

# MATH 7410 Homework 2

James Harbour

September 19, 2022

## Problem 1

Let  $X, Y, Z$  be normed linear spaces with  $Y \leq X$  and  $T : X \rightarrow Z$  a bounded linear operator such that  $T|_Y = 0$ . Then there is a unique  $\bar{T} : X/Y \rightarrow Z$  so that  $\bar{T} \circ Q = T$  where  $Q : X \rightarrow X/Y$  is the quotient map. Show that  $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$ .

*Proof.* On one hand, we know that  $\|T\| = \|\bar{T} \circ Q\| \leq \|\bar{T}\| \|Q\| \leq \|\bar{T}\|$ . Note that  $\text{ran}(\bar{T}) \subseteq \text{ran}(T)$ . We claim that  $Q$

Note that, for  $y \in Y$ ,  $\|Tx\| = \|T(x+y)\| \leq \|T\| \|x+y\|$ . Thus, by taking the infimum over  $y \in Y$ , we obtain that  $\|\bar{T}(x+Y)\| \|Tx\| \leq \|T\| \|x+Y\|$ . Thus,  $\|\bar{T}\| \leq \|T\|$ . □

## Problem 2

(a): Given  $Y \leq X$  normed linear spaces with  $Y \neq X$ , prove that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  so that the distance from  $x$  to  $Y$  is at least  $1 - \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Q : X \rightarrow X/Y$  be the corresponding quotient map. We claim that  $\|Q\| = 1$ . We have already shown that  $\|Q\| \leq 1$ . Pick  $x_0 \in X \setminus Y$  and let  $d = \text{dist}(x_0, Y)$ . By Hahn Banach, there exists a linear functional  $\phi \in X^*$  such that  $\|\phi\| = d > 0$ ,  $\phi(x_0) = 1$ ,  $\phi|_Y = 0$ . Now, by problem 1, we have  $\tilde{\phi} : X/Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  such that  $\tilde{\phi} \circ Q = \phi$  and  $\|\phi\| = \|\tilde{\phi}\|$ . Then  $\|\phi\| \leq \|\tilde{\phi}\| \|Q\| = \|\phi\| \|Q\|$ , whence  $\|Q\| \geq 1$ .

So  $\|Q\| = 1$ , whence  $1 = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Qx\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} d(x, Y)$ , where the second equality follows from the fact that  $X/Y \neq 0$ . As  $1 - \varepsilon < 1$ , there exists an  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  such that  $d(x, Y) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ . □

(b): Prove that if  $X$  is a normed linear space so that  $\text{Ball}(X) = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is compact, then  $X$  is finite dimensional.

*Proof.* By compactness, there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{Ball}(X)$  such that  $\text{Ball}(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} B_{1/2}(x_i) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{Ball}(X)$ . Let  $Y = \text{Span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , so

$$\text{Ball}(X) \subseteq Y + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{Ball}(X) \subseteq Y + \frac{1}{2} \left( Y + \frac{1}{2} \text{Ball}(X) \right) \subseteq \dots \subseteq Y + \frac{1}{2^n} \text{Ball}(X).$$

Now let  $x \in \text{Ball}(X)$ . Then there exist  $y \in Y$  and  $z_n \in \text{Ball}(X)$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x = y + \frac{1}{2^n} z_n$ . Then

$$\|x - y\| = \frac{1}{2^n} \|z_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

so  $\text{Ball}(X) \subseteq Y$ , whence by linearity of  $Y$ ,  $X = Y$ . □

### Problem 3

(a): Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and  $A \in B(X, Y)$ . Show that there is a  $c > 0$  such that  $\|Ax\| \geq c\|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$  if and only if  $\ker(A) = 0$  and  $\text{ran}(A)$  is closed.

*Proof.*

$\implies$ : If  $x \in \ker(A)$ , then  $\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{c}\|Ax\| = 0$ , so  $\ker(A) = 0$ . Suppose that  $y_n = Ax_n$  is a sequence in  $\text{ran}(A)$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y \in Y$ . Then  $\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \frac{1}{c}\|y_n - y_m\|$ , so  $(x_n)_n$  is Cauchy, whence by completeness there is some  $x \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Since  $A$  is bounded, it follows that

$$\|y_n - Ax\| = \|Ax_n - Ax\| \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x_n - x\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

so since  $X$  is Hausdorff  $y = Ax$  is in  $\text{ran}(A)$ .

$\impliedby$ : Since  $\text{ran}(A)$  is a closed subspace of  $Y$ ,  $\text{ran}(A)$  is also Banach. Thus, by the inverse mapping theorem,  $A^{-1} \in B(\text{ran}(A), X)$ . Then, for  $x \in X$  and  $c = (\|A^{-1}\| + 1)^{-1} > 0$ ,

$$\|x\| = \|A^{-1}Ax\| \leq \|A^{-1}\|\|Ax\| \leq \frac{1}{c}\|Ax\| \implies \|Ax\| \geq c\|x\|.$$

□

(b): Let  $X, Y, A$  be as in the previous part. Let  $V$  be the  $l^\infty$ -direct sum of  $X$  so  $V = \{(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in X^\mathbb{N} : \sup_n \|x_n\| < +\infty\}$ . Define

$$\text{approxker}(A) = \frac{\{(x_n)_n \in V : \|Ax_n\| \rightarrow 0\}}{\{(x_n)_n \in V : \|x_n\| \rightarrow 0\}}$$

Show that  $A$  is injective with closed image if and only if  $\text{approxker}(A) = \{0\}$ . (*Hint*: For one of the implications, if the previous item fails, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $\|Ax\| < \varepsilon$ .)

