Touncation Eggo

o cousion if exact solution inscribed in the numerical methods' consistent form

Recap: Consistent Form Explicit Eulen

$$\frac{u^{n+1}-u^n}{st}-\mathcal{F}(t^n,u^n)=0$$

1st write the scheme in its consistent form i.e. $Q(U^{nt}, U^n) = 0$ 2^{nd} insent the exact solutions i.e. $u(t^{n+1})$, $u(t^n)$

=> Qnew (u(they, u(th)) = Tn

i.e. expand u(tn+1) amound tn

One-Step Englon

o ennon if exact solution insentact in the update form by a single step

Recap: Update Form Explicit Eulen

 $U^{nH} - U^n - \text{at } F(\ell^n, U^n) = 0$

1st write screme in its update from i.e. $Q(M^{n+1}, M^n) = O$ 2^{nd} insent the exact solutions i.e. $u(t^{n+1})$, $u(t^n)$

 \Rightarrow Qnew $(u(t^{nH}), u(t^n)) = L_n$

Glofal Forgrogn

o ennon Selween final approximated saturien UN and the town solution a(tw)

-> think about that concept as well as the onclear of the esistion

i.e. from non-linear OBEs an amplification of coowers across

Taylon Expansion
$$f(x_1, x_2) = f(x_{10}, x_{2,0}) + \frac{\partial f(x_{10}, x_{2,0})}{\partial x_1} (x_1 - x_{1,0}) + \frac{\partial f(x_{10}, x_{2,0})}{\partial x_2} (x_2 - x_{2,0})$$

$$+ \frac{\partial^2 f(x_{10}, x_{2,0})}{\partial x_1^2} \cdot \frac{\Delta x_1^2}{2!} + \frac{\partial^2 f(x_{10}, x_{2,0})}{\partial x_2^2} \cdot \frac{\Delta x_2^2}{2!} + 2 \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f(...)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \cdot \frac{\Delta x_1 \Delta x_2}{2!} + ...$$
conclose does not matter

- sue fears to hipror-order accusacy

Rugge-Krutta Methods:

'multi-stage' numerical scremes for approximating solutions to

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = f(t, u(t)) \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

where No ER is cost., N: [0,7]-> R and F: [0,7] × R -> RM

fet sens de an int, let \(\{\alpha_{ij}\}_{ij=1}^{s}, \{\si_{ij}\}_{i=1}^{s}, \{\coloredom{\cdots}_{i=1}^{s}}, \{\coloredom{\cdots}_{i=1}^{s}}, \{\coloredom{\cdots}_{i=1}^{s}}, \{\cdots_{ij}\}_{i=1}^{s}, \{\cd

$$y_{i} = u^{n} + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_{ij} \mathcal{F}(t^{n} + c_{j} \Delta t, y_{j})$$

$$y_2 = U^0 + at \sum_{j=1}^s a_{2j} \mathcal{F}/t^0 + c_j at, y_j$$

$$y_s = U^n + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^s a_{sj} \mathcal{F}(t^n + c_j \Delta t, y_i)$$

$$M^{n+1} = M^n + \Delta t \sum_{j=1}^{S} \mathcal{F}_j \mathcal{F}(t^n + c_j \Delta t, \gamma_j)$$

-> ageneral s-stage QK-Hothad

ustict can be suppresented in tabular format as a s.c.

Zutchen Talleau

(i.e. s-stajes)

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} C_1 & \alpha_{11} & \cdots & \alpha_{1s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_S & \alpha_{s1} & \alpha_{SS} & \\ \hline & b_1 & \cdots & b_S & \\ \end{array}$$

CHEAI	E. Straich 15.10.20
	Consistency Conditions Jon QK-Hellods
	te. consistent s.t. there is at least one set of values for our unknowns that satisfies our equations
	Fon the general case of the s-stage RUC-Hethod we impose the following conclitions to ensure consistency: $\forall i \in \{1,, s\}$ it must hold that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij} = C_{ij}$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{s} A_{ij} = C_{ij}$
	$\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_{j} = 1$
	Explicit PK - $MeHods$ if all diagonal and upper-diagonal terms of the Buttern ToSleau core tonivial i.e. $a_{ij} = 0$ $\forall j \ge i$, where $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times S}$
	ie. $a_{ij} = 0$ $\forall j \ge i$, where $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{5 \times 5}$ -> could be implemented as a time-manching scheme
	Diagonally Implicit RK-He Hods known as DIRK if all uppear-diagonal tearns asie torivial and at least one diagonal tearn is non-torivial
	i.e. $a_{ij} = 0$ $\forall j \neq i$ and some $a_{ii} \neq 0$
	-> Newton Hethod on some other method to approximate the stage (while this fix)
	Jinst Proposities = Eveny explicit q-stage RU-Hellool needs
	of Junction evaluations to perform one time-step To for implicit methods it depends on the function
	(solve one on several non-lin eyns pen time step i.e. employing Newton)
	12

