



SQL: Queries

Chapter 5



Why Study the Relational Model?


- ❖ Most widely used model.
 - Vendors: IBM, Informix, Microsoft, Oracle, Sybase, etc.
- ❖ “Legacy systems” in older models
 - E.G., IBM’s IMS
- ❖ Recent competitor: object-oriented model
 - ObjectStore, Versant, Ontos
 - A synthesis emerging: *object-relational model*
 - Informix Universal Server, UniSQL, O2, Oracle, DB2



Relational Database: Definitions


- ❖ *Relational database*: a set of *relations*
- ❖ *Relation*: made up of 2 parts:
 - *Instance* : a *table*, with rows and columns.
#Rows = *cardinality*, #fields = *degree / arity*.
 - *Schema* : specifies name of relation, plus name and type of each column.
 - E.G. Students(*sid*: string, *name*: string, *login*: string, *age*: integer, *gpa*: real).
- ❖ Can think of a relation as a *set* of rows or *tuples* (i.e., all rows are distinct).

Example Instance of Students Relation




sid	name	login	age	gpa
53666	Jones	jones@cs	18	3.4
53688	Smith	smith@eecs	18	3.2
53650	Smith	smith@math	19	3.8

- ❖ Cardinality = 3, degree = 5, all rows distinct
- ❖ Do all columns in a relation instance have to be distinct?




Relational Query Languages

- ❖ A major strength of the relational model: supports simple, powerful *querying* of data.
- ❖ Queries can be written intuitively, and the DBMS is responsible for efficient evaluation.
 - The key: precise semantics for relational queries.
 - Allows the optimizer to extensively re-order operations, and still ensure that the answer does not change.



The SQL Query Language

- ❖ Developed by IBM (system R) in the 1970s
- ❖ Need for a standard since it is used by many vendors
- ❖ Standards:
 - SQL-86
 - SQL-89 (minor revision)
 - SQL-92 (major revision)
 - SQL-99 (major extensions, current standard)



The SQL Query Language


❖ To find all 18 year old students, we can write:

```
SELECT *  
FROM Students S  
WHERE S.age=18
```

sid	name	login	age	gpa
53666	Jones	jones@cs	18	3.4
53688	Smith	smith@ee	18	3.2

- To find just names and logins, replace the first line:

```
SELECT S.name, S.login
```



Querying Multiple Relations

❖ What does the following query compute?


```
SELECT S.name, E.cid  
FROM Students S, Enrolled E  
WHERE S.sid=E.sid AND E.grade="A"
```

Given the following instance of Enrolled (is this possible if the DBMS ensures referential integrity?):

sid	cid	grade
53831	Carnatic101	C
53831	Reggae203	B
53650	Topology112	A
53666	History105	B

we get:

S.name	E.cid
Smith	Topology112



Creating Relations in SQL


- ❖ Creates the Students relation. Observe that the type (**domain**) of each field is specified, and enforced by the DBMS whenever tuples are added or modified.

```
CREATE TABLE Students  
(sid: CHAR(20),  
name: CHAR(20),  
login: CHAR(10),  
age: INTEGER,  
gpa: REAL)
```

- ❖ As another example, the Enrolled table holds information about courses that students take.

```
CREATE TABLE Enrolled  
(sid: CHAR(20),  
cid: CHAR(20),  
grade: CHAR(2))
```

Destroying and Altering Relations




DROP TABLE Students

- ❖ Destroys the relation Students. The schema information *and* the tuples are deleted.

ALTER TABLE Students

ADD COLUMN firstYear: integer

- ❖ The schema of Students is altered by adding a new field; every tuple in the current instance is extended with a *null* value in the new field.



Adding and Deleting Tuples


- ❖ Can insert a single tuple using:

```
INSERT INTO Students (sid, name, login, age, gpa)  
VALUES (53688, 'Smith', 'smith@ee', 18, 3.2)
```

- ❖ Can delete all tuples satisfying some condition (e.g., name = Smith):


```
DELETE  
FROM Students S  
WHERE S.name = 'Smith'
```

** Powerful variants of these commands are available; more later!*



Integrity Constraints (ICs)


- ❖ **IC:** condition that must be true for *any* instance of the database; e.g., domain constraints.
 - ICs are specified when schema is defined.
 - ICs are checked when relations are modified.
- ❖ A *legal* instance of a relation is one that satisfies all specified ICs.
 - DBMS should not allow illegal instances.
- ❖ If the DBMS checks ICs, stored data is more faithful to real-world meaning.
 - Avoids data entry errors, too!




