The importance of Branding

Preprint · November 2021

1 author:

Ali Batan

The importance of Branding

Ali Batan

2021

"The act of providing a firm a particular design or emblem in order to advertise its products and services," according to the Cambridge Dictionary. This used to be a fairly accurate description of branding — at least, that was the common consensus at the time.

Branding is vital since it not only creates a lasting impression on customers, but it also informs them about what to expect from your business. It's a technique to set yourself apart from the competition by stating exactly what you have to offer that makes you the better option. Your brand is designed to accurately reflect who you are as a company and how you want to be perceived.

Let's clear something up: Whether or not the company does anything about it, reputation develops. The end consequence can be either a positive or negative reputation. Understanding and employing branding simply entails taking command of your reputation and attempting to shape it. This is why it is critical to think about branding from the start of your company.

Branding is not a "expensive marketing approach used only by major firms," contrary to popular opinion. Branding, on the other hand, is largely based on common sense and is greatly influenced by the market you're in and the level you wish to compete at. Because branding entails a continual combination of varied talents and activities, the price varies greatly from instance to case. Of course, high-level experts and flawless execution will cost more than anything else. Similarly, branding a multinational, multi-product company will be far more difficult and resource-intensive than, say, a local company. There is no such thing as a one-size-fits-all solution.

Branding boosts the value of a company.

When it comes to generating future business, branding is crucial, and a well-established brand can boost a company's value by providing it greater clout in the industry. Because of its well-established market position, it becomes a more tempting investment prospect.

SEE ALSO: Susan Avarde, Brandometry, in an interview about brand valuation and measurement standards.

The brand is the end outcome of the branding process, and it includes the associated reputation and value. A strong brand equals a solid reputation, which converts into value. Influence, price premium, or mindshare are all examples of value. The brand is a corporate asset with monetary value in and of itself, and it must have its own line item on a company's balance sheet because it boosts the company's overall value. Although it is a contentious topic and a challenging undertaking for many businesses, putting a monetary value on a brand is just as vital as the branding itself — this is known as 'brand valuation. '

The business side of branding is well-represented in our 'Brands in the Boardroom' series.

Branding brings in new customers.

A strong brand will have no issue generating new business through referrals. Strong branding often indicates that customers have a favorable view of the company, and they are more likely to conduct business with you due to the familiarity and assumed dependability of utilizing a name they recognize. Word of mouth will be the company's greatest and most effective advertising approach once a brand has established itself.

SEE ALSO: How Customers Perceive Brand Value: Brand Loyalty vs. Price Loyalty

The reputation of a brand precedes it, just like the reputation of a person. Once the market has developed a certain perception of the brand, an unstoppable chain of spread begins. The perception will spread through word of mouth, reinforcing or tarnishing the brand's reputation. If the brand has a good reputation, possible new customers may come into contact with it and form an already positive association with it, making them more likely to buy from it rather than the competition.

Employee pride and satisfaction are improved.

When an individual works for a firm with a strong brand and truly believes in it, they will be more content with their employment and take greater pleasure in the work they do. Working for a reputable brand that is well-liked by the public makes employment more pleasurable and gratifying.

As previously stated, a brand's stakeholders include not only customers but also staff. We must recognize that human interaction is the foundation of commerce, and that employees are a brand's first line of communication — its first ambassadors. Employees who have a positive impression of the brand will pass that impression on to the clients and partners with whom they deal. Better leadership, increased involvement, and better products and services can all result from this.

We recommend Christopher Abelt's expert articles for more information on the impact of branding on employees and the topic of employer branding.

Advertising, customer service, social responsibility, reputation, and graphics are just a few of the tools used to build a brand. All of these aspects (and many more) come together to form a singular and (hopefully) eye-catching profile.

What exactly is branding?

There would be less uncertainty and dissonance about branding if the concept could be explained simply. Still, a solid grasp of branding necessitates a good understanding of business, marketing, and even (human) relationship foundations. Because branding is such a broad term, a precise definition that captures everything it entails would be insufficient to shed light on the subject. However, in order to reduce the spread of outdated, erroneous, and incomplete information about branding, we suggest the following definition:

Branding is the ongoing process of finding, producing, and managing the assets and behaviors that form stakeholders' perceptions of a brand.

