## Solving Al

Kyra, Nischal

April 21, 2023

We consider rate based neural network model with populations of inhibitory and excitatory neurons. The dynamics of the network is given by:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{r} = -\mathbf{r}(t) + \mathbf{W} \cdot \phi\left(\mathbf{r}(t)\right). \tag{1}$$

The choice of nonlinearity  $\phi$  is flexible and we should probably use ReLU or Sigmoid. In particular, we devide the population of neurons to excitatory and inhibitory neurons and denote them with a subscript:  $\mathbf{r}_{E/I}$ . Practically, we will likely splid the population in a 20/80 fashion with a total of 1000 neurons.

This division also induces a division of the weight matrix **W** into block structure and we get 4 sets of matrices. The releveant one for us is the inhibitor to excitatory synapses represented by the matrix :  $\mathbf{W}_{I\to E}$ .

Practically, we initialize the whole matrix randomly with positive random values, and then enforce that the  $I \to E$  connection is set to  $\sim 0$ . This is also the subset of connections that will be allowed some synaptic plasticty, while all other coonections basically remain forzen.

A crucial point here though: The connections are sparse and we should only connect  $\sim 5\%$  of neurons presynaptically to any given neuron. We can do this by basically setting 95% of values in each row to 0. This should be fine on average. But this given rise to a subtelty in simulation: we only update the weights that were non zero at intialization. Meaning that the weights that were set to 0 initially don't change. We can do this practically by creating a dummy motif matrix  $\bf J$  that is 1 where there is a connection and zero everywhere else and taking element wise product with  $\bf J$  of weights during synaptic plasticity.

Finally, the synaptic plasticity dynamics a la' Spreckler is as follows for N excitatory neurons ans D inhibitory neurons:

$$\Delta \mathbf{W}_{I \to E} = \eta \left( \mathbf{r}_E \cdot \mathbf{r}_I^T - \mathbf{r}_E \cdot \rho \right). \tag{2}$$

where  $\eta$  is the learning rate and  $\rho$  is the desired firing rate written as a matrix of size  $1 \times D$ .

We should probably update the weights every 10th step of dynamics update, and run simulation to weight saturation. I also, think  $\rho$  should be rought equal to the  $\mathbf{r}_{max}$  at initilization. Unsure about  $\eta$  though, maybe should be  $0.1 \times < r_0 >$ .

What do you think? Hopefully this is enough to get started.