Primary and Candidate Keys in SQL

- ❖ Possibly many candidate keys (specified using **UNIQUE**), one of which is chosen as the *primary key*.
 - ❖ “For a given student and course, there is a single grade.” **vs.**
“Students can take only one course, and receive a single grade for that course; further, no two students in a course receive the same grade.”
 - ❖ Used carelessly, an IC can prevent the storage of database instances that arise in practice!
- ```
CREATE TABLE Enrolled
(sid CHAR(20)
 cid CHAR(20),
 grade CHAR(2),
 PRIMARY KEY (sid,cid))
```
- ```
CREATE TABLE Enrolled  
(sid CHAR(20)  
  cid CHAR(20),  
  grade CHAR(2),  
  PRIMARY KEY (sid),  
  UNIQUE (cid, grade) )
```

Foreign Keys, Referential Integrity



- ❖ Foreign key : Set of fields in one relation that is used to `refer' to a tuple in another relation. (Must correspond to primary key of the second relation.) Like a `logical pointer'.
- ❖ E.g. *sid* is a foreign key referring to **Students**:
 - Enrolled(*sid*: string, *cid*: string, *grade*: string)
 - If all foreign key constraints are enforced, referential integrity is achieved, i.e., no dangling references.
 - Can you name a data model w/o referential integrity?
 - Links in HTML!



Foreign Keys in SQL

- ❖ Only students listed in the Students relation should be allowed to enroll for courses.


```
CREATE TABLE Enrolled
(sid CHAR(20), cid CHAR(20), grade CHAR(2),
PRIMARY KEY (sid,cid),
FOREIGN KEY (sid) REFERENCES Students )
```

Enrolled

sid	cid	grade
53666	Carnatic101	C
53666	Reggae203	B
53650	Topology112	A
53666	History105	B


Students

sid	name	login	age	gpa
53666	Jones	jones@cs	18	3.4
53688	Smith	smith@eecs	18	3.2
53650	Smith	smith@math	19	3.8



Enforcing Referential Integrity

- ❖ Consider Students and Enrolled; *sid* in Enrolled is a foreign key that references Students.
- ❖ What should be done if an Enrolled tuple with a non-existent student id is inserted? (*Reject it!*)
- ❖ What should be done if a Students tuple is deleted?
 - Also delete all Enrolled tuples that refer to it.
 - Disallow deletion of a Students tuple that is referred to.
 - Set *sid* in Enrolled tuples that refer to it to a *default sid*.
 - (In SQL, also: Set *sid* in Enrolled tuples that refer to it to a special value *null*, denoting ‘unknown’ or ‘inapplicable’.)
- ❖ Similar if primary key of Students tuple is updated.



Referential Integrity in SQL

- ❖ SQL/92 and SQL:1999 support all 4 options on deletes and updates.
 - Default is **NO ACTION** (*delete/update is rejected*)
 - **CASCADE** (also delete all tuples that refer to deleted tuple)
 - **SET NULL / SET DEFAULT** (sets foreign key value of referencing tuple)

```
CREATE TABLE Enrolled
(sid CHAR(20),
cid CHAR(20),
grade CHAR(2),
PRIMARY KEY (sid,cid),
FOREIGN KEY (sid)
REFERENCES Students
ON DELETE CASCADE
ON UPDATE SET DEFAULT )
```

Example Instances

R1

<u>sid</u>	<u>bid</u>	<u>day</u>
22	101	10/10/96
58	103	11/12/96

- ❖ We will use these instances of the Sailors and Reserves relations in our examples.
- ❖ If the key for the Reserves relation contained only the attributes *sid* and *bid*, how would the semantics differ?

S1


<u>sid</u>	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
58	rusty	10	35.0

S2


<u>sid</u>	sname	rating	age
28	yuppy	9	35.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
44	guppy	5	35.0
58	rusty	10	35.0

Basic SQL Query

SELECT	[DISTINCT] <i>target-list</i>
FROM	<i>relation-list</i>
WHERE	<i>qualification</i>




- ❖ *relation-list* A list of relation names (possibly with a *range-variable* after each name).
- ❖ *target-list* A list of attributes of relations in *relation-list*
- ❖ *qualification* Comparisons (Attr *op* const or Attr1 *op* Attr2, where *op* is one of $<$, $>$, $=$, \leq , \geq , \neq) combined using AND, OR and NOT.
- ❖ **DISTINCT** is an optional keyword indicating that the answer should not contain duplicates. Default is that duplicates are not eliminated!



Conceptual Evaluation Strategy


- ❖ Semantics of an SQL query defined in terms of the following conceptual evaluation strategy:
 - Compute the cross-product of *relation-list*.
 - Discard resulting tuples if they fail *qualifications*.
 - Delete attributes that are not in *target-list*.
 - If **DISTINCT** is specified, eliminate duplicate rows.
- ❖ This strategy is probably the least efficient way to compute a query! An optimizer will find more efficient strategies to compute *the same answers*.