When compared to the official Cambridge definition, it is evident that the latter (Cambridge) provides more surface-level information, providing the reader a false sense of understanding. This could be one of the reasons why the vast majority of individuals believe that definition is right and use it as the basis for their understanding of the issue. In reality, basing your understanding of branding on a definition that boils it down to just one factor (visual identity) causes every other branding-related notion to fall short when it comes to connecting the dots.

Even while our definition of branding appears to be more confusing than the other, when we dig further into its meaning, it makes a lot more sense. Here's a quick rundown:

1. Ongoing procedure

Because it never stops, branding is a never-ending process. People, markets, and businesses are all evolving at the same time, and the brand must develop to keep up.

2. Recognize, produce, and manage

Branding is an organized process in which you must first determine who/what you want to be to your stakeholders, develop a brand strategy to position yourself correctly, and then manage everything that effects your positioning on a continuous basis.

3. Assets and activities in the aggregate

Your positioning must be converted into assets (e.g., visual identity, information, goods, commercials) and activities (e.g., services, customer service, human relations, experiences) that gradually build up that perception in the minds of your stakeholders.

4. A brand's perception

Reputation is another term for it. This is the mental association that a person (whether a customer or not) has with your brand. The branding approach has resulted in this perception (or lack thereof).

5. Involved Parties

Clients aren't the only ones who form an impression of your company in their heads. Potential clients, present consumers, employees, shareholders, and business partners are all stakeholders. Each person forms their own impression of the brand and interacts with it accordingly.

What is the significance of branding?

Because of the total impact it has on your organization, branding is vitally essential. Branding has the power to transform people's perceptions of your company, drive new business, and raise brand value – but it can also have the opposite effect if done incorrectly or not at all.

By reducing branding to its aesthetic component: visual identity, it was (and still is) misinterpreted. For many people, whether experts or not, branding is still primarily concerned with the visual identity - name, logo, design, packaging, and so on. Even high-level marketers preach the same old vision of branding, despite the fact that the notion of branding and its understanding have developed considerably over the years. It could relate to side brands, specific product branding, or multi-branding. In this strategy, each product sold to the market is given a unique brand name that is unrelated to the company's existing brands. Multiple brands with distinct names, identities, and pictures are controlled by a same corporation, allowing for demographic-specific pricing and marketing methods.

It could also relate to personal branding that people use to advertise themselves in some way. Individual branding is used by Tiger Woods, Roger Federer, and many other professional sportsmen to promote their work, generate new revenue streams, and provide a specific point of focus for advertisers and retailers. Individual branding would also be used by freelancers to sell oneself to potential clients.

The benefits and drawbacks of personalised product branding will be assessed for the purposes of this comparison. Here are some crucial topics to think about.

List of the Benefits of Personal Branding

1. It lessens the impact of a company's identity.

When a company pursues distinct branding for numerous items, it is able to position its brands in a variety of ways. It is no longer limited to a single demographic defined by the company's image. Every product has the ability to build its own personality, brand message, and identity. To the consumer, this means that the product brand takes on its own life. When it is the focus, it reduces the overpowering influence that a corporate identity can have.

2. It gives each brand the freedom to create its own marketing approach.

Because each product has its own unique brand message, it may create its own marketing strategy to target specific groups. Because there are no ties to a central brand or other items, a corporation can target a certain audience without affecting the impact of their other products. Different visuals and messaging can be utilized to target individual consumers who may not be interested in the company's other items or brands.

3. It allows for the development of multiple product grades.

One of the most significant benefits of individual branding is the flexibility to produce items of varying quality levels inside the same business structure. Each brand has the ability to target a specific audience that is drawn to the value proposition presented at each degree of quality. Even if the same company offers both, lower-quality products will not tarnish the image of higher-quality products because each relies on its own brand's reputation to create sales.

4. It enables a business to service clients in a variety of ways.

Consumers can be served in a variety of ways by each brand given when individual branding is applied. PepsiCo is an example of a company that sells a variety of food and beverage brands to consumers. Under the PepsiCo umbrella, there are 22 billion-dollar brands. The Naked, Sabra, and Quaker Oats brands cater to consumers who are concerned about making healthy food choices. Consumers interested in snacking should look for brands such as Ruffles, Lays, or Cheetos. Despite the fact that these are all PepsiCo products, consumers still have distinct demands that are satisfied, even if they are extremely different.

