# Fullness Notes

#### James Harbour

## March 26, 2025

**Lemma 0.0.1.** Let N be a tracial von Neumann algebra. Suppose that  $P \subseteq p(M \otimes N)p$  is a von Neumann subalgebra such that

- P is strongly nonamenable relative to  $1 \otimes N$ ,
- $P_0 := P' \cap p(M \otimes N)p \prec_{M \otimes N} A \otimes N$ .

Assume there is some  $s \in (0,1)$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\tau(b^*(\alpha_t \otimes id)(b)) \geq \delta$$
 for all  $b \in U(P_0)$ .

Let  $Q_0 = q \mathcal{N}_{p(M \otimes N)p}(P)''$ . Then one of the following holds

- 1.  $P_0 \prec 1 \otimes N$ ,
- 2.  $Q_0 \prec A \otimes N$ ,
- 3. there is a partial isometry  $v \in p(M \otimes N)p$  such that  $vv^* \in Z(P_0)$  and

$$v^*P_0v \subseteq \{u_\sigma : \sigma \in [\mathcal{G}]\}'' \otimes N.$$

**Theorem 0.0.1.** Let N be a tracial von Neumann algebra. Suppose that  $P \subseteq p(M \otimes N)p$  is a von Neumann subalgebra such that

- P is strongly nonamenable relative to  $1 \otimes N$ ,
- $P_0 := P' \cap p(M \otimes N)p \prec_{M \otimes N} A \otimes N$ .

Then actually  $P_0 \prec_{M \otimes N} 1 \otimes N$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$_{M\otimes N}L^2(\widetilde{M}\otimes N)\ominus L^2(M\otimes N)_{M\otimes N}\prec _{M\otimes N}L^2(M\otimes N)\otimes _{1\otimes N}L^2(M\otimes N)_{M\otimes N}$$

$$\forall z \in Z(P_0), \quad {}_{M \otimes N} L^2(M \otimes N)_{Pz} \not\prec {}_{M \otimes N} L^2(\widetilde{M} \otimes N) \ominus L^2(M \otimes N)_{M \otimes N}$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is  $F \subseteq U(P)$  finite and  $\delta > 0$  such that, for  $x \in (p(\widetilde{M} \otimes N)p)_1$ ,

$$\max_{u \in F} \|[u, x]\|_2 \le \delta \implies \|x - \mathbb{E}_{M \otimes N}(x)\|_2 \le \varepsilon.$$

Choose  $s = 2^{-k}$  such that  $\max_{u \in F} \|\alpha_s(u) - u\|_2 \le \delta/2$ . Then for  $x \in P_0$ , we have that  $\|[\alpha_s(x), u]\|_2 \le \delta$ . Hence, for  $x \in (P_0)_1$ ,

$$\|\alpha_{2s}(x) - x\|_2 \le 2\|\alpha_s(x) - \mathbb{E}_{M \otimes N}(\alpha_s(x))\|_2 \le 2\varepsilon. \tag{1}$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \sup_{x \in (P_0)_1} \|\alpha_t(x) - x\|_2 = 0,$$

so  $P_0$  is  $(\alpha_t \otimes id)$ -rigid.

Choose  $\delta_0 \in (0,1)$  and t such that  $\sup_{x \in (P_0)_1} \|(\alpha_t \otimes id)(x) - x\|_2 < \sqrt{2(1-\delta_0)}$ . Then we have that  $|\tau(b^*(\alpha_t \otimes id)(b))| > \delta$  for  $b \in U(P_0)$ .

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that  $P_0 \not\prec 1 \otimes N$ . Then either part (2) or part (3) of the above lemma hold.

(Case 1): Suppose  $Q_0 \prec A \otimes N$ . Then as  $P \vee P_0 \subseteq Q_0$ , we have that  $P, P_0 \prec A \otimes N$ .

By IPV section 2.4, as  $A \otimes N$  is amenable relative to  $1 \otimes N$ , there exists a non-zero projection  $f \in P' \cap p(M \otimes N)p$  such that Pf is amenable relative to  $1 \otimes N$ . This contradicts the assumption that P is strongly nonamenable relative to  $1 \otimes N$ .

(Case 2): There is a partial isometry  $v \in p(M \otimes N)p$  such that  $vv^* \in Z(P_0)$  and

$$v^*P_0v \subseteq \{u_\sigma : \sigma \in [\mathcal{G}]\}'' \otimes N.$$

NOTE: Maybe I can get this to be a unitary.

Let  $S := \{u_{\sigma} : \sigma \in [\mathcal{G}]\}$ ". We need to build a contradiction from

- $P_0 \prec A \otimes N$ ,
- $v^*P_0v \subseteq S \otimes N$ .