Example of Conceptual Evaluation



```
SELECT S.sname
FROM   Sailors S, Reserves R
WHERE  S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=103
```

(sid)	sname	rating	age	(sid)	bid	day
22	dustin	7	45.0	22	101	10/10/96
22	dustin	7	45.0	58	103	11/12/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	22	101	10/10/96
31	lubber	8	55.5	58	103	11/12/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	22	101	10/10/96
58	rusty	10	35.0	58	103	11/12/96



A Note on Range Variables


- ❖ Really needed only if the same relation appears twice in the FROM clause. The previous query can also be written as:

```
SELECT S.sname  
FROM   Sailors S, Reserves R  
WHERE  S.sid=R.sid AND bid=103
```

OR

```
SELECT sname  
FROM   Sailors, Reserves  
WHERE  Sailors.sid=Reserves.sid  
       AND bid=103
```


*It is good style,
however, to use
range variables
always!*



Find sailors who've reserved at least one boat

```
SELECT S.sid  
FROM Sailors S, Reserves R  
WHERE S.sid=R.sid
```


- ❖ Would adding DISTINCT to this query make a difference?
- ❖ What is the effect of replacing *S.sid* by *S.sname* in the SELECT clause? Would adding DISTINCT to this variant of the query make a difference?



Expressions and Strings

```
SELECT S.age, age1=S.age-5, 2*S.age AS age2  
FROM Sailors S  
WHERE S.sname LIKE 'B_%B'
```

- ❖ Illustrates use of arithmetic expressions and string pattern matching: *Find triples (of ages of sailors and two fields defined by expressions) for sailors whose names begin and end with B and contain at least three characters.*
- ❖ **AS** and **=** are two ways to name fields in result.
- ❖ **LIKE** is used for string matching. **`_`** stands for any one character and **`%`** stands for 0 or more arbitrary characters.



Nested Queries

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103:


```
SELECT S.sname
```

```
FROM Sailors S
```

```
WHERE S.sid IN (SELECT R.sid  
                FROM Reserves R  
                WHERE R.bid=103)
```



- ❖ A very powerful feature of SQL: a WHERE clause can itself contain an SQL query! (Actually, so can FROM and HAVING clauses.)
- ❖ To find sailors who've *not* reserved #103, use NOT IN.
- ❖ To understand semantics of nested queries, think of a nested loops evaluation: *For each Sailors tuple, check the qualification by computing the subquery.*




Nested Queries with Correlation

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat #103:

```
SELECT S.sname  
FROM Sailors S  
WHERE EXISTS (SELECT *  
               FROM Reserves R  
               WHERE R.bid=103 AND S.sid=R.sid)
```



- ❖ **EXISTS** is another set comparison operator, like **IN**.
- ❖ If **UNIQUE** is used, and * is replaced by *R.bid*, finds sailors with at most one reservation for boat #103. (UNIQUE checks for duplicate tuples; * denotes all attributes. Why do we have to replace * by *R.bid*?)
- ❖ Illustrates why, in general, subquery must be re-computed for each Sailors tuple.




More on Set-Comparison Operators

- ❖ We've already seen IN, EXISTS and UNIQUE. Can also use NOT IN, NOT EXISTS and NOT UNIQUE.
- ❖ Also available: *op* ANY, *op* ALL, *op* IN $>, <, =, \geq, \leq, \neq$
- ❖ Find sailors whose rating is greater than that of some sailor called Horatio:

```
SELECT *  
FROM Sailors S  
WHERE S.rating > ANY (SELECT S2.rating  
                      FROM Sailors S2  
                      WHERE S2.sname='Horatio')
```

Aggregate Operators

- ❖ Significant extension of relational algebra.



COUNT (*)
COUNT ([DISTINCT] A)
SUM ([DISTINCT] A)
AVG ([DISTINCT] A)
MAX (A)
MIN (A)

single column

```
SELECT COUNT (*)  
FROM Sailors S
```


```
SELECT AVG (S.age)  
FROM Sailors S  
WHERE S.rating=10
```

```
SELECT COUNT (DISTINCT S.rating)  
FROM Sailors S  
WHERE S.sname='Bob'
```

```
SELECT S.sname  
FROM Sailors S
```

```
WHERE S.rating= (SELECT MAX(S2.rating)  
FROM Sailors S2)
```

```
SELECT AVG ( DISTINCT S.age)  
FROM Sailors S  
WHERE S.rating=10
```




Find name and age of the oldest sailor(s)

