```
CSE 250A HW1
 Jiping Lin A15058075
1. (a) LHS = P(X,Y|E) = \frac{P(X,Y,E)}{P(E)}; RHS = P(X|Y,E)P(Y|E)
= P(X,Y,E)P(Y|E)
                                                                                                      = P(X,Y,E) P(YIE)
(b) P(XIY, E) P(YIE)
= P(X,Y|E) \text{ (by (a))}
= P(X,Y|E) \text{ (by (a))}
= P(X,X|E) = P(Y|X,E) P(X,E)
= P(X,Y,E) P(Y|E)
= P(X,Y,E) P(Y|E)
= P(X,Y,E) P(Y|E)
= P(X,Y,E) P(Y|E)
= P(X,Y,E) P(X|E)
= P(X,Y,E) P(E)
= P(X,Y,E)
= P(X,Y
  So LHS=RHS (=) P(X.E)= = P(X.Y=Y.E),
  where \mathcal{I}P(X,Y=Y,E) = \mathcal{I}P(X)P(E|X)P(Y=Y|X,E) Product Rule,
                                                          = P(X) P(E|X) = P(Y=Y|X,E)
= P(X) P(E|X) Since = P(Y=Y|X,E) sums over all
= P(X,E). Y given X,E so it is 1,
   7. (1)=)(2):
     (1)=) P(XIE) = P(X,YIE) = P(XIY,E)P(YIE)

P(YIE) P(YIE) by 1(a)
                                                                         = P(XIY,E)
    (2)=)(3):
    (2) => P(XIY,E)P(YIE) = P(XIE)P(YIE)
                    = P(X, YIE) by 1(a),
                    =P(Y, X IE) = P(YIX, E)P(XIE)
         =) P(XIE) P(YIE) = P(YIX, E)P(XIE) = P(YIE) P(YIX, E)
    (3)シ(1):
    (3) =) P(YIX, E) P(XIE) = P(YIE) P(XIE)
                  = P(Y, X IE) Tby 1(a), = P(X, Y IE)
   3. (a) Let X denote whether a driver got pulled over,
                                      Y denote whether the driver is drunk,
                                      2 denote whether the driver is speeding.
                   then P(X=1) < P(X=1) < P(X=1) < P(X=1) / 2=1)
         (b) Keep X.Y same, change 2 to whether he is driving in local
          Assuming more police on freeway, we have
                                      P(X=) | Y=1, Z=1) < p(X=1 | Y=1)
```

(c) Suppose Abby and Bob live in the same town but do not know each other and have no interaction but working in the same place, let X be Abby arrives late for work, Y be Bob arrives late for work.

Then X, Y are not independent since if X=1 (Abby late) the cause for it might also affect Y (e.g. traffic or weather), let Z be whether the work is remote, then if Z=1, then X and Y are conditionally independent given Z=1, since Z=1 rules out all possibilities that can cause both X=1 and Y=1.

4. (a)
$$D P(D=0)=0.99$$

$$P(D=1)=0.01$$

$$= P(T=0 \mid D=0) P(D=0) + P(T=0 \mid D=1) P(D=1)$$

$$= (1-0.05) 0.99 + (1-0.9) 0.01$$

By Baye's Rule, we have

$$P(D=0|T=0) = \frac{P(T=0|D=0)P(D=0)}{P(T=0)}$$

$$= \frac{(1-0.05)0.99}{0.9415}$$

$$P(D=1|T=1) = \frac{P(T=1|D=1) P(D=1)}{P(T=1)}$$
$$= \frac{0.9 \times 0.01}{1-0.9415} = 15.38\%$$

5. (a) Write
$$L(P_1, \dots, P_n, \Lambda) = -\frac{2}{2} P_i \log P_i + \lambda \left(\frac{2}{2} P_i - 1\right)$$
, then we want

and
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{1}{2}P_{i-1} = 0$$
 (=) $\frac{1}{2}P_{i} = 1$

We have
$$\lambda-1-\log P_i=0=)\log P_i=\lambda-1 \ \forall i=1,...,n$$
.
this implies $P_1=\cdots=P_n$, since $\frac{n}{i=1}P_i=1$,

then we must have Pi= 1, Vi.