We have assumed that  $P_0 \not\prec 1 \otimes N$ , so there is some sequence  $(u_n)_n$  in  $U(P_0)$  such that

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{1\otimes N}(xu_ny)\|_2 \to 0 \text{ for } x,y \in M \otimes N.$$

Then the intertwining into  $A \otimes N$  implies that there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{A\otimes N}(u_n)\|_2 > \varepsilon$$
 for  $n \ge N$ .

## 1 Weak Containment

#### 1.1 Definitions

**Definition 1.1.1.** We say that  $\pi$  is weakly contained in  $\rho$ , denoted  $\pi \prec \rho$ , if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\xi \in S(X * \mathcal{H})$ , and  $E \subset \mathcal{G}$  with  $\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(E) < \infty$ , there exists  $\{\eta^1, \ldots, \eta^m\} \subset S(X * \mathcal{K})$  with

$$\mu_{\mathcal{G}}\left(\left\{g \in E : |\langle \pi(g)\xi_{d(g)}, \xi_{r(g)}\rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle \rho(g)\eta_{d(g)}^{i}, \eta_{r(g)}^{i}\rangle| \ge \epsilon\right\}\right) < \epsilon.$$

**Definition 1.1.2.** Given two M-N bimodules  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is weakly contained in  $\mathcal{K}$  (denoted by  $\mathcal{H} \prec \mathcal{K}$ ) if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and finite subsets  $E \subseteq M$ ,  $F \subseteq N$ ,  $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $\{\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  such that

$$|\langle x\xi_i y, \xi_j \rangle - \langle x\eta_i y, \eta_j \rangle| < \varepsilon$$
 for all  $x \in E$ ,  $y \in F$ , and  $1 \le i, j \le n$ .

Note that any M-N bimodule  $\mathcal{H}$  gives rise to a \*-homomorphism  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}: M \otimes N^{\mathrm{op}} \to B(\mathcal{H})$  given by  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(x \otimes y)\xi = x\xi y$ . In this language, we have that  $\mathcal{H} \prec \mathcal{K}$  if and only if  $\|\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(T)\| \leq \|\pi_{\mathcal{K}}(T)\|$  for all  $T \in M \otimes N^{\mathrm{op}}$ .

**Definition 1.1.3.** Let  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  be nondegenerate \*-representations of a  $C^*$ -algebra A. We say that  $\pi_1$  is weakly contained in  $\pi_2$  and write  $\pi_1 \prec \pi_2$  if  $||\pi_1(a)|| \leq ||\pi_2(a)||$  for all  $a \in A$ .

Hence,  ${}_{M}\mathcal{H}_{N} \prec {}_{M}\mathcal{K}_{N}$  if and only if  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}} \prec \pi_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

### 1.2 Ben Stuff, i.e. preliminary lemmas

For  $\pi: A \to B(\mathcal{H})$  a \*-representation of a  $C^*$ -algebra A and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , define  $\omega_{\xi} \in A^*$  by  $\omega_{\xi}(a) = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle$ .

**Theorem 1.2.1.** Let  $\pi_j : A \to B(\mathcal{H}_j)$ , j = 1, 2 be nondegenerate \*-representations of a C\*-algebra A. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $\pi_1 \prec \pi_2$ ,
- 2.  $\ker(\pi_2) \subseteq \ker(\pi_1)$ ,
- 3. For all  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_1$  with  $\|\xi\| = 1$ , we have

$$\omega_{\xi} \in \overline{co}^{wk^*} \{ \omega_{\eta} : \eta \in \mathcal{H}_2, \|\eta\| = 1 \},$$

4. For all  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_1$ ,

$$\omega_{\xi} \in \overline{\operatorname{Span}}^{wk^*} \{ \omega_{\eta} : \eta \in \mathcal{H}_2 \}.$$

hello there

## 1.3 Main Argument

**Proposition 1.3.1.** Consider two representations  $\pi, \rho$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  on bundles  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_x\}_{x \in X}$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathcal{K}_x\}_{x \in X}$  respectively. Then  $\pi \prec \rho$  implies that  $B(\pi) \prec B(\rho)$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $\sigma \in [\mathcal{G}]$ ,  $m \in (M)_1$ ,  $\xi \in S_b(X * \mathcal{H})$ , and  $\varphi, \psi \in M$ .

