Data: After extracting the year, the	string format that included commas,	filtered to include only six specific
data was grouped by "year" and	which made it unsuitable for numerical	states, "Weapon Law Violations,"
"state" and aggregated	operations. To address this, commas	and specific weapon types such as
using .sum(), which helps in	were removed using .replace() and	"Handgun," "Rifle," "Shotgun," etc.
summarizing the data to observe	the column was converted to integer	After filtering, the relevant CSV
yearly trends.	type using .astype(int).	files are combined, and the number
		of cases is summed.

3.Loading: The cleaned datasets are saved as CSV files and into an SQL database for future analysis.

Problems Encountered, Solutions, Meta-Quality Measures and Error Handling:

<u>File Download Automating:</u> Since Selenium is adopted for downloading CSV files from the CDC website, there are instances where the download takes longer, and no direct link to the CSV file can be fetched. To handle this, a timeout mechanism (time.sleep()) was implemented to allow sufficient time for the download to complete.

However, for dataset source 3, there are multiple download buttons (more than 5 to 6), and the CSV files are separated based on different crime characteristics. Using Selenium to automate these downloads was not feasible. Therefore, the CSV files were downloaded manually for data processing. Irregular Data Formatting: Some columns, such as the "value" column in Dataset Source 3, contained non-numeric entries, which were problematic for analysis. To address this, the entries were converted

to numeric using pd.to_numeric(). Any non-convertible entries were coerced to NaN and subsequently replaced with 0 to avoid errors.

Result and Limitations

Output Data: The final output includes four transformed and cleaned CSV files, as well as an SOLite database. All datasets are focused specific states: California, on six Massachusetts, and New York (representing states with strict firearm regulations), and Texas, Alaska, and Wyoming (representing states with more lenient restrictions). These datasets capture firearm background checks on permits and various types of firearms by state and year. Besides, two other datasets provide information on the firearm mortality rate, the number of deaths, and categorized crime rates by state and year, including the number of weapon types involved in the crimes. (See Tables 1-4)

Data Structure, Quality and Format: All cleaned datasets are organized in a tabular schema with defined data types for each attribute, such as Year, State, and specific features related to background checks, mortality rates, crime, and weapon types. After going through the transformation pipeline, the datasets were cleaned and consistent by removing irrelevant columns, normalizing data formats, and converting non-numeric values. Therefore, the dataset fulfills the criteria of completeness, consistency, timeliness, and relevance, as it contains the required information for further analysis and captures over 20 years of data. CSV files and an SQLite database were chosen for storage because they are easily accessible and allow for efficient querying and further analysis.

Reflection and Potential Issues: Although the datasets have been cleaned and transformed, the granularity might not fully capture a comprehensive comparison between states with strict and lenient firearm regulations. For Dataset 3, the weapon types involved in other offenses are not captured by year, making them inconsistent with the yearly totals of estimated crimes. This inconsistency could affect the accuracy and reliability of the analysis.

Furthermore, social crime rates can be influenced by various factors, such as current social issues, the economic situation of the state, and more. As a result, the stringency of firearm regulations and the level of firearm ownership may be just one of the factors relevant to crime rates.

Figure 1: ETL Pipeline




Table 1: FirearmBackgroundCheck cleaned dataset.csv

Year	State	Permit	Permit_recheck	handgun	Long_gun	 totals
2023	Wyoming	4495	122	18945	17979	 47238
2023	Texas	239276	0	495329	262526	 1153813
2023	New York	45951	24893	94475	100566	 286031
2023	Massachusetts	81549	4	44781	24282	 165835
2023	California	240772	128351	312780	197309	 1064943
2023	Alaska	1994	210	25414	21142	 55684
2022	Wyoming	5142	257	29273	27529	 70276

Table 2: FirearmMortalitybyState_cleaned_dataset.csv

Year	State	Rate	Deaths
2022	CA	8.6	3484
2022	NY	5.3	1044
2022	WY	20.4	124
2022	TX	15.3	4630
2022	AK	22.4	164
2022	MA	3.7	263
2021	CA	9	3576

Table 3: EstimateCrimes cleaned dataset.csv

Year	State_abbr	State_name	Population	Violent_crime	Homicide		Totals
2023	AK	Alaska	733,406	5,327	62		9218
2023	CA	California	38,965,193	198,036	1,929		331905
2023	MA	Massachusetts	7,001,399	21,998	146		39526
2023	NY	New York	19,571,216	76,298	595		127118
2023	TX	Texas	30,503,301	123,856	1,845		211615
2023	WY	Wyoming	584,057	1,116	18		1851
2022	AK	Alaska	733,583	5,627	70		9684

Table 4: CombinedData_Weapon_cleaned_dataset.csv

_							
Key	AK	CA	MA	NY	TX	WY	
Weapon Law Violations	294	6122	11635	11836	9025	36	
Violation of National	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Firearm Act of 1934							
Handgun	520	17073	24346	6084	156970	285	
Shotgun	114	403	865	263	6958	23	