- ❖ The first query is illegal! (We'll look into the reason a bit later, when we discuss **GROUP BY**.)
- ❖ The third query is equivalent to the second query, and is allowed in the SQL/92 standard, but is not supported in some systems.

```
SELECT S.sname, MAX (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
```

```
SELECT S.sname, S.age
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age =
      (SELECT MAX (S2.age)
       FROM Sailors S2)
```

```
SELECT S.sname, S.age
FROM Sailors S
WHERE (SELECT MAX (S2.age)
       FROM Sailors S2)
      = S.age
```




GROUP BY and HAVING

- ❖ So far, we've applied aggregate operators to all (qualifying) tuples. Sometimes, we want to apply them to each of several *groups* of tuples.
- ❖ Consider: *Find the age of the youngest sailor for each rating level.*
 - In general, we don't know how many rating levels exist, and what the rating values for these levels are!
 - Suppose we know that rating values go from 1 to 10; we can write 10 queries that look like this (!):

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$:


```
SELECT MIN (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.rating =  $i$ 
```

Queries With GROUP BY and HAVING




SELECT	[DISTINCT] <i>target-list</i>
FROM	<i>relation-list</i>
WHERE	<i>qualification</i>
GROUP BY	<i>grouping-list</i>
HAVING	<i>group-qualification</i>

- ❖ The *target-list* contains (i) attribute names (ii) terms with aggregate operations (e.g., MIN (*S.age*)).
 - The attribute list (i) must be a subset of *grouping-list*.
Intuitively, each answer tuple corresponds to a *group*, and these attributes must have a single value per group. (A *group* is a set of tuples that have the same value for all attributes in *grouping-list*.)



Conceptual Evaluation

- ❖ The cross-product of *relation-list* is computed, tuples that fail *qualification* are discarded, 'unnecessary' fields are deleted, and the remaining tuples are partitioned into groups by the value of attributes in *grouping-list*.
- ❖ The *group-qualification* is then applied to eliminate some groups. Expressions in *group-qualification* must have a *single value per group!*
 - In effect, an attribute in *group-qualification* that is not an argument of an aggregate op also appears in *grouping-list*. (SQL does not exploit primary key semantics here!)
- ❖ One answer tuple is generated per qualifying group.



Find the age of the youngest sailor with age ≥ 18 ,
for each rating with at least 2 such sailors

```
SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age >= 18
GROUP BY S.rating
HAVING COUNT (*) > 1
```

<u>sid</u>	sname	rating	age
22	dustin	7	45.0
31	lubber	8	55.5
71	zorba	10	16.0
64	horatio	7	35.0
29	brutus	1	33.0
58	rusty	10	35.0


rating	age
1	33.0
7	45.0
7	35.0
8	55.5
10	35.0

rating	
7	35.0

Answer relation

- ❖ Only S.rating and S.age are mentioned in the SELECT, GROUP BY or HAVING clauses; other attributes *unnecessary*.
- ❖ 2nd column of result is unnamed. (Use AS to name it.)


For each red boat, find the number of reservations for this boat



```
SELECT B.bid, COUNT (*) AS scout  
FROM Sailors S, Boats B, Reserves R  
WHERE S.sid=R.sid AND R.bid=B.bid AND B.color='red'  
GROUP BY B.bid
```


- ❖ Grouping over a join of three relations.
- ❖ What do we get if we remove *B.color='red'* from the WHERE clause and add a HAVING clause with this condition?
- ❖ What if we drop Sailors and the condition involving S.sid?

*Find the age of the youngest sailor with age > 18,
for each rating with at least 2 sailors (of any age)*



```
SELECT S.rating, MIN (S.age)
FROM Sailors S
WHERE S.age > 18
GROUP BY S.rating
HAVING 1 < (SELECT COUNT (*)
            FROM Sailors S2
            WHERE S.rating=S2.rating)
```

- ❖ Shows HAVING clause can also contain a subquery.
- ❖ Compare this with the query where we considered only ratings with 2 sailors over 18!
- ❖ What if HAVING clause is replaced by:
 - HAVING COUNT(*) >1




Views

- ❖ A view is just a relation, but we store a *definition*, rather than a set of tuples.

```
CREATE VIEW YoungActiveStudents (name, grade)
AS SELECT S.name, E.grade
FROM Students S, Enrolled E
WHERE S.sid = E.sid and S.age < 21
```

- ❖ Views can be dropped using the **DROP VIEW** command.
 - How to handle **DROP TABLE** if there's a view on the table?
 - DROP TABLE command has options to let the user specify this.



Views and Security

- ❖ Views can be used to present necessary information (or a summary), while hiding details in underlying relation(s).
 - Given YoungStudents, but not Students or Enrolled, we can find students s who have are enrolled, but not the *cid*'s of the courses they are enrolled in.