$$\langle au_{\sigma} \cdot (\xi \otimes \widehat{\psi}) \cdot m, \xi \otimes \widehat{\psi} \rangle = \langle \widetilde{\pi}(\sigma) \xi \otimes au_{\sigma} \widehat{\psi} m, \xi \otimes \widehat{\psi} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \widetilde{\pi}(\sigma) \xi, \xi \cdot {}_{A} \langle au_{\sigma} \widehat{\psi} m, \widehat{\psi} \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \langle \widetilde{\pi}(\sigma) \xi, \xi \cdot \mathbb{E}_{A} (au_{\sigma} \psi m \psi^{*}) \rangle$$

$$= \int_{X} \mathbb{E}_{A} (au_{\sigma} \psi m \psi^{*}) \cdot \langle (\widetilde{\pi}(\sigma) \xi)_{x}, \xi_{x} \rangle d\mu(x)$$

We have  $\overline{\mu}(\sigma) = 1 < +\infty$ , so by assumption there exists  $\{\eta^i\}_{i=1}^s \subseteq S_b(X * \mathcal{K})$  such that the set

$$A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} := \{ g \in \sigma \subseteq \mathcal{G} : |\langle \pi(g)\xi_{d(g)}, \xi_{r(g)} \rangle - \sum_{i} \langle \rho(g)\eta_{d(g)}^{i}, \eta_{r(g)}^{i} \rangle| \ge \varepsilon \}.$$

has measure  $\overline{\mu}(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}) < \varepsilon$ . Taking the range of this set in the unit space X, we see

$$r(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}) = \{r(g) \in X : g \in A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} \subseteq \sigma\} = \{x \in X : x\sigma \in A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}\}$$
$$= \{x \in X : \left| \langle \pi(x\sigma)\xi_{d(x\sigma)}, \xi_x \rangle - \sum_i \langle \rho(x\sigma)\eta_{d(x\sigma)}^i, \eta_x^i \rangle \right| \ge \varepsilon\}$$

and compute that

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mu}(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma}) &= \int_{X} \#\{g \in A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma} : d(g) = x\} \, d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{X} 1_{d(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})}(x) \, d\mu(x) = \mu(d(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})) = \mu(r(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})). \end{split}$$

For brevity, write  $f := \mathbb{E}_A(au_\sigma \psi m \psi^*)$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle au_{\sigma}(\xi \otimes \widehat{\psi})m, \xi \otimes \widehat{\psi} \rangle - \sum_{i} \langle au_{\sigma}(\eta^{i} \otimes \widehat{\psi})m, \eta^{i} \otimes \widehat{\psi} \rangle | \\ & = \left| \int_{X} \mathbb{E}_{A}(au_{\sigma}\psi m\psi^{*}) \cdot \langle (\widetilde{\pi}(\sigma)\xi)_{x}, \xi_{x} \rangle \, d\mu(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \int_{X} \mathbb{E}_{A}(au_{\sigma}\psi m\psi^{*}) \cdot \langle (\widetilde{\rho}(\sigma)\eta^{i})_{x}, \eta_{x}^{i} \rangle \, d\mu(x) \right| \\ & \leq \int_{X} |f(x)| \cdot \left| \langle \pi(x\sigma)\xi_{d(x\sigma)}, \xi_{x} \rangle - \sum_{i} \langle \rho(x\sigma)\eta_{d(x\sigma)}^{i}, \eta_{x}^{i} \rangle \, d\mu(x) \end{aligned}$$

Let C > 0 such that

$$\|\xi_x\|, \|\eta_x^i\| \le C$$
 for all  $x \in X$  and  $1 \le i \le s$ .

By Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\int_{r(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})} |f(x)| \cdot \left| \langle \pi(x\sigma) \xi_{d(x\sigma)}, \, \xi_{x} \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle \rho(x\sigma) \eta_{d(x\sigma)}^{i}, \, \eta_{x}^{i} \rangle \right| d\mu(x)$$

$$\leq \|f\|_{\infty} \left[ \int_{r(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})} \left| \langle \pi(x\sigma) \xi_{d(x\sigma)}, \, \xi_{x} \rangle \right| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{r(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})} \left| \langle \rho(x\sigma) \eta_{d(x\sigma)}^{i}, \, \eta_{x}^{i} \rangle \right| d\mu(x) \right]$$

$$\leq C^{2} \varepsilon (s+1) \|f\|_{\infty}$$

Substituting this bound back into BLANK, we compute

$$\int_{X} |\varphi(x)| \cdot \left| \left\langle \pi(x\sigma) \xi_{d(x\sigma)}, \, \xi_{x} \right\rangle - \sum_{i} \left\langle \rho(x\sigma) \eta_{d(x\sigma)}^{i}, \, \eta_{x}^{i} \right\rangle \right| d\mu(x)$$