5. It separates a company's reputation from its products.

Individual branding ensures that the failure of a particular brand does not harm the company's local, national, or worldwide reputation. Using PepsiCo as an example, Slice's lemon-lime branding was initially successful in the 1980s when competing with 7-Up and Sprite. After a few years of strong performance, its market share decreased by a whole percentage point in just a year. The branding had failed by 2003, and PepsiCo had to replace it with a new brand: Sierra Mist. Despite the fact that Slice flopped, PepsiCo could rebrand the product and sell it effectively.

6. It has the ability to cultivate many levels of customer loyalty.

The modern shopper cultivates relationships with the brands that he or she like the most. These ties are viewed as positive, resulting in long-term devotion to the products offered. It is feasible to establish numerous levels of loyalty with a single consumer when they are drawn to multiple brands under the same company umbrella. This opens up the possibility of long-term, repeat sales, which leads to a healthy, growing company.

List of Individual Branding Disadvantages

1. It has the potential to make the home business unstable.

There will be one product that is more successful than the rest when individual branding is used. There will also be one brand that does not perform as well as the others. This could lead to a lack of stability within the company. Even if all brands are profitable, those engaged with the successful brands may be seen as having more power inside the firm. Employee conflict, market conflict, and consumer uncertainty can all result as a result of this.

2. It does not "deceive" all customers.

Some customers are wary about the personal branding process. They know the benefits it provides to businesses, which leads them to doubt the quality of the products on sale. Because people recognize the house organization of all brands, they will continue to correlate one brand failure with the other brands. Even if a rebranding campaign is ultimately successful, this means there will be some consumer loss in other brands.

3. It causes schisms within specific marketplaces.

With their potato chip brands, PepsiCo sees this as a negative. Ruffles are preferred by some customers, whereas Lays are preferred by others. Despite the fact that these brands cater to different portions of the potato chip industry (ruffle-cut vs. standard-cut chips), they are both available in stores across the United States, Canada, and the rest of the world. There is always a risk that by segmenting the entire market into sub-segments, the market would become permanently divided, requiring the company to spend twice as much to achieve the same outcomes as if only one branded product was there.

4. It raises the possibility of market cannibalism.

When a firm brings a new brand into the marketplace, there is a significant probability that the market for any current brands in that space will suffer. Despite the fact that the purpose is to target specific demographics that aren't interested in an older product, the new product will nonetheless take sales from the existing customer base. As a result, a new brand's success may be exaggerated, while the influence on an older brand may be underestimated, as people simply switch from the old to the new.

5. It necessitates numerous brand development costs.

When an organization uses this sort of branding, it has the advantage of individualization, but it also has the downside of various branding expenditures. You must put money into each brand you create for the things you sell on the market. That means that instead of having a single basic cost related with house organization, you have separate advertising and sales promotion costs for each product. Instead of managing one major organization, you're basically managing several tiny enterprises with distinct branding.

6. It increases the risks associated with new product introductions.

You can launch new goods under the same umbrella with a respectable amount of success if your home firm has a well-established brand and reputation. If the product offers good value and solves problems for customers, it will get the attention it needs to maintain its sales volume. When employing individual branding, each new brand must gain notoriety on its own. There is no consumer loyalty linked with it. Unless the marketing message has a good impact, the market will not recognise the new product.

7. It necessitates greater human resources.

When a company manages numerous brands under the same roof, it will demand extra human resources. It will necessitate additional technological resources. The requirement for internal and external financial sources will increase. Although this may result in more jobs and pay growth in an economy, it also necessitates a certain degree of expertise and skill that not every company has.

Individual branding has benefits and drawbacks that apply to all businesses. Large organizations benefit the most from the collaboration of several brands to produce multiple revenue streams. Small firms may lack the financial resources to launch many brands. Introducing any brand to a new market implies a certain amount of risk. In this region, having more brands increases the risk. At the same time, each brand stands on its own, allowing a parent firm to continue operating even if a product fails.