(b) We first prove for any X, X, X, X, IIX, H(X,X)=H(X,)+H(X): We have $H(X_1, X_2) = -\frac{1}{2} P(X_1, X_2) \log P(X_1, X_2)$ = - \(\frac{7}{2}\) P(\(X_1)\) P(\(X_2\) (\(\log\)^2(\(X_1)\) + \(\log\)^2(\(X_1)\) = - = P(X,)P(X)/109P(X) - = P(X,)P(X)/109P(X) = - = P(X1) log P(X1) = P(X2) - = P(X1) = P(X2) log P(X2) =- = P(X1) log P(X1) - = P(X2) log P(X2) If we have Xi,..., Xn which are mutually independent, then H(X1 ... Xn) = H((X1 ... Xn-1)Xn) = H(X1 ... (Xn-1)+H(Xn) = ... = H(X1)+...+H(Xn) by induction. 6.(a) From the graph we can see that X-1 >, logx, Y x>0, and - Y=logx X-1 = log X (=) X = 1. Alternatively, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\log X - (X-1)] = \frac{1}{X} - 1 = O \iff X = 1,$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=1} = -\frac{1}{x^2}\Big|_{x=1} = -1 < 0$, SO X=1 is the global min of log X-(X-1), and since X=1 (=) log X-(X-1)=0, then $log X \le X-1$ $\forall X$ and "=" holds only when X=1. (b) KL(p,9)= = Pilog(1) $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log((\frac{e_i}{p_i})^{-1})$ $= -\frac{7}{2} P_i \log \left(\frac{q_i}{p_i}\right) \Gamma \log \frac{q_i}{p_i} \leq \frac{q_i}{p_i} - 1$ (*) >- IPi((1) (**) =- = (9;-Pi) = -= 9;+=Pi =-1+1=0 For equality holds in (**), we must have equality holds in (*). By (a), this is true iff $\frac{q_i}{p_i} = 1$, i.e. $p_i = q_i$, $\forall i$. (c) KL(P,9) = - = Pilog(9;) = - = Pilog(9; = -2] Pilog (9; -2] Pilog (9; -2] Pilog (9; -1) then KL(P,9) > -2 = \Piqi-Pi = -2 = \Piqi + 2 = Pi = 2-2 = \Piqi 2(\Pi-Jqi)=2(Pi+9i-2JPiqi)=2Pi+29i-22JPiqi=2-22JPiqi, So KLUP,9) 2 I (JP: -J9;)2

```
(d) Let P1=0.8 9,=0.4
           P2=0.2 92=0.6
  then KL(P,q) = 0.8 \log 2 + 0.2 \log \frac{1}{3} = 0.335

KL(q,P) = 0.4 \log \frac{1}{2} + 0.6 \log 3 = 0.382
7. (a) Fix x to be a possible value of X,
   then write f_x(y) = \sum_{y} P(x,y) \log \left( \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)} \right),
 then f_x(y) = \frac{1}{2} P(y|X=x) log(\frac{Y(y|X=x)}{CP(y)}) \Gamma P(x) = C > O_J,
           > \overline{P}(y|X=x)/og(\frac{P(y|X=x)}{P(y)}) since c \le 1,
           = KL (YIX=x, y) >0 by 6 (b)
 then I(X,Y) = = +x(y) >0
 (b) If I(X,Y)=0, then f_{x}(y)=0, \forall x, that means
     KL(Y|X=X,Y)=0, XX. By 6(b), KL(Y|X=X,Y)=0 (=)(Y|X=X)=Y, Xx.
     So this implies XIIY.
     8. (a) First BN implies conditional independence of Y, Z given X;
    while in second BN, Y, Z are dependent given (or not given) X.
    (b) Second BN implies marginal independence of X, Z, but
       In third BN, X, 2 are also marginal independent.
    So second BN doesn't contain the desired independence.
    (c) Third BN implies marginal independence of X.Z, while in
    First BN, X, 2 are dependent.
 9.(a) Most: three seven eight would about,
               their which after first fifty, other forty years there sixty.
        Leust: troup offis mapco caixa bosak, yalom tocor serna paxon niaid.
                fourny fabri cleft ccair
   these make sense since top part words are frequently seen,
   and I know nothing of the bot part words
  (15) Missing parts are
             E, 0.5394
            0.5340
             E, 0.7715
            E. 0.7127
             12, 0.7454.
```