*Proof.*

$\implies$ : Suppose that  $A$  is injective with closed image, and let  $(x_n)_n \in V$  such that  $\|Ax_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Then by part (a),

$$\|x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{c}\|Ax_n\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

so  $\text{approxker}(A) = 0$ .

$\impliedby$ : We proceed by contraposition. Suppose that  $A$  fails to be injective with closed image. Then by part (a), for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is some  $x_n \in X$  such that  $\|x_n\| = 1$  and  $\|Ax_n\| < \frac{1}{n}$ . So,  $(x_n)_n \in V$  and  $\|Ax_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , but  $\|x_n\| \not\rightarrow 0$ , so  $\text{approxker}(A) \neq \{0\}$ . □

### Problem 4

Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and suppose  $(\alpha_{ij})$  is a matrix such that  $(Af)(i) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_{ij}f(j)$  defines an element  $Af$  of  $l^p$  for every  $f$  in  $l^p$ . Show that  $A \in B(l^p)$ .

*Proof.* We first claim that for each fixed  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(\alpha_{ij})_j \in l^q$ . So fix  $i \in \mathbb{N}$

Suppose that  $(f_n)_n$  is a sequence in  $l^p(\mu)$  such that  $f_n \xrightarrow{l^p} 0$  and  $g \in l^p(\mu)$  is such that  $Af_n \xrightarrow{l^p} g$ . We show that  $g = 0$ . Since the measure is counting measure, it suffices to show that  $(Af_n)(i) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $T_k \in (l^p)^*$  by  $T_k(f) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_{ij} f(j)$ . Note that each  $T_k$  is bounded. Now, for fixed  $f \in l^p$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|T_k(f)| \leq \sum_{j=k}^k |\alpha_{ij} f(j)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{ij} f(j)| < +\infty,$$

so by the uniform boundedness principle  $M := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_k\| < +\infty$ . Thus, for  $f \in l^p$ , we have that  $|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} f(j)| \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} |T_k f| \leq M \|f\|_p$ , so by the Riesz representation theorem  $(\alpha_{ij})_j \in l^q$ . Now, by Holder's inequality,

$$|(Af_n)(i)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} f_n(j) \right| \leq \|(\alpha_{ij})_j\|_q \|f_n\|_p \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

So, by the closed graph theorem,  $A$  is bounded. □

## Problem 5

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and suppose that  $k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is a  $\Sigma \times \Sigma$  measurable function such that for  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  and a.e.  $x$ ,  $k(x, \cdot)f(\cdot) \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $(Kf)(x) = \int k(x, y)f(y) d\mu(y)$  defines an element  $Kf$  of  $L^p(\mu)$ . Show that  $K : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$  is a bounded operator.

*Proof.* For  $x \in X$  such that  $k(x, \cdot)f(\cdot) \in L^1(\mu)$ , consider the map  $K_x : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$  given by  $K_x f = k(x, \cdot)f(\cdot)$ . This map is well defined by assumption. Suppose that  $(f_n)_n$  is a sequence in  $L^p(\mu)$  such that  $f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} 0$  and  $g \in L^p(\mu)$  is such that  $Kf_n \xrightarrow{L^p} g$ . We show that  $g = 0$ . By passing to a subsequence, it suffices to assume  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  pointwise a.e., and passing to a further subsequence we can assume that  $Kf_n \rightarrow g$  pointwise a.e. as well. We shall now justify an application of DCT.

Since  $(f_n)_n$  converges in  $L^p$ -norm, there is a subsequence  $(f_{n_k})_k$  such that  $\|f_m - f_{n_k}\|_p < \frac{1}{2^k}$  for all  $m \geq n_k$ . Let  $F' = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}|$ . Each partial sum for  $F'$  has  $L^p$ -norm less than 1 by the above estimate and Minkowski's inequality, whence Fatou's lemma implies that  $\|F'\|_p \leq 1$ . Letting  $F = f_{n_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}$ . By the previous estimate,  $F \in L^p$ . Thus,  $|F| + F' \in L^p(\mu)$ , and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $|f_{n_k}| \leq |F| + F'$  pointwise a.e.

Now, without loss of generality, assume that  $n_k = k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $h = |F| + F'$ . Then by assumption, for a.e.  $x \in X$  we have  $k(x, \cdot)h(\cdot) \in L^1(\mu)$ . Now, for a.e.  $x \in X$ ,  $|K_x(f_n)| \leq |K_x h|$  pointwise almost everywhere with  $K_x h \in L^1(\mu)$ . So, by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|K_x f_n\|_1 = 0.$$

Thus  $|Kf_n(x)| \leq \|K_x f_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . Now, by an identical argument to above, we can find an  $\tilde{h} \in L^p(\mu)$  such that  $|Kf_n| \leq \tilde{h}$  pointwise a.e. So, by the dominated convergence theorem,  $\|Kf_n\|_p \rightarrow 0$ , whence  $g = 0$  a.e. So the closed graph theorem implies that  $K$  is bounded. □