$$< C^{2} \varepsilon(s+1) \|f\|_{\infty} + \int_{X \setminus r(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})} |f(x)| \cdot \left| \left\langle \pi(x\sigma) \xi_{d(x\sigma)}, \, \xi_{x} \right\rangle - \sum_{i} \left\langle \rho(x\sigma) \eta_{d(x\sigma)}^{i}, \, \eta_{x}^{i} \right\rangle \right| d\mu(x)$$

$$< C^{2} \varepsilon(s+1) \|f\|_{\infty} + \|f\|_{\infty} \int_{X \setminus r(A_{\varepsilon}^{\sigma})} \varepsilon \, d\mu(x) \le \varepsilon \cdot (C^{2}(s+1) \|f\|_{\infty} + 1)$$

# 2 Mixing Proof

**Definition 2.0.1.** A representation  $\pi$  on  $X * \mathcal{H}$  is called *mixing* or  $c_0$  if for every  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  and every pair of normalized sections  $\xi, \eta \in S(X * \mathcal{H})$ , there is  $E \subset X$  with  $\mu(X \setminus E) < \delta$  such that

$$\left|\left\{g\in(\mathcal{G}|_E)_x:\left|\langle\pi(g)\xi_x,\eta_{r(g)}\rangle\right|>\epsilon\right\}\right|<\infty\quad\text{for $\mu$-a.e. }x\in E.$$

**Definition 2.0.2.** An M-M bimodule  ${}_{M}\mathcal{H}_{M}$  is mixing relative to  $A \subseteq M$  if any net  $(u_{i})_{i \in I}$  in  $(M)_{1}$  which satisfies  $\|\mathbb{E}_{A}(xu_{n}y)\|_{2} \to 0$  for all  $x, y \in M$ , satisfies

$$\lim_{i} \sup_{y \in (M)_{1}} |\langle u_{n} \xi y, \eta \rangle| = \lim_{i} \sup_{y \in (M)_{1}} |\langle y \xi u_{n}, \eta \rangle| = 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$$

**Proposition 2.0.1.** If  $\pi$  is mixing then  ${}_{M}\mathcal{B}(\pi)_{M}$  is mixing relative to A.

*Proof.* Fix  $\xi \in S_1(X * \mathcal{H})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The map  $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\varphi(g) = \langle \pi(g) \xi_{d(g)}, \xi_{r(g)} \rangle$  is then a unital positive definite function on  $\mathcal{G}$  (see KIDA, CLAIRE, JOLISSAINT etc). By LUPINI, CLAIRE, there is a unique unital, completely positive,  $\mathbb{E}_A$ -preserving, A-A bimodular map  $\Phi : M \to M$  such that

$$\Phi(u_{\sigma}) = \varphi(\cdot \sigma)u_{\sigma} \quad \text{for all } \sigma \in [\mathcal{G}].$$

Such a map extends to a unique contraction  $\widehat{\Phi}: L^2(M) \to L^2(M)$ ; moreover, the expectation-preservation and A-A-bimodularity imply that  $\widehat{\Phi} \in \langle M, A \rangle \cap A'$ .

Appealing to the mixingness of  $\pi$ , for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is some measureable  $E_k \subseteq X$  with  $\mu(X \setminus E_k) < 2^{-k}$  such that

$$\mu_{\mathcal{G}}(\{g \in \mathcal{G}|_{E_k} : |\varphi(g)| > \varepsilon\}) < +\infty.$$

Let  $F_1 = E_1$  and  $F_{k+1} = E_{k+1} \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k F_k$ , so  $1 = \mu \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty E_k \right) = \mu \left( \bigsqcup_{k=1}^\infty F_k \right)$ . Consider the projections  $p_i = 1_{F_i}$ ,  $q_i = 1_{E_i}$  in A. These satisfy the following:

- $\bullet \ q_k = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i,$
- $\tau(1-q_k) \xrightarrow{k\to\infty} 0$ ,
- $\tau(p_k) < 2^{-k}$

Consider the map  $\Phi_k: q_k M q_k \to q_k M q_k$  given by  $\Phi_k(\cdot) = \Phi(q_k \cdot q_k)$ . By CLAIRE, we have that  $\widehat{\Phi}_k \in \mathcal{K}(\langle q_k M q_k, q_k A q_k \rangle)$ .

Suppose that  $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in  $(M)_1$  such that  $\|\mathbb{E}_A(m_1u_nm_2)\|_2 \to 0$  for all  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ . Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|1 - q_k\|_2 < \varepsilon/6$ . Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$||u_n||_2 = ||(1 - q_k)u_n(1 - q_k)||_2 + ||(1 - q_k)u_nq_k||_2 + ||q_ku_n(1 - q_k)||_2 + ||q_ku_nq_k||_2$$

$$\leq 3||1 - q_k||_2 + ||q_ku_nq_k||_2 < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + ||q_ku_nq_k||_2.$$

Note that this choice of k is independent of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so the above is essentially a uniform integrability estimate. As the sequence  $(q_k u_n q_k)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $(q_k M q_k)_1$  satisfies the hypotheses of POPA 1.3.3.5, the compactness of  $\widehat{\Phi}_k$  gives that

$$\|\Phi_k(q_k u_n q_k)\|_2 \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.$$

Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|\Phi_k(q_k u_n q_k)\|_2 < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Then we have

$$\|\Phi(u_n)\|_2 \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \|\Phi_k(q_k u_n q_k)\|_2 < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq N$ , so  $\|\Phi(u_n)\|_2 \to 0$ .

Now fix a countable subset  $\Gamma \subseteq [\mathcal{G}]$  which generates  $\mathcal{G}$  and write  $u_n = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} a_{\sigma}^n u_{\sigma}$ . For any  $m, y \in (M)_1$ , observe that

$$\langle u_n \cdot (\xi \otimes \widehat{m}) \cdot y, \xi \otimes \widehat{m} \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \langle a_{\sigma}^n(\xi \otimes \widehat{m}) \cdot y, \xi \otimes \widehat{m} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \int_X \mathbb{E}_A(a_{\sigma}^n u_{\sigma} m y m^*) \langle \pi(x\sigma) \xi_{d(x\sigma)}, \xi_x \rangle d\mu(x)$$

$$= \int_X \mathbb{E}_A\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \langle \pi(x\sigma) \xi_{d(x\sigma)}, \xi_x \rangle a_{\sigma}^n u_{\sigma} m y m^* \right) d\mu(x)$$

$$= \int_X \mathbb{E}_A(\Phi(u_n) m y m^*) d\mu(x) = \langle \Phi(u_n), m y^* m^* \rangle$$

whence

$$\sup_{y \in (M)_1} |\langle u_n \cdot (\xi \otimes \widehat{m}) \cdot y, \xi \otimes \widehat{y} \rangle| \le ||\Phi(u_n)||_2 \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0.$$

We may then upgrade this result to any vectors  $v, w \in \mathcal{B}(\pi)$  by applying the technique in TBOOK applied to the set

$$V = \{v \in \mathcal{B}(\pi) : \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{y \in (M)_1} |\langle u_n v y, v \rangle| = 0 \text{ for any } (u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ with } ||\mathbb{E}_A(m_1 u_n m_2)||_2 \to 0 \text{ for all } m_1, m_2 \in M\}.$$

One shows that this set is closed under scalar multiplication, the action of  $M \odot M^{\text{op}}$ , addition, and is norm closed, whence it follows that  $V = \mathcal{B}(\pi)$ . Lastly, one uses polarization to upgrade to pairs of vectors.

## 3 Weak Containment: Ben Fix

**Proposition 3.0.1.** Suppose  $\pi$ ,  $\rho$  on  $\{\mathcal{H}_x\}_{x\in X}$ ,  $\{\mathcal{K}_x\}_{x\in X}$  respectively. Set  $D=\operatorname{Span}\{au_{\sigma}: a\in A, \sigma\in [\mathcal{G}]\}$ . Suppose that, for any  $\xi\in\int_X^{\oplus}\mathcal{H}_x\,d\mu(x)$  and  $\psi\in M$ , we have

there exists  $C_{\xi} > 0$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$ ,  $F \subseteq D$ , and  $E \subseteq M$  finite subsets, there are  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_l \in \int_X^{\oplus} \mathcal{K}_x d\mu(x)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^l \langle \eta_i, \eta_i \rangle \leq C_{\xi}$  and

$$\left|\omega_{\xi\otimes\widehat{\psi}}(x'\otimes y) - \sum_{i=1}^{l} \omega_{\eta_i\otimes\widehat{\psi}}(x'\otimes y)\right| < \delta \quad \text{for all } x'\in F, \ y\in E.$$

Then, when considered as an element of  $(M \otimes_{max} M^{op})^*$ , we have that

$$\omega_{\xi \otimes \widehat{\psi}} \in \overline{co}^{wk^*} \left\{ \omega_{\eta \otimes \widehat{\psi}} : \eta \in \int_X^{\oplus} \mathcal{K}_x \, d\mu(x) \,, \, \|\eta\|_2 \leq C_{\xi} \right\}.$$